

DEGREE THREE COHOMOLOGICAL INVARIANTS OF REDUCTIVE GROUPS

D. LAACKMAN AND A. MERKURJEV

ABSTRACT. We study the degree 3 cohomological invariants with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)$ of a split reductive group over an arbitrary field. As an application, we compute the group of reductive indecomposable degree 3 invariants of all split simple algebraic groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a linear algebraic group over a field F . Consider a functor

$$G\text{-torsors} : \mathbf{Fields}_F \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sets},$$

where $\mathbf{Fields}_F$ is the category of field extensions of F , taking a field K to the set of isomorphism classes of G -torsors over $\mathrm{Spec} K$. Let

$$\Phi : \mathbf{Fields}_F \longrightarrow \mathbf{Abelian Groups}$$

be another functor. According to [7], a Φ -invariant of G is a morphism of functors

$$I : G\text{-torsors} \longrightarrow \Phi,$$

viewed as functors to $\mathbf{Sets}$. We write $\mathrm{Inv}(G, \Phi)$ for the group of Φ -invariants of G .

An invariant $I \in \mathrm{Inv}(G, \Phi)$ is called *normalized* if $I(E) = 0$ for every trivial G -torsor E . The normalized invariants form a subgroup $\mathrm{Inv}(G, \Phi)_{\mathrm{norm}}$ of $\mathrm{Inv}(G, \Phi)$ and

$$\mathrm{Inv}(G, \Phi) \simeq \Phi(F) \oplus \mathrm{Inv}(G, \Phi)_{\mathrm{norm}}.$$

We will be considering the cohomology functors Φ taking a field K/F to the Galois cohomology $H^n(K, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))$ (see Section 3a) and write $\mathrm{Inv}^n(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))$ for the group of *cohomological invariants of G of degree n with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)$* .

If G is connected, then $\mathrm{Inv}^1(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))_{\mathrm{norm}} = 0$ by [12, Proposition 31.15]. The degree 2 cohomological invariants with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)$ (equivalently, the invariants with values in the Brauer group Br of a reductive group were determined in [2, Theorem 2.4].

Date: October, 2015.

Key words and phrases. Semisimple groups, torsors, motivic cohomology, classifying space
2010 Mathematical Subject Classifications: 14F42, 14L30, 14M17.

The work of the second author has been supported by the NSF grant DMS #1160206.

The group of degree 3 invariants $\text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$ was determined by Rost in the case when G is simply connected (see [7, Part II]) and for an arbitrary semisimple group in [19].

The group of degree 3 invariants of algebraic tori was computed in [2].

In the present papers we consider the case of a split reductive group G , i.e., we generalized the result of [19] in the split case. Our main result is the following theorem (see Theorem 5.1).

Theorem. Let G be a split reductive group, $T \subset G$ a split maximal torus, W the Weyl group and C the kernel of the universal cover of the commutator subgroup of G . Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C^* \otimes F^\times \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{norm}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W / \text{Dec}(G) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\text{Dec}(G)$ is the subgroup of decomposable (“obvious”) elements in $\mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W$.

Note that neither of the approaches of [19] nor [2] could be used in the reductive case. Instead, we employ another method of relating the étale motivic cohomology of the classifying spaces of G and of its Borel subgroup B . The difficult part of the proof is the exactness in the term $\mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W / \text{Dec}(G)$, i.e., to show that every W -invariant quadratic form (on the dual of T^*) gives rise to an invariant of G of degree 3.

We consider an application in Section 7. We compute the subgroup of *reductive* invariants of all split (almost) simple groups. The reason we are interested in the reductive invariants is that the group of unramified invariants (an important birational invariant of the classifying space of the group, (see [18]) belong to the group of reductive invariants [18, (10.1)]. In some cases, the group of reductive invariants is trivial (for example, case A_{n-1} , see Section 7), and this allows one to conclude that the group of unramified invariants is also trivial.

We don’t impose any characteristic restriction on the base field F . One should be careful since certain motivic cohomology groups of algebraic varieties over an imperfect field are not homotopy invariant.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

2a. K -cohomology and Rost’s spectral sequence. Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over F . For any $i \geq 0$, let $X^{(i)}$ be the set of point in X of codimension i . Write $K_d(L)$ for the Milnor K -group of a field L and $A^i(X, K_d)$ for the homology group of the complex (see [21])

$$\coprod_{x \in X^{(i-1)}} K_{d-i+1}(F(x)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \coprod_{x \in X^{(i)}} K_{d-i}(F(x)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \coprod_{x \in X^{(i+1)}} K_{d-i-1}(F(x)).$$

In particular, $A^i(X, K_i) = \text{CH}^i(X)$ is the *Chow group* of classes of algebraic cycles on X of codimension i and $A^0(X, K_1) = F[X]^\times$ is the group of invertible regular functions on X .

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat morphism. For every point $y \in Y$ write X_y for the fiber $X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} F(y)$ over y . There is Rost's spectral sequence [21, §8]

$$(2.1) \quad E_1^{p,q} = \coprod_{y \in Y^p} A^q(X_y, K_{n-p}) \Rightarrow A^{p+q}(X, K_n)$$

for every n .

