THE BANACH-TARSKI PARADOX

TERENCE TAO

ABSTRACT. An exposition of the Banach-Tarski paradox.

1. Introduction

Let SO(3) denote the group of rotation operators on \mathbb{R}^3 . The first version of the Banach-Tarski paradox, which we give below, shows that we can find a group of rotations with the curious property that G can be disassembled into four pieces, which after rotation can be reassembled to form two complete copies of G.

Theorem 1.1 (Banach-Tarski paradox, first version). There exists a countable subgroup G of SO(3), and a partition

$$G = G_1 \uplus G_2 \uplus G_3 \uplus G_4$$

into disjoint sets G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4 , such that one can write

$$G = G_1 \uplus AG_2 = G_3 \uplus BG_4$$

for some rotations $A, B \in SO(3)$.

Proof We first need to find two rotation operators A, B such that no non-trivial word from the alphabet A, B, A^{-1}, B^{-1} gives the identity, where "nontrivial" means that the word is non-empty, that A and A^{-1} are never adjacent, and B and B^{-1} are never adjacent. This is easily done but requires some algebra and we defer it to the Appendix. Assuming we have these operators, we let G be the subgroup of SO(3) generated by A, B, A^{-1}, B^{-1} ; this is thus the group of all non-trivial words using the alphabet A, B, A^{-1}, B^{-1} , together with the empty word I, which is the identity. In particular we have the partition

$$G = \{I\} \cup G(A) \cup G(A^{-1}) \cup G(B) \cup G(B^{-1})$$

where G(A) is the set of all non-trivial words in G which start with A, etc. Observe that

$$G = G(A) \uplus AG(A^{-1}),$$

because every word in G either starts with A, or is equal to A times a non-trivial word beginning with A^{-1} , but never both. Similarly we have

$$G = G(B) \uplus BG(B^{-1}).$$

We are almost done, except that we have to deal with the empty word I. But this is easily accomplished by defining

$$G_1 := G(A) \cup \{I, A^{-1}, A^{-2}, A^{-3}, \dots\}$$

$$G_2 := G(A^{-1}) \setminus \{A^{-1}, A^{-2}, A^{-3}, \dots\}$$

$$G_3 := G(B)$$

$$G_4 := G(B^{-1})$$

and one easily verifies that all the claims of the theorem hold.

Note that the above theorem did not require the axiom of choice. However, the following corollary, which passes from the countable rotation group G to most of the sphere S^2 , does rely very much on the axiom of choice.

Corollary 1.2 (Hausdorff paradox, first version). There exists a countable subset C of the sphere S^2 , and a decomposition

$$(S^2 \backslash C) = \Omega_1 \uplus \Omega_2 \uplus \Omega_3 \uplus \Omega_4$$

such that

$$(S^2 \backslash C) = \Omega_1 \uplus A\Omega_2 = \Omega_3 \uplus B\Omega_4$$

for some rotation matrices $A, B \in SO(3)$.

Proof Let $A, B, G, G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Each rotation in G fixes two points on the sphere S^2 (the intersection of S^2 with the axis of the rotation); let C be the union of all those fixed points. Then the rotation group G acts freely on the complement $S^2 \setminus C$. Thus, using the axiom of choice, one can foliate $S^2 \setminus C = \biguplus_{x \in X} Gx$ for some set X (picking one representative from each G-orbit in $S^2 \setminus C$). If one then sets $\Omega_i := \biguplus_{x \in X} G_i x$ then the claim now follows from Theorem 1.1.

Next, we eliminate this countable set C, using the following simple lemma.

Lemma 1.3. Let C be a countable subset of the sphere S^2 . Then there exists a decomposition

$$S^2 = \Sigma_1 \uplus \Sigma_2$$

such that

$$S^2 \setminus C = \Sigma_1 \uplus R\Sigma_2$$

for some rotation matrix $R \in SO(3)$.

