

# COINCIDENCES OF PROJECTIONS AND LINEAR $n$ -VALUED MAPS OF TORI

Robert F. Brown

Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095  
email: rfb@math.ucla.edu

Jon T. Lo Kim Lin\*

Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
email: jonlokimlin@berkeley.edu

April 8, 2010

## Abstract

We prove that the Nielsen fixed point number  $N(\varphi)$  of an  $n$ -valued map  $\varphi: X \multimap X$  of a compact connected triangulated orientable  $q$ -manifold without boundary is equal to the Nielsen coincidence number of the projections of the graph of  $\varphi$ , a subset of  $X \times X$ , to the two factors. For certain  $q \times q$  integer matrices  $A$ , there exist “linear”  $n$ -valued maps  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \multimap T^q$  of  $q$ -tori that generalize the single-valued maps  $f_A: T^q \rightarrow T^q$  induced by the linear transformations  $T_A: \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$  defined by  $T_A(v) = Av$ . By calculating the Nielsen coincidence number of the projections of its graph, we calculate  $N(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma})$  for a large class of linear  $n$ -valued maps.

**Subject Classification** 55M20, 54C60

## 1 Introduction

An  $n$ -valued map  $\varphi: X \multimap Y$  is a continuous, that is both upper and lower semicontinuous, multivalued function such that  $\varphi(x)$  is an unordered subset of exactly  $n$  points of  $Y$ . For  $X$  a finite polyhedron and  $\varphi: X \multimap X$  an  $n$ -valued map, the Nielsen number of  $\varphi$  was introduced by Schirmer in [6],<sup>1</sup> but Schirmer did not discuss the

---

\*Research supported by the UC LEADS Scholars Program

<sup>1</sup>Schirmer called such multivalued functions “ $n$ -valued continuous multifunctions” and that was shortened to “ $n$ -valued multimap” in [1] and subsequent papers. However, a function

calculation of the Nielsen number. The Nielsen numbers of  $n$ -valued maps of the circle were calculated in [1] and of  $n$ -valued fiber maps of the total space of a fibration over the circle in [2]. In this paper, we extend further the class of  $n$ -valued maps for which the Nielsen number can be calculated.

The *graph*  $\Gamma(\varphi)$  of a multivalued map  $\varphi: X \multimap X$  is defined by

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : y \in \varphi(x)\}.$$

The genesis of our paper was a comment that Andrew Cotton-Clay made to one of the authors. He pointed out that a fixed point of a multivalued map can be viewed as a coincidence of two single-valued functions, as follows: let  $\Pi_1^\varphi, \Pi_2^\varphi: \Gamma(\varphi) \rightarrow X$  be the projections defined by  $\Pi_1^\varphi(x, y) = x$  and  $\Pi_2^\varphi(x, y) = y$ , then  $x \in \varphi(x)$  if and only if  $\Pi_1^\varphi$  and  $\Pi_2^\varphi$  have a coincidence at  $(x, x)$ . We will prove in Section 2 that, if  $X$  is a compact connected triangulated orientable manifold without boundary, this correspondence extends to a correspondence between the Nielsen theory of  $n$ -valued maps  $\varphi: X \multimap X$  and the Nielsen coincidence theory of the projections  $\Pi_1^\varphi, \Pi_2^\varphi: \Gamma(\varphi) \rightarrow X$ . Consequently, in this setting, techniques for calculating Nielsen coincidence numbers can be used to compute Nielsen numbers of  $n$ -valued maps. In Section 3 we introduce a class of  $n$ -valued maps of tori. Given any  $q$ -by- $q$  integer matrix, the linear transformation  $T_A: \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$  defined by  $T_A(v) = Av$  induces a “linear” map  $f_A: T^q \rightarrow T^q$  of the  $q$ -torus. We show in Section 3 that, for many such matrices  $A$ , there is an  $n$ -valued map  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \multimap T^q$  based on  $A$  whose construction generalizes  $f_A$ ; it will therefore be called “linear” also. To illustrate the calculation of the Nielsen number of an  $n$ -valued map as the Nielsen coincidence number of the projections of its graph, in Section 4 we calculate the Nielsen numbers of a large class of linear  $n$ -valued maps of tori.

We thank the referee for several suggestions that have improved the exposition of the paper.

## 2 The Coincidence Nielsen Number of Projections

An  $n$ -valued map  $\varphi: X \multimap Y$  is *split* if there exist single-valued maps  $f_1, \dots, f_n: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\varphi(x) = \{f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)\}$  for all  $x \in X$ .

---

that is  $n$ -valued is evidently multivalued, so the present terminology of “ $n$ -valued map” seems adequate to describe a continuous function of this type.

The fundamental Splitting Lemma for  $n$ -valued maps states that, if  $\varphi: X \multimap Y$  is an  $n$ -valued map then  $\Pi_1^\varphi: \Gamma(\varphi) \rightarrow X$  is a covering space and therefore, if  $X$  is simply-connected, then  $\varphi$  is split.

Let  $\varphi: X \multimap X$  be an  $n$ -valued map of a connected finite polyhedron. In [6], Schirmer defined  $x_0, x_1 \in \text{Fix}(\varphi)$  to be equivalent if there is a path  $c$  in  $X$  from  $x_0$  to  $x_1$  such that, for  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  the splitting of  $\varphi c: I \multimap X$ , there is a  $j$  such that  $f_j(0) = x_0, f_j(1) = x_1$  and the path  $f_j$  is homotopic to  $c$  relative to the endpoints. An equivalence class of fixed points of  $\varphi$  is a *fixed point class* of  $\varphi$ .

