## **39. UNIFORM CONVERGENCE**

Having discussed the salient aspects of differential and integral calculus, we will move to a new topic; namely, uniform convergence of functions. We then give applications to functions defined by uniformly convergent series.

## 39.1 Uniform convergence.

A ubiquitous but important problem in analysis is exchange of limits. As an example, we are interested to know under what conditions a two dimensional array  $\{a_{m,n}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of real numbers satisfies

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} a_{m,n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} a_{m,n}$$
(39.1)

assuming that the inner limits both exist. Writing  $A := \{(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : k \leq \ell\}$  for which  $\lim_{m\to\infty} 1_A(m, n) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} 1_A(m, n) = 1$  shows that (39.1) definitely does not hold automatically. The problem clearly stems from the fact that the larger the *m* the larger the *n* needs to be taken for  $a_{m,n}$  to be close to its  $n \to \infty$  limit. This can be circumvented by requiring the closeness of  $a_{m,n}$  to its  $n \to \infty$  limit uniformly in *m*:

**Lemma 39.1** (Exchange of limits) Let  $\{a_{m,n}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  obey

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}: \ b_m := \lim_{n \to \infty} a_{m,n} \text{ exists}$$
 (39.2)

and

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: c_n := \lim_{m \to \infty} a_{m,n} \text{ exists}$$
 (39.3)

If, in addition to (39.2), we also have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |b_m - a_{m,n}| = 0 \tag{39.4}$$

then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b_m \text{ exists } \wedge \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n \text{ exists } \wedge \lim_{m \to \infty} b_m = \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n$$
(39.5)

The existence of all limits is in  $\mathbb{R}$  but the supremum in (39.4) is in extended reals.

We leave the easy proof of this lemma to a homework exercise. Note that (39.4) strengthens (39.2) to the desired uniformity (in *m*). Notwithstanding, our main interest in uniformity is the context of sequences of functions:

**Definition 39.2** (Pointwise and uniform convergence) Given sets  $A \subseteq B$ , a metric space  $(X, \rho)$ , a function  $f: B \to X$  and a sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from B to X (with all of this having domain B), we say:

•  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise on A if

$$\forall x \in A: \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) = 0$$
(39.6)

•  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on A if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in A} \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) = 0$$
(39.7)

If A = B then "on A" suffix is usually dropped.

It is immediate that uniform convergence implies pointwise convergence:

Preliminary version (subject to change anytime!)

**Lemma 39.3** For any  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and f functions  $A \to X$ ,

$$f_n \to f \text{ uniformly } \Rightarrow f_n \to f \text{ pointwise}$$
 (39.8)

*Proof.* The uniform convergence implies that, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\forall n \ge n_0: \sup_{x \in A} \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$$
(39.9)

But then for any  $z \in A$ , we have

$$\forall n \ge n_0 \colon \rho(f_n(z), f(z)) < \epsilon \tag{39.10}$$

thus showing that  $\rho(f_n(z), f(z)) \rightarrow 0$  and proving pointwise convergence.

We note that another way to see (39.8) is by writing the definitions (39.6–39.7) in logical primitives as

$$f_n \to f \text{ pointwise } := \forall \epsilon > 0 \ \forall x \in X \ \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall n \ge n_0: \ \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$$
(39.11)

while

$$f_n \to f \text{ uniformly } := \forall \epsilon > 0 \,\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \,\forall x \in X \,\forall n \ge n_0 \colon \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$$
(39.12)

and so the "mere" difference is the swap of " $\forall x \in X$ " and " $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ." We have actually seen this kind of a swap in the definition of uniform continuity (vs continuity).

## 39.2 Relation to continuity.

In order to give an example of these notions, consider the functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the type  $(0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f_n(x) := \frac{nx}{1 + nx}$$
(39.13)

Then  $f_n(x) \to 1$  for x > 0 yet  $f_n(0) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $f_n \to 1_{(0,\infty)}$  pointwise. Note, however, that the convergence is not uniform because  $\sup_{x>0} |f_n(x) - 1| = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , due to the Intermediate Value Theorem and the fact that all of these function tend continuously to zero as  $x \to 0^+$ .

At this point it is interesting to note that, while each  $f_n$  in (39.13) is continuous, the limit function  $1_{(0,\infty)}$  is not. As our next theorem shows, already this would be enough to invalidate uniform convergence:

**Theorem 39.4** (Continuity preserved by uniform convergence) Given metric spaces X and Y let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and f functions  $X \to Y$  (with domain X) such that  $f_n \to f$  uniformly. Then

$$\forall x_0 \in X \colon (\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \colon f_n \text{ continuous at } x_0) \Rightarrow f \text{ continuous at } x_0$$
(39.14)

In particular, a uniformly convergent sequence of continuous functions has a continuous limit.