2b. K -cohomology of G , G/T and G/B . We will be using the following notation in the paper. Let

G be a (connected) split reductive group over a field F ,

$T \subset G$ a split maximal torus,

$T^* := \operatorname{Hom}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$ the character group of T ,

W the Weyl group of G ,

$B \subset G$ a Borel subgroup containing T (we have $B^* = T^*$),

H the commutator subgroup of G ,

$Q = G/H$ a split torus,

$\pi : \tilde{H} \rightarrow H$ a simply connected cover of G ,

$C = \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)$,

Λ_w the weight lattice of $\tilde{H}$ (the character group of a maximal split torus of $\tilde{H}$).

The kernel of the natural homomorphism $T^* \rightarrow \Lambda_w$ is isomorphic to Q^* and the cokernel - to C^* .

The smooth projective variety G/B is the *flag variety* for the simply connected group $\tilde{H}$. By [7, Part 2, §6], there is natural isomorphism

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda_w \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{CH}^1(G/B).$$

This isomorphism extends to a ring homomorphism $S^*(\Lambda_w) \rightarrow \operatorname{CH}^*(G/B)$, where S^* stands for the symmetric ring.

Let $E \rightarrow Y$ be a G -torsor and J the pull-back $E \times_Y \operatorname{Spec}(K)$ for a point $y : \operatorname{Spec}(K) \rightarrow Y$, so J is a G -torsor over K . If $f : E/B \rightarrow Y$ is the induced morphism, the fiber of f over y is the (smooth projective) flag variety J/B over K for the group $\operatorname{Aut}_G(J)$ over K , which is a twisted form of G_K .

In the following proposition we collect known results on the K -cohomology.

Proposition 2.3. *Let G be a split reductive group and D is either a split maximal torus T or a Borel subgroup B containing T . Let $E \rightarrow Y$ be a G -torsor with Y a smooth variety. Then*

- (1) *The pull-back homomorphism $A^*(E/B, K_*) \rightarrow A^*(E/T, K_*)$ induced by the natural morphism $E/T \rightarrow E/B$ is a ring isomorphism.*
- (2) *For every smooth variety Z over F , the external product map yields an isomorphism*

$$A^*(Z, K_*) \otimes \operatorname{CH}^*(G/D) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^*(Z \times (G/D), K_*).$$

- (3) *There is a natural isomorphism $\Lambda_w \rightarrow \operatorname{CH}^1(G/D)$.*

- (4) *The kernel of the surjective homomorphism $S^2(\Lambda_w) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(G/D)$ is equal to the group of W -invariant elements $S^2(\Lambda_w)^W$ in $S^2(\Lambda_w)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{CH}^2(G/D) \simeq S^2(\Lambda_w)/S^2(\Lambda_w)^W$.*

Proof. (1) The fibers of $E \rightarrow E/B$ over a field K are B -torsors and hence are split and isomorphic to B_K since B is a *special* group (all B -torsors over fields are trivial). It follows that the fibers of the natural morphism $E/T \rightarrow E/B$ over K are isomorphic to $(B/T)_K$ and hence are affine spaces over K . By the Homotopy Invariance Property of K -cohomology [5, Theorem 52.13], the pull-back homomorphism is an isomorphism.

(2) It follows from (1) that for the rest of the proof we may assume that $D = B$. In this case the variety G/B is cellular and the statement was proved in [6, Proposition 3.7, Lemma 3.8].

(3) follows from (2.2).

(4) was proved in [7, Part 2, Theorem 6.7 and Corollary 6.12]. $\square$

Remark 2.4. There is a natural W -action on G/T . In particular, the groups $\mathrm{CH}^i(G/T)$ and hence $\mathrm{CH}^i(G/D)$ are naturally W -modules. Moreover, the maps in (2.2), (3) and (4) in Proposition 2.3 are homomorphisms of W -modules.

2c. K -cohomology of varieties associated with a torsor. Let $E \rightarrow Y$ be a G -torsor over a smooth variety Y over F . Set $X = E/D$, where $D = B$ or T , and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the natural morphism. Note that in the case $D = B$, the fiber X_y of f over a point $y \in Y$ is a projective homogeneous variety over the field $F(y)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $E \rightarrow Y$ be a G -torsor with Y a smooth variety, $D = B$ or T and $f : X = E/D \rightarrow Y$ the induced morphism.*

- (1) *The natural homomorphism*

$$A^0(Y, K_2) \rightarrow A^0(X, K_2)$$

is an isomorphism.

- (2) *There is a natural complex*

$$0 \rightarrow A^1(Y, K_2) \rightarrow A^1(X, K_2) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Lambda_w \otimes F[Y]^\times \rightarrow 0.$$

The complex is acyclic if the torsor E is trivial.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3(1), it suffices to consider the case $D = B$.

- (1) Rost's spectral sequence (2.1) for the morphism f yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A^0(X, K_2) \rightarrow \coprod_{y \in Y^{(0)}} A^0(X_y, K_2) \rightarrow \coprod_{y \in Y^{(1)}} A^0(X_y, K_1).$$

The fiber X_y is a projective homogeneous G -variety over the field $F(y)$. Therefore, the natural homomorphism $K_i(F(y)) \rightarrow A^0(X_y, K_i)$ is an isomorphism if $i \leq 2$ by [22, Corollary 5.6]. It follows that $A^0(X, K_2) \simeq A^0(Y, K_2)$.

