

# UNRAMIFIED DEGREE THREE INVARIANTS OF REDUCTIVE GROUPS

A. MERKURJEV

ABSTRACT. We prove that if  $G$  is a reductive group over an algebraically closed field  $F$ , then for a prime integer  $p \neq \text{char}(F)$ , the group of unramified Galois cohomology  $H_{\text{nr}}^3(F(BG), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(2))$  is trivial for the classifying space  $BG$  of  $G$  if  $p$  is odd or the commutator subgroup of  $G$  is simple.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a cohomological invariant of an algebraic group was introduced by J-P. Serre in [18]. Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over a field  $F$  and  $M$  a Galois module over  $F$ . A *degree  $d$  invariant* of  $G$  assigns to every  $G$ -torsor over a field extension  $K$  over  $F$  an element in the Galois cohomology group  $H^d(K, M)$ , functorially in  $K$ . In this paper we consider the cohomology groups  $H^d(K) = H^d(K, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d-1))$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d-1)$  is defined as the Galois module of  $(d-1)$ -twisted roots of unity. The  $p$ -part of this module requires special care if  $p = \text{char}(F) > 0$ . All degree  $d$  invariants of  $G$  form an abelian group  $\text{Inv}^d(G)$ . An invariant is *normalized* if it takes a trivial torsor to the trivial cohomology class. The group  $\text{Inv}^d(G)$  is the direct sum of the subgroup  $\text{Inv}^d(G)_{\text{norm}}$  of normalized invariants and the subgroup of *constant* invariants isomorphic to  $H^d(F)$ .

The group  $\text{Inv}^d(G)_{\text{norm}}$  for small values of  $d$  is well understood. The group  $\text{Inv}^1(G)_{\text{norm}}$  is trivial if  $G$  is connected. There is a canonical isomorphism  $\text{Inv}^2(G)_{\text{norm}} \simeq \text{Pic}(G)$  for every reductive group  $G$  (see [2, Theorem 2.4]). M. Rost proved (see [6, Part 2]) that if  $G$  is simple simply connected then the group  $\text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{norm}}$  is cyclic of finite order with a canonical generator called the *Rost invariant*. The group  $\text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{norm}}$  for an arbitrary semisimple group  $G$  was studied in [10].

For a prime integer  $p$ , write  $H^d(K, p)$  and  $\text{Inv}^d(G, p)$  for the  $p$ -primary components of  $H^d(K)$  and  $\text{Inv}^d(G)$  respectively. If  $v$  is a discrete valuation of a field extension  $K/F$  trivial on  $F$  with residue field  $F(v)$ , then there is defined the *residue* homomorphism  $\partial_v : H^d(K, p) \rightarrow H^{d-1}(F(v), p)$  for every  $p \neq \text{char}(F)$ . An element  $a \in H^d(K, p)$  is *unramified* with respect to  $v$  if  $\partial_v(a) = 0$ . We write  $H_{\text{nr}}^d(K, p)$  for the subgroup of all elements unramified

---

*Date:* November, 2014.

*Key words and phrases.* Reductive algebraic group; classifying space; unramified cohomology, *2010 Mathematical Subject Classifications:* 12G05, 20G10 .

The work has been supported by the NSF grant DMS #1160206 and the Guggenheim Fellowship.

with respect to every discrete valuation of  $K$  over  $F$ . An invariant in  $\text{Inv}^d(G, p)$  is called *unramified* if all values of the invariant over every  $K/F$  belongs to  $H_{\text{nr}}^d(K, p)$ . We write  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(G, p)$  for the group of all unramified invariants.

Let  $V$  be a generically free representation of  $G$ . There is a nonempty  $G$ -invariant open subscheme  $U \subset V$  and a *versal*  $G$ -torsor  $U \rightarrow X$  for a variety  $X$  over  $F$ . We think of  $X$  as an approximation of the *classifying space*  $BG$  of  $G$ . The larger the codimension of  $V \setminus U$  in  $V$  the better  $X$  approximates  $BG$ . Abusing notation, we will write  $BG$  for  $X$ . Note that the stable birational type of  $BG$  is well defined.

The generic fiber of the versal  $G$ -torsor is the *generic*  $G$ -torsor over the function field  $F(BG)$  of the classifying space. A theorem of Rost and Totaro asserts that the evaluation at the generic  $G$ -torsor yields an isomorphism between  $\text{Inv}^d(G, p)$  and the subgroup of  $H^d(F(BG), p)$  of all elements unramified with respect to the discrete valuations associated with all irreducible divisors of  $BG$ . This isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism

$$\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(G, p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{nr}}^d(F(BG), p).$$

A classical question is whether the classifying space  $BG$  of an algebraic group  $G$  is stably rational. To disprove stable rationality of  $BG$  it suffices to show that the map  $H^d(F, p) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^d(F(BG), p)$  is not surjective for some  $d$  and  $p$  or, equivalently, to find a non-constant unramified invariant of  $G$ . For example, D. Saltman disproved in [14] the Noether Conjecture (that  $V/G$  is stably rational for a faithful representation  $V$  of a finite group  $G$  over an algebraically closed field) by proving that  $H_{\text{nr}}^2(F(BG), p) \neq H^2(F, p)$  for some  $G$  and  $p$ , i.e., by establishing a non-constant degree 2 invariant of  $G$ . E. Peyre found new examples of finite groups with non-constant unramified degree 3 invariants in [12]. Degree 3 unramified invariants of simply connected groups (over arbitrary fields) were studied in [11] (classical groups) and [7] (exceptional groups).

It is still a wide open problem whether there exists a connected algebraic group  $G$  over an algebraically closed field  $F$  with the classifying space  $BG$  that is not stably rational. Connected groups have no non-trivial degree 1 invariants. F. Bogomolov proved in [3, Lemma 5.7] (see also [2, Theorem 5.10]) that connected groups have no non-trivial degree 2 unramified invariants. In [15] and [16], D. Saltman proved that the projective linear group  $\text{PGL}_n$  has no non-trivial degree 3 unramified invariants.

In the present paper, we study unramified degree 3 invariants of an arbitrary (connected) reductive group  $G$  over an algebraically closed field, or equivalently, the unramified elements in  $H^3(F(BG))$ . The language of invariants seems easier to work with. The main result is the following theorem (see Theorems 8.4 and 11.3):

**Theorem.** Let  $G$  be a split reductive group over an algebraically closed field  $F$  and  $p$  a prime integer different from  $\text{char}(F)$ . Then

$$\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^3(G, p) = H_{\text{nr}}^3(F(BG), p) = 0$$

if  $p$  is odd or the commutator subgroup of  $G$  is (almost) simple.

Let  $H$  be the commutator subgroup of a split reductive group  $G$ . We have  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^3(G, p) = \text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^3(H, p)$  (see Proposition 6.1). If  $H$  is a simple group, we compare the group  $\text{Inv}^3(H)$  with the group  $\text{Inv}^3(\tilde{H}^{\text{gen}})$ , where  $\tilde{H}^{\text{gen}}$  is the simply connected cover of  $H$  twisted by a generic  $H$ -torsor, and use our knowledge of the unramified degree 3 invariants in the simply connected case. The key statement is the injectivity of the homomorphism  $\text{Inv}^3(H) \rightarrow \text{Inv}^3(\tilde{H}^{\text{gen}})$  (see Section 8).

In general, when  $H$  is semisimple but not necessarily simple, we consider an embedding of  $H$  into a reductive group  $G'$  as the commutator subgroup. Then  $\text{Inv}^3(G')$  is identified with a subgroup of  $\text{Inv}^3(H)$ . If  $G'$  is *strict*, i.e., the center of  $G'$  is a torus, this subgroup is the smallest possible and is independent of the choice of  $G'$ . We write  $\text{Inv}_{\text{red}}^3(H)$  for this subgroup. It satisfies

$$\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^3(H, p) \subset \text{Inv}_{\text{red}}^3(H, p) \subset \text{Inv}^3(H, p)$$

for every prime  $p \neq \text{char}(F)$ . The group  $\text{Inv}_{\text{red}}^3(H, p)$  is easier to control than  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^3(H, p)$ . We show that  $\text{Inv}_{\text{red}}^3(H, p) = 0$  which implies that  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^3(H, p)$  is also trivial.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author thanks J-P. Tignol for valuable remarks and the Max Planck Institute (Bonn) for hospitality.

## 2. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND FACTS

Let  $F$  be a field. If  $d \geq 1$ , we write  $H^d(F)$  for the Galois cohomology group  $H^d(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d-1))$ , with  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d-1)$  the direct sum of  $\text{colim}_n \mu_n^{\otimes(d-1)}$ , where  $\mu_n$  is the group of roots of unity of degree  $n$ , and the  $p$ -component if  $p = \text{char}(F) > 0$  (see [6, Part 2, Appendix A]). In particular,  $H^1(F)$  is the group of (continuous) characters of the absolute Galois group  $\text{Gal}(F_{\text{sep}}/F)$  of  $F$  and  $H^2(F)$  is the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(F)$ . We view  $H^d$  as a functor from the category  $\mathbf{Fields}_F$  of field extensions of  $F$  to the category of abelian groups (or the category  $\mathbf{Sets}$  of sets).