Let  $\varphi, \psi: X \multimap X$  be  $n$ -valued maps and let  $d$  be the metric of  $X$ . Define the distance  $d(\varphi, \psi)$  between  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  to be the supremum of  $\rho_d(\varphi(x), \psi(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ , where  $\rho_d$  denotes the Hausdorff metric induced by  $d$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** ([5], Theorem 6) *Let  $\varphi: X \multimap X$  be an  $n$ -valued map of a connected finite polyhedron. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $n$ -valued map  $\psi: X \multimap X$  such that  $\psi$  has finitely many fixed points, all in maximal simplices of  $X$ , and  $d(\varphi, \psi) < \epsilon$ .*

The fixed point index of  $\varphi$  at an isolated fixed point  $x$ , denoted  $\text{ind}(\varphi, x)$ , is defined in [6], page 210 in terms of the classical fixed point index by  $\text{ind}(\varphi, x) = \text{ind}(f_j, x)$  where  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  is the splitting of  $\varphi$  in a neighborhood of  $x$  and  $f_j(x) = x$ . Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of a fixed point class  $\mathbf{F}$  whose closure contains no other fixed points of  $\varphi$ , then, for a suitably chosen  $\epsilon$ , Theorem 2.1 approximates the restriction of  $\varphi$  by a fix-finite  $n$ -valued map  $\psi$  and the index  $\text{ind}(\mathbf{F})$  of the fixed point class  $\mathbf{F}$  is defined to be the sum of the indices of the fixed points of  $\psi$  in  $U$ . The *Nielsen number*  $N(\varphi)$  is the number of *essential* fixed point classes, that is, those of nonzero index.

The *coincidences* of the projections  $\Pi_1^\varphi, \Pi_2^\varphi: \Gamma(\varphi) \rightarrow X$  are the points  $(x, x)$  in  $\Gamma(\varphi)$ . We will say that coincidence points  $(x_0, x_0)$  and  $(x_1, x_1)$  are *C-equivalent* if they are in the same Nielsen coincidence class, that is, if there is a path  $\eta: I \rightarrow \Gamma(\varphi)$  such that  $\eta(0) = (x_0, x_0), \eta(1) = (x_1, x_1)$  and the paths  $\Pi_1^\varphi \eta, \Pi_2^\varphi \eta: I \rightarrow X$  are homotopic in  $X$  relative to the endpoints.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Fixed points  $x_0, x_1$  of an  $n$ -valued map  $\varphi: X \multimap X$  are equivalent if and only if  $(x_0, x_0)$  and  $(x_1, x_1)$  are C-equivalent.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  are equivalent fixed points of  $\varphi$ . Then there exists  $c: I \rightarrow X$  such that  $c(0) = x_0, c(1) = x_1$  and, for  $\varphi c = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}: I \multimap X$  there exists  $f_j$  such that  $f_j(0) = x_0, f_j(1) = x_1$  and  $f_j$  and  $c$  are homotopic relative to the endpoints. Then  $(x_0, x_0)$

and  $(x_1, x_1)$  are C-equivalent because  $\eta: I \rightarrow \Gamma(\varphi)$  defined by  $\eta(t) = (c(t), f_j(t))$  is the required path.

Now let  $(x_0, x_0)$  and  $(x_1, x_1)$  be C-equivalent by  $\eta: I \rightarrow \Gamma(\varphi)$ , that is,  $\eta(0) = (x_0, x_0)$ ,  $\eta(1) = (x_1, x_1)$  and  $\Pi_1^\varphi \eta$  is homotopic to  $\Pi_2^\varphi \eta$  in  $X$  relative to the endpoints. Let  $c = \Pi_1^\varphi \eta: I \rightarrow X$ , then  $\eta$  is a lift of  $c$  to the covering space  $\Pi_1^\varphi: \Gamma(\varphi) \rightarrow X$ . Now  $\varphi c = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  so

$$\varphi c(0) = \varphi \Pi_1^\varphi \eta(0) = \varphi(x_0) = \{f_1(0), \dots, f_n(0)\}$$

and  $f_j(0) = x_0$  for some  $j$  since  $x_0 \in \varphi(x_0)$ . Define  $\delta: I \rightarrow \Gamma(\varphi)$  by  $\delta(t) = (\Pi_1^\varphi \eta(t), f_j(t))$ , which is well-defined because  $f_j(t) \in \varphi \Pi_1^\varphi \eta(t)$ . Since  $\delta$  is a lift of  $c$  and  $\delta(0) = (x_0, x_0)$ , then  $\delta = \eta$  and therefore  $f_j = \Pi_2^\varphi \eta$  so  $c = \Pi_1^\varphi \eta$  is homotopic to  $f_j$  and thus  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  are equivalent.  $\square$

For the rest of the paper,  $X$  will denote a compact connected triangulated orientable  $q$ -manifold without boundary.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $x_0$  be an isolated fixed point of an  $n$ -valued map  $\psi: X \multimap X$ , then  $\text{ind}(\psi, x_0)$  equals the index of  $(x_0, x_0)$  as a coincidence point of  $\Pi_1^\psi, \Pi_2^\psi: \Gamma(\psi) \rightarrow X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0$  be a fixed point of  $\psi$  and let  $U$  be a euclidean neighborhood of  $x_0$  that contains no other fixed point of  $\psi$ . Restricting  $\psi$  to  $U$ , we obtain the split  $n$ -valued map  $\psi|_U = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}: U \multimap X$  such that  $f_j(x_0) = x_0$  for some  $j$ . The component  $U_j$  of  $(\Pi_1^\psi)^{-1}(U) \subseteq \Gamma(\psi)$  containing  $(x_0, x_0)$  is homeomorphic to  $U$  and consists of the points  $(x, f_j(x))$  for  $x \in U$ . Identifying  $U$  and  $U_j$  with euclidean  $q$ -space so that  $x_0$  and  $(x_0, x_0)$  correspond to the origin, let  $S \subseteq U$  correspond to an  $(q-1)$ -sphere of radius small enough so that  $f_j(S) \subseteq U$ . Let  $S_j = (\Pi_1^\psi)^{-1}(S) \cap U_j$ , then  $\Pi_1^\psi - \Pi_2^\psi: S_j \rightarrow U - \{x_0\}$  induces  $(\Pi_1^\psi - \Pi_2^\psi)_*: H_{q-1}(S_j) \rightarrow H_{q-1}(U - \{x_0\})$  which, with appropriate choices of generators, determines the index of  $(x_0, x_0)$  as a coincidence point of  $\Pi_1^\psi$  and  $\Pi_2^\psi$ . Noting that, for  $(x, f_j(x)) \in S_j$ , we have  $\Pi_1^\psi(x, f_j(x)) = x$  and  $\Pi_2^\psi(x, f_j(x)) = f_j(x)$ , we may identify  $(\Pi_1^\psi - \Pi_2^\psi)_*$  with  $(\text{id} - f_j)_*: H_{q-1}(S) \rightarrow H_{q-1}(U - \{x_0\})$  which determines the fixed point index  $\text{ind}(\psi, x_0)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\varphi: X \multimap X$  be an  $n$ -valued map and  $x \in X$  such that  $\varphi(x) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  and let  $\gamma(x, \varphi)$  denote the minimum distance among the points  $y_i$ . Then  $\gamma(\varphi)$ , the *gap* of  $\varphi$ , is the infimum of the  $\gamma(x, \varphi)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Schirmer observed in [5] that the continuity of  $\varphi$  and the compactness of  $X$  imply that  $\gamma(\varphi) > 0$ .

