

Matrices with Distinct Eigenvalues

Suppose that A is an $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n which are all different, i.e. $r_i = r_j$ only if $j = i$. [This is the same as assuming that the characteristic polynomial $\det(A - rI)$ has distinct roots.] Then we can choose nonzero eigenvectors $\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, \dots, \underline{v}_n$ for each eigenvalue. The topic of this note is the following basic fact: we can write ANY n -component vector as a linear combination of $\underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2, \dots, \underline{v}_{n-1}$ and \underline{v}_n . This is the basis for our claim that the general solution to $\underline{x}' = A\underline{x}$ is

$$\underline{x} = c_1 e^{r_1 t} \underline{v}_1 + c_2 e^{r_2 t} \underline{v}_2 + \dots + c_n e^{r_n t} \underline{v}_n.$$

It amounts to saying that we can solve the system of equations

$$c_1 \underline{v}_1 + c_2 \underline{v}_2 + \dots + c_n \underline{v}_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ | \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

for every choice of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n . We can write these equations in matrix form using the matrix whose columns are the \underline{v}_j 's:

$$\begin{pmatrix} | & | & \dots & | \\ \underline{v}_1 & \underline{v}_2 & \dots & \underline{v}_n \\ | & | & & | \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ | \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ | \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Now we can use a standard linear algebra chain of reasoning. The system of equations (1) can be solved if the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} | & | & \dots & | \\ \underline{v}_1 & \underline{v}_2 & \dots & \underline{v}_n \\ | & | & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

has an inverse. It has an inverse if its determinant is not zero. Its determinant is not zero if the ONLY solution to

$$\begin{pmatrix} | & | & \dots & | \\ \underline{v}_1 & \underline{v}_2 & \dots & \underline{v}_n \\ | & | & & | \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ | \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ | \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_n = 0$. So we need to show that when

$$c_1 \underline{v}_1 + c_2 \underline{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n \underline{v}_n = \underline{0}, \quad (2)$$

all the c_j 's must be zero.

The proof that (2) implies all the c_j 's are zero really shows why eigenvectors are so useful. Pick a j_0 . We are going to show that $c_{j_0} = 0$. Multiply $c_1 \underline{v}_1 + c_2 \underline{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n \underline{v}_n$ by $A - r_k I$ where $k \neq j_0$. That gives

$$\begin{aligned} (A - r_k I)(c_1 \underline{v}_1 + c_2 \underline{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n \underline{v}_n) &= c_1 (A - r_k I) \underline{v}_1 + c_2 (A - r_k I) \underline{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n (A - r_k I) \underline{v}_n \\ &= (r_1 - r_k) c_1 \underline{v}_1 + (r_2 - r_k) c_2 \underline{v}_2 + \cdots + (r_n - r_k) c_n \underline{v}_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

but the right hand side of (3) is zero because it is also $(A - r_k I) \underline{0}$. Also note that the coefficient of \underline{v}_k on the right hand side of (3) is zero, since it has the factor $(r_k - r_k)$ in it. So we have eliminated

the term multiplied by c_k . We can now multiply the right hand side of (3) by $(A - r_l I)$ where $l \neq j_0$, eliminating the term multiplied by c_l - and keep on doing this until we have eliminated the terms multiplied by each c_j except c_{j_0} . At that point all we have left is

$$(r_{j_0} - r_1)(r_{j_0} - r_2) \cdots (r_{j_0} - r_{j_0-1})(r_{j_0} - r_{j_0+1}) \cdots (r_{j_0} - r_n) c_{j_0} \underline{v}_{j_0} = \underline{0}.$$

In this product none of the factors $(r_{j_0} - r_j)$ is zero because j never equals j_0 , and $\underline{v}_{j_0} \neq \underline{0}$ because we chose it that way. So we must have $c_{j_0} = 0$. Since we can repeat this argument for every choice of the index j_0 , we have completed the proof.