# On a question of B.H. Neumann

**Robert Guralnick** 

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California E-mail: guralnic@math.usc.edu

### Igor Pak

Department of Mathematics Massachusetts Institute of Technology E-mail: pak@math.mit.edu

February 21, 2002

### Abstract

The automorphism group of a free group  $\operatorname{Aut}(F_k)$  acts on the set of generating k-tuples  $(g_1, \ldots, g_k)$  of a group G. Higman showed that when k = 2, the union of conjugacy classes of the commutators  $[g_1, g_2]$  and  $[g_2, g_1]$  is an orbit invariant. We give a negative answer to a question of B.H. Neumann, as to whether there is a generalization of Higman's result for  $k \geq 3$ .

# 1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group, and let d(G) be the minimum number of generators in G. For every  $k \geq d(G)$ , let  $\mathcal{N}_k(G) = \{(g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in G^k : \langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle = G\}$ be the set of generating k-tuples in G. One can identify  $\mathcal{N}_k(G)$  with the set of epimorphisms  $\operatorname{Epi}(F_k \to G)$ . The gives a natural action of  $\operatorname{Aut}(F_k)$  on  $\mathcal{N}_k(G)$ defined by  $\alpha : \phi \to \phi \circ \alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(F_k)$  and  $\phi \in \operatorname{Epi}(F_k \to G)$ . Consider also the diagonal action of  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  on  $\mathcal{N}_k(G)$ . The orbits of  $\operatorname{Aut}(F_k) \times \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ acting on  $\mathcal{N}_k(G)$  are called *T*-systems (short for "systems of transitivity"), and were introduced by B.H. and H. Neumann in [NN] (see also [G, E2, N, NN, P].)

Let w be a nontrivial word in the free group  $F_k$ , and let  $\varphi_w : \mathcal{N}_k \to G$  be the associated map  $\varphi_w(g_1, \ldots, g_k) = w(g_1, \ldots, g_k)$ . We say that w is *invariant* on Tsystems in G, if the set of Aut(G)-conjugates of  $\{\varphi_w(g_1, \ldots, g_k)^{\pm 1}\}$  is invariant on all generating k-tuples in a T-system. Higman's result (which we refer to as Higman's Lemma in this paper) states that for k = 2, the commutator  $[g_1, g_2]$ is invariant on T-systems of every group G (see [N, P]). In [N], B.H. Neumann asks whether there exists a generalization of Higman's Lemma for  $k \ge 3$ . We give a negative answer to this question:

**Theorem 1.1** For every nontrivial word  $w \in F_k$ , where  $k \ge 3$ , there exist a finite group G, such that w is not invariant on T-systems in G.

The proof is based on a result by R. Gilman [G], that for each  $k \geq 3$  group PSL(2, p) has a unique T-system. In fact, we prove that the map  $\varphi_w$  takes unboundedly many values on this T-system, when  $p \to \infty$ . The proof idea was motivated by a recent paper [LS].

We say a few words about the history of the problem. Let  $\tau_k(G)$  be the number of T-systems. When k = d(G), it was shown in [NN], that  $\tau_k(G) > 1$ in several special cases (e.g.  $G = A_5$ .) In fact,  $\tau_k(G)$  is unbounded, as shown in [D1]. An example of a solvable G with  $\tau_k(G) > 1$  and k = d(G), was found in [N], answering a question of Gaschütz. In the opposite direction, it was shown in [D2] that  $\tau_k(G) = 1$  when k > d(G), and G is a finite solvable group. It is conjectured that  $\tau_k(G) = 1$  for all finite G and k > d(G) [P]. When G is a finite simple group this is known as Wiegold's Conjecture, confirmed in several special cases, in particular for PSL(2, p) (see [CP, E2, G, P]). One implication of the conjecture is a positive answer to Waldhausen's question: If  $G = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k | r_1, \ldots, r_n \rangle$ , and k > d(G), is it true that the normal closure of  $\langle r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$  in  $F_n$  contains a primitive element of  $F_n$ ? (see [D2].)