An  $\epsilon$ -homotopy of  $n$ -valued maps is an  $n$ -valued map  $\Phi = \{\varphi_t\}: X \times I \multimap X$ , where  $\varphi_t(x) = \Phi(x, t)$ , such that  $d(\varphi_s, \varphi_t) < \epsilon$  for all

$s, t \in I$ . If  $\varphi, \psi: X \multimap X$  are  $n$ -valued maps such that  $\varphi = \varphi_0$  and  $\psi = \varphi_1$  for some  $\epsilon$ -homotopy  $\Phi = \{\varphi_t\}$ , then  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are said to be  $\epsilon$ -homotopic.

For  $\varphi: X \multimap X$  an  $n$ -valued map of  $X$ , we denote by  $N(\Pi_1^\varphi, \Pi_2^\varphi)$  the Nielsen coincidence number of the projections  $\Pi_1^\varphi, \Pi_2^\varphi: \Gamma(\varphi) \rightarrow X$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $\varphi, \psi: X \multimap X$  are  $\epsilon$ -homotopic  $n$ -valued maps, where  $\epsilon < \frac{\gamma(\varphi)}{3}$ , then  $N(\Pi_1^\varphi, \Pi_2^\varphi) = N(\Pi_1^\psi, \Pi_2^\psi)$ .*

*Proof.* For  $x \in X$ , write  $\varphi(x) = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  and  $\psi(x) = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ . For  $y_i \in \varphi(x)$ , we may number the  $z_j$  so that  $d(y_i, z_i) < \epsilon$  and  $d(y_i, z_j) > \epsilon$  for  $j \neq i$  because

$$\rho_d(\varphi(x), \psi(x)) < \epsilon < \frac{\gamma(\varphi)}{3}.$$

Define  $h: \Gamma(\varphi) \rightarrow \Gamma(\psi)$  by  $h(x, y_i) = (x, z_i)$ , which is one-to-one and onto. Since  $\Pi_1^\varphi: \Gamma(\varphi) \rightarrow X$  and  $\Pi_1^\psi: \Gamma(\psi) \rightarrow X$  are covering spaces, there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that the restrictions of  $\Pi_1^\varphi$  to  $(\Pi_1^\varphi)^{-1}(U)$  and  $\Pi_1^\psi$  to  $(\Pi_1^\psi)^{-1}(U)$  are local homeomorphisms. Let  $U_i$  be the component of  $(\Pi_1^\varphi)^{-1}(U)$  that contains  $(x, y_i)$  and  $V_i$  the component of  $(\Pi_1^\psi)^{-1}(U)$  that contains  $(x, z_i)$ . Then  $h$  agrees with the homeomorphism  $(\Pi_1^\psi)^{-1}\Pi_1^\varphi: U_i \rightarrow V_i$  on a neighborhood of  $(x, y_i)$  so it is continuous and therefore a homeomorphism.

Let  $\Delta: X \times I \multimap X$  be an  $n$ -valued  $\epsilon$ -homotopy such that  $\Delta(x, 0) = \varphi(x)$  and  $\Delta(x, 1) = \psi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Define a single-valued homotopy  $H: \Gamma(\varphi) \times I \rightarrow X$  by

$$H((x, y), t) = \Delta(x, t) \cap B_\epsilon(y)$$

where

$$B_\epsilon(y) = \{y' \in X: d(y, y') < \epsilon\}.$$

The function  $H$  is well-defined because  $\epsilon < \gamma(\varphi)/3$  implies that only one of the  $n$  points of  $\Delta(x, t)$  can lie in  $B_\epsilon(y)$ .

We will prove that  $H$  is continuous by showing that, given a point  $((x^*, y^*), t^*) \in \Gamma(\varphi) \times I$  and an open subset  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $H((x^*, y^*), t^*)$ , there is an open subset  $V$  of  $\Gamma(\varphi) \times I$  containing  $((x^*, y^*), t^*)$  such that  $H(V) \subseteq U$ . Let  $W = B_\epsilon(y^*) \cap U$  then, since  $\Delta$  is lower semi-continuous, the set

$$\Delta_+^{-1}(W) = \{(x, t) \in X \times I: \Delta(x, t) \cap W \neq \emptyset\}$$

is open in  $X \times I$ . Consider the map  $\Pi_1^\varphi \times id: \Gamma(\varphi) \times I \rightarrow X \times I$  and let  $V$  be an  $\eta$ -neighborhood of  $((x^*, y^*), t^*)$  in  $(\Pi_1^\varphi \times id)^{-1}(\Delta_+^{-1}(W))$  for some  $\eta \leq \epsilon$ . Suppose  $((x', y'), t') \in V$ , since

$$(\Pi_1^\varphi \times id)((x', y'), t') = (x', t') \in \Delta_+^{-1}(W)$$

we have

$$\Delta(x', t') \cap W = \Delta(x', t') \cap (B_\epsilon(y^*) \cap U) \neq \emptyset.$$