Let  $G$  be a (linear) algebraic group over a field  $F$ . The notion of an *invariant* of  $G$  was defined in [6] as follows. Consider the functor

$$\text{Tors}_G : \mathbf{Fields}_F \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$$

taking a field  $K$  to the set  $\text{Tors}_G(K) := H^1(K, G)$  of isomorphism classes of (right)  $G$ -torsors over  $\text{Spec } K$ . A *degree  $d$  cohomological invariant* of  $G$  is then a morphism of functors

$$\text{Tors}_G \rightarrow H^d,$$

i.e., a functorial in  $K$  collection of maps of sets  $\text{Tors}_G(K) \rightarrow H^d(K)$  for all field extensions  $K/F$ . We denote the group of such invariants by  $\text{Inv}^d(G)$ .

An invariant  $I \in \text{Inv}^d(G)$  is called *normalized* if  $I(E) = 0$  for a trivial  $G$ -torsor  $E$ . The normalized invariants form a subgroup  $\text{Inv}^d(G)_{\text{norm}}$  of  $\text{Inv}^d(G)$

and there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Inv}^d(G) \simeq H^d(F) \oplus \mathrm{Inv}^d(G)_{\mathrm{norm}}.$$

**Example 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a (connected) reductive group over  $F$ . It is shown in [2, Theorem 2.4] that there is an isomorphism

$$\beta_G : \mathrm{Pic}(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Inv}^2(G)_{\mathrm{norm}}.$$

Let  $G$  be a split reductive group and  $H$  the commutator subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\pi : \tilde{H} \rightarrow H$  be a simply connected cover with kernel  $\tilde{C}$ . There are canonical isomorphisms (see [17, §6])

$$(2.2) \quad \mathrm{Pic}(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Pic}(H) \simeq \tilde{C}^* := \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{C}, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Take any character  $\chi \in \tilde{C}^*$  and consider the push-out diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{C} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & H \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \chi & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & H' & \longrightarrow & H \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

The isomorphism  $\tilde{C}^* \simeq \mathrm{Pic}(H)$  takes a character  $\chi$  to the class the line bundle  $L_\chi$  on  $H$  given by the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor  $H' \rightarrow H$  in the bottom row of the diagram. For a field extension  $K/F$  and an  $H$ -torsor  $E$  over  $K$ , the value of the invariant  $\beta_H(L_\chi)$  is equal to  $\delta([E]) \in H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathrm{Br}(K)$ , where  $[E]$  is the class of  $E$  in  $H^1(K, H)$  and  $\delta : H^1(K, H) \rightarrow H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m)$  is the connecting map for the bottom exact sequence in the diagram.

If  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a homomorphism of algebraic groups over  $F$  and  $E_1$  is a  $G_1$ -torsor over a field extension  $K/F$ , then  $E_2 := (E_1 \times G_2)/G_1$  is the  $G_2$ -torsor over  $K$  which we denote by  $f_*(E_1)$ . If  $I$  is a degree  $d$  invariant of  $G_2$ , we define an invariant  $f^*(I)$  of  $G_1$  by  $f^*(I)(E_1) := I(f_*(E_1))$ . Thus, we have a group homomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad f^* : \mathrm{Inv}^d(G_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Inv}^d(G_1)$$

taking normalized invariants to the normalized ones.

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over a field  $F$  and let  $V$  be a generically free representation of  $G$ . There is a nonempty  $G$ -invariant open subscheme  $U \subset V$  such that  $U$  is a  $G$ -torsor over a variety which we denote by  $U/G$  (see [19, Remark 1.4]). We think of  $U/G$  as an approximation of the ‘‘classifying space’’  $BG$  of  $G$  and abusing notation write  $U/G = BG$ . The space  $BG$  is better approximated by  $U/G$  if the codimension of  $V \setminus U$  in  $V$  is large. For our purposes it suffices to assume that this codimension is at least 3 (see [2]).

Note that by the No-name Lemma, the stable rationality type of  $BG$  is uniquely determined by  $G$ .

The generic fiber  $E^{\mathrm{gen}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(F(BG))$  of the projection  $U \rightarrow U/G$  is called the *generic*  $G$ -torsor. The value of an invariant of  $G$  at the generic

torsor  $E^{\text{gen}}$  yields a homomorphism

$$\text{Inv}^d(G) \longrightarrow H^d(F(BG)).$$

Rost proved (see [6, Part 2, Th. 3.3] or [2, Theorem 2.2]) that this map is injective, i.e., every invariant is determined by its value at the generic torsor.

We decompose the group of invariants into a direct sum of primary components:

$$\text{Inv}^d(G) = \coprod_{p \text{ prime}} \text{Inv}^d(G, p).$$

Let  $K$  be a field extension of  $F$ . For a prime integer  $p$ , write  $H^d(K, p)$  for the  $p$ -primary component of  $H^d(K)$ . Let  $v$  be a discrete valuation of  $K$  over  $F$  with residue field  $F(v)$ . If  $\text{char}(F) \neq p$ , there is the *residue map* (see [6, Chapter 2])

$$\partial_v : H^d(K, p) \longrightarrow H^{d-1}(F(v), p).$$

An element  $a \in H^d(K, p)$  is *unramified with respect to  $v$*  if  $\partial_v(a) = 0$ .

A point  $x$  of codimension 1 in  $BG$  for an algebraic group  $G$  yields a discrete valuation  $v_x$  on the function field  $F(BG)$  over  $F$ . Write  $A^0(BG, H^d, p)$  for the group of all elements in  $H^d(F(BG), p)$  that are unramified with respect to  $v_x$  for all points  $x$  of codimension 1 in  $BG$ . It is proved in [6, Part 1, Theorem 11.7] that the value of every invariant from  $\text{Inv}^d(G, p)$  at the generic  $G$ -torsor  $E^{\text{gen}}$  belongs to  $A^0(BG, H^d, p)$ . Moreover, we have the following theorem (see [6, Part 1, Appendix C]):

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $F$  and  $p$  a prime different from  $\text{char}(F)$ . Then the evaluation of an invariant at the generic  $G$ -torsor yields an isomorphism*

$$\text{Inv}^d(G, p) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^0(BG, H^d, p).$$

The inverse isomorphism is defined as follows. Let  $E$  be a  $G$ -torsor over a field extension  $K/F$  and  $BG = U/G$ . We have the following canonical morphisms:

$$\text{Spec } K = E/G \xleftarrow{f} (E \times U)/G \xrightarrow{h} U/G = BG.$$

Note that the groups  $H^d(K, p)$  for all  $d$  and all field extensions  $K/F$  form a *cycle module* in the sense of Rost (see [13]). In particular, we have flat pull-back homomorphisms

$$H^d(K, p) = A^0(\text{Spec } K, H^d, p) \xrightarrow{f^*} A^0((E \times U)/G, H^d, p) \xleftarrow{h^*} A^0(BG, H^d, p).$$

The variety  $(E \times U)/G$  is an open subscheme of the vector bundle  $(E \times V)/G$  over  $\text{Spec } K$ . By the homotopy invariance property, the pull-back homomorphism

$$H^d(K, p) = A^0(\text{Spec } K, H^d, p) \longrightarrow A^0((E \times V)/G, H^d, p)$$

is an isomorphism. Since the inclusion of  $(E \times U)/G$  into  $(E \times V)/G$  is a bijection on points of codimension 1 (by our assumption on the codimension of  $V \setminus U$  in  $V$ ), the restriction homomorphism

$$A^0((E \times V)/G, H^d, p) \longrightarrow A^0((E \times U)/G, H^d, p)$$

is an isomorphism. It follows that  $f^*$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $a \in A^0(BG, H^d, p)$ . The invariant  $I \in \text{Inv}^d(G, p)$  defined by  $I(E) = (f^*)^{-1}h^*(a)$  is the inverse image of  $a$  under the isomorphism in Theorem 2.4.

### 3. DECOMPOSABLE INVARIANTS

The group of decomposable degree 3 invariants of a semisimple group was defined in [10, §1]. We extend this definition to the class of split reductive groups.

Let  $G$  be a split reductive group over  $F$ . The  $\cup$ -product  $H^2(K) \otimes K^\times \longrightarrow H^3(K)$  for any field extension  $K/F$  yields a pairing

$$\text{Inv}^2(G)_{\text{norm}} \otimes F^\times \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{norm}}.$$

The subgroup of *decomposable invariants*  $\text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{dec}}$  is the image of the pairing.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a split reductive group over  $F$ . Then the composition*

$$\text{Pic}(G) \otimes F^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Inv}^2(G)_{\text{norm}} \otimes F^\times \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{dec}}$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The surjectivity of the composition follows from the definition. Let  $H$  be the commutator subgroup of  $G$ . By [10, Theorem 4.2]), the composition is an isomorphism when  $G$  is replaced by  $H$ . The injectivity of the composition for  $G$  follows then from the fact that the map  $\text{Pic}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(H)$  in (2.2) is an isomorphism.  $\square$

It follows from the proposition that  $\text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{dec}} = 0$  if  $\text{Pic}(G) = 0$  (for example,  $G$  is semisimple simply connected) or  $F$  is algebraically closed.

We write

$$\text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{ind}} := \text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{norm}} / \text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{dec}}.$$

### 4. UNRAMIFIED INVARIANTS

Let  $K/F$  be a field extension and  $p$  a prime integer different from  $\text{char}(F)$ . We write  $H_{\text{nr}}^d(K/F, p)$  for the subgroup of all elements in  $H^d(K, p)$  that are unramified with respect to all discrete valuations of  $K$  over  $F$ . A field extension  $L/K$  yields a natural homomorphism  $H^d(K) \longrightarrow H^d(L)$  that takes  $H_{\text{nr}}^d(K/F, p)$  into  $H_{\text{nr}}^d(L/F, p)$  by [6, Part 1, Proposition 8.2].

