## Solutions to the Exercises of Section 5.1.

5.1.1. Let us use the notation,  $\alpha_0(\phi) = R(\theta_0, \phi) = E_{\theta_0}(\phi(X))$  and  $\alpha_1(\phi) = R(\theta_1, \phi) = 1 - E_{\theta_1}(\phi(X))$ . We are given that  $\phi$  is not admissible. This means that there is a test  $\phi'$  better than  $\phi$ , which means that both  $\alpha_0(\phi') \leq \alpha_0(\phi)$  and  $\alpha_1(\phi') \leq \alpha_1(\phi)$  with at least one a strict inequality. But since  $\phi$  is best of size  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_0(\phi') \leq \alpha_0(\phi)$ , we must have  $\alpha_1(\phi') \geq \alpha_1(\phi)$ . Hence,  $\alpha_1(\phi') = \alpha_1(\phi)$ , and therefore,  $\alpha_0(\phi') < \alpha_0(\phi)$ . We are to show that  $\alpha_1(\phi)$  cannot be positive.

If  $\alpha_1(\phi) > 0$ , define a new test  $\phi'' = \lambda \phi' + 1 - \lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is chosen so that  $\alpha_0(\phi'') = \alpha_0(\phi)$  i.e.  $\lambda \alpha_0(\phi') + 1 - \lambda = \alpha_0(\phi)$ . This gives  $\lambda = (1 - \alpha_0(\phi))/(\alpha_0(\phi) - \alpha_0(\phi'))$ , so that  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ . But then

$$\alpha_1(\phi'') = 1 - \mathcal{E}_{\theta_1}(\phi'(X)) - 1 + \lambda = \lambda \alpha_1(\phi') = \lambda \alpha_1(\phi) < \alpha_1(\phi).$$

Thus, if  $\alpha_1(\phi) > 0$  we have  $\alpha_1(\phi'') < \alpha_1(\phi)$  which contradicts the assumption that  $\phi$  is a best test of size  $\alpha_0$ .

5.1.2. Let  $\phi_0$  be of the given form and let  $0 \le \phi \le 1$  be any other function. Then,

$$\int (\phi_0(x) - \phi(x))(f_0(x) - \sum_{j=1}^n k_j f_j(x)) \, dx \ge 0,$$

since the integrand is nonnegative from the definition of  $\phi_0$ . Hence,

(1) 
$$0 \leq \int \phi_0(x) f_0(x) \, dx - \int \phi(x) f_0(x) \, dx - \sum_{j=1}^n k_j \int (\phi_0(x) - \phi(x)) f_j(x) \, dx.$$

If

$$\int \phi(x) f_j(x) \, dx = \int \phi_0(x) f_j(x) \, dx \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

then each term of the summation in (1) is zero so that

(2) 
$$\int \phi(x) f_0(x) \, dx \le \int \phi_0(x) f_0(x) \, dx$$

as was to be shown. If  $k_j \ge 0$  for all j, and if

$$\int \phi(x) f_j(x) \, dx \le \int \phi_0(x) f_j(x) \, dx \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

then each term of the summation in (1) is nonnegative, so we still have (2).

5.1.3. The likelihood ratio,  $f_1(x)/f_0(x)$  takes on three values 0, 1 and  $\infty$ , with probabilities 1/2, 1/2 and 0 under  $H_0$  and probabilities 0, 1/2, and 1/2 under  $H_1$ . Rejecting  $H_0$  if X > 1 gives the point (0, 1/2) in the risk set. Rejecting  $H_0$  if 1/2 < X < 1 gives the point (1/2, 0) in the risk set. This gives the lower boundary of the risk set S to be the lines from (0, 1/2) to (1/2, 0). The risk set is given in Figure 1.

5.1.4. The likelihood ratio,  $f_1(x)/f_0(x)$  takes on the three values 4/9, 8/9 and 16/9, with probabilities 1/4, 1/2 and 1/4 under  $H_0$  and probabilities 1/9, 4/9, and 4/9 under  $H_1$ . Rejecting  $H_0$  if X = 2 gives the risk point (1/4, 5/9), and rejecting  $H_0$  if  $X \ge 1$  gives the point (3/4, 1/9) in the risk set. The complete risk set is given in Figure 2.

5.1.5. The likelihood ratio is  $f_1(x)/f_0(x) = e^{x/2}/2$ . The best tests reject  $H_0$  when this ratio is greater than some constant, or equivalently, when X is greater than some constant, say X > c. The probability of error type I is  $\alpha_0 = P_0(X > c) = e^{-c}$ . The probability of error type II is  $\alpha_1 = P_1(X < c) = 1 - e^{-c/2}$ . The lower boundary of the risk set therefore satisfies  $\alpha_1 = 1 - \sqrt{\alpha_0}$ . The complete risk set is given in Figure 3.