Writing  $\Delta(x', t') = \{y_1(t'), \dots, y_n(t')\}$ , then  $\Delta(x', t') \cap W = y_i(t')$  for some  $i$  because no other  $y_j(t')$  is in  $W \subset B_\epsilon(y^*)$  for the following reason. If it were, then  $d(y_i(t'), y_j(t')) < \epsilon$ . But  $\Delta$  is an  $\epsilon$ -homotopy so  $d(y_i(0), y_i(t')) < \epsilon$  and  $d(y_j(0), y_j(t')) < \epsilon$  for some  $y_i(0), y_j(0) \in \varphi(x')$  and this would imply that  $d(y_i(0), y_j(0)) < 3\epsilon$  whereas  $3\epsilon < \gamma(\varphi)$ . The definition of  $V$  implies that  $d(y', y^*) < \eta \leq \epsilon$  so if  $y_i(t') \in B_\epsilon(y^*)$  then  $d(y', y_i(t')) < 2\epsilon$  and it must be that  $y_i(0) = y'$ , that is

$$y_i(t') = \Delta(x', t') \cap B_\epsilon(y') = H((x', y'), t').$$

Since  $y_i(t') \in W \subseteq U$ , we have proved that  $H(x', y', t') \in U$  and thus  $H(V) \subseteq U$  which establishes the continuity of  $H: \Gamma_\varphi \times I \rightarrow X$ .

Now

$$H((x, y), 1) = \Delta(x, 1) \cap B_\epsilon(y) = \psi(x) \cap B_\epsilon(y) = z$$

where  $z$  is the member of the set  $\psi(x)$  in  $B_\epsilon(y)$ . By definition,  $h(x, y) = (x, z)$  so

$$H((x, y), 1) = z = \Pi_2^\psi h(x, y).$$

On the other hand,

$$H((x, y), 0) = \Delta(x, 0) \cap B_\epsilon(y) = \varphi(x) \cap B_\epsilon(y) = y = \Pi_2^\varphi(x, y).$$

Therefore  $\Pi_2^\varphi, \Pi_2^\psi h: \Gamma(\varphi) \rightarrow X$  are homotopic and since  $\Pi_1^\varphi = \Pi_1^\psi h$ , the homotopy invariance of the Nielsen coincidence number implies that  $N(\Pi_1^\varphi, \Pi_2^\varphi)$  is equal to the Nielsen coincidence number of  $h\Pi_1^\psi, h\Pi_2^\psi: \Gamma(\varphi) \rightarrow X$ . Because  $h$  is a homeomorphism,  $N(h\Pi_1^\psi, h\Pi_2^\psi) = N(\Pi_1^\psi, \Pi_2^\psi)$  and we have proved that  $N(\Pi_1^\varphi, \Pi_2^\varphi) = N(\Pi_1^\psi, \Pi_2^\psi)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $\varphi: X \multimap X$  is an  $n$ -valued map of a compact connected triangulated orientable manifold without boundary, then  $N(\varphi) = N(\Pi_1^\varphi, \Pi_2^\varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1 of [6], given  $\epsilon < \frac{\gamma(\varphi)}{3}$  there exists  $\delta \leq \epsilon$  such that if  $d(\varphi, \psi) < \delta$  then  $\psi$  is  $\epsilon$ -homotopic to  $\varphi$ . By Theorem 2.1, there is an  $n$ -valued map  $\psi$  such that  $\psi$  has finitely many fixed points and  $d(\varphi, \psi) < \delta$ . So  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  are  $\epsilon$ -homotopic and therefore  $N(\Pi_1^\varphi, \Pi_2^\varphi) = N(\Pi_1^\psi, \Pi_2^\psi)$  by Lemma 2.3.

By Lemma 2.1, letting each of the finite number of fixed points of  $\psi$  correspond to  $(x_i, x_i) \in \Gamma(\psi)$  defines a one-to-one correspondence

between the fixed point classes of  $\psi$  and the coincidence classes of the projections  $\Pi_1^\psi, \Pi_2^\psi: \Gamma(\psi) \rightarrow X$ . Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a fixed point class of  $\psi$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  the corresponding coincidence class then, by Lemma 2.2,  $ind(\mathbf{F}) = ind(\mathbf{C})$  and therefore  $N(\psi) = N(\Pi_1^\psi, \Pi_2^\psi)$ . Since  $N(\varphi) = N(\psi)$  by Theorem 6.5 of [6], we conclude that  $N(\varphi) = N(\Pi_1^\varphi, \Pi_2^\varphi)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Linear $n$ -valued maps of tori

Let  $P_q: \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow T^q = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$  be the universal covering space, that is, for  $t = (t_0, \dots, t_{q-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ , let  $P_q(t) = (p(t_0), \dots, p(t_{q-1}))$  where  $p(t_j) = e^{i2\pi t_j}$ . For  $q \geq 1$ , define  $\mathbb{Z}_q = \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ . Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_q}$  be a  $q \times q$  integer matrix. The linear transformation  $T_A: \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$  is defined by

$$T_A(t) = (A_0 t, \dots, A_{q-1} t)$$

where  $A_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th row of  $A$  and  $A_i t$  is the dot product. The map  $f_A: T^q \rightarrow T^q$  defined by

$$f_A(p(t)) = (p(A_0 t), \dots, p(A_{q-1} t))$$

is called a *linear map* of the  $q$ -torus because the lift of  $f_A$  to the universal covering space that maps the origin to itself is the linear transformation  $T_A$ .

Given an integer  $n \geq 1$ , a  $q \times q$  matrix  $A$  and  $\sigma = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{q-1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^q$ , a nonzero vector where each  $\sigma_j = 0$  or  $1$ , we define an  $n$ -valued map  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}: I^q = I \times \dots \times I \rightarrow T^q$  by

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}(t) = \{\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(0)}(t), \dots, \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(n-1)}(t)\}$$

where, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we set

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(k)}(t) = P_q\left(\frac{1}{n}(At + k\sigma)\right).$$

For  $t = (t_0, \dots, t_{q-1}) \in \partial I^q$  such that  $t_{i^*} = 0$ , write  $t$  as  $t(i_0^*)$ , that is  $t(i_0^*) = (t_0, \dots, t_{i^*} = 0, \dots, t_{q-1})$ , and let  $t(i_1^*) = (t_0, \dots, t_{i^*} = 1, \dots, t_{q-1})$ . Thus the coordinates of  $t(i_1^*)$  are the same as those of  $t(i_0^*)$  except that  $t_{i^*}$  is changed from 0 to 1. Then  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}: I^q \rightarrow T^q$  induces a linear  $n$ -valued map  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \rightarrow T^q$  if and only if

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}(t(i_0^*)) = \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}(t(i_1^*)),$$

as unordered sets of  $n$  points, for all such pairs  $t(i_0^*), t(i_1^*) \in \partial I^q$ .