*Proof.* The proof uses a so called  $3\epsilon$ -argument. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . The fact that  $f_n \to f$  uniformly implies the existence of  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\forall n \ge n_0 \forall x \in X: \ \rho_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon \tag{39.15}$$

The fact that  $f_{n_0}$  is continuous at  $x_0$  in turn gives a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\forall x \in X \colon \rho_X(x, x_0) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho_Y(f_{n_0}(x), f_{n_0}(x_0)) < \epsilon.$$
(39.16)

Preliminary version (subject to change anytime!)

Typeset: May 21, 2023

The triangle inequality now shows

$$\rho_{Y}(f(x), f(x_{0})) \leq \rho_{Y}(f(x), f_{n_{0}}(x)) + \rho_{Y}(f(x_{0}), f_{n_{0}}(x_{0})) + \rho_{Y}(f_{n_{0}}(x), f_{n_{0}}(x_{0})).$$
(39.17)

For *x* such that  $\rho_X(x, x_0) < \delta$ , (39.15–39.16) show that each term on the right is  $< \epsilon$  and so  $\rho_Y(f(x), f(x_0)) < 3\epsilon$ . As  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we have shown that *f* continuous at  $x_0$ .  $\Box$ 

The statement is not limited to continuity; in fact, a relatively minor variation on the proof of Theorem 39.4 gives:

**Corollary 39.5** Let X and Y be metric spaces,  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and f functions  $X \to Y$  (with domain X) such that  $f_n \to f$  uniformly. Let  $x_0 \in X$  be such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \lim_{x \to x_0} f_n(x) \text{ exists}$$
 (39.18)

Assuming, in addition, that Y is complete, we then have

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} f(x) \text{ exists } \wedge \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{x \to x_0} f_n(x) \text{ exists}$$
(39.19)

and

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{x \to x_0} f_n(x)$$
(39.20)

*Proof.* Redefining  $f_n$  by its limit at  $x_0$ , we can assume that each  $f_n$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . The uniform convergence then gives existence of  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\forall n \ge n_0 \forall x \in X \setminus \{x_0\} \colon \rho_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon.$$
(39.21)

(Here  $x_0$  was removed since we have now changed  $f_n$ 's at  $x_0$ .) The triangle inequality

$$\rho_Y(f_n(x), f_m(x)) \le \rho_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) + \rho_Y(f_m(x), f(x))$$
(39.22)

then implies

$$\forall n, m \in n_0 \,\forall x \in X \setminus \{x_0\} \colon \rho_Y(f_n(x), f_m(x)) < 2\epsilon \tag{39.23}$$

Taking  $x \to x_0$  and using that  $f_n$  and  $f_m$  are continuous at  $x_0$  gives

$$\forall n, m \ge n_0: \ \rho_Y(f_n(x_0), f_m(x_0)) \le 2\epsilon \tag{39.24}$$

proving that  $\{f_n(x_0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is Cauchy. As *Y* is complete,  $L := \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x_0)$  exists.

The existence of the limit shows that there is  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\rho_Y(f_n(x_0), L) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \ge n_1$ . Set  $m := \max\{n_0, n_1\}$  and use the continuity of  $f_m$  to find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\forall x \in X: \ \rho_X(x, x_0) < \delta \ \Rightarrow \ \rho_Y(f_m(x), f_m(x_0)) < \epsilon \tag{39.25}$$

Combining (39.21) with (39.25) and the definition of *m*, for all  $x \in X$  such that  $0 < \rho_X(x, x_0) < \delta$  we have

$$\rho_Y(f(x),L) \leq \rho_Y(f(x), f_m(x)) + \rho_Y(f_m(x), f_m(x_0)) + \rho_Y(f_m(x_0),L) < 3\epsilon$$
(39.26)

As this holds for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have proved that  $f(x) \to L$  as  $x \to x_0$ , as desired.

We note that the statement is very similar to that of Lemma 39.1 and can be made to subsume it provided we "compactify" N by adding a point at infinity.

Preliminary version (subject to change anytime!)