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $F$ . An invariant  $I \in \text{Inv}^d(G, p)$  is called *unramified* if for every field extension  $K/F$  and every  $E \in \text{Tors}_G(K)$ , we have  $I(E) \in H_{\text{nr}}^d(K/F, p)$ . Note that the constant invariants are always unramified. We will write  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(G, p)$  for the subgroup of all unramified invariants in  $\text{Inv}^d(G, p)$ .

If  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a group homomorphism, then the map  $f^*$  in (2.3) takes  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(G_2, p)$  into  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(G_1, p)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $F$ . An invariant  $I \in \text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(G, p)$  is unramified if and only if the value of  $I$  at the generic  $G$ -torsor in  $H^d(F(BG), p)$  is unramified. In particular,  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(G, p) \simeq H_{\text{nr}}^d(F(BG), p)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the inverse of the isomorphism in Theorem 2.4 takes unramified elements to unramified invariants. Let  $a \in H_{\text{nr}}^d(F(BG), p) \subset A^0(BG, H^d, p)$ . The corresponding invariant  $I \in \text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(G, p)$  is defined by  $I(E) = (f^*)^{-1}h^*(a)$  (see Section 2). Note that  $h^*$  takes unramified elements to unramified ones and  $f^*$  yields an isomorphism on the unramified elements as the function field of  $(E \times U)/G$  is a purely transcendental extension of  $K$ . It follows that  $I(E)$  is unramified for all  $E$ , hence the invariant  $I$  is unramified.  $\square$

Unramified invariants are constant along rational families of torsors. Precisely, if  $K/F$  is a purely transcendental field extension and  $E$  is a  $G$ -torsor over  $K$ , then for every invariant  $I \in \text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(G, p)$  we have

$$I(E) \in \text{Im}(H^d(F, p) \rightarrow H^d(K, p)).$$

Indeed,  $I(E) \in H_{\text{nr}}^d(K, p)$  which is the image of  $H^d(F, p)$  in  $H^d(K, p)$ .

## 5. ABSTRACT CHERN CLASSES

Let  $A$  be a lattice (written additively). Consider the symmetric ring  $\mathcal{S}^*(A)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[A]$  of  $A$ . We use the exponential notation for  $\mathbb{Z}[A]$ : every element can be written as a finite sum  $\sum_{a \in A} n_a e^a$  with  $n_a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . There are the *abstract Chern classes* (see [10, 3c])

$$c_i : \mathbb{Z}[A] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^i(A), \quad i \geq 0$$

satisfying in particular,

$$c_1\left(\sum_i e^{a_i}\right) = \sum_i a_i \in A \quad \text{and} \quad c_2\left(\sum_i e^{a_i}\right) = \sum_{i < j} a_i a_j \in \mathcal{S}^2(A).$$

The map  $c_1$  is a homomorphism and

$$c_2(x + y) = c_2(x) + c_2(y) + c_1(x)c_1(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[A]$ .

If  $A$  is a  $W$ -lattice for a group  $W$  acting on  $A$ , then all the  $c_i$ 's are  $W$ -equivariant. It follows that  $c_2$  yields a map (not a homomorphism in general) of groups of  $W$ -invariant elements:

$$c_2^W : \mathbb{Z}[A]^W \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(A)^W.$$

The group  $\mathbb{Z}[A]^W$  is generated by the elements  $\sum e_i^a$ , where the  $a_i$ 's form a  $W$ -orbit in  $A$ . It follows that the subgroup of  $\mathcal{S}^2(A)^W$  generated by the image of  $c_2^W$  is generated by  $\sum_{i < j} a_i a_j$  with the  $a_i$ 's forming a  $W$ -orbit in  $A$  and  $aa'$

for  $a, a' \in A^W$ . The elements of these two types can be viewed as “obvious” elements in  $\mathcal{S}^2(A)^W$  which we call *decomposable*.

Write  $\mathcal{S}^2(A)_{\text{dec}}^W$  for the subgroup of  $\mathcal{S}^2(A)^W$  generated by the decomposable elements, or equivalently, by the image of  $c_2^W$ . Set

$$\mathcal{S}^2(A)_{\text{ind}}^W := \mathcal{S}^2(A)^W / \mathcal{S}^2(A)_{\text{dec}}^W.$$

Note that if  $A^W = 0$ , the map  $c_2^W$  is a homomorphism and  $\mathcal{S}^2(A)_{\text{ind}}^W$  is the cokernel of  $c_2^W$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be  $W_1$ - and  $W_2$ -lattices respectively. Then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{S}^2(A_1 \oplus A_2)_{\text{ind}}^{W_1 \times W_2} \simeq \mathcal{S}^2(A_1)_{\text{ind}}^{W_1} \oplus \mathcal{S}^2(A_2)_{\text{ind}}^{W_2}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\mathcal{S}^2(A_1 \oplus A_2)^{W_1 \times W_2} \simeq \mathcal{S}^2(A_1)^{W_1} \oplus \mathcal{S}^2(A_2)^{W_2} \oplus (A_1^{W_1} \otimes A_2^{W_2})$$

and

$$\mathbb{Z}[A_1 \oplus A_2]^{W_1 \times W_2} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[A_1]^{W_1} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[A_2]^{W_2}.$$

The standard formulas on the Chern classes show that  $c_1(\mathbb{Z}[A_i]^{W_i}) = A_i^{W_i}$  and

$$\mathcal{S}^2(A_1 \oplus A_2)_{\text{dec}}^{W_1 \times W_2} \simeq \mathcal{S}^2(A_1)_{\text{dec}}^{W_1} \oplus \mathcal{S}^2(A_2)_{\text{dec}}^{W_2} \oplus (A_1^{W_1} \otimes A_2^{W_2}),$$

whence the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $W$ -lattices. Suppose that  $W$  acts trivially on  $A$  and  $C^W = 0$ . Then*

(1) *The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(B)^W \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(C)^W$$

*is exact.*

(2) *The natural homomorphism  $\mathcal{S}^2(B)_{\text{ind}}^W \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(C)_{\text{ind}}^W$  is injective.*

*Proof.* The first statement is proved in [5, Lemma 4.9]. Since  $W$  acts trivially on  $A$ , for every subgroup  $W' \subset W$ , we have  $H^1(W', A) = 0$ , hence the map  $B^{W'} \rightarrow C^{W'}$  is surjective. The group  $\mathbb{Z}[C]^W$  is generated by elements of the form  $\sum_i e^{c_i}$ , where the  $c_i$ 's form a  $W$ -orbit in  $C$ . By the surjectivity above, applied to the stabilizer  $W' \subset W$ , this orbit can be lifted to a  $W$ -orbit in  $B$ . Therefore, the map  $\mathbb{Z}[B]^W \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[C]^W$  is surjective. The second statement follows from this, the first statement of the lemma and the fact that  $\mathcal{S}^2(A) = \mathcal{S}^2(A)_{\text{dec}}^W \subset \mathcal{S}^2(B)_{\text{dec}}^W$ .  $\square$

## 6. DEGREE 3 INVARIANTS OF SPLIT REDUCTIVE GROUPS

Let  $G$  be a split reductive group over  $F$  and let  $H$  be the commutator subgroup of  $G$ . Thus,  $H$  is a split semisimple group and the factor group  $Q := G/H$  is a split torus.



**Proposition 6.1.** 1. *The restriction maps  $\text{Inv}^d(G) \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^d(H)$  and  $\text{Inv}^d(G)_{\text{ind}} \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^d(H)_{\text{ind}}$  are injective.*

2. *For every prime  $p \neq \text{char}(F)$ , the restriction map  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(G, p) \longrightarrow \text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(H, p)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* For a field extension  $K/F$ , the map

$$j : H^1(K, H) \longrightarrow H^1(K, G)$$

is surjective as  $H^1(K, Q) = 1$  and the group  $Q(K)$  acts transitively on the fibers of  $j$ . It follows that the restriction map  $\text{Inv}^d(G) \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^d(H)$  is injective. The injectivity of  $\text{Inv}^d(G)_{\text{ind}} \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^d(H)_{\text{ind}}$  follows then from Proposition 3.1.

As  $Q$  is a rational variety, the fibers of  $j$  are rational families of  $H$ -torsors. Since an unramified invariant of  $H$  must be constant on the fibers, it defines an invariant of  $G$ . This proves the second statement.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a split reductive group,  $T \subset G$  a split maximal torus. By [10, 3d], there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}^2(BG) & \longrightarrow & \overline{H}_{\text{ét}}^{4,2}(BG) & \longrightarrow & \text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{norm}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}^2(BT) & \longrightarrow & \overline{H}_{\text{ét}}^{4,2}(BT) & \longrightarrow & \text{Inv}^3(T)_{\text{norm}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with the exact rows, where  $\overline{H}_{\text{ét}}^{4,2}(BH) = \overline{H}^4(BH, \mathbb{Z}(2))$  for an algebraic group  $H$  is the reduced weight two étale motivic cohomology group (see [9, §5]). The group  $\text{Inv}^3(T)_{\text{norm}}$  is trivial as  $T$  has no nontrivial torsors and  $\text{CH}^2(BT) = \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)$  by [2, Example A.5], hence the middle term in the bottom row is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{S}^2(T^*)$ .