If  $n = 1$ , then

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}(t) = \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(0)}(t) = P_q(At) = P_q T_A(t)$$

for any  $\sigma$  so  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}$  induces the linear map  $f_A: T^q \rightarrow T^q$ . Since the  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \rightarrow T^q$  may thus be viewed as  $n$ -valued generalizations of such linear maps, we will call them *linear  $n$ -valued maps of  $T^q$* .

If  $q = 1$ , then  $\sigma = (1)$  and, writing the  $1 \times 1$  matrix  $A = [d]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}(t) &= \{\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(0)}(t), \dots, \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(n-1)}(t)\} \\ &= \{p(\frac{1}{n}dt), \dots, p(\frac{1}{n}(dt + (n-1)))\} \end{aligned}$$

and thus the induced map  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  is the  $n$ -valued power map  $\phi_{n,d}$  of [1].

Although every  $q \times q$  integer matrix induces a single-valued linear map of  $T^q$ , there are strong restrictions on the matrix  $A$  in order for it to induce a linear  $n$ -valued map  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \rightarrow T^q$  for  $n > 1$ .

Define  $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{q-1}), y = (y_0, \dots, y_{q-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^q$  to be *congruent mod  $n$* , written  $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ , if  $x_j \equiv y_j \pmod{n}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *A  $q \times q$  integer matrix  $A$  and nonzero  $\sigma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^q$  induce a linear  $n$ -valued map  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \rightarrow T^q$  if and only if there exists  $\zeta(A) = (\zeta_0(A), \dots, \zeta_{q-1}(A)) \in \mathbb{Z}^q$  such that  $A_j \equiv \sigma_j \zeta(A) \pmod{n}$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $t(i_0^*), t(i_1^*) \in \partial I^q$ , then

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}(t(i_0^*)) = \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}(t(i_1^*))$$

as unordered sets of  $n$  points if and only if for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  there exists a unique  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(k)}(t(i_0^*)) = \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(\ell)}(t(i_1^*)).$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(k)}(t(i_0^*)) &= \left( p\left(\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i_0^*}}^{q-1} a_{0j} t_j + k\sigma_0\right), \dots \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \dots, p\left(\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i_1^*}}^{q-1} a_{q-1,j} t_j + k\sigma_{q-1}\right)\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(\ell)}(t(i_1^*)) &= \left( p\left(\frac{1}{n}(a_{0i^*} + \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i^*}}^{q-1} a_{0j}t_j + \ell\sigma_0)\right), \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots, p\left(\frac{1}{n}(a_{q-1,i^*} + \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i^*}}^{q-1} a_{q-1,j}t_j + \ell\sigma_{q-1})\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have equality if and only if

$$k\sigma_u \equiv a_{ui^*} + \ell\sigma_u \pmod{n}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ . If  $\sigma_u = 0$  the congruence becomes  $a_{ui^*} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  for each  $i^* \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ . If  $\sigma_u = 1$  then we have

$$k \equiv a_{ui^*} + \ell \pmod{n}$$

and setting  $\zeta_{i^*}(A) = k - \ell$  yields the desired result.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.1.** *A linear  $n$ -valued map  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \dashrightarrow T^q$  is split if and only if all  $a_{ij}$  in  $A$  are divisible by  $n$ .*

*Proof.* The  $n$ -valued map  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}$  splits if and only if

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(k)}(t(i_0^*)) = \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(k)}(t(i_1^*))$$

for each  $k$  and all pairs  $t(i_0^*), t(i_1^*) \in \partial I^q$  so that  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma} = (f_0, \dots, f_{q-1})$  where  $f_k$  is induced by  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(k)}$ . Therefore  $\zeta_j(A) = k - k = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and we conclude that all the  $a_{ij}$  are divisible by  $n$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.1.** *There is a linear 3-valued map  $\Phi_{3,A,\sigma}: T^4 \dashrightarrow T^4$  for  $\sigma = (1, 0, 1, 1)$  and*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 6 & -3 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

*because the condition of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied for  $\zeta(A) = (1, 2, 0, 1)$ .*

## 4 Nielsen numbers of the linear $n$ -valued maps

To compute the Nielsen numbers of linear  $n$ -valued maps of tori, we will apply the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** ([3], Lemma 7.3) *Let  $f, g: T^q \rightarrow T^q$  be maps and let  $F, G$  be  $q \times q$  integer matrices representing  $f_*, g_*: \pi_1(T^q) \rightarrow \pi_1(T^q)$ , then the Nielsen coincidence number  $N(f, g) = |\det(F - G)|$ .*

We will first illustrate how Theorem 2.2 is used by computing the Nielsen numbers of the  $n$ -valued power maps  $\phi_{n,d}: S^1 \multimap S^1$  as a consequence of Theorem 4.1. This argument will then be generalized in order to calculate the Nielsen numbers of many of the linear  $n$ -valued maps  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \multimap T^q$ . Assume first that  $n$  and  $d$  are relatively prime. The proof of Proposition 5.2 of [2] shows that the path

