

### Solutions to the Exercises of Section 4.7.

4.7.1. If the coin comes up heads, then  $d_2$  is minimax. It guarantees the statistician a loss of (at most)  $1 - \epsilon$ , and nature by choosing  $\theta = 1$  can guarantee the statistician's loss to be at least  $1 - \epsilon$ . Similarly, if the coin comes up tails, then  $d_4$  is minimax. Nature can guarantee the statistician's loss is at least  $1 - \epsilon$  by choosing  $\theta = 0$ . The combined rule, choose  $d_2$  if the coin comes up heads, and  $d_4$  if the coin comes up tails, guarantees a loss of (at most)  $1 - \epsilon$ , but is not minimax or admissible in the unconditional problem. The opposite rule, choose  $d_1$  if the coin comes up heads, and  $d_3$  if the coin comes up tails, guarantees an expected loss of (at most)  $1/2 < 1 - \epsilon$ , no matter what the true value of  $\theta$  is. In the unconditional problem, it is assumed that nature cannot choose  $\theta$  based on the outcome of the toss.

4.7.2. All three problems are invariant under location change. The best invariant estimate of  $\theta$  is  $d_0(\mathbf{X}) = X_1 - b_0(\mathbf{Y})$ , where  $Y_2 = X_2 - X_1, \dots, Y_n = X_n - X_1$ , and  $b_0(\mathbf{Y})$  is that number that minimizes  $E_0(L(X_1 - b_0)|\mathbf{Y})$ . We find the conditional density of  $X_1$  given  $\mathbf{Y}$  when  $\theta = 0$  using (4.56). The joint density of the  $X_i$  when  $\theta = 0$  is

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} I(-\frac{1}{2} < x_i < \frac{1}{2}) = I(-\frac{1}{2} < \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i < \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i < \frac{1}{2}).$$

The joint density of  $X_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  when  $\theta = 0$  is

$$f(x_1, y_2 + x_1, \dots, y_n + x_1) = I(-\frac{1}{2} < x_1 + \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i < x_1 + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i < \frac{1}{2})$$

where we are using the dummy variable  $y_1 = 0$ . The conditional density of  $X_1$  given  $Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  is this divided by a function of  $y_2, \dots, y_n$  (the marginal density). So we see that this conditional density is the uniform density on the interval  $(-\frac{1}{2} - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i, \frac{1}{2} - \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i)$ ,

$$f_{X_1|Y_2=y_2, \dots, Y_n=y_n}(x_1|0) = \frac{I(-\frac{1}{2} - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i < x_1 < \frac{1}{2} - \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i)}{1 - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i - \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i}.$$

(a) For squared error loss, the best invariant estimate of  $\theta$  is  $X_1$  minus the mean of this distribution. This mean is the midpoint of the interval  $(-\frac{1}{2} - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i, \frac{1}{2} - \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} y_i)$ , so that

$$d_0(\mathbf{X}) = X_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} Y_i + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} Y_i) = \frac{1}{2}(\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i).$$

(b) For absolute error loss, the best invariant estimate is  $X_1$  minus the median of this distribution. This gives the same estimate as in (a).

(c) For  $L(a, \theta) = I(|a - \theta| > c)$ , the best invariant estimate is  $X_1$  minus the midpoint of the modal interval of length  $2c$  (see Exercise 1.8.5). Since the density is flat, there may be many such modal intervals. But since the density is centered at the mean, we may always use the mean as the midpoint of the modal interval of length  $2c$  for any value of  $c > 0$ . This leads to the estimate of part (a) as a best invariant estimate. However, when  $c$  is small, there are many other best invariant estimates.

4.7.3. The parameter  $\theta$  is a location parameter for the distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$ , so the Pitman estimate is given by (5.58):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta} = d_0(\mathbf{X}) &= \frac{\int \theta f(X_1 - \theta, \dots, X_n - \theta) d\theta}{\int f(X_1 - \theta, \dots, X_n - \theta) d\theta} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\min(X_i)} (\sqrt{2/\pi})^n \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum (X_i - \theta)^2\} d\theta}{\int_{-\infty}^{\min(X_i)} \theta (\sqrt{2/\pi})^n \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum (X_i - \theta)^2\} d\theta} \\ &= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\min(X_i)} \theta \exp\{-\frac{n}{2} \sum (\theta - \bar{X})^2\} d\theta}{\int_{-\infty}^{\min(X_i)} \exp\{-\frac{n}{2} \sum (\theta - \bar{X})^2\} d\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Make the change of variable  $y = \theta - \bar{X}$  for  $\theta$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta} &= \bar{X} + \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\min(X_i) - \bar{X}} y e^{-ny^2/2} dy}{\int_{-\infty}^{\min(X_i) - \bar{X}} e^{-ny^2/2} dy} = \bar{X} - \frac{(1/n) \exp\{-n(\min(X_i) - \bar{X})^2/2\}}{\sqrt{2\pi/n} \Phi(\sqrt{n}(\min(X_i) - \bar{X}))} \\ &= \bar{X} - \frac{\exp\{-n(\min(X_i) - \bar{X})^2/2\}}{\sqrt{2\pi n} \Phi(\sqrt{n}(\min(X_i) - \bar{X}))}\end{aligned}$$

where  $\min(X_i) = \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ ,  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ , and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the distribution function of  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

