

## Lecture 28

Lecturer: Igor Pak

Scribe: Fumei Lam

## Product Replacement Graphs

**Definition 1** Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $k \geq d(G)$ , where  $d(G)$  is the minimum number of generators of  $G$ . The product replacement graph  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is a graph on  $k$ -tuples  $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k) \in G^k$  satisfying  $\langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k \rangle = G$ . The edges of  $\Gamma_k(G)$  are

$$\{\bar{g}, R_{ij}^{\pm}(\bar{g})\},$$

$$\{\bar{g}, L_{ij}^{\pm}(\bar{g})\},$$

where

$$R_{ij}^{\pm}(g_1, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_j, \dots, g_k) = (g_1, \dots, g_i g_j^{\pm 1}, \dots, g_j, \dots, g_k),$$

$$L_{ij}^{\pm}(g_1, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_j, \dots, g_k) = (g_1, \dots, g_j^{\pm 1} g_i, \dots, g_j, \dots, g_k).$$

There are  $k(k-1)$  choices for pairs  $(i, j)$ , and two choices each for  $R$  or  $L$  and  $+$  or  $-$ . By allowing vertices in  $\Gamma_k(G)$  to contain loops, this implies  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is a  $D$ -regular graph with  $D = 4k(k-1)$ .

**Example.** For  $G = Z_p^m$ ,  $d(G) = m$ , the vertices of  $\Gamma_m(Z_p^m)$  are matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $\det(A) \neq 0$ ,  $a_{ij} \in F_p$ .

The operations  $R_{ij}^{\pm}$  and  $L_{ij}^{\pm}$  correspond to left multiplication by

$$E^{\pm} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on the diagonal} \\ \pm 1 & \text{in entry } ij \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that since the group is abelian, the operations  $L$  and  $R$  are the same. So  $\Gamma_m(Z_p^m)$  is the Cayley graph  $\Gamma(GL(m, p), \{E_{ij}(\pm 1)\})$ . Since  $E^{\pm}$  has determinant  $\pm 1$ ,  $\Gamma_m(Z_p^m)$  has  $p-1$  connected components, each corresponding to different values for the determinant.

**Conjecture 2** If  $k \geq d(G) + 1$ , then  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is connected.

The following weaker conjecture is also unknown.

**Conjecture 3** *If  $k \geq 3$ , then  $\Gamma_k(S_n)$  is connected.*

**Lemma 4** (Higman) *Let  $k = 2$ . Then the conjugacy class of  $[g_1, g_2]$  ( $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle = G$ ) is invariant on connected components of  $\Gamma_2(G)$ .*

**Proof:** For  $(g_1, g_2) \in V(\Gamma_2(G))$ ,  $\{L^\pm(g_1, g_2), R^\pm(g_1, g_2)\} = \{(g_1g_2, g_2), (g_1g_2^{-1}, g_2), (g_2g_1, g_2), (g_2^{-1}g_1, g_2)\}$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [g_1g_2, g_2] &= g_1g_2g_2g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1} = [g_1, g_2], \\ [g_2g_1, g_2] &= g_2g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}g_2^{-1} = g_2[g_1, g_2]g_2^{-1} = [g_1, g_2]^{g_2^{-1}}, \\ [g_1g_2^{-1}, g_2] &= g_1g_2^{-1}g_2g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1} = [g_1, g_2], \\ [g_2^{-1}g_1, g_2] &= g_2^{-1}g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2g_2^{-1} = g_2^{-1}[g_1, g_2]g_2 = [g_1, g_2]^{g_2}. \end{aligned}$$

■

**Example.** Let  $G = A_n$  for  $n$  odd,  $k = 2$  and consider  $a = (123 \dots n)$ ,  $b = (123 \dots p)$  with  $p \nmid n$ .

