Igor Pak, UCLA



How linear algebra proved expansion of graphs and is on the way to rule the world

IPAM Reunion Workshop, UCLA, Lake Arrowhead, CA





Key problem in computational group theory

Input: Finite group G **Output:** Random element $h \in G$ More precisely: Finite group $G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_k \rangle$, where $s_i \in S_N$ [GL(d, \mathbb{R}), GL(d, \mathbb{F}_q), etc.], $\varepsilon > 0$ Input: **Output:** Random element $h \in G$ from an ε -uniform distribution on G ε -uniform distribution: $\frac{1-\varepsilon}{|G|} < \mathbb{P}[h=z] < \frac{1+\varepsilon}{|G|}$ for all $z \in G$ Think k = 10, $N = 10^4$, d = 100, q = 49

Groups appear: symmetries of combinatorial objects, graphs or general data scientific computing (physics, chemistry, genomics, etc.)





My favorite real world application: search under symmetry

Handbook of CUBIK MATH





 $|G| = 43,\!252,\!003,\!274,\!489,\!856,\!000$

"No one knows how many moves would be needed for 'God's Algorithm' assuming he always used the fewest moves required to restore the cube. Experienced group theorists have conjectured that the smallest number of moves which would be in the low twenties." [Frey, Singmaster, 1982]

My favorite real world application: search under symmetry



In mathematics: Classification of Finite Simple Groups Higman–Sims group (1968), Lyons–Sims group (1973), etc.

 Random group elements:
 Testing group properties (nilpotent, solvable, etc.)

 Group isomorphism, maximal subgroups, computing the whole lower central series, etc.

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

 H

- If $\mathbb{P}[gh = hg] > \frac{5}{8}$ then G is abelian [MacHale, 1974]
- If $\mathbb{P}[\langle g, h \rangle \text{ is solvable}] > \frac{11}{30}$ then G is solvable [Guralnick, Wilson, 2000]

Proof uses CFSG

In theory it's all easy

Theorem [Babai, 1991]

Random group elements can be generated in $O(\mu \cdot \log^5 |G|)$ time, where μ is the cost of group multiplication and inversion.

Minor problem: The real world $(k = 10, N = 10^4, d = 100, q = 49, \text{etc.})$

Theorem [Cooperman'02, Dixon'08] Random group elements can be generated in $O(\mu \cdot \log^2 |G|)$ time.

Minor problem: Still there... $O(\mu \cdot \log |G|)$ time.

Product Replacement Algorithm

Generating random elements of a finite group Finite group $G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_k \rangle$ Input: **Output:** Random element $h \in G$ Frank Celler , Charles R. Leedham-Green , Scott H. Murray , Alice C. Niemeyer & E.A. O'brien COMMUNICATIONS IN ALGEBRA, 23(13), 4931-4948 (1995) Set: $(g_1, \ldots, g_k) \leftarrow (s_1, \ldots, s_k)$ **Repeat:** product replacement t times $R_{i,j}^{\pm}: (g_1,\ldots,g_i,\ldots,g_k) \longrightarrow (g_1,\ldots,g_i \cdot g_i^{\pm 1},\ldots,g_k), \text{ or }$ $L_{i,j}^{\pm}: (g_1,\ldots,g_i,\ldots,g_k) \longrightarrow (g_1,\ldots,g_j^{\pm 1}\cdot g_i,\ldots,g_k),$ where L/R, sign \pm , and $i \neq j$ are chosen at random Order Group **Output:** random component g_i J_2 604800 51PSp(6,2)1451520 48 $U_{5}(2)$ 13685760 56**Experimental evidence:** for k = 10, t < 100 seems to suffice A_{11} 19958400 71 HS44352000 49 even for very large groups. M_{24} 57244823040 S_{12} 53479001600

Product Replacement Algorithm



GAP (Groups, Algorithms and Programming)

Magma (computer algebra system)

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



```
COMPUTER • ALGEBRA
```

Product Replacement in the Monster

Petra E. Holmes, Stephen A. Linton, Scott H. Murray

Experiment. Math. 12(1): 123-126 (2003).