4.7.4. Consider the distribution of  $X_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$ , where  $Y_2 = X_2/X_1, Y_3 = X_3/X_1, \dots, Y_n = X_n/X_1$ . The distribution of  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$  does not depend on  $\theta$  since  $Y_j = (X_j/\theta)/(X_1/\theta)$ , and the distribution of  $X_1$  given  $\mathbf{Y}$  has  $\theta$  as a location parameter. Since the loss function is a function only of  $a/\theta$ ,  $L(\theta, a) = W(a/\theta)$ , the best invariant estimate of  $\theta$  for the conditional problem given  $\mathbf{Y}$  is

$$d_0(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{X_1}{b_0(\mathbf{Y})}$$

where  $b_0(\mathbf{Y})$  minimizes  $E_1(W(X_1/b)|\mathbf{Y})$ . ( $E_1$  stands for the expectation when  $\theta = 1$ .) For the special case  $W(a/\theta) = (a - \theta)^2/\theta^2$ , we have that  $b_0(\mathbf{Y})$  is that value of  $b$  that minimizes the expected weighted squared error,  $E_1[(X_1 - b)^2/b^2|\mathbf{Y}]$ . Thus,

$$b_0(\mathbf{Y}) = \frac{E_1(X_1^2|\mathbf{Y})}{E_1(X_1|\mathbf{Y})}.$$

The density of  $X_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  when  $\theta = 1$  is

$$f_{X_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n}(x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = f(x_1, y_2 x_1, \dots, y_n x_1) x_1^{n-1}$$

so that the conditional distribution of  $X_1$  given  $\mathbf{Y}$  when  $\theta = 1$  is

$$f_{X_1|Y_2=y_2, \dots, Y_n=y_n}(x_1|\theta=1) = \frac{f(x_1, y_2 x_1, \dots, y_n x_1) x_1^{n-1}}{\int f(x_1, y_2 x_1, \dots, y_n x_1) x_1^{n-1} dx_1}.$$

Hence, the best invariant estimate is

$$\begin{aligned}d_0(\mathbf{X}) &= \frac{X_1}{b_0(\mathbf{Y})} = \frac{X_1 E_1(X_1|\mathbf{Y})}{E(X_1^2|\mathbf{Y})} = \frac{X_1 \int_0^\infty x_1 f(x_1, Y_2 x_1, \dots, Y_n x_1) x_1^{n-1} dx_1}{\int_0^\infty x_1^2 f(x_1, Y_2 x_1, \dots, Y_n x_1) x_1^{n-1} dx_1} \\ &= \frac{\int_0^\infty \theta^{-(n+2)} f(X_1/\theta, \dots, X_n/\theta) d\theta}{\int_0^\infty \theta^{-(n+3)} f(X_1/\theta, \dots, X_n/\theta) d\theta}\end{aligned}$$

where we have made the change of variable of integration,  $\theta = X_1/x_1$ .

4.7.5. The conditions of problem 4.7.4 are satisfied with

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n I(1 \leq x_i \leq 2),$$

so the best invariant rule is

$$d_0(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\int_0^\infty \theta^{-(n+2)} f(X_1/\theta, \dots, X_n/\theta) d\theta}{\int_0^\infty \theta^{-(n+3)} f(X_1/\theta, \dots, X_n/\theta) d\theta}.$$

If  $U = \min(X_i)$  and  $V = \max(X_i)$ , then

$$f(x_1/\theta, \dots, x_n/\theta) = I(V/2 \leq \theta \leq U).$$

Hence, the numerator of  $d_0(\mathbf{X})$  is

$$\int_0^\infty \theta^{-(n+2)} f(X_1/\theta, \dots, X_n/\theta) d\theta = \int_{v/2}^U \theta^{-(n+2)} d\theta = \frac{1}{n+1} ((V/2)^{-(n+1)} - U^{-(n+1)})$$

and similarly the denominator is

$$\frac{1}{n+1} ((V/2)^{-(n+1)} - U^{-(n+1)})$$

so that the best invariant rule is

$$d_0(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{(n+2)[(V/2)^{-(n+1)} - U^{-(n+1)}]}{(n+1)[(V/2)^{-(n+2)} - U^{-(n+2)}]}.$$

4.7.6. Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  has density (4.48) (note the correction), and suppose that  $u(\mathbf{x})$  is an arbitrary but fixed invariant function (for example,  $u(\mathbf{X}) = \bar{X}$ ). Then  $u(x_1-c, \dots, x_n-c) = u(x_1, \dots, x_n) - c$  identically in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $c$ . If we replace  $c$  by  $x_1$ , we find that  $u(0, x_2-x_1, \dots, x_n-x_1) = u(x_1, \dots, x_n) - x_1$ , or,  $u(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 - u(0, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ , where  $y_i = x_i - x_1$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . Thus, the invariant rule (4.49) can be written as  $u(\mathbf{X})$  plus some function of the vector of differences,  $\mathbf{Y}$ , say  $d_0(\mathbf{X}) = u(\mathbf{X}) + b'_0(\mathbf{Y})$ . The best invariant rule is found with  $b'_0(\mathbf{Y})$  as that number  $b$  that minimizes  $E_0(l(u(\mathbf{X}) - b) | \mathbf{Y})$ .