(2) Rost's spectral sequence yields a complex

$$A^1(Y, K_2) \longrightarrow A^1(X, K_2) \longrightarrow \coprod_{y \in Y^{(0)}} A^1(X_y, K_2) \xrightarrow{\partial} \coprod_{y \in Y^{(1)}} A^1(X_y, K_1).$$

As X_y is a projective homogeneous G -variety over $F(y)$, by [15, §3], the group $A^1(X_y, K_i)$ is canonically identified with a subgroup of

$$\mathrm{CH}^1(G/B) \otimes K_{i-1}(F(y)) = \Lambda_w \otimes K_{i-1}(F(y))$$

for $i \leq 2$. It follows that there is a natural map from $\mathrm{Ker}(\partial)$ to

$$A^0(Y, \Lambda_w \otimes K_1) = \Lambda_w \otimes A^0(Y, K_1) = \Lambda_w \otimes F[Y]^\times.$$

This defines the map α .

If E is a trivial torsor, we have $X \simeq Y \times (G/B)$. By Proposition 2.3,

$$A^1(X, K_2) \simeq A^1(Y, K_2) \oplus (\Lambda_w \otimes F[Y]^\times).$$

Note that the projection of $A^1(X, K_2)$ onto $\Lambda_w \otimes F[Y]^\times$ coincides with the map α . $\square$

2d. K -cohomology of classifying spaces. Let G be an algebraic group. In [23], Totaro defined the Chow ring $\mathrm{CH}^*(BG)$ of the classifying space of G and more generally, Guillot in [9] defined the ring $A^*(BG, K_*)$ as follows. Fix an integer $i \geq 0$ and choose a generically free representation V of G such that there is a G -equivariant open subset $U \subset V$ with the property $\mathrm{codim}_V(V \setminus U) \geq i+1$ and a *versal* G -torsor $f : U \longrightarrow U/G$ (see [4, Lemma 9]). Then set

$$A^i(BG, K_*) := A^i(U/G, K_*).$$

This is independent of the choice of U .

Let $T \subset B$ be a split maximal torus and a Borel subgroup respectively in a split reductive group G . As in the proof of Proposition 2.3(1), the fibers of the natural morphisms $U/T \longrightarrow U/B$ are affine spaces. By the homotopy invariance property,

$$A^i(BB, K_j) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^i(BT, K_j).$$

The next statement follows from the Künneth formula [6, Prop. 3.7] (see [2, Example A.5]).

Proposition 2.6. *Let $T \subset B$ be a split maximal torus and a Borel subgroup in G , respectively. Then*

$$A^i(BB, K_j) \simeq A^i(BT, K_j) \simeq S^i(T^*) \otimes K_{j-i}(F).$$

3. THE MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY OF WEIGHT ≤ 2

3a. The complexes $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)$. Let X be a smooth variety over F . For every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the complex $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)$ is defined in the derived category $D^+ \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(X)$ of étale sheaves of abelian groups on X as the direct sum of two complexes. The first complex is given by the locally constant étale sheaf (placed in degree 0) the colimit over n of the Galois modules $\mu_n^{\otimes j}$, where μ_n is the Galois module of n^{th} roots of unity. The second complex is nontrivial only in the case $p =$

$\text{char}(F) > 0$ and it is defined via logarithmic de Rham-Witt differentials (see [10, I.5.7], [11]). In particular, the p -part of $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)$ is trivial if $j < 0$.

We write $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))$ for the Zariski sheaf on X associated with the presheaf $Z \mapsto H_{\text{et}}^n(Z, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))$.

3b. Unramified cohomology. It follows from [2, Propositions A.10 and A.11] that the cohomology groups $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))$ satisfy the *purity property* (see [3, §2]). Therefore, for every irreducible smooth projective X , we have

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))) = H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)),$$

the group of elements unramified with respect to all discrete valuations of the field $F(X)$ over F (see [3, Proposition 2.1.8]). This group is a birational invariant of a smooth projective variety.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a smooth projective rational variety. Then the natural homomorphism*

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))) = H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The statement is well-known (see [3, Theorem 4.1.5]) if one deletes the p -primary component from $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)$ in the case $\text{char}(F) = p > 0$.

In general, we argue by induction on $\dim(X)$. Since the group $H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)))$ is a birational invariant, we may assume that $X = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Take an element

$$\alpha \in H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))) = H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)) \subset H^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)).$$

Pulling back with respect to the morphism $\mathbb{P}_{F(\mathbb{P}^1)}^{n-1} \longrightarrow X$, we have

$$\alpha \in H_{\text{Zar}}^0(\mathbb{P}_{F(\mathbb{P}^1)}^{n-1}, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))) = H^n(F(\mathbb{P}^1), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))$$

by the induction hypothesis. By [2, Lemma A.6], applied to the projection $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $\alpha \in H_{\text{Zar}}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)))$. The rest of the proof is as in [2, Proposition 5.1.]. The coniveau spectral sequence for the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ (see [2, Appendix A]) yields a surjective homomorphism

$$H^n(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(\mathbb{P}^1), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)).$$

By the projective bundle theorem (classical for the p -primary component if $p \neq \text{char}(F)$ and [8, Th. 2.1.11] if $p = \text{char}(F) > 0$), we have

$$H^n(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)) = H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)) \oplus H^{n-2}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j-1))t,$$

where t is a generator of $H^2(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{Z}$. As t vanishes over the generic point of $\mathbb{P}^1$, the result follows. $\square$

Let G be a split reductive group and $B \subset G$ be a split Borel subgroup.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $E \longrightarrow Y$ be a G -torsor and $f : X = E/B \longrightarrow Y$ the natural morphism. Then the étale sheaf associated with the presheaf*

$$Z \mapsto H_{\text{Zar}}^0(f^{-1}(Z), \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)))$$

on Y is trivial if $n > 0$.

