



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

J. Math. Anal. Appl. ●●● (●●●) ●●●-●●●

Journal of
**MATHEMATICAL
 ANALYSIS AND
 APPLICATIONS**

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Real interpolation of domains of sectorial operators on L_p -spaces

T. Kucherenko ^{a,*}, L. Weis ^{b,2}^a *Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO 65211, USA*^b *Mathematisches Institut I, Universität Karlsruhe, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*

Received 5 October 2004

Submitted by J. Diestel

Abstract

Let A be a sectorial operator on a non-atomic L_p -space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, whose resolvent consists of integral operators, or more generally, has a diffuse representation. Then the fractional domain spaces $\mathcal{D}(A^\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ do not coincide with the real interpolation spaces of $(L_q, D(A))$. As a consequence, we obtain that no such operator A has a bounded H^∞ -calculus if $p = 1$.

© 2005 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Keywords: Sectorial operators; Real interpolation; Representation of regular operators; H^∞ -calculus

1. Introduction

It is not uncommon that properties of the Laplace operator extend to a sectorial operator A which satisfies a pointwise kernel bound of the kind

$$|(\lambda + A)^{-1} f(u)| \leq \int_{\Omega} k_{\lambda}(u, v) |f(v)| dv, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.1)$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: tamara@math.missouri.edu (T. Kucherenko), weis@math.uni-karlsruhe.edu (L. Weis).¹ The first author acknowledges support from DAAD scholarship 2003–2004.² The second author acknowledges support from DFG (We 2847/1-1).

1 for $f \in L_q$ and λ in a sector about \mathbb{R}_+ . Here, k_λ is the kernel of $(\lambda - \Delta)^{-1}$ or a more 1
2 general Poisson bound. In the case of $1 < q < \infty$, (1.1) implies that $(-A)$ has maximal 2
3 L_p -regularity (see, e.g., [6], [10, Section 5]), or that A has a H^∞ -functional calculus if A 3
4 has one on L_2 [4], [10, Section 5]. In this paper we exhibit two more examples of such 4
5 phenomena. 5

6 It is well known that Laplace operator on $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ does not have a bounded H^∞ - 6
7 calculus. In Corollary 3.3 we show that if $p = 1$ then (1.1) implies that A does not have a 7
8 bounded H^∞ -functional calculus. This is still true if k_λ is the kernel of any positive integral 8
9 operator on $L_1(\Omega)$ or if $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ has a “diffuse representation” (see the definition below). 9
10 If $(-A)$ generates a weakly compact semigroup this result is already contained in [5]. It 10
11 seems remarkable that the very same estimate (1.1) that guarantees the boundedness of the 11
12 H^∞ -calculus in so many cases if $q \in (1, \infty)$, absolutely excludes it if $q = 1$. 12

13 It is also well known that for Δ on $L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < q < \infty$, $q \neq 2$ the fractional domains 13
14 $\mathcal{D}((1 - \Delta)^\alpha)$ are isomorphic to the Bessel potential spaces $W_q^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So they do not co- 14
15 incide with the real interpolation spaces $(L_q, \mathcal{D}(\Delta))_{\alpha,r}$ which are isomorphic to the Besov 15
16 potential spaces $B_{q,r}^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (of course, they are the same for $q = 2$). In Theorem 3.1 we will 16
17 show that (1.1) implies such a result for any sectorial operator A on L_q with $0 \in \rho(A)$ and 17
18 $1 < q < \infty$, $q \neq 2$, i.e. 18

$$19 \quad \mathcal{D}(A^\alpha) \neq (L_q, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,r}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad 19$$

20 Again, it is enough that k_λ is the kernel of a positive integral operator on $L_q(\Omega)$, or that 20
21 $(\lambda + 1)^{-1}$ has a diffuse representation. If we assume in addition that A has bounded imag- 21
22 inary powers it follows that the complex and real interpolation methods yield different 22
23 results for the interpolation pair $(L_q, \mathcal{D}(A))$ (see Corollary 3.2). 23