Let  $N$  be the normalizer of  $T$  in  $G$  and  $W = N/T$  the Weyl group. The group  $W$  acts naturally on  $BT$ . Moreover, if  $w \in W$ , the composition

$$BT \xrightarrow{w} BT \xrightarrow{s} BG,$$

where  $s$  is the natural morphism, coincides with  $s$ . Therefore, the image of the middle vertical homomorphism in the diagram

$$\overline{H}_{\text{ét}}^{4,2}(BG) \longrightarrow \overline{H}_{\text{ét}}^{4,2}(BT) = \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)$$

is contained in the subgroup  $\mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W$  of  $W$ -invariant elements. By [10, Lemma 3.8], the image of  $\text{CH}^2(BG)$  under this homomorphism is equal to  $\mathcal{S}^2(T^*)_{\text{dec}}^W$ . Therefore, by diagram chase, we have a homomorphism  $\text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{norm}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)_{\text{ind}}^W$ . The group of decomposable invariants  $\text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{dec}}$  is in the kernel of this map since  $\text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{dec}}$  vanishes over an algebraic closure of  $F$  and the group  $\mathcal{S}^2(T^*)_{\text{ind}}^W$  does not change. Therefore, we have a well-defined homomorphism

$$\alpha_G : \text{Inv}^3(G)_{\text{ind}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)_{\text{ind}}^W.$$

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $G$  be a split reductive group over  $F$ . Then the map  $\alpha_G$  is injective. If  $G$  is semisimple, then  $\alpha_G$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The second statement is proved in [10, Theorem 3.9]. The first statement follows from Proposition 6.1(1), the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Inv}^3(G)_{\mathrm{ind}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_G} & \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)_{\mathrm{ind}}^W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Inv}^3(H)_{\mathrm{ind}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_H} & \mathcal{S}^2(S^*)_{\mathrm{ind}}^W, \end{array}$$

where  $H$  is the commutator subgroup of  $G$  and  $S$  is a maximal torus of  $H$ , and the second statement applied to  $H$ .  $\square$

Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 5.1 yield the following additivity property.

**Corollary 6.3.** *Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two split semisimple groups. Then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Inv}^3(H_1 \times H_2) \simeq \mathrm{Inv}^3(H_1) \oplus \mathrm{Inv}^3(H_2).$$

Let  $H$  be a split semisimple group over a field  $F$ ,  $\pi : \tilde{H} \rightarrow H$  a simply connected cover,  $\tilde{S}$  the pre-image of a split maximal torus  $S$  of  $H$ , so  $\tilde{S}$  is a split maximal torus of  $\tilde{H}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}^2(S^*)$  can be viewed with respect to  $\pi$  as a sublattice of  $\mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{S}^*)$  of finite index and we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Inv}^3(H)_{\mathrm{ind}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha_H} & \mathcal{S}^2(S^*)_{\mathrm{ind}}^W \\ \pi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Inv}^3(\tilde{H})_{\mathrm{norm}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha_{\tilde{H}}} & \mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{S}^*)_{\mathrm{ind}}^W. \end{array}$$

If  $H$  is simple, the group  $\mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{S}^*)^W$  is infinite cyclic with a canonical generator  $q$  (see [6, Part 2, §7]). It follows that  $\mathcal{S}^2(S^*)^W$  is also infinite cyclic with  $kq$  a generator for a unique integer  $k > 0$ . The invariant  $R \in \mathrm{Inv}^3(\tilde{H})_{\mathrm{norm}}$  corresponding to the generator  $q$  is called the *Rost invariant* of  $\tilde{H}$ . It is a generator of the cyclic group  $\mathrm{Inv}^3(\tilde{H})$ .

## 7. CHANGE OF GROUPS

In this section we prove the following useful property.

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime integer,  $G$  an algebraic group over  $F$ ,  $C \subset G$  a finite central diagonalizable subgroup of order not divisible by  $p$ ,  $H = G/C$ . Then the natural map  $\mathrm{Inv}^d(H, p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Inv}^d(G, p)$  is an isomorphism. If  $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq p$ , then the map  $\mathrm{Inv}_{\mathrm{nr}}^d(H, p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Inv}_{\mathrm{nr}}^d(G, p)$  is also an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Both functors in the definition of an invariant can be naturally extended to the category  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $F$ -algebras that are finite product of fields, and every invariant extends uniquely to a morphism of extended functors. If  $K \rightarrow L$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $M$  is an étale  $K$ -algebra, then  $L \otimes_K M$  is also an object of the category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

For any  $K$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  we have an exact sequence

$$H_{fppf}^1(K, G) \longrightarrow H_{fppf}^1(K, H) \xrightarrow{\delta_K} H_{fppf}^2(K, C)$$

and the group  $H_{fppf}^1(K, C)$  acts transitively on the fibers of the first map in the sequence.

*Proof of injectivity.* Let  $I \in \text{Inv}^d(H, p)$  be such that  $f^*(I) = 0$ , where  $f : G \rightarrow H$  is the canonical homomorphism. We prove that  $I = 0$ . Take any  $K$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $E \in \text{Tors}_H(K)$ . As an element of the group  $H_{fppf}^2(K, C)$  is a tuple of elements in  $\text{Br}(K)$  of order prime to  $p$ , there is an étale  $K$ -algebra  $L$  of (constant) finite rank  $[L : K]$  prime to  $p$  such that  $\delta_L(E_L) = 0$ . It follows that  $E_L = f_*(E')$  for some  $E' \in \text{Tors}_G(L)$ . We have

$$I(E)_L = I(E_L) = I(f_*(E')) = f^*(I)(E') = 0.$$

Since  $[L : K]$  is prime to  $p$ , we have  $I(E) = 0$ , i.e.,  $I = 0$ .

*Proof of surjectivity.* Let  $J \in \text{Inv}^d(G, p)$ . We construct an invariant  $I \in \text{Inv}^d(H, p)$  such that  $J = f^*(I)$ . Take any  $K$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $E \in \text{Tors}_H(K)$ . As above, choose an étale  $K$ -algebra  $L$  of finite rank prime to  $p$  such that  $\delta_L(E_L) = 0$  and an element  $E' \in \text{Tors}_G(L)$  with  $E_L = f_*(E')$ . We set

$$I(E) = \frac{1}{[L : K]} \text{cor}_{L/F}(J(E')).$$

This is independent of the choice of  $E'$ . Indeed, if  $E_L = f_*(E'')$  for  $E'' \in \text{Tors}_G(L)$ , then there exists  $\nu \in H_{fppf}^1(L, C)$  with  $E'' = \nu(E')$ . Choose an  $L$ -algebra  $P$  of constant rank  $[P : L]$  prime to  $p$  such that  $\nu_P = 1$ . It follows that  $E''_P = E'_P$  and therefore,

$$[P : L] \text{cor}_{L/F}(J(E'')) = \text{cor}_{P/F}(J(E''_P)) = \text{cor}_{P/F}(J(E'_P)) = [P : L] \text{cor}_{L/F}(J(E')).$$

Since  $[P : L]$  is prime to  $p$ , we have  $\text{cor}_{L/F}(J(E'')) = \text{cor}_{L/F}(J(E'))$ .

In order to show that the value  $I(E)$  is independent of the choice of  $L$ , for the two choices  $L$  and  $L'$ , it suffices to compare the formulas for  $L$  and  $LL' := L \otimes_F L'$ :

$$\frac{1}{[L : K]} \text{cor}_{L/F}(J(E')) = \frac{[L' : K]}{[LL' : K]} \text{cor}_{L/F}(J(E')) = \frac{1}{[LL' : K]} \text{cor}_{LL'/F}(J(E'_{LL'})).$$

We have constructed the invariant  $I \in \text{Inv}^d(H, p)$ . For any  $K$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $E' \in \text{Tors}_G(K)$ , by the definition of  $I$ , we have  $f^*(I)(E') = I(f_*(E')) = J(E')$ , hence  $f^*(I) = J$ . Note that if  $J$  is an unramified invariant,  $I$  is also unramified since the corestriction map preserves unramified elements by [6, Part 1, Proposition 8.6].  $\square$

## 8. DEGREE 3 UNRAMIFIED INVARIANTS OF SIMPLE GROUPS

The following statement was proved in [11] (classical groups) and [7] (exceptional groups).

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let  $H$  be an absolutely simple simply connected group over  $F$  and  $p$  a prime different from  $\text{char}(F)$ .*

1. *If the Dynkin diagram of  $H$  is different from  ${}^2A_n$ ,  $n$  odd, and  ${}^1D_4$ , then  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^3(H, p)_{\text{norm}} = 0$ .*
2. *If  $H$  is split, then  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^3(H, p)_{\text{norm}} = 0$ .*

Let  $H$  be a semisimple group over  $F$ ,  $E$  an  $H$ -torsor over  $\text{Spec}(K)$  for a field extension  $K/F$ . The twist  $H^E := \text{Aut}_H(E)$  of  $H$  by  $E$  is a semisimple group over  $K$ . The twisting argument shows that  $BH^E = BH_K$  and there is a canonical isomorphism  $\text{Inv}^d(H^E) \simeq \text{Inv}^d(H_K)$ . If  $E^{\text{gen}}$  is a generic  $H$ -torsor, we write  $H^{\text{gen}}$  for  $H^{E^{\text{gen}}}$ . Let  $\tilde{H}^{\text{gen}} \rightarrow H^{\text{gen}}$  be a simply connected cover.