Proof. As the G -torsor f is trivial locally in the étale topology, we may assume that the torsor E is trivial, i.e., $f^{-1}(Z) \simeq Z \times (G/B)$. The pull-back homomorphism with respect to the morphism $(G/B)_{F(Z)} \rightarrow f^{-1}(Z)$ is an injection

$$H_{Zar}^0(f^{-1}(Z), \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))) \rightarrow H_{Zar}^0((G/B)_{F(Z)}, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)))$$

since both groups are the subgroups of $H^n(F(f^{-1}(Z)), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))$. By Proposition 3.1, since G/B is a smooth projective variety,

$$H_{Zar}^0((G/B)_{F(Z)}, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))) = H^n(F(Z), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)).$$

Finally, the étale sheaf associated with the presheaf $Z \mapsto H^n(F(Z), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))$ is trivial when $n > 0$. $\square$

3c. The complexes $\mathbb{Z}_X(j)$. Let X be a smooth variety over F . We consider the *motivic complexes* $\mathbb{Z}_X(j)$ of *weight* $j = 0, 1$ and 2 in the category $D^+ \text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(X)$. The complex $\mathbb{Z}_X(0)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ (placed in degree 0) and $\mathbb{Z}(1) = \mathbb{G}_m[-1]$. The motivic complex $\mathbb{Z}_X(2)$ is defined in [13] and [14]. We use the following notation for the *étale motivic cohomology* of weight $j \leq 2$:

$$H^{n,j}(X) := H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathbb{Z}(j)).$$

By [11, Theorem 1.1], we have the following isomorphisms for the étale motivic cohomology of weight 2:

$$(3.3) \quad H^{n,2}(X) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \leq 0; \\ K_3(F(X))_{\text{ind}}, & \text{if } n = 1; \\ A^0(X, K_2), & \text{if } n = 2; \\ A^1(X, K_2), & \text{if } n = 3, \end{cases}$$

where

$$K_3(L)_{\text{ind}} := \text{Coker}(K_3(L) \rightarrow K_3^Q(L))$$

for a field L and $K_3^Q(L)$ is Quillen's K -group of L .

We will be using the following proposition proved in [11, Theorem 1.1].

Proposition 3.4. *There is a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{CH}^2(X) \rightarrow H^{4,2}(X) \rightarrow H_{Zar}^0(X, \mathcal{H}^3(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))) \rightarrow 0$$

for a smooth variety X .

3d. Homology of the complex $\mathbb{Z}_f(2)$. Let G be a split reductive algebraic group over F . Choose a maximal split torus T and a Borel subgroup B such that $T \subset B \subset G$. Let $E \rightarrow Y$ be a G -torsor with Y a smooth variety and $f : X = E/D \rightarrow Y$ the induced morphism, where $D = T$ or $D = B$.

We write $\mathbb{Z}_f(2)$ for the cone of the natural morphism $\mathbb{Z}_Y(2) \rightarrow Rf_*(\mathbb{Z}_X(2))$ in the category $D^+ \text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(Y)$. Thus, we have an exact triangle

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{Z}_Y(2) \rightarrow Rf_*(\mathbb{Z}_X(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_f(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_Y(2)[1].$$

We compute the cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}_f(2))$ of the complexes $\mathbb{Z}_f(2)$ for small values of n .

Proposition 3.6. *Let G be a split reductive algebraic group over F , D either a maximal torus T of G or a Borel subgroup B , Λ_w the weight lattice of the commutator subgroup of G . Let $E \rightarrow Y$ be a G -torsor with Y smooth variety and $f : X = E/D \rightarrow Y$ the induced morphism. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \leq 2; \\ \Lambda_w \otimes \mathbb{G}_m, & \text{if } n = 3, \end{cases}$$

and there is an exact sequence of étale sheaves on Y

$$0 \rightarrow [S^2(\Lambda_w)/S^2(\Lambda_w)^W] \otimes \mathbb{Z}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)) \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

where N is the étale sheaf on Y associated with the presheaf

$$Z \mapsto H_{Zar}^0(f^{-1}(Z), \mathcal{H}^3(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))).$$

The sheaf N is trivial if $D = B$.

Proof. The complex $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ is supported in degrees 1 and 2, hence $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)) = 0$ if $n < 0$. The triangle (3.5) yields then an exact sequence

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}_Y(2)) \xrightarrow{s} R^1 f_*(\mathbb{Z}_X(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{Z}_Y(2)) \xrightarrow{t} R^2 f_*(\mathbb{Z}_X(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)) \rightarrow 0$$

of étale sheaves on Y and the isomorphisms

$$R^n f_*(\mathbb{Z}_X(2)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)) \quad \text{for } n \geq 3.$$

By [20, Proposition III.1.13], $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}_Y(2))$ and $R^n f_*(\mathbb{Z}_X(2))$ are the étale sheaves on Y associated with the presheaves

$$Z \mapsto H^{n,2}(Z) \quad \text{and} \quad Z \mapsto H^{n,2}(f^{-1}Z),$$

respectively.