24 Let us recall now some definitions. A closed operator A with domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is called 24
25 *sectorial of type ω* if the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is contained in a sector $\{z \in \mathbb{C}: |\arg(z)| < \omega\} \cup \{0\}$ 25
26 and we have $\|\lambda R(\lambda, A)\| \leq C_\omega$ for $|\arg(\lambda)| > \omega$. We will write $\rho(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$ for the 26
27 resolvent set of A and $R(\lambda, A)$ for the resolvent at $\lambda \in \rho(A)$. Suppose that A is a sectorial 27
28 operator of type ω and f is a holomorphic function on Σ_σ , where $\sigma > \omega$. Given that f 28
29 satisfies the condition $\int_{\partial \Sigma_\delta} |f(\lambda)| \frac{1}{|\lambda|} |d\lambda| < \infty$, we can define 29
30

$$31 \quad f(A) = \int_{\partial \Sigma_\delta} f(\lambda) R(\lambda, A) d\lambda, \quad \omega < \delta < \sigma. \quad 31$$

32 We say that A has *bounded $H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$ -functional calculus* if the map $f \mapsto f(A)$ can be 32
33 extended to a bounded map from the space $H^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)$ of bounded holomorphic functions 33
34 on Σ_σ to $B(X)$ (see [9] for details). 34

35 For the definition of fractional powers in terms of the H^∞ -calculus see, e.g., [10] and if 35
36 $0 \in \rho(A)$ see also [12]. A sectorial operator A has bounded imaginary powers if A^{-it} for 36
37 $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define bounded operators on X . Clearly, a bounded H^∞ -calculus implies bounded 37
38 imaginary powers. 38

39 For the most part we consider L_q -spaces on σ -finite non-atomic measure spaces 39
40 $(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ and (Ω, Σ, μ) . We recall that a bounded operator T on L_q is positive if the 40
41 image of every non-negative function is again a non-negative function. If an operator can 41
42 be split into a difference of two positive operators then it is called *regular*. Regular oper- 42
43 ators between L_p spaces have a particularly useful representation (see [7,11,13]). Given 43
44 44
45

1 a regular operator $T : L_p(K, m) \rightarrow L_q(\Omega, \mu)$ there is a family of regular Borel measures 1
 2 $(\nu_y(x))_{y \in \Omega}$ on K such that for every $f \in L_p(K, m)$ we have 2

$$3 \quad Tf(y) = \int_K f(x) d\nu_y(x) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.} \quad 3$$

4 Note that if all measures ν_y are absolutely continuous with respect to m then by the 4
 5 Radon–Nikodym theorem we obtain classical integral operators, 5
 6

$$7 \quad Tf(y) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} k(y, x) dm(x), \quad k(y, \cdot) = d\nu_y/dm. \quad 7$$

8 In case that all measures ν_y are non-atomic we say that the operator has a *diffuse repre-* 8
 9 *sentation.* 9

10 While resolvents of second order elliptic operators are typically classical integral opera- 10
 11 tors, the resolvents of first order differential operators have usually a diffuse representation. 11
 12 As an example, consider the operator $A : D(A) \subset L_1(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ given by 12

$$13 \quad Af(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f(x_1, x_2). \quad 13$$

14 Its resolvent 14

$$15 \quad (R(A, \lambda)f)(x_1, x_2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(x_1 + t, x_2) dt \quad 15$$

16 has representing measure 16

$$17 \quad \mu_{(x_1, x_2)}^\lambda = \eta_{x_1}^\lambda \otimes \delta_{x_2}, \quad 17$$

18 where δ_{x_2} is the Dirac measure and $d\eta_{x_1}^\lambda = \chi_{[x_1, \infty)}(t) e^{-\lambda(t-x_1)} dt$. Therefore, $R(A, \lambda)$ is 18
 19 not an integral operator but has a diffuse representation. However, given a diffuse opera- 19
 20 tor T , we can always pass to a sub- σ -algebra for which T is integral [13]. 20

21 2. Preliminary results 21

22 The following lemma is a vector-valued version of a classical result about uniform in- 22
 23 tegrability in L_1 . 23

24 **Lemma 2.1.** *Let X be a Banach space and T be an isomorphic embedding from X into 24
 25 $L_p(X)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$). Assume that for some subspace $Y \subset X$ the set $\{\|Ty(t)\|_X^p : y \in Y,$ 25
 26 $\|y\|_X = 1\}$ is not uniformly integrable as a subset of L_1 . Then there exist a sequence (y_n) 26
 27 in Y isomorphic to a unit vector basis of l_p . 27*

