

## Lecture 29

Lecturer: Igor Pak

Scribe: Etienne Rassart

## Two theorems on the product replacement graph

Let  $\Gamma_k(G)$  be the graph with vertex set  $\{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k : \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle = G\}$  and edges

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_k) &\longleftrightarrow (g_1, \dots, g_i g_j^{\pm 1}, \dots, g_k) \\ &\longleftrightarrow (g_1, \dots, g_j^{\pm 1} g_i, \dots, g_k), \end{aligned}$$

for a finite group  $G$ .

**Conjecture**  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is connected if  $k \geq d(G) + 1$ .

**Theorem**  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is connected if  $k \geq d(G) + m(G)$ .

**Corollary**  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is connected if  $k \geq 2 \log_2 |G|$ .

**Theorem 1 (Babai)**

If  $k = 2 \lceil \log_2 |G| \rceil$  then there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\text{diam } \Gamma_k(G) \leq c \cdot \log_2^2 |G|$ .

**Proof:** Let  $r = \lceil \log_2 |G| \rceil$ , so that  $k = 2r$  and  $m(G) \leq r$ .

There is a path in  $\Gamma_k(G)$  from  $(g_1, \dots, g_k)$  to  $(1, \dots, 1, h_1, 1, \dots, 1, h_r, 1, \dots, 1)$ , where  $\langle h_1, \dots, h_r \rangle = G$ . Since we can exchange elements, we can assume that we send  $(g_1, \dots, g_k)$  to  $(h_1, \dots, h_r, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

We want to go from  $(h_1, \dots, h_r, 1, \dots, 1)$  to  $(h_1, \dots, h_r, a_1, \dots, a_r)$  in such a way that

$$\{a_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots a_r^{\varepsilon_r} : \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}\} = G.$$

Set  $a_1$  to be some  $h_i \neq 1$ . Then

$$(h_1, \dots, h_r, 1, \dots, 1) \longrightarrow (h_1, \dots, h_r, a_1, 1, \dots, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad |\{a_1^{\varepsilon_1}\}| = 2.$$

From there we proceed by induction. Suppose we have  $(g_1, \dots, g_k)$  connected to  $(h_1, \dots, h_r, a_1, \dots, a_i, 1, \dots, 1)$  with  $|\{a_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots a_i^{\varepsilon_i}\}| = 2^i$ .

Let  $C = \{a_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots a_i^{\varepsilon_i}\}$  and  $A = C \cdot C^{-1}$ . A first observation is that if  $A \neq G$  then we can find  $x$  not in  $A$  such that  $x$  is at distance at most  $2i + 1$  from the identity (distance with respect to the generating set  $\{h_1, \dots, h_r, a_1, \dots, a_i\}$ ): we take  $x$  to be one away from an element on the boundary of  $A$ . Then we can use  $a$ 's to get to the boundary and one of the  $h$ 's for the final step to  $x$ .

So if we let  $a_{i+1} = x$  then we can go from  $(h_1, \dots, h_r, a_1, \dots, a_i, 1, \dots, 1)$  to  $(h_1, \dots, h_r, a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+1}, 1, \dots, 1)$  in  $O(\log |G|)$  steps (since  $i \leq r$ ). Also,  $|\{a_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots a_{i+1}^{\varepsilon_{i+1}}\}| = 2^{i+1}$ .

So

$$(h_1, \dots, h_r, 1, \dots, 1) \xrightarrow[\text{steps}]{O(\log^2 |G|)} (h_1, \dots, h_r, a_1, \dots, a_r) \xrightarrow[\text{steps}]{O(\log^2 |G|)} (1, \dots, 1, a_1, \dots, a_r).$$

Hence

$$\begin{array}{c} (g'_1, \dots, g'_k) \xrightarrow{O(\log^2 |G|)} (h'_1, \dots, h'_r, 1, \dots, 1) \xrightarrow{O(\log^2 |G|)} (h'_1, \dots, h'_r, a'_1, \dots, a'_r) \\ \downarrow O(\log^2 |G|) \\ (1, \dots, 1, a'_1, \dots, a'_r) \\ \downarrow O(\log |G|) \\ (a'_1, \dots, a'_r, 1, \dots, 1) \\ \downarrow O(\log^2 |G|) \\ (a'_1, \dots, a'_r, a_1, \dots, a_r) \\ \downarrow O(\log^2 |G|) \\ (1, \dots, 1, a_1, \dots, a_r) \\ \downarrow O(\log^2 |G|) \\ (g_1, \dots, g_k) \xleftarrow{O(\log^2 |G|)} (h_1, \dots, h_r, 1, \dots, 1) \xleftarrow{O(\log^2 |G|)} (h_1, \dots, h_r, a_1, \dots, a_r) \end{array}$$