Proof Pick R at random. Since C is countable, then with probability 1 we can ensure that any two elements of C lie in distinct R-orbits, i.e. $R^iC \cap R^jC = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$. We then set

$$\Sigma_2 := C \cup RC \cup R^2C \cup \dots; \quad \Sigma_1 := S^2 \setminus \Sigma_2$$

and the claim follows.

Combining this Lemma with the preceding corollary, we obtain

Corollary 1.4. There exists a partition

$$S^2 = \Gamma_1 \uplus \ldots \uplus \Gamma_8$$

and rotation matrices $R_1, \ldots, R_8 \in SO(3)$ such that

$$S^2 = \biguplus_{i=1}^4 R_i \Gamma_i = \biguplus_{i=5}^8 R_i \Gamma_i.$$

Since the punctured ball $B^3 \setminus 0$ can be viewed in polar co-ordinates as the product of the sphere S^2 and the interval (0,1], we conclude

Corollary 1.5 (Banach-Tarski paradox). There exists a partition

$$B^3 \setminus \{0\} = E_1 \uplus \ldots \uplus E_8$$

and rotation matrices $R_1, \ldots, R_8 \in SO(3)$ such that

$$B^{3}\backslash\{0\} = \biguplus_{i=1}^{4} R_{i}E_{i} = \biguplus_{i=5}^{8} R_{i}E_{i}.$$

Of course, at least one of the E_i has to be non-Lebesgue measurable. One can eliminate the puncture at the origin if one allows translations as well as rotations, by using a trick similar to that used to prove Lemma 1.3; we leave this as an exercise to the reader.

2. Appendix: the algebraic bit

We now give the rotation operators $A, B \in SO(3)$ needed to prove Theorem 1.1. It turns out that we can give very explicit matrices, namely

$$A(x,y,z) := (\frac{3}{5}x + \frac{4}{5}y, -\frac{4}{5}x + \frac{3}{5}y, z); \quad B(x,y,z) := (x, \frac{3}{5}y + \frac{4}{5}z, -\frac{4}{5}y + \frac{3}{5}z).$$

These are easily seen to be rotation matrices with inverses

$$A^{-1}(x,y,z) := (\frac{3}{5}x - \frac{4}{5}y, \frac{4}{5}x + \frac{3}{5}y, z); \quad B^{-1}(x,y,z) := (x, \frac{3}{5}y - \frac{4}{5}z, \frac{4}{5}y + \frac{3}{5}z).$$

Now we claim that no non-trivial composition of A, B, A^{-1}, B^{-1} gives the identity. It suffices to show that no non-trivial composition of the operators 5A, 5B, $5A^{-1}$, $5B^{-1}$ gives a linear operator whose coefficients are all divisible by 5. We now work in the finite field geometry F_5^3 , where $F_5 = \mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}$ is the field of order 5. Then we have

$$5A(x,y,z) := (3x + 4y, -4x + 3y, 0);$$
 $5B(x,y,z) := (0,3y + 4z, -4y + 3z)$

and

$$5A^{-1}(x,y,z) := (3x - 4y, 4x + 3y, 0); \quad 5B^{-1}(x,y,z) := (0, 3y - 4z, 4y + 3z).$$

Each of these operators are rank one operators in F_{π}^3 :

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{range}(5A) = \operatorname{span}((3, -4, 0)) = \ker(5A^{-1})^{\perp} \\ &\operatorname{range}(5A^{-1}) = \operatorname{span}((3, 4, 0)) = \ker(5A)^{\perp} \\ &\operatorname{range}(5B) = \operatorname{span}((0, 3, -4)) = \ker(5B^{-1})^{\perp} \\ &\operatorname{range}(5B^{-1}) = \operatorname{span}((0, 3, 4)) = \ker(5B)^{\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we see that any non-trivial combination of 5A, $5A^{-1}$, 5B, $5B^{-1}$ (in which 5A and $5A^{-1}$ are never adjacent, and 5B and $5B^{-1}$ are never adjacent) will always be a non-zero operator, as desired, because the ranges and kernels are skew.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCLA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90024 E-mail address: tao@math.ucla.edu