28 **Proof.** Since $\{\|Ty(t)\|_X^p : y \in Y, \|y\|_X = 1\}$ is not uniformly integrable in L_1 we can find 28
 29 a sequence (y_n) in Y with $\|y_n\| \leq 1$ such that $\int \|Ty_n(t)\|_X^p dt = 1$ and $\|Ty_n(t)\|_X^p \rightarrow 0$ 29
 30 ($n \rightarrow \infty$) almost everywhere. To see this, assume the contrary, i.e. every sequence from 30
 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45

1 $T(Y)$ converging to zero almost everywhere is converging to zero in $L_p(X)$ -norm. Then 1
 2 for all $0 < q < p$ there exists $C > 0$ such that $\int \|Ty(t)\|^p dt \leq C \int \|Ty(t)\|^q dt$ for all 2
 3 $y \in Y$. Hence, we have 3

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|y\|=1} \left(\int_{\|Ty(t)\| > M} \|Ty(t)\|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq C \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|y\|=1} \left(\int_{\|Ty(t)\| > M} \|Ty(t)\|^q dt \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq C \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|y\|=1} \left(\int \|Ty(t)\|^p M^{q-p} dt \right)^{1/p} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

14 This contradicts the fact that $\{\|Ty(t)\|_X^p : y \in Y, \|y\|_X = 1\}$ is not uniformly integrable 14
 15 in L_1 . 15

16 For convenience define $f_n(t) = \|Ty_n(t)\|_X^p$. Then (f_n) are functions in L_1 of norm one. 16
 17 We will use a subsequence splitting lemma. 17

18 **Lemma 2.2** [16]. *If (f_n) is a sequence in the unit ball of L_1 then there exist a subsequence 18
 19 (f_{n_k}) and disjoint sets (A_k) with their complements B_k such that $f_{n_k}|_{B_k}$ are uniformly 19
 20 integrable. 20
 21 21
 22 22*

23 Since the sequence $(f_{n_k}|_{B_k})$ is uniformly integrable and still goes to zero almost every- 23
 24 where when k is approaching infinity we get that $f_{n_k}|_{B_k}$ goes to zero in L_1 -norm. So $f_{n_k}|_{A_k}$ 24
 25 is bounded in norm from below. Now $Ty_{n_k} = Ty_{n_k}|_{B_k} + Ty_{n_k}|_{A_k}$, where $\|Ty_{n_k}|_{A_k}\|_{L_p(X)} =$ 25
 26 $\|f_{n_k}|_{A_k}\|_{L_1}$ is bounded from below. Thus the sequence $(Ty_{n_k}|_{A_k})$ is isomorphic to the unit 26
 27 vector basis of l_p since it has disjoint support and bounded from below in $L_p(X)$. On the 27
 28 other hand 28

$$\|Ty_{n_k} - Ty_{n_k}|_{A_k}\|_{L_p(X)} = \|Ty_{n_k}|_{B_k}\|_{L_p(X)} = \|f_{n_k}|_{B_k}\|_{L_1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

29 It follows by perturbation of basis that some subsequence of (Ty_{n_k}) is equivalent to the unit 29
 30 vector basis of l_p . Denote this subsequence again by (Ty_{n_k}) . Then (y_{n_k}) is also equivalent 30
 31 to the unit vector basis of l_p since T is an isomorphism. \square 31
 32 32
 33 33
 34 34

35 The next proposition is related to a result in [9]. The expression appearing in the state- 35
 36 ment will be applied to the setting of interpolation spaces between X and $D(A)$. 36
 37 37

38 **Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose X is a Banach space and A is a sectorial operator on X . Assume 38
 39 there is a constant $C > 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $x \in X$, 39
 40 40*

$$C^{-1}\|x\| \leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \| |t|^{\alpha-1/p} A^\alpha R(t, A)x \|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq C\|x\|. \tag{2.1}$$

41 Then if Y is an infinite-dimensional closed subspace of $D(A)$ (with a graph norm) and Y 41
 42 does not contain a copy of l_p then A is bounded on Y . 42
 43 43
 44 44
 45 45