It follows from (3.3) that $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{Z}_Y(2))$ and $R^1 f_*(\mathbb{Z}_X(2))$ are the étale sheaves on Y associated with the presheaves

$$Z \mapsto K_3 F(Z)_{\text{ind}} \quad \text{and} \quad Z \mapsto K_3 F(f^{-1}Z)_{\text{ind}},$$

respectively. To show that the map s in (3.7) is an isomorphism, we may assume that the torsor $E \rightarrow Y$ is trivial. The variety G/D is rational, hence the natural homomorphism

$$K_3 F(Z)_{\text{ind}} \rightarrow K_3 F(f^{-1}Z)_{\text{ind}}$$

is an isomorphism by [16, Lemma 4.2] since the field extension $F(f^{-1}Z)/F(Z)$ is purely transcendental. Thus, the morphism s in the sequence (3.7) is an isomorphism.

By (3.3), the étale sheaves $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{Z}_Y(2))$ and $R^2 f_*(\mathbb{Z}_X(2))$ on Y are associated with the presheaves

$$Z \mapsto H^{2,2}(Z) = A^0(Z, K_2) \quad \text{and} \quad Z \mapsto H^{2,2}(f^{-1}Z) = A^0(f^{-1}Z, K_2),$$

respectively.

By Proposition 2.5(1), the morphism t in the sequence (3.7) is an isomorphism. The exactness of (3.7) implies that

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)) = 0, \quad \text{if } n \leq 2.$$

The étale sheaf $\mathcal{H}^3(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)) = R^3 f_*(\mathbb{Z}_X(2))$ on Y is associated with the presheaf

$$Z \mapsto H^{3,2}(f^{-1}Z) = A^1(f^{-1}Z, K_2).$$

It follows from Proposition 2.5(2) that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^3(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)) \simeq \Lambda_w \otimes \mathbb{G}_m.$$

Now consider the case $n = 4$. The étale sheaf $\mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)) = R^4 f_*(\mathbb{Z}_X(2))$ on Y is associated with the presheaf

$$Z \mapsto H^{4,2}(f^{-1}Z).$$

To study this sheaf, consider another sheaf M associated with the presheaf

$$Z \mapsto \mathrm{CH}^2(f^{-1}Z).$$

Let z be a generic point of Z and L an algebraic closure of $F(z)$. The fiber $f^{-1}(z)$ is split over L , i.e., it is isomorphic to $(G/D)_L$. The composition (see Proposition 2.3(4))

$$\mathrm{CH}^2(f^{-1}Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(f^{-1}(z)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}^2(G/D)_L = S^2(\Lambda_w)/S^2(\Lambda_w)^W$$

yields a morphism of M to the constant sheaf $[S^2(\Lambda_w)/S^2(\Lambda_w)^W] \otimes \mathbb{Z}_Y$ over Y . We claim that this morphism is an isomorphism. It suffices to assume that E is trivial over Z , i.e., $f^{-1}Z \simeq Z \times (G/D)$. By Proposition 2.3(2), we have

$$\mathrm{CH}^2(f^{-1}Z) \simeq \mathrm{CH}^2(Z) \oplus (\mathrm{CH}^1(Z) \otimes \mathrm{CH}^1(G/D)) \oplus (\mathrm{CH}^0(Z) \otimes \mathrm{CH}^2(G/D)).$$

Note that the projection of $\mathrm{CH}^2(X)$ onto the last direct summand coincides with the map $M(Z) \longrightarrow [S^2(\Lambda_w)/S^2(\Lambda_w)^W] \otimes \mathbb{Z}_Y(Z)$. The sheaves associated with the presheaves $Z \mapsto \mathrm{CH}^i(Z)$ are trivial for $i > 0$. The claim is proved.

By Proposition 3.4, M is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{Z}_f(2))$ and the factor sheaf is the sheaf N associated with the presheaf $Z \mapsto H_{Zar}^0(f^{-1}(Z), \mathcal{H}^3(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)))$. By Proposition 3.2, the latter sheaf is trivial if $D = B$. $\square$

3e. Motivic cohomology of varieties associated with a torsor.

Theorem 3.8. *Let G be a split reductive group over a field F , B a Borel subgroup, $E \longrightarrow Y$ a G -torsor with Y smooth connected variety and $f : X = E/B \longrightarrow Y$ the induced morphism. Then there are exact sequences of W -modules*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow A^1(Y, K_2) \longrightarrow A^1(X, K_2) \longrightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes F[Y]^\times \longrightarrow \\ H^{4,2}(Y) \longrightarrow H^{4,2}(X) \longrightarrow H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}_f(2)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes \mathrm{CH}^1(Y) \longrightarrow H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}_f(2)) \longrightarrow S^2(\Lambda_w)/S^2(\Lambda_w)^W \longrightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes \mathrm{Br}(Y).$$

Proof. Let D be either T or B and $g : E/D \rightarrow Y$ the induced morphism. Since $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}_g(2)) = 0$ for $n \leq 2$ and

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{H}^3(\mathbb{Z}_g(2)) = \Lambda_w \otimes \mathbb{G}_m$$

by Proposition 3.6, there is an exact triangle in $D^+ \text{Sh}_{\text{ét}}(Y)$:

$$(3.10) \quad \Lambda_w \otimes \mathbb{G}_m[-3] \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 4} \mathbb{Z}_g(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{Z}_g(2))[-4] \rightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes \mathbb{G}_m[-2],$$

where $\tau_{\leq 4}$ is the truncation functor. It follows that $H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z}_g(2)) = \Lambda_w \otimes F[Y]^\times$. Applying the cohomology functor to the exact triangle 3.10 we get a diagram with the exact rows induced by the morphism $E/T \rightarrow E/B$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_w \otimes \text{CH}^1(Y) & \longrightarrow & H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}_f(2)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, \mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{Z}_f(2))) \longrightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes \text{Br}(Y) \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_w \otimes \text{CH}^1(Y) & \longrightarrow & H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}_h(2)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Y, \mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{Z}_h(2))) \longrightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes \text{Br}(Y), \end{array}$$

where $h = g$ in the case $D = T$. If $D = T$, there is a natural W -action on X such that h is W -equivariant (with W acting trivially on Y). Therefore, W acts on the complex $\mathbb{Z}_h(2)$. It follows that the bottom sequence in the diagram is a sequence of W -module homomorphisms.