5.1.6. Since  $f(x|\theta) > 0$  for all x, the best tests have the form:  $\phi(x)$  is 1, is arbitrary, or is 0 according as the likelihood ratio  $\lambda(x) = f_1(x)/f_0(x)$  is greater than k, equal to k, or less than k. In the case where X is  $\mathcal{C}(\theta, 1)$  and  $\theta_0 = 0$  and  $\theta_1 = 1$ , the likelihood ratio is

$$\lambda(x) = (1+x^2)/(1+(x-1)^2)$$

By evaluating the derivative  $\lambda'$ , it may be seen that  $\lambda(x)$  starts at 1 at  $x = -\infty$ , decreases to a minimum at  $x = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2 = -.618 \cdots$ , increases to a maximum at  $x = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = 1.618 \cdots$ , and then decreases to 1 as x tends to  $\infty$ . Hence, since  $\lambda(1) = \lambda(3) = 2$ , the interval (1,3) is a best test of its size, corresponding to k = 2. The power function is  $\beta(\theta) = P_{\theta}(1 < X < 3)$ , is symmetric in  $\theta$  about  $\theta = 2$ , attains its maximum value of 1/2 at  $\theta = 2$ , and decreases to 0 as  $\theta \to \infty$ . Other values are  $\beta(1) = .352 \cdots$ ,  $\beta(0) = .148 \cdots$ , and  $\beta(-1) = .070 \cdots$ .

5.1.7. If  $\phi$  is a best test of size  $\alpha$  and  $E_{\theta_1}\phi(X) = \alpha$ , then  $\phi_1(x) \equiv \alpha$  is also a best test of size  $\alpha$  since it has the same power as  $\phi$ . But from the unicity part of the Neyman-Pearson Lemma with  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\phi_1$  must have the form (5.7). But since  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , this implies  $f_1(x) = kf_0(x)$  a.s. for some  $k \ge 0$ . And since both  $f_1$  and  $f_0$  are densities, k must be equal to 1. This implies  $P_{\theta_0} = P_{\theta_1}$ .

5.1.8. The best tests of the form (5.7) become

$$\phi(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \prod \theta_i^{\prime z_i} > k \prod \theta_i^{0 z_i} \\ \gamma(x) & \text{if } \prod \theta_i^{\prime z_i} = k \prod \theta_i^{0 z_i} \\ 0 & \text{if } \prod \theta_i^{\prime z_i} < k \prod \theta_i^{0 z_i} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum z_i \log r_i > k' \\ \gamma(x) & \text{if } \sum z_i \log r_i = k' \\ 0 & \text{if } \sum z_i \log r_i < k' \end{cases}$$

where  $r_i = \theta'_i / \theta_i^0$  and  $k' = \log k$ . The test of the form (5.8) becomes

$$\phi(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z_i > 0 \text{ for some } \theta_i^0 = 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the special case k = 4,  $r_1 = .10/.55$ ,  $r_2 = .40/.20$ ,  $r_3 = .30/.15$ , and  $r_4 = .20/.10$ , the tests reject  $H_0$  for large values of  $Z_1 \log(2/11) + (Z_2 + Z_3 + Z_4) \log 2$ . But since  $Z_1 = n - (Z_2 + Z_3 + Z_4)$ , the tests reject  $H_0$  for large values of  $Z_2 + Z_3 + Z_4$ . Under  $H_0$ ,  $Z_2 + Z_3 + Z_4 \in \mathcal{B}(n, .45)$ , and under  $H_1$ ,  $Z_2 + Z_3 + Z_4 \in \mathcal{B}(n, .90)$ . The error probabilities may be computed from this.

5.1.9. Note that  $\int \phi_0(x) f_0(x) dx = \int f_0(x)^+ dx$ . The only functions  $\phi(x)$ ,  $0 \le \phi(x) \le 1$ , that satisfy  $\int \phi(x) f_0(x) dx = \int f_0(x)^+ dx$  are the functions

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f_0(x) > 0\\ \gamma(x) & \text{if } f_0(x) = 0\\ 0 & \text{if } f_0(x) < 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore to maximize  $\int \phi(x) f_1(x) dx$  out of this class, we may take  $\gamma(x)$  to be of the form

$$\gamma(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f_0(x) = 0 \text{ and } f_1(x) > 0 \\ \text{any} & \text{if } f_0(x) = 0 \text{ and } f_1(x) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } f_0(x) = 0 \text{ and } f_1(x) < 0 \end{cases}$$

The given  $\phi_0(x)$  has  $\gamma(x)$  of this form.