Proof. We will consider an operator $T : X \mapsto L_p(\mathbb{R}_-, dt, X)$ given by

$$Tx(t) = |t|^{\alpha-1/p} A^\alpha R(t, A)x.$$

It follows from (2.1) that T is an isomorphic embedding. Since $\alpha < 1$ we can find a natural number m such that $\alpha \leq (m - 1)/m$. Fix $s < 0$. Then $R(s, A)$ maps X isomorphically onto $D(A)$ (with a graph norm). Let $Y_0 = R(s, A)^{-1}Y$. Then Y_0 is an infinite-dimensional subspace of X that does not contain a copy of l_p . By Lemma 2.1 the set $\{\|Ty(t)\|_X^p : y \in Y, \|y\|_X = 1\}$ is uniformly integrable. The operator $A^\alpha R(s, A)$ has a lower bound on Y_0 since otherwise, there would exist a sequence y_n in Y_0 of elements of norm one such that $\|A^\alpha R(s, A)y_n\| \rightarrow 0$. However, the resolvent equation yields for any $t < 0$,

$$A^\alpha R(t, A)y_n = A^\alpha R(s, A)y_n + (s - t)R(t, A)(A^\alpha R(s, A)y_n).$$

Therefore $\|A^\alpha R(t, A)y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ pointwise. Now by uniform integrability and (2.1), we have $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ which gives a contradiction.

The operator $A^\alpha R(s, A)$ is an isomorphism on Y_0 . Thus the subspace

$$Y_1 = A^\alpha R(s, A)(Y_0)$$

does not contain a copy of l_p and by the same argument we get that $A^\alpha R(s, A)$ is bounded from below on Y_1 . This gives us a lower bound for the operator $A^{2\alpha} R(s, A)^2$ on Y_0 . Repeating the same procedure m times we get that the operator $A^{m\alpha} R(s, A)^m$ is bounded from below on X_0 by some constant $C > 0$. It follows from the boundedness of the operator $A^{m\alpha} R(s, A)^{m-1}$ ($\alpha \leq (m - 1)/m$) and the simple computation

$$C\|y_0\| \leq \|A^{m\alpha} R(s, A)^m y_0\| \leq \|A^{m\alpha} R(s, A)^{m-1}\| \|R(s, A)y_0\|, \quad y_0 \in Y_0,$$

that the resolvent $R(s, A)$ is bounded from below on Y_0 .

Now we see that A is bounded on $Y = R(s, A)Y_0$. Take any y in Y and find y_0 in Y_0 such that $y = R(s, A)y_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ay\| &\leq \|AR(s, A)\| \|y_0\| \leq (1/C) \|AR(s, A)\| \|A^{m\alpha} R(s, A)^{m-1}\| \|R(s, A)y_0\| \\ &= C_1 \|y\|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4. The proposition cannot be applied for $p = 2$. In this case X is isomorphic to $L_2(\mathbb{R}_-, dt, X)$. Thus there is no subspace in X and hence in $\mathcal{D}(A)$ which does not contain a copy of l_2 .

We assume that zero is contained in the resolvent set, then $(-\infty, 0] \subset \rho(A)$ and we have an estimate $\|R(t, A)\| \leq \frac{C}{1+|t|}$ for all $t \in (-\infty, 0]$. This allows us to apply a theorem from [12] which yields that an equivalent norm on the real interpolation space $(X, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha, p}$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ is given by

$$\|x\|_{(L_q, D(A))_{\alpha, p}} \approx \left(\int_0^\infty \|t^\alpha A(A+t)^{-1}x\|^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p} \tag{2.2}$$

for $x \in (X, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha, p}$.

In [9] it was shown that if A has an H^∞ -calculus on L_1 then

$$\|x\|_{L_1} \approx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|A^s R(t, A)x\| \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Formula (2.2) allows us to reformulate this statement as follows.