By Proposition 3.6, $H^0(Y, \mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{Z}_f(2))) \simeq S^2(\Lambda_w)/S^2(\Lambda_w)^W$, β is injective and $H^0(Y, \mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{Z}_f(2)))$ is isomorphic to the kernel of the W -equivariant homomorphism $H^0(Y, \mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{Z}_h(2))) \rightarrow N(Y)$. By 5-Lemma, α is also injective and $H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}_f(2))$ is isomorphic to the kernel of the W -equivariant composition

$$H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}_h(2)) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{H}^4(\mathbb{Z}_h(2))) \rightarrow N(Y).$$

It follows that the top row in the diagram is a sequence of W -equivariant homomorphisms. This gives the second exact sequence in the statement of the theorem.

Applying the cohomology functor to the exact triangle (3.5) and using (3.3) and (3.9) we get an exact sequence

$$(3.11) \quad 0 \rightarrow A^1(Y, K_2) \rightarrow A^1(E/D, K_2) \rightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes F[Y]^\times \rightarrow H^{4,2}(Y) \rightarrow H^{4,2}(E/D) \rightarrow H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}_g(2)) \rightarrow H^{5,2}(Y).$$

In the case $D = B$ we get the first exact sequence in the statement of the theorem. Comparing the exact sequences (3.11) for $D = T$ and $D = B$ via the morphism $E/T \rightarrow E/B$, we get a commutative diagram similar to the one above. We have shown that $H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}_f(2))$ is a W -submodule of $H^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}_h(2))$. Again, by 5-Lemma we see that $H^{4,2}(E/B)$ is a W -submodule of $H^{4,2}(E/T)$. It follows that (3.11) is an exact sequence of W -module homomorphisms. $\square$

4. COHOMOLOGY OF CLASSIFYING SPACES

4a. Balanced elements. Let $A^\bullet$ be a cosimplicial abelian group and write $h_*(A^\bullet)$ for the homology groups of the associated complex of abelian groups. If $A^\bullet$ is a constant cosimplicial abelian group (all coface and codegeneracy maps are the identity), we have $h_0(A^\bullet) = A^0$ and $h_i(A^\bullet) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Let G be a split reductive group over F . Choose a generically free representation V of G such that there is a G -equivariant open subset $U \subset V$ with the property $\text{codim}_V(V \setminus U) \geq 3$ and a *versal* G -torsor $f : U \rightarrow U/G$ (see [4, Lemma 9]). Moreover, we may assume that $(U/G)(F) \neq \emptyset$.

Write U^n for the product of n copies of U with the diagonal action of G . Let $H : \text{SmVar}(F) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ be a contravariant functor from the category of smooth varieties over F to the category of abelian groups. Then $H(U^\bullet/G)$ is a cosimplicial abelian group. We have the two maps

$$H(p_i) : H(U/G) \rightarrow H(U^2/G), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $p_i : U^2/G \rightarrow U/G$ are the projections. An element $v \in H(U/G)$ is called *balanced* if $H(p_1)(v) = H(p_2)(v)$. We write $H(U/G)_{\text{bal}}$ for the subgroup of balanced elements in $H(U/G)$. In other words, $H(U/G)_{\text{bal}} = h_0(H(U^\bullet/G))$ (see [2]).

We write $\overline{H}(X)$ for the cokernel of the homomorphism $H(\text{Spec } F) \rightarrow H(X)$ for a smooth variety X over F induced by the structure morphism of X .

4b. Cohomology of the classifying space. By [2, Corollary 3.5], the group

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^0(BG, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))) := H_{\text{Zar}}^0(U/G, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)))_{\text{bal}}$$

is independent of the choice of U and we have an isomorphism

$$(4.1) \quad H_{\text{Zar}}^0(BG, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j))) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Inv}^n(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(j)).$$

By [19, §3d], the group $H^{4,2}(U/G)_{\text{bal}}$ is also independent of the choice of U (we write $H^{4,2}(BG) := H^{4,2}(U/G)_{\text{bal}}$) and there is an exact sequence

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{CH}^2(BG) \rightarrow H^{4,2}(BG) \rightarrow \text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a split reductive group over F , $T \subset G$ a split maximal torus and C the kernel of the universal cover of the commutator subgroup of G . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow C^* \otimes F^\times \rightarrow \overline{H}^{4,2}(BG) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.8 to the group $D = B$ and the versal G -torsors $U^n \rightarrow U^n/G$ for all n we get an exact sequence

$$(4.4) \quad A^1(U^n/B, K_2) \rightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes F[U^n/G]^\times \rightarrow H^{4,2}(U^n/G) \rightarrow \\ H^{4,2}(U^n/B) \rightarrow H^4(U^n/G, \mathbb{Z}_f(2))$$

of W -modules. By Proposition 2.6,

$$A^1(U^n/B, K_2) = A^1(BB, K_2) = T^* \otimes F^\times.$$

Note that

$$F^\times \subset F[U^n/G]^\times \subset F[U^n]^\times = F[V^n]^\times = F^\times$$

by the assumption on the codimension of U in V , hence $F[U^n/G]^\times = F^\times$. It follows that the cokernel of the first homomorphism in the exact sequence is isomorphic to $C^* \otimes F^\times$ since C^* is the cokernel of the natural homomorphism $T^* \rightarrow \Lambda_w$.