Now, given a word w such that the map  $\varphi_w : \mathcal{N}_k(G) \to G$  is invariant on T-systems, we obtain  $\tau_k(G) \geq |\mathrm{Im}(\varphi_w)|/2$ , where  $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi_w) \subset G$  is an image of the map  $\varphi_w$ . This is exactly the strategy used by B.H. Neumann in [N], when  $w = [x_1, x_2]$  and k = 2. Theorem 1.1 implies that this strategy fails when k = 3, by using Neumann's idea in the reverse direction. Interestingly, our proof of Theorem 1.1 can be adapted for the case k = 2, when it implies the following result:

**Theorem 1.2** The number of T-systems in PSL(2, p) is unbounded, when k = 2, and as  $p \to \infty$ .

This result is due to Evans [E1], who proved it by an explicit construction. A similar result for alternating groups  $A_n$  was recently obtained in [P] by using Higman's Lemma and a simple combinatorial construction (see also [E1]).

**Remark 1.3** One can ask to characterize all words w that can be used in Higman's Lemma for k = 2. We conjecture that w must be a conjugate of  $[g_1, g_2]^m$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Remark 1.4** It would be interesting to quantify the lower bound on the number of *T*-systems of PSL(2, p), when k = 2, which we obtain implicitly in the proof of Theorem 1.2. The result should be compared with that of Theorem 3.17 in [E1]. With more effort, the methods used in this paper can be adapted to prove the same result for any fixed type of Chevalley group X – i.e. the number

of T-systems in X(q) goes to infinity as  $q \to \infty$ . Different methods would be needed to prove the same result for all simple groups as the order tends to infinity.

**Remark 1.5** In the recent years, much attention has been brought to the subject by the "practical" product replacement algorithm. In fact, this was our motivation for study of the number of T-systems. We refer to [P] for an extensive review of the subject, applications and references.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank Martin Evans for the reference [E1], and an anonymous referee for helpful remarks. This paper was written when the second author was a Fellow at MSRI in Berkeley, California. The first author was partially supported by an NSF grant.

### 2 Preliminary results

Let w be a nontrivial word in the free group of rank k, and let G be any group. The word w defines a map  $\varphi_w : G^k \to G$ , by  $\varphi_w(g_1, \ldots, g_k) \to w(g_1, \ldots, g_k)$ .

We now consider subgroups of  $G := \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  – there are obvious analogs for other Lie groups. Since G contains a free group [H], we see that  $\varphi_w$  is not identically 1 (or even central).

Since  $G_c := \mathrm{SU}(2, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\Gamma := \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  are both Zariski dense in G, it follows that w does not induce the trivial map on  $H^k$  for  $H = G_c$  or  $\Gamma$ . Consider a map  $\chi_w = \mathrm{tr} \circ \varphi_w : G_c^k \to \mathbb{C}$ , defined by  $\chi_w(g_1, \ldots, g_k) = \mathrm{tr}(w(g_1, \ldots, g_k))$ , where  $(g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in G^k$ .

Since  $G_c$  is compact, it contains only semisimple elements. Therefore, the map  $\chi_w : G_c^k \to \mathbb{C}$  takes on some value other than 2 (if  $\chi_w(g_1, \ldots, g_k) = 2$ , it follows that  $w(g_1, \ldots, g_k)$  is unipotent). Since the image of  $\varphi_w$  contains 1, the integer 2 is in the image of  $\chi_w = \text{tr} \circ \varphi_w$ .

Since  $G_c^k$  is connected, this implies that the image of  $\chi_w$  is infinite. Thus,

**Lemma 2.1** The image of w intersects infinitely many semisimple conjugacy classes of G.

We need to record some simple facts about subgroups of G and SL(2, p) with respect to representations (see [C]).

**Lemma 2.2** Let H be a proper closed subgroup of G. Then H acts reducibly in any rational representation of G of dimension d > 5.

**Proof.** Let V be any rational G-module of dimension d > 5. The subgroups of G are well known. If H has positive dimension, then either H is contained in a Borel subgroup of G (and so has a 1-dimensional invariant subspace) or normalizes a torus. The normalizer of a torus has no irreducible representations of dimension more than 2.