**Proposition 8.2.** *Let  $H$  be a split simple group. Then the composition*

$$\text{Inv}^3(H)_{\text{ind}} \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(H^{\text{gen}})_{\text{ind}} \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(\tilde{H}^{\text{gen}})_{\text{ind}} = \text{Inv}^3(\tilde{H}^{\text{gen}})$$

*is injective.*

*Proof.* The statement is clear if  $H$  is a simply connected group. The case of an adjoint group  $H$  was considered in [10, Theorem 4.10]. Consider the other split semisimple groups type-by-type. It suffices to restrict to the  $p$ -component of  $\text{Inv}^3(H)$  for a prime  $p$ .

*Type  $A_{n-1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .* We have  $H = \text{SL}_n/\mu_m$  for an integer  $m$  dividing  $n$ . By Proposition 7.1, we may assume that  $m = p^r$  for some  $r$ . It is shown in [1, Theorem 4.1] and Theorem 6.2 that

$$\text{Inv}^3(H)_{\text{ind}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}^2(S^*)_{\text{ind}}^W \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})q.$$

On the other hand, an  $H$ -torsor yields a central simple algebra of degree  $n$  and exponent dividing  $m$ . A generic torsor gives an algebra with the exponent exactly  $m$ , hence  $\text{Inv}^3(\tilde{H}^{\text{gen}}) = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})R$  by [6, Part 2, Theorem 11.5].

*Type  $D_n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ .* We have  $H = \text{O}_{2n}^+$ , the special orthogonal group or  $H = \text{HSpin}_{2n}$ , the half-spin group if  $n$  is even. It is shown in [6, Part 1, Chapter VI] in the case  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$  that  $\text{Inv}^3(\text{O}_{2n}^+)_{\text{ind}} = 0$ . In general, recall that the character group of a maximal split torus  $S$  is a free group of rank  $n$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a basis for  $S^*$  such that the Weyl group  $W$  acts on the  $x_i$ 's by permutations and change of signs. The generator of  $\mathcal{S}^2(S^*)^W$  is the quadratic form  $q = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ . It is in  $\mathcal{S}^2(S^*)_{\text{dec}}^W$  since  $c_2(\sum_i e^{x_i} + e^{-x_i}) = -q$ . By [10, Theorem 3.9],  $\text{Inv}^3(\text{O}_{2n}^+)_{\text{ind}} = 0$ .

Finally, assume that  $n$  is even and  $H = \text{HSpin}_{2n}$ , the half-spin group. It follows from [1, Theorem 5.1] and Theorem 6.2 that

$$\text{Inv}^3(H)_{\text{ind}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}^2(S^*)_{\text{ind}}^W \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})q$$

and  $\text{Inv}^3(H)_{\text{ind}} = 0$  if  $n = 4$ . On the other hand, an  $H$ -torsor yields a central simple algebra of degree  $2n$ . A generic torsor gives a nonsplit algebra. By [6, Part 2, Theorem 15.4],  $\text{Inv}^3(\tilde{H}^{\text{gen}}) = (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})R$  if  $n > 4$ .  $\square$

**Remark 8.3.** The statement fails for semisimple groups that are not simple, see Example 11.2.

**Theorem 8.4.** *Let  $H$  be a split simple group over an algebraically closed field  $F$  and  $p$  a prime integer different from  $\text{char}(F)$ . Then  $\text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^3(H, p) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I \in \text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^3(H, p)$ . Note that since  $F$  is algebraically closed, every decomposable invariant is trivial.

The pull-back  $\tilde{I}$  of  $I$  under the composition in Proposition 8.2 is an unramified invariant. As  $\tilde{H}^{\text{gen}}$  is an inner form of  $\tilde{H}$ , by Proposition 8.1,  $\tilde{I} = 0$  and hence  $I = 0$  by Proposition 8.2 unless the Dynkin diagram of  $H$  is  $D_4$ .

If  $H$  is a simply connected group of type  $D_4$ , then  $I = 0$  by Proposition 8.1. If  $H$  is a half-spin group of type  $D_4$ , then  $I = 0$  by [1, Theorem 5.1]. Finally assume that  $H$  is an adjoint group of type  $D_4$ . By [10, Theorem 4.7], the group  $\text{Inv}^3(H)$  is cyclic of order 2.

Assume that  $I \neq 0$ . The group  $\tilde{H}^{\text{gen}}$  is the spinor group of a central simple algebra  $A$  of degree 8 with and orthogonal involution  $\sigma$  of trivial discriminant. Consider the corresponding special orthogonal group  $\hat{H}^{\text{gen}} := \text{O}^+(A, \sigma)$  of  $(A, \sigma)$ . An  $\hat{H}^{\text{gen}}$ -torsor over a field  $K$  is given by a pair  $(a, x)$ , where  $a$  is an invertible  $\sigma$ -symmetric element in  $A$  and  $x \in K^\times$  such that  $\text{Nrd}(a) = x^2$  and  $\text{Nrd}$  is the reduced norm map (see [8, 29.27]).

The canonical homomorphism  $\text{Inv}^3(H^{\text{gen}}) \rightarrow \text{Inv}^3(\tilde{H}^{\text{gen}})$  factors through  $\text{Inv}^3(\hat{H}^{\text{gen}})$ . By [10, §4, type  $D_n$ ], the pull-back of  $I$  in  $\text{Inv}^3(\hat{H}^{\text{gen}})$  is the class of the invariant taking a pair  $(a, x)$  to the cup-product  $(x) \cup [A] \in H^3(K)$ . This invariant is ramified as it is non-constant when  $a$  runs over a subfield of  $A$  of dimension  $n$  fixed by  $\sigma$  element-wise, a contradiction.  $\square$

## 9. STRUCTURE OF REDUCTIVE GROUPS

Let  $H$  be a split semisimple group over a field  $F$ ,  $S \subset H$  a split maximal torus. Write  $\Lambda_r \subset S^*$  for the root lattice of  $H$ . Let  $\tilde{H} \rightarrow H$  be a simply connected cover and let  $\tilde{S}$  for the inverse image of  $S$ , a maximal torus in  $\tilde{H}$ . Write  $\Lambda_w$  for the character group of  $\tilde{S}$ . This is the weight lattice freely generated by the fundamental weights. We have

$$\Lambda_r \subset S^* \subset \Lambda_w.$$

The center  $C$  of  $H$  is a finite diagonalizable group with  $C^* = S^*/\Lambda_r$ .

Let  $G$  be a split reductive group over a field  $F$  with the commutator subgroup  $H$ . Choose a split maximal  $T \subset G$  such that  $T \cap H = S$ . The roots of  $H$  can be uniquely lifted to  $T^*$  (to the roots of  $G$ ), so the inclusion of  $\Lambda_r$  into  $S^*$  is lifted to the inclusion of  $\Lambda_r$  into  $T^*$ . The composition  $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S \rightarrow T$  yields a homomorphism  $T^* \rightarrow \Lambda_w$  of lattices. Thus, we have the two homomorphisms

$$(9.1) \quad \Lambda_r \hookrightarrow T^* \xrightarrow{f} \Lambda_w$$

with the composition the canonical embedding of  $\Lambda_r$  into  $\Lambda_w$ . The image of  $f$  in (9.1) is equal to  $S^*$ . The center  $Z$  of  $G$  is a diagonalizable group with  $Z^* = T^*/\Lambda_r$ . The factor group  $G/H = T/S$  is a torus  $Q$  with the character lattice  $Q^* = \text{Ker}(f)$ .

We would like to study all split reductive groups with the fixed commutator subgroup  $H$ .

Let  $H$  be a split semisimple group over  $F$ . Fix a split maximal torus  $S \subset H$  and consider the root system of  $H$  relative to  $S$  with the root and weight lattices  $\Lambda_r \subset \Lambda_w$  respectively.

Consider a category  $\mathbf{Red}(H)$  with objects split reductive groups  $G$  over  $F$  with the commutator subgroup  $H$ . A morphism between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  in this category is a group homomorphism  $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  over  $F$  that is the identity on  $H$ .

Consider another category  $\mathbf{Lat}(H)$  with objects the diagrams of the form

$$(9.2) \quad \Lambda_r \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} \Lambda_w,$$

where  $A$  is a lattice,  $\mathrm{Im}(f) = S^*$  and the composition is the embedding of  $\Lambda_r$  into  $\Lambda_w$ . A morphism in  $\mathbf{Lat}(H)$  is a morphism between the diagrams which is identity on  $\Lambda_r$  and  $\Lambda_w$ .

Let  $G$  be an object in  $\mathbf{Red}(H)$ . Write  $Z$  for the center of  $G$ . Then  $T := S \cdot Z$  is a split maximal torus of  $G$ . The diagram (9.1) yields then a contravariant functor

$$\rho : \mathbf{Red}(H) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Lat}(H).$$

**Proposition 9.3.** *For every split semisimple group  $H$ , the functor  $\rho$  is an equivalence of categories  $\mathbf{Red}(H)$  and  $\mathbf{Lat}(H)^{op}$ .*

*Proof.* We construct a functor  $\varepsilon : \mathbf{Lat}(H) \rightarrow \mathbf{Red}(H)$  as follows. Given the diagram (9.2), let  $T$  be a split torus with  $T^* = A$  and  $Z$  a diagonalizable subgroup of  $T$  with  $Z^* = A/\Lambda_r$ . We view the torus  $S$  as a subgroup of  $T$  via the dual surjective homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathrm{Im}(f) = S^*$ .