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n,d} &= \left\{ \left( x, \frac{d}{n}x \right) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq x \leq n \right\} \\ &= \{ (nt, dt) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \} \end{aligned}$$

is a lift to the universal covering space  $P_2: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T^2$  of the simple closed curve  $\Gamma(\varphi_{n,d})$ . The projections  $\Pi'_1, \Pi'_2: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of each factor are lifts of the projections  $\Pi_1, \Pi_2: T^2 \rightarrow S^1$ . Defining  $g_{n,d}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $g_{n,d}(t) = (nt, dt)$  so that  $g_{n,d}(I) = G_{n,d}$ , we have  $\Pi'_1 g_{n,d}(t) = nt$  and  $\Pi'_2 g_{n,d}(t) = dt$ . Therefore, for the induced fundamental group homomorphisms  $\Pi_{1*}^{\varphi_{n,d}}, \Pi_{2*}^{\varphi_{n,d}}: \pi_1(\Gamma(\varphi_{n,d})) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^1)$ , we see that  $\Pi_{1*}^{\varphi_{n,d}}$  is multiplication by  $n$  and  $\Pi_{2*}^{\varphi_{n,d}}$  is multiplication by  $d$ . It follows by Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 2.2 that  $N(\varphi_{n,d}) = N(\Pi_{1*}^{\varphi_{n,d}}, \Pi_{2*}^{\varphi_{n,d}}) = |n - d|$ . If  $n$  and  $d$  are not relatively prime, let  $w$  be their greatest common divisor. The proof of Proposition 5.2 of [2] also demonstrates that  $\Gamma(\varphi_{n,d})$  has  $w$  components, each of which can be identified with  $\Gamma(\varphi_{n/w, d/w})$ . Since  $\frac{n}{w}$  and  $\frac{d}{w}$  are relatively prime, we have shown that  $N(\varphi_{n/w, d/w}) = \left| \frac{n}{w} - \frac{d}{w} \right|$  so

$$N(\varphi_{n,d}) = w \left| \frac{n}{w} - \frac{d}{w} \right| = |n - d|$$

for all  $n$  and  $d$ . This result was obtained as Theorem 4.1 of [1] by an entirely different method.

We extend this calculation of the Nielsen number of the  $n$ -valued power maps of the circle to many of the linear  $n$ -valued maps of tori as follows.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \multimap T^q$  is a linear  $n$ -valued map such that at least one  $\zeta_j(A)$  is relatively prime to  $n$ , then  $\Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma})$  is connected and*

$$N(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}) = n |\det(E - \frac{1}{n}A)|$$

where  $E$  is the  $q \times q$  identity matrix.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\zeta_0(A)$  and  $n$  are relatively prime. Let  $D_n$  be the diagonal matrix  $D_n = \text{diag}(n, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Define  $g_{n,A,\sigma}: I^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2q}$  by

$$g_{n,A,\sigma}(t) = (D_n t, \frac{1}{n} A D_n t)$$

and set  $G_{n,A,\sigma} = g_{n,A,\sigma}(I^q)$ . We claim that  $G_{n,A,\sigma}$  is a lift of  $\Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma})$  to the covering space  $P_{2q}: \mathbb{R}^{2q} \rightarrow T^{2q}$ .

A point  $x \in T^{2q}$  is in  $\Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma})$  if it is of the form

$$x = (P_q(\tau), P_q(\frac{1}{n}(A\tau + k\sigma)))$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , where  $\tau = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{q-1})$  such that  $0 \leq \tau_j < 1$  for all  $j$ . Given  $t = (t_0, \dots, t_{q-1}) \in I^q$ , let  $\lfloor nt_0 \rfloor$  be the greatest integer less than or equal to  $nt_0$  and define  $\tau = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{q-1})$  by  $\tau_0 = nt_0 - \lfloor nt_0 \rfloor$  and  $\tau_j = t_j$  otherwise. Let  $k$  be the element of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  that is congruent  $\pmod n$  to  $z_0(A)\lfloor nt_0 \rfloor$ . Now  $P_q D_n t = P_q(\tau)$  and

$$p(\frac{1}{n} A_j D_n t) = p(\frac{1}{n} A_j \tau + \frac{1}{n} a_{j0} \lfloor nt_0 \rfloor).$$

If  $\sigma_j = 0$  then  $\frac{1}{n} a_{j0} \lfloor nt_0 \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$  by Theorem 3.1 so

$$p(\frac{1}{n} A_j D_n t) = p(\frac{1}{n} A_j \tau) = p(\frac{1}{n} (A_j \tau + k\sigma_j)).$$

If  $\sigma_j = 1$  then, since  $a_{j0} \equiv \zeta_0(A) \pmod n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\frac{1}{n} A_j D_n t) &= p(\frac{1}{n} A_j \tau + \frac{1}{n} a_{j0} \lfloor nt_0 \rfloor) \\ &= p(\frac{1}{n} A_j \tau + \frac{1}{n} \zeta_0(A) \lfloor nt_0 \rfloor) \\ &= p(\frac{1}{n} A_j \tau + \frac{k}{n} \sigma_j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $P_{2q} g_{n,A,\sigma}(t) \in \Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma})$  and we have proved that  $P_{2q}$  maps  $G_{n,A,\sigma}$  to  $\Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma})$ . To complete the proof of the claim, given

$$x = (P_q(\tau), P_q(\frac{1}{n}(A\tau + k\sigma))) \in \Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma})$$

we will define  $t \in I^q$  such that  $P_{2q} g_{n,A,\sigma}(t) = x$ . Since  $\zeta_0(A)$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, there exist integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha \zeta_0(A) + \beta n = 1$ . Given  $x$  above, let  $t = (t_0, \dots, t_{q-1}) \in I^q$  be defined by setting

$$t_0 = \frac{\tau_0 + [\alpha k]}{n},$$

where  $[\alpha k] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $[\alpha k] \equiv \alpha k \pmod n$ , and  $t_i = \tau_i$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , so  $P_q(D_n t) = P_q(\tau)$ . Now

$$p(\frac{1}{n} A_j D_n t) = p(\frac{1}{n} (A_j \tau + a_{0j} [\alpha k])).$$

If  $\sigma_j = 0$ , then  $a_{0j}$  is divisible by  $n$  so

$$p(\frac{1}{n} A_j D_n t) = p(\frac{1}{n} A_j \tau) = p(\frac{1}{n} (A_j \tau + k\sigma_j)).$$

If  $\sigma_j = 1$ , then

$$p\left(\frac{a_{0j}[\alpha k]}{n}\right) = p\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$

because  $\zeta_0(A)\alpha k \equiv k \pmod{n}$  and  $a_{0j} \equiv \zeta_0(A) \pmod{n}$  so  $a_{0j}[\alpha k] \equiv k \pmod{n}$  and again we have

$$p\left(\frac{1}{n}A_j D_n t\right) = p\left(\frac{1}{n}(A_j \tau + k \sigma_j)\right).$$

Thus we have shown that  $P_{2q}g_{n,A,\sigma}(t) = x$  so  $P_{2q}(G_{n,A,\sigma}) = \Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma})$  and therefore  $G_{n,A,\sigma}$  is a lift of  $\Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma})$  to the universal covering space of  $T^{2q}$ .

