

Compactness is an important measure of "smallness" in topology.

Def A collection of open sets $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ in X is an open cover of $Y \subset X$ if $Y \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$.

So an open cover of Y is just a collection of opens U_i with $y \in Y \Rightarrow \exists j$ s.t. $y \in U_j$.

Def $Y \subseteq X$ is compact if for every open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of Y , there is a finite set $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ s.t. $Y \subseteq U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_n}$.

The slogan is that "every cover has a finite subcover."

Ex: 1) Any finite set is compact: (there are only finitely many things to check)

2) $\mathbb{R}$ is not compact: $\{U_n = (n - \frac{2}{3}, n + \frac{2}{3})\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an open cover w/ no finite subcover, since n is only in U_n .

3) If $[x_n]$ is a sequence in X $\nrightarrow x_n \rightarrow x$, then $\{x, x_1, \dots\}$ is compact: Any open set U s.t. $x \in U$ also contains all but finitely many of the x_n . We need only finitely many more opens to cover these (by 1).

Prop Let X be compact $\nrightarrow$ let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous. Then $f(X) \subseteq Y$ is compact.

Pf: Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of $f(X)$ in Y . Then since f is continuous, $\{f^{-1}(U_i)\}_{i \in I}$

is an open cover of $f^{-1}(f(X))$ and hence of X . Since X is compact, for some $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \subseteq I$,

$X \subseteq f^{-1}(U_{i_1}) \cup \dots \cup f^{-1}(U_{i_n}) \Rightarrow f(X) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(U_{i_1})) \cup \dots \cup f(f^{-1}(U_{i_n})) \subseteq U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_n}$. Hence we have a finite subcov.

This makes compact a very special kind of property: it is preserved by any continuous map.

Prop If X is compact $\nrightarrow \forall V \subseteq X$ is closed, then V is compact.

Pf: Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of V . Since V is closed, $X - V$ is open, and hence $\{X - V\} \cup \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$

is an open cover of X . Since X is compact, this has a finite subcover $\{X - V\} \cup \{U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_n}\}$ (without

loss of generality, we can assume $X - V$ is in the subcover, since adding it in doesn't change "finite"). Then

$\{U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_n}\}$ is a finite subcover of $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$, and V is compact. $\square$

We have a converse to this.

Prop If $V \subseteq X$ is compact, then V is closed.

Pf: We have to show that for all $x \in X - V$, $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq X - V$. For each $v \in V$, let

$\epsilon_v = d(x, v)/2$. Then $B_{\epsilon_v}(v) \cap B_{\epsilon_v}(x) = \emptyset$. If $y \in B_{\epsilon_v}(v) \cap B_{\epsilon_v}(x)$, then

$2\epsilon_v = d(x, v) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, v) < \epsilon_v + \epsilon_v = 2\epsilon_v$, a contradiction. Now $\{B_{\epsilon_v}(v)\}_{v \in V}$ is an open

cover of V , so $\exists v_1, \dots, v_n$ s.t. $\{B_{\epsilon_{v_1}}(v_1), \dots, B_{\epsilon_{v_n}}(v_n)\}$ is also an open cover. Then let $\epsilon = \min\{\epsilon_{v_1}, \dots, \epsilon_{v_n}\}$

Then $B_\epsilon(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_{\epsilon_{v_i}}(x)$, and since $B_{\epsilon_{v_i}}(x) \cap B_{\epsilon_{v_i}}(v_i) = \emptyset$, $\emptyset = B_\epsilon(x) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\epsilon_{v_i}}(v_i) \supseteq B_\epsilon(x) \cap V$. $\square$

Cor If X is compact, then V is compact $\iff V$ is closed $\nmid$ if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then

f is closed.

Cor If X is compact, then if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous bijection, then f is a homeomorphism.

This is an incredibly powerful tool for showing that two spaces are homeomorphic.