We embed the center  $C$  of  $H$  into  $Z$  via a homomorphism dual to the surjective composition

$$Z^* = A/\Lambda_r \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}(f)/\Lambda_r = S^*/\Lambda_r = C^*.$$

The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{g} S^* \oplus (A/\Lambda_r) \xrightarrow{h} S^*/\Lambda_r \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $g(a) = (f(a), a + \Lambda_r)$  and  $h(x, a + \Lambda_r) = (x - f(a)) + \Lambda_r$  is exact. It follows that the product homomorphism  $S \times Z \rightarrow T$  is surjective with the kernel  $C$  embedded into  $S \times Z$  via  $c \mapsto (c, c^{-1})$ , i.e.,  $T \simeq (S \times Z)/C$ .

We set  $G = (H \times Z)/C$ . The group  $Z$  is naturally a subgroup of  $G$  which coincides with the center of  $G$ . The torus  $T$  is a subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $S$  and  $Z$ , hence  $T$  is a split maximal torus of  $G$ . The natural sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(f) \longrightarrow A/\Lambda_r \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}(f)/\Lambda_r \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. It follows that  $Z/C$  is a torus dual to  $\mathrm{Ker}(f)$ . Since  $G/H \simeq Z/C$ ,  $G$  is a (smooth connected) reductive group with  $H$  the commutator subgroup. The functor  $\varepsilon$ , by definition, takes the diagram (9.2) to the group  $G$ . By construction, both compositions of  $\rho$  and  $\varepsilon$  are isomorphic to the identity functors.  $\square$

Let  $H$  be a split semisimple group as above. We consider another category  $\mathit{Mor}(H)$  with objects homomorphisms  $h : B \rightarrow \Lambda_w/\Lambda_r$  with  $B$  a finitely generated abelian group,  $\mathrm{Im}(h) = S^*/\Lambda_r$  and torsion free  $\mathrm{Ker}(h)$ . Morphisms are defined in the obvious way. Consider a contravariant functor

$$\nu : \mathit{Red}(H) \rightarrow \mathit{Mor}(H)$$

taking a reductive group  $G$  to the composition  $Z^* \rightarrow C^* \hookrightarrow \Lambda_w/\Lambda_r$ , where  $Z$  is the center of  $G$ . The kernel of this homomorphism is the character lattice of the torus  $Z/C = G/H$  and hence has no torsion.

**Proposition 9.4.** *For every split semisimple group  $H$ , the functor  $\nu$  is an equivalence of categories  $\mathit{Red}(H)$  and  $\mathit{Mor}(H)^{op}$ .*

*Proof.* We construct a functor  $\lambda : \mathit{Mor}(H) \rightarrow \mathit{Red}(H)$  as follows. Let  $h : B \rightarrow \Lambda_w/\Lambda_r$  be an object in  $\mathit{Mor}(H)$  and  $Z$  a diagonalizable group with  $Z^* = B$ . The map  $h$  yields an embedding of  $C$  into  $Z$  and the factor group  $Z/C$  is a torus. Set  $G = (H \times Z)/C$  as in the proof of Proposition 9.3. The factor group  $G/H$  is isomorphic to the torus  $Z/C$ , hence  $G$  is a reductive group with the commutator subgroup  $H$ , i.e.,  $G$  is an object of  $\mathit{Red}(H)$ . Then  $Z$  is the center of  $G$  as the group  $G/Z \simeq H/C$  is adjoint. We set  $\lambda(h) = G$ . By construction, both compositions of  $\rho$  and  $\lambda$  are isomorphic to the identity functors.  $\square$

**Remark 9.5.** It follows from Propositions 9.3 and 9.4 that the categories  $\mathit{Lat}(H)$  and  $\mathit{Mor}(H)$  are equivalent. An equivalence between the categories can be described directly as follows. If  $\Lambda_r \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} \Lambda_w$  is an object in  $\mathit{Lat}(H)$ , then the induced morphism  $A/\Lambda_r \rightarrow \Lambda_w/\Lambda_r$  is the corresponding object in  $\mathit{Mor}(H)$ . Conversely, let  $\mu : B \rightarrow \Lambda_w/\Lambda_r$  be an object in  $\mathit{Mor}(H)$ . Write  $A$  for the kernel of the homomorphism

$$h : S^* \oplus B \rightarrow S^*/\Lambda_r$$

defined by  $h(x, b) = (x + \Lambda_r) - \mu(b)$ . The corresponding object

$$\Lambda_r \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} \Lambda_w$$

in  $\mathit{Lat}(H)$  is defined as follows. The map  $f$  is given by the first projection followed by the inclusion of  $S^*$  into  $\Lambda_w$  and the inclusion  $\Lambda_r \rightarrow A$  takes  $x$  to  $(x, 0)$ . Note that  $W$  acts on  $S^* \oplus B$  naturally on  $S^*$  and trivially on  $B$ .

A split reductive group  $G$  is called *strict* if the center  $Z$  of  $G$  is a torus, i.e.,  $Z^*$  is a lattice. An object  $G$  of  $\mathit{Red}(H)$  is *strict* if  $G$  is strict. If  $B \rightarrow \Lambda_w/\Lambda_r$  is the object  $\nu(G)$  of  $\mathit{Mor}(H)$ , then  $G$  is strict if and only if  $B$  is torsion-free.

A semisimple group is strict if and only if it is adjoint. A *strict envelope* of a split semisimple group  $H$  is a strict object in  $\mathit{Red}(H)$ .

**Example 9.6.** The group  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  is a strict envelope of  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ .

**Example 9.7.** The object  $G$  in  $\mathit{Red}(H)$  corresponding to the composition  $S^* \rightarrow S^*/\Lambda_r \hookrightarrow \Lambda_w/\Lambda_r$ , viewed as an object of the category  $\mathit{Mor}(H)$ , is

strict. We call such  $G$  the *standard* strict envelope of  $H$ . By Remark 9.5, the lattice  $T^*$  is the subgroup in  $S^* \oplus S^*$  consisting of all pairs  $(x, y)$  such that  $x - y \in \Lambda_r$ . Note that the Weyl group acts naturally on the first component of  $S^* \oplus S^*$  and trivially on the second.

A strict envelope of  $H$  behaves like an “injective resolution” of  $H$ .

**Lemma 9.8.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two objects in  $\text{Red}(H)$ . If  $G_2$  is strict, then there is a morphism  $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  in  $\text{Red}(H)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h_i : B_i \rightarrow \Lambda_w/\Lambda_r$  be the object  $\nu(G_i)$  in  $\text{Mor}(H)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . By assumption,  $B_2$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Therefore, there is a group homomorphism  $g : B_2 \rightarrow B_1$  such that  $g \circ h_1 = h_2$ , i.e.,  $g$  is a morphism in  $\text{Mor}(H)$ . By Proposition 9.4, there is a morphism  $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  in  $\text{Red}(H)$  corresponding to  $g$ .  $\square$

## 10. REDUCTIVE INVARIANTS

Let  $H$  be a split semisimple group and  $G$  is a reductive group with the commutator subgroup  $H$ , i.e.,  $G$  is an object in  $\text{Red}(H)$ . By Proposition 6.1, the map  $\text{Inv}^d(G) \rightarrow \text{Inv}^d(H)$  is injective. We view  $\text{Inv}^d(G)$  as a subgroup of  $\text{Inv}^d(H)$ . If  $G'$  is a strict envelope of  $H$ , then it follows from Lemma 9.8 that  $\text{Inv}^d(G') \subset \text{Inv}^d(G)$ . Therefore, the subgroup  $\text{Inv}^d(G')$  is independent of the choice of the strict resolution  $G'$  of  $G$ . We write  $\text{Inv}_{\text{red}}^d(H)$  for this subgroup and call the invariants in this group the *reductive* invariants. By Proposition 6.1, for any prime  $p \neq \text{char}(F)$  we have

$$(10.1) \quad \text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^d(H, p) \subset \text{Inv}_{\text{red}}^d(H, p) \subset \text{Inv}^d(H, p).$$

Let  $A$  be a lattice and  $q \in \mathcal{S}^2(A)$ . We can view  $q$  as an integral quadratic form on the lattice  $\widehat{A}$  dual to  $A$ . The *polar* bilinear form  $h$  of  $q$  is the image of  $q$  under the polar map  $\text{pol} : \mathcal{S}^2(A) \rightarrow A \otimes A$ ,  $aa' \mapsto a \otimes a' + a' \otimes a$ . The polar form  $h$  is symmetric and *even*, i.e.,  $h(x, x) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $x \in \widehat{A}$ . Conversely, if  $h \in A \otimes A$  is a symmetric even bilinear form, then  $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}h(x, x)$  is an integral quadratic form with the polar form  $h$ .

Let  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be a set of simple roots of an irreducible root system,  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$  the corresponding fundamental weights generating the weight lattice  $\Lambda_w$  and  $W$  the Weyl group. Let  $d_i$  be the square of the length of the co-root  $\alpha_i^\vee$ . (We assume that the length of the shortest co-root is 1.) Consider the bilinear form

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \otimes d_i \alpha_i = \sum_{i,j} w_i \otimes d_i c_{ij} w_j \in \Lambda_w \otimes \Lambda_w,$$

where  $(c_{ij})$  is the Cartan matrix (see [4, Chapitre VI]). The matrix  $(d_i c_{ij})$  is symmetric with even diagonal terms, hence  $h$  is a symmetric even bilinear



form. The corresponding quadratic form

$$q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i w_i \alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w)$$

is  $W$ -invariant by [10, Lemma 3.2]. It follows that the polar form  $h$  of  $q$  is also  $W$ -invariant.