Proposition 2.5. *If A has a bounded H^∞ -calculus on $L_1(\Omega, \mu)$ then $(L_1, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,1} = \mathcal{D}(A)^\alpha$ with equivalence of norms for $0 < \alpha < 1$.*

3. Main results

In general we have the following inclusions between the domain $\mathcal{D}(A^\alpha)$ of a fractional power of A and real interpolation spaces $(X, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,1}$ and $(X, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,\infty}$,

$$(X, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,1} \subset \mathcal{D}(A^\alpha) \subset (X, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,\infty}.$$

If a sectorial operator A has a bounded H^∞ -calculus on $X = L_2(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ then we have $\mathcal{D}(A^\alpha) = (X, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,2}$. This result can be found in [1]. As we will see now this statement is wrong for L_q with $q \neq 2$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let A be a sectorial operator on $L_q(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ for a non-atomic measure space $(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ and $1 < q < \infty$, $q \neq 2$. Assume that $0 \in \rho(A)$ and there exists $s < 0$ such that $R(s, A)$ is a regular operator with a diffuse representation. Then for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,*

$$\mathcal{D}(A^\alpha) \neq (L_q, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,p}.$$

Proof. We will assume that $\mathcal{D}(A^\alpha) = (L_q, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,p}$ and derive a contradiction.

It follows from [12] that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $y \in (L_q, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,p}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1} \left(\int_0^\infty \|t^\alpha A(A+t)^{-1}y\|^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p} &\leq \|y\|_{(L_q, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,p}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^\infty \|t^\alpha A(A+t)^{-1}y\|^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(A^\alpha) = (L_q, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,p}$, we obtain for any $y \in \mathcal{D}(A^\alpha)$ that the quantities $\|A^\alpha y\|$, $\|y\|_{\mathcal{D}(A^\alpha)}$, and $\|y\|_{(L_q, \mathcal{D}(A))_{\alpha,p}}$ are equivalent.

Pick x from the range of A^α and take $y \in \mathcal{D}(A^\alpha)$ such that $x = A^\alpha y$. Then using $\int_0^\infty \|t^\alpha A(A+t)^{-1}A^{-\alpha}x\|^p \frac{dt}{t} = \int_{-\infty}^0 \|t\|^{\alpha-1/p} \|A^{1-\alpha}R(t, A)x\|^p dt$ we obtain for some suitable constant $C > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & C^{-1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \| |t|^{\alpha-1/p} A^{1-\alpha} R(t, A)x \|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \|x\|_{L_q(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{B}, m)} \\
 & \leq C \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \| |t|^{\alpha-1/p} A^{1-\alpha} R(t, A)x \|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The range of A^α is dense in $L_q(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ and therefore condition (2.1) is fulfilled. We will use Proposition 2.3. Since the resolvent $R(s, A)$ is a regular operator with a diffuse representation there is a non-atomic sub σ -algebra \mathfrak{B}_1 of \mathfrak{B} such that $R(s, A)|_{L_q(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{B}_1, m)}$ is a compact operator [13]. Let Y_1 be a closed infinite-dimensional subspace of $L_q(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{B}_1, m)$ which does not contain a copy of l_p , for instance, take the span of a sequence equivalent to the Rademacher functions. Consider $Y = R(s, A)Y_1$. Since $R(s, A)$ is an isomorphism from $L_q(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ onto $D(A)$ (with the graph norm), Y is a closed infinite-dimensional subspace of $D(A)$ and does not contain l_p . By Proposition 2.3, A is bounded on Y and therefore $mI - A$ is also bounded on Y . We consider the bounded operator

$$J : (D(A), \|\cdot\|_A) \rightarrow L_q(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{B}, m), \quad J = R(s, A)(mI - A).$$

Then $J(Y) = Y$. On the other hand, $J|_Y = R(s, A)(s - A) = R(s, A)|_{Y_1}$ is a compact operator since $Y_1 \subset L_q(\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{B}_1, m)$. This is impossible since J is onto Y and Y is infinite-dimensional. We hence obtain a contradiction. \square

It is well known that if A has bounded imaginary powers on X then $D(A^\alpha)$ coincides with the complex interpolation spaces $[X, D(A)]^\alpha = D(A)^\alpha$ (see, e.g., [10,12]). Hence our theorem implies

Corollary 3.2. *Assume in addition to the assumption of Theorem 3.1 that A has bounded imaginary powers. Then*

$$(L_p, D(A))_{\alpha, p} \neq [L_p, D(A)]_\alpha$$

for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Our next results will show that no reasonable differential operator on $L_1(\Omega, \mu)$ can have a bounded H^∞ -calculus.