Consequently,  $\Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma})$  is connected and, because it is an  $n$ -fold covering space of  $T^q$ , it is a compact connected  $q$ -dimensional abelian Lie group ([4], page 158) and therefore a  $q$ -torus ([7], Theorem 5.2(a), page 98). Define  $\Pi_1, \Pi_2: T^{2q} \rightarrow T^q$  to be the projections of the first  $q$  and last  $q$  factors, respectively, and define projections  $\Pi'_1, \Pi'_2: \mathbb{R}^{2q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$  in the same way, then  $P_q \Pi'_1 = \Pi_1 P_{2q}$  and  $P_q \Pi'_2 = \Pi_2 P_{2q}$ . Now  $\Pi'_1 g_{n,A,\sigma}(t) = D_n t$ , that is,  $\Pi'_1 g_{n,A,\sigma}$  is the restriction to  $I^q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^q$  of the linear transformation with matrix  $D_n$ . Thus the restriction  $\Pi_1: \Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}) \rightarrow T^q$  is a map of  $q$ -tori that lifts to the linear transformation of the universal covering space with matrix  $D_n$ , so that matrix represents the fundamental group homomorphism induced by  $\Pi_1$ . Similarly,  $\Pi'_2 g_{n,A,\sigma}: I^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$  is defined by  $\Pi'_2 g_{n,A,\sigma}(t) = \frac{1}{n} A D_n t$  so the fundamental group homomorphism induced by the restriction  $\Pi_2: \Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}) \rightarrow T^q$  is represented by the matrix  $\frac{1}{n} A D_n$ . By Theorems 2.2 and 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} N(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}) &= N(\Pi_1^{\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}}, \Pi_2^{\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}}) \\ &= |\det(D_n - \frac{1}{n} A D_n)| \\ &= |\det(E - \frac{1}{n} A) \det(D_n)| \\ &= n |\det(E - \frac{1}{n} A)|. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 4.1.** *If  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \twoheadrightarrow T^q$  is a linear  $n$ -valued map where  $n$  is a prime, then  $N(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}) = n |\det(E - \frac{1}{n} A)|$ .*

**Example 4.1.** *Let  $\Phi_{3,A,\sigma}: T^4 \twoheadrightarrow T^4$  be the linear 3-valued map of Example 3.1 then, by the corollary,*

$$N(\Phi_{3,A,\sigma}) = 3 |\det(E - \frac{1}{3} A)| = 30$$

**Example 4.2.** *There are no restrictions on the possible values of Nielsen numbers of linear  $n$ -valued maps of tori. For instance, given*

an integer  $m \geq 0$ , let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 - m & 1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

then, by Theorem 3.1, there is a linear 2-valued map  $\Phi_{2,A,\sigma}: T^2 \multimap T^2$  for  $\sigma = (1, 0)$ . By Corollary 4.1,

$$N(\Phi_{2,A,\sigma}) = 2|\det(E - \frac{1}{2}A)| = m$$

The following proposition uses Theorem 4.2 to enlarge the class of linear  $n$ -valued maps of tori whose Nielsen numbers can be calculated.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \multimap T^q$  be a linear  $n$ -valued map and let  $w$  be the greatest common divisor of the set of integers  $\{n, \zeta_0(A), \dots, \zeta_{q-1}(A)\}$ . If there exists  $\zeta_j(A)$  such that  $n/w$  and  $\zeta_j(A)/w$  are relatively prime, then  $N(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}) = n|\det(E - \frac{1}{n}A)|$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}$  is obtained from  $\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}: I^q \multimap T^q$  where

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma} = \{\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(0)}(t), \dots, \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(n-1)}(t)\}$$

such that, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(k)}(t) = P_q(\frac{1}{n}(At + k\sigma)).$$

For  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_w$ , define  $\tilde{\Phi}^{\{v\}}: I^q \multimap T^q$  by

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{\{v\}}(t) = \{\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(v)}(t), \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(w+v)}(t), \dots, \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{((n/w-1)w+v)}(t)\}.$$

In particular,

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{\{0\}}(t) = \{\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(0)}(t), \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(w)}(t), \dots, \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(n-w)}(t)\}$$

where, for  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{n/w}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(\ell w)}(t) &= (p(\frac{1}{n}(A_0 t + \ell w \sigma_0)), \dots, p(\frac{1}{n}(A_{q-1} t + \ell w \sigma_{q-1}))) \\ &= P_q(\frac{1}{n}(At + \ell w \sigma)). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\frac{1}{n}(A_j t + \ell w \sigma_j) = \frac{w}{n}(\frac{1}{w}A_j t + \ell \sigma_j),$$

we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{n,A,\sigma}^{(\ell w)}(t) = \tilde{\Phi}_{\frac{n}{w}, \frac{1}{w}A, \sigma}^{(\ell)}(t)$$

and thus  $\tilde{\Phi}^{\{0\}}$  induces  $\Phi^{\{0\}} = \Phi_{\frac{n}{w}, \frac{1}{w}A, \sigma}: T^q \multimap T^q$ . Since  $\zeta_j(A)/w = \zeta_j(\frac{1}{w}A)$  and  $n/w$  are relatively prime, Theorem 4.2 implies that