## 39.3 Application to uniformly convergent series.

The previous proof touched on the need to consider Cauchy sequences when the limit object is not (yet) available. The same applies to uniform convergence:

**Definition 39.6** Let *A* be a set and  $(X, \rho)$  a metric space. A sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from *A* to *X* is said to be uniformly Cauchy if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \,\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \,\forall m, n \ge n_0 \forall x \in X: \ \rho(f_n(x), f_m(x)) < \epsilon. \tag{39.27}$$

To see how this ties to uniform convergence, we prove:

**Lemma 39.7** Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and f be functions from a set A to a metric space  $(X, \rho)$ . Then

$$f_n \to f \text{ uniformly } \Rightarrow \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ uniformly Cauchy}$$
(39.28)

*On the other hand, if*  $(X, \rho)$  *is complete, then* 

$${f_n}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$
 uniformly Cauchy  $\Rightarrow \exists f \in X^A \colon f_n \to f$  uniformly. (39.29)

*Proof.* We will start with (39.28). Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\sup_{x \in X} \rho(f_n(x), f_m(x)) \leq \sup_{x \in X} \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) + \sup_{x \in X} \rho(f(x), f_m(x))$$
(39.30)

Under the uniform convergence  $f_n \rightarrow f$ , both terms on the right are smaller than  $\epsilon$  once *m* and *n* are sufficiently large. This is exactly what is required by (39.27).

Moving to (39.29), here we first observe that the assumption that  $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly Cauchy implies that  $\{f_n(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy for all  $x \in X$ . Thanks to the assumed completeness, the pointwise limit  $f(x) := \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x)$  exists for each x. Passing to the limit  $m \to \infty$  in (39.27) we then get  $f_n \to f$  uniformly.

The second part of the previous proof can be partially summarized as:

**Corollary 39.8** Let  $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and f be functions from a set A to a metric space  $(X, \rho)$ . Then

$$f_n \to f$$
 pointwise  $\land \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  uniformly Cauchy  $\Rightarrow f_n \to f$  uniformly. (39.31)

The above shows that, modulo extraction of a limit function (which is where the completeness is needed), uniform Cauchy property is necessary and sufficient for a pointwise limit being a uniform limit. Phrasing statements using the uniform Cauchy property allows us to not to commit to there being a limit function right from the start.

In order to demonstrate the connection between continuity and uniform convergence, we will study real-valued functions defined by infinite series of the form  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n$ . Here is a key tool in this endeavor:

**Lemma 39.9** (Weierstrass *M*-test) Let *X* be a metric space and let  $\{f_n\}_{n\to\infty}$  be real-valued functions on *X* such that, for a sequence  $\{M_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in [0,\infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

$$\forall x \in X \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \colon |f(x)| \leq M_n \tag{39.32}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n < \infty \tag{39.33}$$

Preliminary version (subject to change anytime!)

Typeset: May 21, 2023

*Then there exists a function*  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  *such that* 

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k \to f \text{ uniformly}$$
(39.34)

(We will denote f as  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n$ .)

*Proof.* Let  $n \leq m$  be naturals. Then for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k(x)\right| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} |f_k(x)| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} M_k$$
(39.35)

The convergence (39.29) ensures that the sum on the right is less than  $\epsilon$  once n is sufficiently large. As the bound does not depend on x, we get that the sequence of partial sums  $\{\sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly Cauchy. As the sequence is  $\mathbb{R}$  valued, and  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, the claim follows from Lemma 39.7.

In order to give a concrete example, we consider functions given as power series:

**Lemma 39.10** Let  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be such that

$$R := \left[\limsup_{n \to \infty} |a_n|^{1/n}\right]^{-1} > 0$$
(39.36)

with the convention  $+\infty^{-1} := 0$  and  $0^{-1} := +\infty$ . Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for all  $x \in (x_0 - R, x_0 + R)$ ,

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - x_0)^n$$
(39.37)

converges absolutely and defines a continuous function  $f: (x_0 - R, x_0 + R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r \in (0, R)$  and let  $\epsilon \in (0, R - r)$ . As  $(R - \epsilon)^{-1} > R^{-1}$ , the definition of R ensures that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\forall n \ge n_0 \colon |a_n| \le \frac{1}{(R-\epsilon)^n} \tag{39.38}$$

Denoting  $A := \max\{|a_k|(R - \epsilon)^k \colon k = 0, ..., n_0\} \cup \{1\}$ , we then have

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \colon |a_n| \leqslant \frac{A}{(R-\epsilon)^n} \tag{39.39}$$

Denoting  $f_n(x) := a_n(x - x_0)^n$ , we then have

$$M_n := \sup_{x \in [x_0 - r, x_0 + r]} \left| f_n(x) \right| \le |a_n| r^n \le A \left(\frac{r}{R - \epsilon}\right)^n \tag{39.40}$$

Since  $r < R - \epsilon$ , this is summable on *n* and so the Weierstrass *M*-test shows that the the series defining *f* converges pointwise absolutely as well as uniformly on  $[x_0 - r, x_0 + r]$ . By Theorem 39.4, the limit function is continuous on  $[x_0 - r, x_0 + r]$ . Since this holds for all  $r \in (0, R)$ , we get the claim.

We finish by noting that, while the above criterion for uniform convergence also implies absolute convergence, these are distinct notions. For instance,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$  yet not pointwise absolutely at any point.