Product Replacement Algorithm

Experimental Claim: PRA generates random elements in $O(\mu \cdot \log |G|)$ time.

Theorem [Diaconis and Saloff-Coste, 1998]

PRA works in $O(\mu \cdot |G|^{2k+3} \log |G| (\log \log |G|)^{2k})$ time for $k = \Omega(\log |G|)$

Invent. math. 134, 251-299 (1998)

Walks on generating sets of groups

P. Diaconis¹, L. Saloff-Coste²

Theorem [P., 2000] PRA works in $O(\mu \cdot \log^9 |G| \cdot (\log \log |G|)^5)$ time for $k = \Theta(\log |G| \log \log |G|)$



Expander graphs



Proving expansion: first steps

Theorem [Nielsen, 1924]

Let $G = F_k$ be free group with k generators. Then $L_{i,j}^{\pm}$, $R_{i,j}^{\pm}$ are generators of $\operatorname{Aut}(F_k)$.

Corollary: PR(G, k) are *Schreier graphs* of $Aut(F_k)$

[i.e. graphs of the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(F_k)$ on generating k-tuples of G]

Definition: Group $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$ has *Kazhdan's property* (T) if *all* Schreier graphs

 $H \text{ of } \Gamma = \langle S \rangle \quad (\text{finite and infinite}), \text{ have expansion } \phi(H) > \varepsilon(S) > 0.$

Major Conjecture: $Aut(F_k)$ has Kazhdan's property (T)

Theorem/Observation [Lubotzky, P., 2001]

Major Conjecture implies that graphs $\mathrm{PR}(G,k)$ are expanders.



Proving expansion: brief history

- 1) Kazhdan (1967):
 $\operatorname{SL}(k,\mathbb{Z})$ has (T) for $k\geq 3$
- 2) Margulis (1973): Cayley graphs of $SL(3, \mathbb{F}_q)$ are expanders

More recently and more to the point:

- 3) Shalom (1999): (T) for $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ implies Kazhdan's thm
- 4) P. and Zuk (2001): (T) implies rapid mixing for symmetric generating sets S
- 5) Silberman (2011): (T) is semidecidable
- 6) Ozawa (2016): (T) is a statement in *semidefinite optimization*
- 7) Netzer and Thom (2015): Ozawa's approach works to prove (T) for $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$

8) Fujiwara and Kabaya (2017), Kaluba and Nowak (2018): *computer assisted proofs* for more groups



Problem solved!

1) McCool (1988): $Aut(F_3)$ does not have (T)

- 2) Kaluba, Nowak and Ozawa (2019): $Aut(F_5)$ has (T) [800 hours CPU time]
- 3) Kaluba, Kielak and Nowak (2021): Aut(F_k) has (T) for all $k \ge 5$ (only minor calculations)
- 4) Nitsche (2020+): $Aut(F_4)$ has (T) [20 min CPU time]

Proof: Quantitative Linear Algebra and Semidefinite Programming

"Can a special element of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}{
m Aut}({
m F}_5)$ be written as a sum of Hermitian squares?"

Iter | pri res | dua res | rel gap | pri obj | dua obj | kap/tau | time (s) 429400| 2.31e-08 7.59e-10 4.22e-08 7.07e-08 1.13e-07 5.66e-16 3.48e+04





Conclusions:

- *Major Conjecture* is completely resolved, i.e. $Aut(F_k)$ have (T) for all $k \ge 4$
- Product replacement graphs PR(G, k) are expanders for all finite G and all $k \ge 4$
- Experimental Claim confirmed, i.e. Product Replacement Algorithm works well for small k
- Linear Algebra is on its way to rule the world!





Thank you!