$\Gamma(\Phi^{\{0\}}) = \Gamma(\Phi_{\frac{n}{w}, \frac{1}{w}A, \sigma})$  is a connected subset of  $T^{2q}$ . Given  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^q$ , we use the group operation on  $T^q$  to define a homeomorphism  $h_{r\sigma}: T^q \rightarrow T^q$  by

$$h_{r\sigma}(\tau) = h_{r\sigma}(\tau_0, \dots, \tau_{q-1}) = (p(r\sigma_0)\tau_0, \dots, p(r\sigma_{q-1})\tau_{q-1}).$$

Now

$$p(\frac{1}{n}(A_j t + (\ell w + v)\sigma_j)) = p(\frac{v}{n}\sigma_j)p(\frac{1}{n}(A_j t + \ell w\sigma_j))$$

so  $\tilde{\Phi}^{\{v\}}$  induces  $\Phi^{\{v\}}: T^q \rightarrow T^q$  such that

$$\Phi^{\{v\}} = h_{\frac{v}{n}\sigma} \Phi^{\{0\}} = h_{\frac{v}{n}\sigma} \Phi_{\frac{n}{w}, \frac{1}{w}A, \sigma}$$

where, if  $\Phi^{\{0\}}(\tau) = \{y_0, \dots, y_{\frac{n}{w}-1}\}$ , then

$$h_{\frac{v}{n}\sigma} \Phi^{\{0\}}(\tau) = \{h_{\frac{v}{n}\sigma}(y_0), \dots, h_{\frac{v}{n}\sigma}(y_{\frac{n}{w}-1})\}.$$

Therefore  $\Gamma(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma})$  has  $w$  components: the  $\Gamma(\Phi^{\{v\}})$ . For any  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_w$  and the restrictions  $\Pi_1^{\{v\}}, \Pi_2^{\{v\}}: \Gamma(\Phi^{\{v\}}) \rightarrow T^q$  of the projections of the first  $q$  and last  $q$  factors of  $T^{2q}$ , we have  $\Pi_1^{\{v\}} = \Pi_1^{\{0\}}$  and  $\Pi_2^{\{v\}} = h_{\frac{v}{n}\sigma} \Pi_2^{\{0\}}$  so, since  $h_{\frac{v}{n}\sigma}$  is a homeomorphism,  $N(\Pi_1^{\{v\}}, \Pi_2^{\{v\}}) = N(\Pi_1^{\{0\}}, \Pi_2^{\{0\}})$ . By Theorems 2.2 and 4.2,

$$N(\Pi_1^{\{0\}}, \Pi_2^{\{0\}}) = N(\Phi_{\frac{n}{w}, \frac{1}{w}A, \sigma}) = \frac{n}{w} |\det(E - \frac{w}{n}(\frac{1}{w}A))|.$$

Therefore,

$$N(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}) = w(\frac{n}{w} |\det E - \frac{1}{n}A|) = n |\det(E - \frac{1}{n}A)|.$$

□

**Example 4.3.** *There is a linear 8-valued map  $\Phi_{8,A,\sigma}: T^3 \rightarrow T^3$  where*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & -12 \\ 12 & 6 & 4 \\ -4 & -10 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $\sigma = (1, 1, 1)$  with  $\zeta(A) = (4, 6, 4)$ . Thus the greatest common divisor of the set  $\{n, \zeta_0(A), \zeta_1(A), \zeta_2(A)\}$  is  $w = 2$ . Since  $n/w = 4$  and  $\zeta_1(A)/w = 3$  are relatively prime, we have

$$N(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}) = 8 |\det(E - \frac{1}{8}A)| = 20.$$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \rightarrow T^q$  be a linear  $n$ -valued map such that  $\zeta_0(A) = \zeta_1(A) = \dots = \zeta_{q-1}(A)$ , then  $N(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}) = n |\det(E - \frac{1}{n}A)|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be the greatest common divisor of the set of integers  $\{n, \zeta_0(A), \dots, \zeta_{q-1}(A)\}$ , then  $w$  is the greatest common divisor of  $n$  and  $\zeta_0(A)$  so  $n/w$  and  $\zeta_0(A)/w$  are relatively prime and the result follows by Proposition 4.1.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** We note that if a matrix  $A$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1, then  $n|\det(E - \frac{1}{n}A)|$  is an integer. Moreover, if  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: T^2 \multimap T^2$  is a linear  $n$ -valued map where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{00} & 0 \\ a_{10} & a_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $\sigma_0 = 1$ , then  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}$  is an  $n$ -valued fiber map with respect to the product fibration  $\Pi_1: T^2 \rightarrow S^1$ . The induced  $n$ -valued map of the base is of degree  $a_{00}$  and  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}$  restricts on each fixed fiber to a single-valued map of degree  $a_{11}/n$ . Therefore, by Theorem 5.1 of [1] and Theorem 4.1 of [2]

$$N(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}) = |n - a_{00}| |1 - \frac{a_{11}}{n}| = n|\det(E - \frac{1}{n}A)|$$

for any value of  $\zeta_0(A)$ . These observations together with the results of this section lead us to conjecture that

$$N(\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}) = n|\det(E - \frac{1}{n}A)|$$

holds for all linear  $n$ -valued maps  $\Phi_{n,A,\sigma}: T^q \multimap T^q$ .

## References

- [1] Brown, R., *Fixed points of  $n$ -valued multimaps of the circle*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math., **54**, 153 - 162 (2006).
- [2] Brown, R., *Nielsen numbers of  $n$ -valued fiber maps*, Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications, **4**, 183 - 201 (2008).
- [3] Jezierski, J. *The Nielsen number product formula for coincidences*, Fund. Math., **134**, 183 - 212 (1989).
- [4] Massey, W., *Algebraic Topology: An Introduction*, Harcourt Brace, 1967.
- [5] Schirmer, H., *Fix-finite approximations of  $n$ -valued multifunctions*, Fund. Math. **121**, 73 - 80 (1984).
- [6] Schirmer, H., *An index and Nielsen number for  $n$ -valued multifunctions*, Fund. Math. **124**, 207 - 219 (1984).
- [7] Sepanski, M., *Compact Lie Groups*, Springer, 2007.