So it suffice to assume that H is finite. Let N be a minimal normal noncentral (in G) subgroup of H. If N is cyclic, then H is contained in the normalizer of a torus, a contradiction as above. If N is an extraspecial 2-group, then H/N embeds in  $S_3$  and any irreducible representation is at most 4-dimensional. The only other possibility is that N = SL(2, 5). The largest irreducible representation of SL(2, 5) is 5-dimensional.  $\Box$ 

The same proof (considering finite subgroups only) yields the following:

**Lemma 2.3** Let H be a proper subgroup of SL(2, p). If V is a d-dimensional SL(2, p)-module in characteristic p with d > 5, then H acts reducibly.

We next turn to generating k-tuples. We need to assume  $k \ge 2$  for this (to ensure that there exist generating k-tuples.) Let  $\pi_p$  denote the natural map from  $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  to SL(2,p). Consider

 $X = \{ (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in \Gamma^k : \pi_p(\langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle) = \operatorname{SL}(2, p) \text{ for almost all prime } p \}.$ 

**Lemma 2.4** Suppose  $k \ge 2$ . Then X is dense in  $G^k$ .

**Proof.** Let V be the six dimensional irreducible rational module for G. As we have seen above, every proper closed subgroup acts reducibly on V. Let L be an integral sublattice of V. Let  $\rho : G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$  be the corresponding representation.

Note that  $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle$  acts irreducibly on V if and only if  $\operatorname{End}(V)$  is generated by the  $\rho(g_i)$ . This condition is equivalent to the condition that some collection of determinants of  $d^2 \times d^2$  matrices do not identically vanish. Note that G can be generated (topologically) by 2 elements (eg., a pair of unipotent elements). Thus the set Y of k-tuples which generate irreducible subgroups on V form an open non-empty subvariety of  $G^k$  (in the Zariski topology). By the choice of V, Y is precisely the collection of k-tuples which generate a dense subgroup of G.

If  $(g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in Y \cap \Gamma^k$ , let A be the subring of  $\operatorname{End}(L)$  generated by the  $\rho(g_i)$ and H the subgroup generated by the  $\rho(g_i)$ . Since A generates  $\operatorname{End}(V)$  as an algebra, A has finite index in  $\operatorname{End}(L)$ . In particular, for almost all primes p, H acts irreducibly on L/pL. If p > d, L/pL is an irreducible  $\operatorname{SL}(2, p)$ module (because the high weight for V is  $(d-1)\lambda$ ). We have seen that no proper subgroup of  $\operatorname{SL}(2, p)$  acts irreducibly on this module. Thus, H maps onto  $\operatorname{SL}(2, p)$  for all but finitely many p.

The same argument shows that  $(g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in X \subseteq Y$  (a fact which we don't need). Therefore,  $X := Y \cap \Gamma^k$  is the intersection of a nontrivial open subset and a dense subset of an irreducible variety. Thus, X is dense in  $G^k$ .  $\Box$ 

Since  $\chi_w$  is not constant on  $G^k$ , it cannot be constant on the dense subset X, whence:

**Corollary 2.5** If w is a nontrivial word in  $r \ge 2$  variables, then  $\chi_w$  takes on infinitely many values on X.

Recall that the full automorphism group of PSL(2, p) is PGL(2, p) [C]. We have:

**Corollary 2.6** Let w be a nontrivial word in  $k \geq 2$  variables. For any sufficiently large prime p (depending upon w), there exist  $g_1, \ldots, g_k$  and  $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in PSL(2, p)$  such that  $PSL(2, p) = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle = \langle h_1, \ldots, h_k \rangle$  and  $w^{\pm 1}(g_1, \ldots, g_k)$ ,  $w^{\pm 1}(h_1, \ldots, h_k) \in PSL(2, p)$  are not conjugate under PGL(2, p).