So there remains to check that we can go from  $(g_1, \dots, g_k)$  to  $(1, \dots, 1, h_1, 1, \dots, 1, h_r, 1, \dots, 1)$  in reasonable time. If we had  $(h_1, \dots, h_r, t_1, \dots, t_r)$  instead, we could actually use the  $t_i$ 's instead of  $x$  if some of them lie outside of  $C \cdot C^{-1}$  (starting with  $t_1$  and adding an element at a time as before; if  $t_i$  is inside, we construct  $x$  outside as above).

So we can go from  $(h_1, \dots, h_r, t_1, \dots, t_r)$  to  $(h'_1, \dots, h'_r, t'_1, \dots, t'_r)$  in  $O(\log^2 |G|)$  steps.

All the transpositions throughout this process are done in  $O(\log |G|)$  steps (overall). ■

### Theorem 2 (Dunwoody)

If  $G$  is solvable and  $k \geq d(G) + 1$  then  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is connected.

**Proof:** Consider the chain

$$\{1\} = G_0 \subseteq G_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq G_l = G,$$

where  $G_{i-1}$  is minimal  $G$ -invariant in  $G_i$ . We proceed by induction.

If  $l = 0$ , there is nothing to prove. If  $l \geq 1$ , let  $M = G_1$ . Because  $G$  is solvable,  $M$  is normal in  $G$  and abelian.

Fix  $(h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})$  such that  $\langle h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \rangle = G$ .

We can go from  $(g_1, \dots, g_k)$  to  $(m, m_1 h_1, \dots, m_{k-1} h_{k-1})$  for  $m, m_i \in M$ . This is done by working in the quotient group  $G/M$ , applying the inductive hypothesis, then lifting back to the whole group by taking a representative in each coset.

Next observe that  $(m_i h_i)^{-1} \cdot m \cdot (m_i h_i) = h_i^{-1} \cdot m \cdot h_i = m^{h_i}$  since  $M$  is abelian. This implies that

$$\text{word}(m_1 h_1, \dots, m_{k-1} h_{k-1})^{-1} \cdot m \cdot \text{word}(m_1 h_1, \dots, m_{k-1} h_{k-1}) = \text{word}(h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})^{-1} \cdot m \cdot \text{word}(h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}).$$

Now  $\langle h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \rangle = G$ , so  $(m, m_1 h_1, \dots, m_{k-1} h_{k-1}) \longrightarrow (m^g, m_1 h_1, \dots, m_{k-1} h_{k-1})$  for any  $g \in G$  (write  $g$  as  $\text{word}(h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})$ ).

Also,  $\langle m^g : g \in G \rangle = M$  since  $M$  is minimal, and thus  $m_1 = m^{g_{i_1}} m^{g_{i_2}} \dots m^{g_{i_n}}$  for some  $g_{i_j} \in G$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (g_1, \dots, g_k) & \longrightarrow & (m, m_1 h_1, \dots, m_{k-1} h_{k-1}) \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & (m^{g_{i_1}}, m_1 h_1, \dots, m_{k-1} h_{k-1}) \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & (m^{g_{i_1}}, (m^{g_{i_1}})^{-1} m_1 h_1, \dots, m_{k-1} h_{k-1}) \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & (m^{g_{i_2}}, (m^{g_{i_1}})^{-1} m_1 h_1, \dots, m_{k-1} h_{k-1}) \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & (m^{g_{i_2}}, (m^{g_{i_2}})^{-1} (m^{g_{i_1}})^{-1} m_1 h_1, \dots, m_{k-1} h_{k-1}) \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & (m^a, h_1, m_2 h_2, \dots, m_{k-1} h_{k-1}) \quad (\text{some } a \in G) \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & (m^z, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1}) \quad (\text{some } z \in G) \\
 & & \downarrow \text{ since } \langle h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \rangle = G \\
 & & (1, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1})
 \end{array}$$

Now  $(h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})$  was arbitrary, so any two  $(g_1, \dots, g_k)$  are connected in  $\Gamma_k(G)$ .

■