Corollary 3.3. *Let A be a sectorial operator on $L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. Assume there is a point $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ such that the resolvent $R(\lambda, A)$ has a diffuse representation. Then A does not have a bounded H^∞ -calculus.*

Proof. Combine Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 3.1 noticing that all operators on L_1 are regular. \square

For a variant of our assumption recall the Sobolev spaces defined for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ as

$$H_p^s = \{u \in \mathcal{S}' : \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \mathcal{F}u(\xi)\}\|_{L_p} < \infty,$$

where $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ denotes the Fourier transform for tempered distributions (see [2,12]).

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with piecewise smooth boundary. Suppose that $A: L_1(\Omega) \supset \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow L_1(\Omega)$ is a sectorial operator such that $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset H_1^s(\Omega)$ for some $s > 0$. Then A does not have an H^∞ -calculus.*

Proof. To apply Theorem 3.3 we need to show that $R(\lambda, A)$ has a diffuse representation for some $\lambda \in \rho(A)$. Pick any $\lambda \in \rho(A)$. Then by Sobolev's theorem we have a continuous inclusion $H_1^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_p(\Omega)$ for some $p > 1$. Hence, for any bounded set $U \subset \Omega$ with piecewise smooth boundary we obtain that $\chi_U R(\lambda, A)$ factors through $L_p(U)$,

$$L_1(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\chi_U R(\lambda, A)} \mathcal{D}(A) \cap L_1(U) \hookrightarrow H_1^s(U) \hookrightarrow L_p(U) \hookrightarrow L_1(U).$$

Consequently, $\chi_U R(\lambda, A)$ is a weakly compact operator. Notice that $\mu(U)$ is finite. Therefore, $\chi_U R(\lambda, A)$ is an integral operator [3]. This argument works for all bounded $U \subset \Omega$ with piecewise smooth boundary and thus $R(\lambda, A)$ has a diffuse representation. According to Corollary 3.3, A does not have an H^∞ -calculus. \square

Uncited references

[8] [14] [15]

References

- [1] P. Auscher, A. McIntosh, A. Nahmod, Holomorphic functional calculi of operators, quadratic estimates and interpolation, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 46 (1997) 375–403.
- [2] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [3] J. Diestel, J.J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, *Math. Surveys* 15 (1977) 59–96.
- [4] X.T. Duong, D.W. Robertson, Semigroup kernels, Poisson bounds and holomorphic functional calculus, *J. Funct. Anal.* 142 (1996) 89–128.
- [5] M. Hoffmann, N. Kalton, T. Kucherenko, R -bounded approximating sequences and applications to semigroups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 294 (2004) 373–386.
- [6] M. Hieber, J. Prüss, Heat kernels and maximal $L^p - L^q$ estimates for parabolic evolution equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 22 (1997) 1647–1669.
- [7] N. Kalton, The endomorphisms of L^p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$), *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 27 (1978) 353–381.
- [8] N. Kalton, Representations of operators between function spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 33 (1984) 639–665.
- [9] N. Kalton, L. Weis, The H^∞ -calculus and sums of closed operators, *Math. Ann.* 321 (2001) 319–345.
- [10] P. Kunstman, L. Weis, Maximal L^p -regularity for parabolic equations, Fourier multiplier theorems and H^∞ -functional calculus, in: M. Iannelli, R. Nagel, S. Piazzere (Eds.), *Functional Analytic Methods for Evolution Equations*, Springer Lecture Notes, 2004, in press.
- [11] A.R. Sourour, Pseudo-integral operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 253 (1979) 339–363.
- [12] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, 1995, pp. 91–107.
- [13] L. Weis, On the representation of order continuous operators by random measure, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 285 (1984) 535–563.
- [14] L. Weis, A note on diffuse random measures, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 65 (1983) 239–244.
- [15] L. Weis, A generalization of the Vidav–Jörgens perturbation theorem for semigroups and its application to transport theory, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 129 (1988) 6–23.
- [16] W.B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss (Eds.), *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces*, vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, pp. 125–160.