Consider the three embeddings  $i_1, i_2, j = i_1 + i_2 : \Lambda_w \longrightarrow \Lambda_w^2 := \Lambda_w \oplus \Lambda_w$  given by  $x \mapsto (x, 0), (0, x), (x, x)$  respectively, and the two quadratic forms  $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}$  that are the images of  $q$  under the maps  $\mathcal{S}^2(i_1), \mathcal{S}^2(i_2) : \mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w^2)$  respectively. We let  $W$  act on  $\Lambda_w^2$  naturally on the first summand and trivially on the second.

Let  $A$  be the sublattice of  $\Lambda_w^2$  of all pairs  $(x, y)$  such that  $x - y \in \Lambda_r$ . Note that  $\text{Im}(j) \subset A$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{S}^2(j)(q) \in \mathcal{S}^2(A)$ . Moreover, since  $h \in (\Lambda_r \otimes \Lambda_w) \cap (\Lambda_w \otimes \Lambda_r)$  by [9, Lemma 2.1], we have  $(i_k \otimes j)(h) \in A \otimes A$  and  $(j \otimes i_k)(h) \in A \otimes A$  for  $k = 1, 2$ .

Write  $m : \Lambda_w^2 \otimes \Lambda_w^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w^2)$  for the canonical homomorphism. We have  $m(i_k \otimes j)(h) \in \mathcal{S}^2(A)$  and  $m(j \otimes i_k)(h) \in \mathcal{S}^2(A)$  for  $k = 1, 2$ .

**Proposition 10.2.** *We have  $q^{(1)} - q^{(2)} \in \mathcal{S}^2(A)^W$  with the polar form  $h^{(1)} - h^{(2)} = (j \otimes i_1)(h) - (i_2 \otimes j)(h) \in A \otimes A$ .*

*Proof.* By construction,  $q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}$  is  $W$ -invariant. We have

$$\begin{aligned} q^{(1)} - q^{(2)} &= (q^{(1)} + q^{(2)}) - 2q^{(2)} \\ &= q^{(1)} + q^{(2)} - m(i_2 \otimes i_2)(h) \\ &= q^{(1)} + q^{(2)} + m(i_1 \otimes i_2)(h) - m(j \otimes i_2)(h) \\ &= \mathcal{S}^2(j)(q) - m(j \otimes i_2)(h) \in \mathcal{S}^2(A). \end{aligned}$$

The second statement follows from the equality  $j = i_1 + i_2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 10.3.** *The image of  $h^{(1)} - h^{(2)}$  under the map*

$$A \otimes A \xrightarrow{p_1 \otimes 1} \Lambda_w \otimes A,$$

where  $p_1$  is the first projection, coincides with the image of  $h$  under the natural map

$$\Lambda_w \otimes \Lambda_r \xrightarrow{1 \otimes i_1} \Lambda_w \otimes A.$$

*Proof.* The statement follows from Proposition 10.2 and the equalities  $p_1 \circ j = p_1 \circ i_1 = 1$  and  $p_1 \circ i_2 = 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $\tilde{H}$  be a split simply connected cover of  $H$  with a split maximal torus  $\tilde{S}$ , thus  $\tilde{S}^* = \Lambda_w$ . Consider the standard strict envelope  $\tilde{G}$  of  $\tilde{H}$  (see Example 9.7). The character group  $\tilde{T}^*$  of the maximal torus  $\tilde{T}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  coincides with the group  $A$  as above. If  $\tilde{H}$  is simple, by Proposition 9.7,  $\bar{q} := q^{(1)} - q^{(2)} \in \mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{T}^*)^W$ . The form  $\bar{q}$  maps to  $q$  under the natural map  $\mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{T}^*)^W \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{S}^*)^W = \mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w)^W$ .

In the general case,

$$\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}_1 \times \tilde{H}_2 \times \cdots \times \tilde{H}_s,$$

with  $\tilde{H}_j$  the simple simply connected components of  $\tilde{H}$ . The components define a basis  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s$  of  $\mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{H}^*)^W$ . Every  $q_j$  has a lift  $\bar{q}_j \in \mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{T}^*)^W$  as above. Lemma 5.2 then yields the following statement.

**Corollary 10.4.** *The map  $\mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{T}^*)^W \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{S}^*)^W$  is surjective and  $\mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{T}^*)_{\text{ind}}^W \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{S}^*)_{\text{ind}}^W$  is an isomorphism. In particular,  $\mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{T}^*)_{\text{ind}}^W$  is generated by the classes of the forms  $\bar{q}_j$ .*

We will write  $\alpha_{ij}$  for the simple roots of the  $j$ -th component and  $w_{ij}$  for the corresponding fundamental weights, etc.

Let  $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{H}$  be a central subgroup and set  $G := \tilde{G}/\tilde{C}$  and  $T := \tilde{T}/\tilde{C}$ . The character group  $\tilde{C}^*$  is a factor group of  $\Lambda_w/\Lambda_r$ . Consider the composition

$$(10.5) \quad \mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{T}^*) \xrightarrow{\text{pol}} \tilde{T}^* \otimes \tilde{T}^* \xrightarrow{p_1} \Lambda_w \otimes \tilde{T}^* \longrightarrow \tilde{C}^* \otimes \tilde{T}^*.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{S}^2(T^*)$  is contained in the kernel of the composition.

By Corollary 10.3, the image of  $q_j$  under this composition is equal to

$$\sum_{i,j} d_{ij} \bar{w}_{ij} \otimes (\alpha_{ij}, 0),$$

where  $\bar{x}$  denotes the image of an  $x \in \tilde{T}^*$  in  $\tilde{C}^*$ .

Let  $\tilde{T}_j \subset \tilde{T}$  be a maximal torus of the  $j$ -th simple component of  $\tilde{G}$ , so that  $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}_1 \times \cdots \times \tilde{T}_s$ . Let  $\tilde{C}_j$  be the image of the projection  $\tilde{C} \longrightarrow \tilde{T}_j$ . Then  $\tilde{C}_j^*$  can be viewed as a subgroup of  $\tilde{C}^*$  and  $\bar{w}_{ij} \in \tilde{C}_j^*$ .

**Proposition 10.6.** *Let  $q := \sum_{j=1}^s k_j \bar{q}_j \in \mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{T})$  be a linear combination with integer coefficients  $k_j$ . If  $q$  has trivial image under the composition (10.5) (for example, if  $q \in \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)$ ), then the order of  $\bar{w}_{ij}$  in  $\tilde{C}_j^*$  divides  $k_j d_{ij}$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\sum_{i,j} k_j d_{ij} \bar{w}_{ij} \otimes (\alpha_{ij}, 0) = 0$  in  $\tilde{C}^* \otimes \tilde{T}^*$ . Note that the elements  $(\alpha_{ij}, 0)$  form part of a basis of  $\tilde{T}^*$  (with the complement  $(w_{ij}, w_{ij})$ ). It follows that  $k_j d_{ij} \bar{w}_{ij} = 0$  in  $\tilde{C}_j^*$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , whence the result.  $\square$

## 11. DEGREE 3 UNRAMIFIED INVARIANTS OF REDUCTIVE GROUPS

We assume that the base field  $F$  is algebraically closed.

**Proposition 11.1.** *Let  $H$  be a (split) semisimple group over  $F$  with the components of the Dynkin diagram of types  $A_m$  for some  $m$  or  $E_6$ . Suppose that  $E_6^{\text{sc}}$  does not split off  $H$  as a direct factor. Then  $\text{Inv}_{\text{red}}^3(H, p) = \text{Inv}_{\text{nr}}^3(H, p) = 0$  for all odd primes  $p \neq \text{char}(F)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{H} \rightarrow H$  be a simply connected cover with kernel  $\tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{G}$  be the standard strict envelope of  $\tilde{H}$ . By Proposition 7.1, replacing  $\tilde{C}$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\tilde{C}^*$  is a  $p$ -group. Set  $G := \tilde{G}/\tilde{C}$ . We choose split maximal tori  $S \subset H$ ,  $\tilde{S} \subset \tilde{H}$ ,  $T \subset G$ ,  $\tilde{T} \subset \tilde{G}$  as in Section 10. The group  $Q := G/H = \tilde{G}/\tilde{H}$  is a torus.

By Proposition 6.1, it suffices to prove that  $\text{Inv}^3(G, p) = 0$ . By Theorem 6.2, we are reduced to proving that  $\mathcal{S}^2(T^*)_{\text{ind}}^W\{p\} = 0$ .

By Lemma 5.2(1) and Corollary 10.4, the rows of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^2(Q^*) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^2(S^*)^W \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^2(Q^*) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{T}^*)^W & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{S}^*)^W \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

are exact.

Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)_{\text{ind}}^W\{p\}$ . Since  $p$  is odd, it sufficient to show that  $2\alpha = 0$ . The element  $\alpha$  lifts to a form  $q \in \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{S}^*)^W$  is a free abelian group with basis  $\{q_j\}$ . Hence the image of  $q$  in  $\mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{S}^*)^W$  is equal to  $\sum_{j=1}^s k_j q_j$  for some  $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Write  $\bar{q}$  for  $\sum_{j=1}^s k_j \bar{q}_j \in \mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{T}^*)^W$ . Therefore, in  $\mathcal{S}^2(\tilde{T}^*)^W$  we have  $q = \bar{q} + t$  for some  $t \in \mathcal{S}^2(Q^*)$ .