Since B is special, every invariant of B is constant. The exact sequence (4.2) for the group B and Proposition 2.6 then yield:

$$(4.5) \quad \overline{H}^{4,2}(BB) \simeq \mathrm{CH}^2(BB) \simeq \mathcal{S}^2(T^*).$$

Taking the balanced elements in the exact sequence (4.4) of cosimplicial groups, we get a sequence of homomorphisms of W -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow C^* \otimes F^\times \longrightarrow \overline{H}^{4,2}(BG) \longrightarrow \overline{H}^{4,2}(BB) \longrightarrow H^4(U/G, \mathbb{Z}_f(2)),$$

where $f = f^1$. Note that the sequence is exact by [2, Lemma A.2] since the first term is a constant cosimplicial group.

Note that W acts trivially on $\overline{H}^{4,2}(BG)$. Taking the W -invariant elements and using (4.5) we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C^* \otimes F^\times \longrightarrow \overline{H}^{4,2}(BG) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W \longrightarrow H^4(U/G, \mathbb{Z}_f(2))^W.$$

It suffices to show that the last term in the sequence is trivial. The second sequence in Theorem 3.8 reads as follows:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes Q^* \longrightarrow H^4(U/G, \mathbb{Z}_f(2)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w)/\mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w)^W,$$

where $Q^* = G^* = \mathrm{CH}^1(BG)$. As $(\Lambda_w)^W = 0$ and W acts trivially on Q^* , we have $(\Lambda_w \otimes Q^*)^W = 0$. Since $[\mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w)/\mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w)^W]^W = 0$, we conclude that $H^4(U/G, \mathbb{Z}_f(2))^W = 0$. $\square$

5. DEGREE 3 INVARIANTS

Let G be a split reductive group over F and $T \subset G$ a split maximal torus. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & C^* \otimes F^\times & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & \searrow & & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CH}^2(BG) & \longrightarrow & \overline{H}^{4,2}(BG) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\mathrm{norm}} & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \searrow \gamma & & \downarrow & & & \\ & & & \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W & & & \\ & & & \downarrow & & & \\ & & & 0 & & & \end{array}$$

with the exact row and column by (4.2) and Theorem 4.3.

Let

$$c_2 : \mathbb{Z}[T^*] \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)$$

be the second abstract Chern class taking an element $\sum_i e^{x_i}$ to $\sum_{i < j} x_i x_j$ (see [19, 3c]). Note that c_2 is not a group homomorphism unless G is semisimple. As in [19], we prove that the image of γ , denoted $\mathrm{Dec}(G)$, is generated by

the image of the restriction $\mathbb{Z}[T^*]^W \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W$ of c_2 . Thus, $\text{Dec}(G)$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W$ generated by elements of the following types:

- 1) $\sum_{i < j} x_i x_j$, where $\{x_i\}$ is the W -orbit of a character in T^* ,
- 2) xy , where $x, y \in (T^*)^W = Q^*$.

In other words, $\text{Dec}(G)$ is the subgroup of the “obvious” elements in $\mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a split reductive group, $T \subset G$ a split maximal torus and C the kernel of the universal cover of the commutator subgroup of G . Then there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow C^* \otimes F^\times \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{norm}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W / \text{Dec}(G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Everything except the injectivity of the first homomorphisms follows from a diagram chase. Let H be the commutator subgroup of G . By [19, Theorem 4.2], the composition

$$C^* \otimes F^\times \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$$

is injective. The injectivity of the first homomorphism follows. $\square$

By [2, Theorem 2.4], the group of the Brauer invariants of G

$$\text{Inv}^2(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1))_{\text{norm}} = \text{Inv}(G, \text{Br})_{\text{norm}}$$

is isomorphic to $\text{Pic}(G) = C^*$. The first homomorphism in the exact sequence in the theorem is given by the cup-product and the image of this homomorphism consists of *decomposable invariants* (see [19]).

Write $\text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{ind}}$ for the factor group of $\text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{norm}}$ by the subgroup of decomposable invariants. We have a natural isomorphism

$$(5.2) \quad \text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{ind}} \simeq \mathcal{S}^2(T^*)^W / \text{Dec}(G).$$

6. RESTRICTION TO THE COMMUTATOR SUBGROUP

Let G be a split reductive group and H its commutator subgroup. We shall study the restriction homomorphism

$$\text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)).$$

Consider the *polar* homomorphism

$$\text{pol} : \mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w) \longrightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes \Lambda_w, \quad xy \mapsto x \otimes y + y \otimes x.$$

By [17, Proposition 2.2], $\text{pol}(\mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w)^W)$ is contained in $\Lambda_w \otimes \Lambda_r$, where Λ_r is the root lattice.