**Proof.** By the previous result, we may choose  $g_1, \ldots, g_k$  and  $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in (Y \cap \Gamma)$  such that  $\operatorname{tr}(w(g_1, \ldots, g_k)) \neq \pm \operatorname{tr}(w(h_1, \ldots, h_k))$  and  $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p) = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_k \rangle = \langle h_1, \ldots, h_k \rangle$  for all sufficiently large p. From here, it follows that  $\operatorname{tr}(w(g_1, \ldots, g_k)) \neq \operatorname{tr}(w(h_1, \ldots, h_k))$  modulo p for sufficiently large p, whence the elements  $w(g_1, \ldots, g_k)$  and  $w(h_1, \ldots, h_k)$  are not conjugate under the automorphism group  $\operatorname{PGL}(2, p)$ . The restriction that  $w^{-1}(g_1, \ldots, g_k)$  and  $w(h_1, \ldots, h_k)$  are not conjugate under  $\operatorname{PGL}(2, p)$  follows similarly.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.7** Let n be any positive integer. For any sufficiently large prime p, there exist  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$  and  $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in PSL(2, p)$  such that  $PSL(2, p) = \langle g_1, h_1 \rangle = \cdots = \langle g_n, h_n \rangle$ , and the commutators  $[g_i, h_i]$  are not conjugate under PGL(2, p).

**Proof.** Follows verbatim the proof of the previous corollary. In this case we need n pairs of generators, and the word  $w = [x_1, x_2] \in F_2$ . From Corollary 2.3, for every fixed n and sufficiently large p we can find  $\langle g_1, h_1 \rangle = \dots = \langle g_n, h_n \rangle = \text{PSL}(2, p)$ , with the commutators  $[g_i, h_i]$ , as desired.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.8** Different versions of Lemma 2.4 are known in much greater generality. We refer to [PR] for references and details.

## **3** Proof of Theorems

#### Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let w be a nontrivial word in  $F_k$ ,  $k \ge 3$ , which is invariant on all T-systems of  $G_p = \text{PSL}(2, p)$ . Recall the result of Gilman [G], that  $\tau_k(\text{PSL}(2, p)) = 1$  for all  $k \ge 3$  and  $p \ge 5$ . Thus, all pairs of values  $\{\varphi_w^{\pm 1}\}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_k(G_p)$  are conjugate in PGL(2, p). This contradicts Corollary 2.6, when p is sufficiently large.  $\Box$ 

### Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Fix any integer *n*. By Higman's Lemma [N] (see the introduction), the union of commutators  $[g_1, g_2]$  and  $[g_2, g_1]$  is invariant on T-systems. By Corollary 2.7, for sufficiently large primes *p*, the commutator  $[g_1, g_2]$  takes on values in at least *n* different classes conjugates in PSL(2, *p*). Therefore, the number of T-systems  $\tau_2(\text{PSL}(2, p))$  is unbounded, as  $p \to \infty$ .  $\Box$ 

### References

- [C] R. Carter, Simple groups of Lie type, Reprint of the 1972 originalm Wiley Classics Library, A Wiley-Interscience Publicationm John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989
- [CP] G. Cooperman, I. Pak, The product replacement graph on generating triples of permutations, preprint, 2000
- [D1] M. J. Dunwoody, On T-systems of groups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 3 (1963), 172–179
- [D2] M. J. Dunwoody, Nielsen Transformations, in: Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra, 45–46, Pergamon, Oxford, 1970
- [E1] M. Evans, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Wales, 1985
- [E2] M. Evans, T-systems of certain finite simple groups, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 113 (1993), 9–22
- [G] R. Gilman, Finite quotients of the automorphism group of a free group, Canad. J. Math. 29, (1977), 541–551
- [H] F. Hausdorff, Grundzüge der Mengenlehre, Leipzig, 1914
- [LS] M. W. Liebeck, A. Shalev, Diameters of finite simple groups: sharp bounds and applications, preprint, 1999
- [N] B. H. Neumann, On a question of Gaschütz, Archiv der Math. 7 (1956), 87–90
- [NN] B. H. Neumann, H. Neumann, Zwei Klassen charakteristischer Untergruppen und ihre Faktorgruppen, Math. Nachr. 4 (1951), 106–125
- [P] I. Pak, What do we know about the product replacement algorithm? in: Groups ann Computation III (W. Kantor, A. Seress, eds.), 301–347, deGruyter, Berlin, 2000
- [PR] V. Platonov, A. Rapinchuk, Algebraic groups and number theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 139, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1994