Note that since the Dynkin diagram of  $H$  is simply laced all the integer  $d_{ij}$  are equal to 1 for all  $i$  and  $j$ . The images of  $q$  and  $t$  are trivial under (10.5), hence so is  $\bar{q}$ . By Proposition 10.6, the order of  $\bar{w}_{ij}$  in  $\tilde{C}_j^*$  divides  $k_j$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ .

We claim that the class of  $2k_j q_j$  is contained in  $\mathcal{S}^2(S^*)_{\text{dec}}^W$  for all  $j$ .

*Case 1:* The  $j$ -th simple component  $\tilde{G}_j$  is of type  $A_m$  for some  $m$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{H}_j = \text{SL}_{m+1}$ . The center of  $\tilde{H}_j$  is  $\mu_{m+1}$ , hence  $\tilde{C}_j = \mu_{p^r}$  for some  $r$ . The element  $\bar{w}_{1j}$  is a generator of  $\tilde{C}^* = \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ , hence the order of  $\bar{w}_{1j}$  is equal to  $p^r$ . Therefore,  $k_j$  is divisible by  $p^k$ . As  $p$  is odd, by [1, 4.2], the form  $p^k q_j$  and hence  $k_j q_j$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}^2(S_j^*)_{\text{dec}}^{W_j}$ . Taking the image of  $k_j q_j$  under the homomorphism  $S_j^* \rightarrow S^*$ , we see that  $k_j q_j \in \mathcal{S}^2(S^*)_{\text{dec}}^W$ .

*Case 2:* The  $j$ -th simple component  $\tilde{H}_j$  is of type  $E_6^{sc}$ . The center of  $\tilde{H}_j$  is  $\mu_3$ , hence  $\tilde{C}_j$  is a subgroup of  $\mu_3$ . If  $\tilde{C}_j = 1$ , then  $\tilde{H}_j$  is a direct factor of  $H$  and hence  $E_6^{sc}$  is a direct factor of  $H$ . This is impossible by the assumption. Therefore,  $\tilde{C}_j = \mu_3$  (and hence  $p = 3$ ). The element  $\bar{w}_{1j}$  is a generator of  $\tilde{C}^* = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , hence  $k_j$  is divisible by 3. By [10, §4, type  $E_6$ ], the form  $6q_j$  and hence  $2k_j q_j$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}^2(S_j^*)_{\text{dec}}^{W_j}$ . Taking the image of  $2k_j q_j$  under the homomorphism  $S_j^* \rightarrow S^*$ , we see that  $2k_j q_j \in \mathcal{S}^2(S^*)_{\text{dec}}^W$ . The claim is proved.

It follows from the claim that  $2\alpha$  belongs to the kernel of the map  $\mathcal{S}^2(T^*)_{\text{ind}}^W \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(S^*)_{\text{ind}}^W$ . By Lemma 5.2, this map is injective, hence  $2\alpha = 0$ .  $\square$

**Example 11.2.** The statement of the proposition is wrong if  $p = 2$ . Consider the group  $H := (\mathrm{SL}_2)^n / \tilde{C}$ , where  $\tilde{C} \subset (\mu_2)^n$  consists of all  $n$ -tuples with trivial product. Then the group  $G := (\mathrm{GL}_2)^n / \tilde{C}$  is a strict envelope of  $H$ . A  $G$ -torsor over a field  $K$  is a tuple  $(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n)$  of quaternion algebras over  $K$  such that  $[Q_1] + [Q_2] + \dots + [Q_n] = 0$  in  $\mathrm{Br}(K)$ . Let  $\varphi_i$  be the reduced norm quadratic form of  $Q_i$ . The sum  $\varphi$  of the forms  $\varphi_i$  in the Witt ring  $W(K)$  of  $K$  belongs to the cube of the fundamental ideal of  $W(K)$ . The Arason invariant of  $\varphi$  in  $H^3(K)$  yields a degree 3 invariant  $I$  of  $G$  (see [8, page 431]). The restriction  $J$  of  $I$  to  $H$  belongs to  $\mathrm{Inv}_{\mathrm{red}}^3(H) = \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Inv}^3(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Inv}^3(H))$ , and  $I$  and  $J$  are nontrivial if  $n \geq 3$ . Note that the invariants  $I$  and  $J$  are ramified. Moreover, the map  $\mathrm{Inv}^3(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Inv}^3(\tilde{H}^{\mathrm{gen}})$  factors through  $\mathrm{Inv}^3(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{gen}})$ , where  $\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{gen}}$  is the product of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(Q_i^{\mathrm{gen}})$ . The group  $\mathrm{Inv}^3(\tilde{G}^{\mathrm{gen}})$  is trivial since  $\mathrm{GL}_1(Q_i^{\mathrm{gen}})$  have only trivial torsors. It follows that  $J$  belong to the kernel of

$$\mathrm{Inv}^3(H) \rightarrow \mathrm{Inv}^3(\tilde{H}^{\mathrm{gen}}),$$

hence the map in Proposition 8.2 is not injective.

**Theorem 11.3.** *Let  $G$  be a (split) reductive group over an algebraically closed field  $F$ . Then  $\mathrm{Inv}_{\mathrm{nr}}^3(G, p) = 0$  for every odd prime  $p \neq \mathrm{char}(F)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the commutator subgroup of  $G$ . By Proposition 6.1(2), it suffices to prove that  $\mathrm{Inv}_{\mathrm{nr}}^3(H, p) = 0$ . Let  $\tilde{H} \rightarrow H$  be a simply connected cover with kernel  $\tilde{C}$ . Let  $\tilde{C}' \subset \tilde{C}$  be a subgroup such that  $(\tilde{C}/\tilde{C}')^*$  is the 2-component of  $\tilde{C}^*$ . Since  $p$  is odd, by Proposition 7.1,  $\mathrm{Inv}_{\mathrm{nr}}^3(H, p) = \mathrm{Inv}_{\mathrm{nr}}^3(\tilde{H}/\tilde{C}', p)$ . Replacing  $H$  by  $\tilde{H}/\tilde{C}'$ , we may assume that  $\tilde{C}^*$  has odd order.

Write  $\tilde{H}$  as a product of simple simply connected groups  $\tilde{H}_j$  and let  $\tilde{C}_j$  be the center of  $\tilde{H}_j$ . If the order of  $\tilde{C}_j^*$  is a power of 2, the projection  $\tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}_j$  is trivial and therefore, the simply connected group  $\tilde{H}_j$  splits off  $H$  as a direct factor. Thus, the simply connected simple groups of types  $B_n, C_n, D_n, E_7, E_8, F_4$  and  $G_2$  split off  $H$ , i.e.,  $H = H_1 \times H_2$ , where  $H_1$  is simply connected and  $H_2$  satisfies the conditions of Proposition 11.1. By the additivity property Corollary 6.3, Propositions 8.1(2) and 11.1, we have  $\mathrm{Inv}_{\mathrm{nr}}^3(H, p) = 0$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bermudez and A. Ruozzi, *Degree 3 cohomological invariants of groups that are neither simply connected nor adjoint*, Preprint (2013).
- [2] S. Blinstein and A. Merkurjev, *Cohomological invariants of algebraic tori*, Algebra Number Theory **7** (2013), no. 7, 1643–1684.
- [3] F. A. Bogomolov, *The Brauer group of quotient spaces of linear representations*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **51** (1987), no. 3, 485–516, 688.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*, Masson, Paris, 1981, Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres 4, 5 et 6. [Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4, 5 and 6].
- [5] H. Esnault, B. Kahn, M. Levine, and E. Viehweg, *The Arason invariant and mod 2 algebraic cycles*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), no. 1, 73–118.
- [6] R. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev, and J.-P. Serre, *Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

- [7] S. Garibaldi, *Unramified cohomology of classifying varieties for exceptional simply connected groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 1, 359–371 (electronic).
- [8] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, With a preface in French by J. Tits.
- [9] A. Merkurjev, *Weight two motivic cohomology of classifying spaces for semisimple groups*, Preprint, <http://www.math.ucla.edu/~merkurev/papers/ssinv.pdf> (2013).
- [10] A. Merkurjev, *Degree three cohomological invariants of semisimple groups*, To appear in JEMS.
- [11] A. Merkurjev, *Unramified cohomology of classifying varieties for classical simply connected groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **35** (2002), no. 3, 445–476.
- [12] E. Peyre, *Unramified cohomology of degree 3 and Noether’s problem*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), no. 1, 191–225.
- [13] M. Rost, *Chow groups with coefficients*, Doc. Math. **1** (1996), No. 16, 319–393 (electronic).
- [14] D. J. Saltman, *Noether’s problem over an algebraically closed field*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), no. 1, 71–84.
- [15] D. J. Saltman, *Brauer groups of invariant fields, geometrically negligible classes, an equivariant Chow group, and unramified  $H^3$* , *K-theory and algebraic geometry: connections with quadratic forms and division algebras* (Santa Barbara, CA, 1992), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 189–246.
- [16] D. J. Saltman,  *$H^3$  and generic matrices*, J. Algebra **195** (1997), no. 2, 387–422.
- [17] J.-J. Sansuc, *Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **327** (1981), 12–80.
- [18] J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie galoisienne: progrès et problèmes*, Astérisque (1995), no. 227, Exp. No. 783, 4, 229–257, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1993/94.
- [19] B. Totaro, *The Chow ring of a classifying space*, Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 249–281.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA

*E-mail address:* merkurev@math.ucla.edu