Then the commutators lie in different conjugacy classes, implying the number of connected components of  $\Gamma_2(A_n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 5** *Let  $m(G)$  denote the maximum size of a nonredundant generating set. For  $k \geq d(G) + m(G)$ ,  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is connected.*

In order to prove the theorem, we first define graph  $\tilde{\Gamma}_k(G)$  as the graph  $\Gamma_k(G)$  with additional edges defined by the operators

$$\begin{aligned} I_m(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m, \dots, g_k) &= (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m^{-1}, \dots, g_k) \\ \pi_{ij}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_j, \dots, g_k) &= (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_j, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_k). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6** *The number of connected components of  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is less than or equal to the number of connected components of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_k(G)$ .*

**Proof:** Define the operation  $T_{ij}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_j, \dots, g_k) = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_j^{-1}, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_k)$ , i.e.,  $T_{ij}$  switches entries  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  and inverts  $g_j$ . Note that  $T_{ij} = L_{ij}^- L_{ji}^+ R_{ij}^-$  and

$$T_{ij}^2(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_i, \dots, g_j, \dots, g_k) = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_i^{-1} \dots g_j^{-1} \dots g_k).$$

Now note that since  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is a subgraph of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_k(G)$ , this implies every connected component of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_k(G)$  splits into at most 2 components in  $\Gamma_k(G)$ .

■

As a corollary, if  $\tilde{\Gamma}_k(G)$  is connected for  $k \geq d(G) + 1$ , then  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is connected.

Since  $m(G) \geq 1$  for all groups, we need only consider  $\tilde{\Gamma}_k(G)$  to prove the theorem.

**Theorem 7** For  $k \geq d(G) + m(G)$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma}_k(G)$  is connected.

**Proof:**

By definition of  $m = m(G)$ , any element  $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k) \in \Gamma_k(G)$  contains a generating subset of  $m$  elements  $g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \dots, g_{i_m}$ . Use the operators  $\pi_{ij}$  to obtain

$$(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k) \rightarrow (g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \dots, g_{i_m}, \dots)$$

where the remaining  $k - m$  elements are those not in  $\{g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \dots, g_{i_m}\}$ . Now since  $g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \dots, g_{i_m}$  form a generating set, we can use the  $L^\pm$  and  $R^\pm$  operations to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \dots, g_{i_m}, \dots) &\rightarrow (g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \dots, g_{i_m}, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \\ &\rightarrow (g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \dots, g_{i_m}, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-m}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-m}$  is a generating set of  $G$  (this is possible, since  $k - m \geq d$ ). Then we again use the  $L^\pm, R^\pm$  operators to obtain

$$(g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \dots, g_{i_m}, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-m}) \rightarrow (1, 1, \dots, 1, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-m}).$$

Therefore, every element in  $\tilde{\Gamma}_k(G)$  is connected to the element  $(1, 1, \dots, 1, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-m})$ , implying  $\tilde{\Gamma}_k(G)$  is connected. ■

Now Theorem 5 follows immediately from Lemma 6 and Theorem 7. We also have the following corollary.

**Corollary 8** For  $k \geq 2\lceil \log_2 |G| \rceil$ ,  $\Gamma_k(G)$  is connected.

The following theorem shows that  $\Gamma_3(A_n)$  contains very large connected components.

**Theorem 9** There exists  $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma_k(A_n)$  such that  $\Gamma'$  is connected for all  $k \geq 3$  and

$$\frac{|\Gamma'|}{|\Gamma_k(A_n)|} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Proof:** For  $k = 3$ , pick  $g_1, g_2, g_3, h_1, h_2, h_3 \in A_n$  uniformly and independently. We will show that with high probability, the elements  $(g_1, g_2, g_3), (h_1, h_2, h_3) \in \Gamma_3(A_n)$  are connected. Since  $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle = A_n$  with high probability, we can use  $L^\pm, R^\pm$  operations to obtain

$$(g_1, g_2, g_3) \rightarrow (g_1, g_2, h_3).$$

Similarly, since  $h_2$  and  $h_3$  were chosen uniformly,  $\langle g_1, h_3 \rangle = \langle h_2, h_3 \rangle = A_n$  with high probability, so we have

$$(g_1, g_2, h_3) \rightarrow (g_1, h_2, h_3) \rightarrow (h_1, h_2, h_3).$$

Since the probability two random elements generate  $A_n$  is at least  $1 - \frac{1}{n}$ , the probability two random elements are connected is at least  $1 - \frac{1}{3n}$  and the theorem follows. ■