By [18, §9], the embedding of Λ_r into Λ_w factors as follows:

$$\Lambda_r \xrightarrow{\sigma} T^* \xrightarrow{\tau} \Lambda_w.$$

Let α be the composition

$$\mathcal{S}^2(\Lambda_w)^W \xrightarrow{\text{pol}} (\Lambda_w \otimes \Lambda_r)^W \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \sigma} (\Lambda_w \otimes T^*)^W.$$

Let S be a split maximal torus of H contained in T . The character group S^* is the image of τ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S^2(S^*)^W & & \xrightarrow{\text{pol}} & & S^* \otimes S^* \\
 \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \searrow \\
 S^2(\Lambda_w)^W & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & (\Lambda_w \otimes T^*)^W & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \Lambda_w \otimes S^* \hookrightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes \Lambda_w.
 \end{array}$$

Note that the kernel of the homomorphism $\Lambda_w \otimes T^* \rightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes S^*$ is equal to $\Lambda_w \otimes Q^*$, where $Q = G/H = T/S$. Since $(\Lambda_w \otimes Q^*)^W = 0$, the homomorphism β is injective. Therefore, we have the following commutative key diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & S^2(S^*)^W & & \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
 S^2(\Lambda_w)^W & & (S^* \otimes T^*)^W \hookrightarrow S^* \otimes S^* & & \\
 \searrow \alpha & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 & (\Lambda_w \otimes T^*)^W \hookrightarrow \Lambda_w \otimes S^* & & & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 C^* \otimes Q^* \hookrightarrow C^* \otimes T^* & \twoheadrightarrow & C^* \otimes S^* & &
 \end{array}$$

with vertical exact sequences, where $C^* = \Lambda_w/S^*$ is the character group of the kernel C of a universal cover $\tilde{H} \rightarrow H$. The diagram chase yields a homomorphism

$$(6.1) \quad \theta : S^2(S^*)^W \rightarrow C^* \otimes Q^*.$$

Lemma 6.2. *An element $u \in S^2(S^*)^W$ belongs to the image of $S^2(T^*)^W \rightarrow S^2(S^*)^W$ if and only if $\text{pol}(u)$ belongs to the image of $(S^* \otimes T^*)^W \rightarrow S^* \otimes S^*$.*

Proof. Let X be the kernel of the natural homomorphism $S^2(T^*) \rightarrow S^2(S^*)$. We have the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X \hookrightarrow & S^2(T^*) & \twoheadrightarrow & S^2(S^*) & \\
 \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pol} & \\
 S^* \otimes Q^* \hookrightarrow & S^* \otimes T^* & \twoheadrightarrow & S^* \otimes S^*, &
 \end{array}$$

where the middle row is the composition of $\text{pol} : S^2(T^*) \rightarrow T^* \otimes T^*$ with the natural homomorphism $T^* \otimes T^* \rightarrow S^* \otimes T^*$, and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S^2(Q^*) \rightarrow X \rightarrow S^* \otimes Q^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Since W acts trivially on $S^2(Q^*)$, we have $H^1(W, S^2(Q^*)) = 0$. It follows that the right vertical map in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^2(T^*)^W & \longrightarrow & S^2(S^*)^W & \longrightarrow & H^1(W, X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pol} & & \downarrow \\ (S^* \otimes T^*)^W & \longrightarrow & (S^* \otimes S^*)^W & \longrightarrow & H^1(W, S^* \otimes Q^*) \end{array}$$

with the exact rows is injective. The result follows by diagram chase. $\square$

The following statement is a consequence of Lemma 6.2 and the key diagram chase.

Proposition 6.3. *The sequence*

$$S^2(T^*)^W \longrightarrow S^2(S^*)^W \xrightarrow{\theta} C^* \otimes Q^*$$

is exact.

The first homomorphism in the proposition takes $\text{Dec}(G)$ surjectively onto $\text{Dec}(H)$ (see the proof of [19, Lemma 5.2]). It follows that $\theta(\text{Dec}(H)) = 0$. Theorem 5.1 yields then a homomorphism

$$\text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \longrightarrow C^* \otimes Q^*.$$

Theorem 5.1, Proposition 6.3 and [19, Lemma 5.2] imply the following theorem.

Theorem 6.4. *Let G be a split reductive group, $H \subset G$ the commutator subgroup, $Q = G/H$ and C the kernel of the universal cover $\tilde{H} \rightarrow H$. Then the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \longrightarrow C^* \otimes Q^*$$

is exact.

Corollary 6.5. *If H is either simply connected of adjoint, then the restriction homomorphism $\text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. If H is simply connected, then $C^* = 0$. If H is adjoint, $S^* = \Lambda_r$, so the surjection $T^* \rightarrow S^*$ is split by the map $\Lambda_r \rightarrow T^*$. It follows that the map $S^2(T^*)^W \rightarrow S^2(S^*)^W$ is surjective, hence θ is zero by Proposition 6.3. $\square$

7. REDUCTIVE INVARIANTS

Let H be a split semisimple group and G a *strict reductive envelope* of H (see [18, §10]), i.e. H is the commutator subgroup of the reductive group G and the (scheme-theoretic) center of G is a torus. By [18, §10], the restriction map

$$\text{Inv}^3(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{ind}} \longrightarrow \text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{ind}}$$

is injective and its image $\text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{red}}$ is independent of the choice of G . This is the subgroup of *reductive indecomposable* invariants of H .

By [7], the group $S^2(\Lambda_w)^W$ is free abelian with canonical basis q_j indexed by the set of irreducible components of the Dynkin diagram of G .

We write $\alpha_{ij} \in \Lambda_r$ for the simple roots of the j^{th} component of the root system of H and $w_{ij} \in \Lambda_w$ for the corresponding fundamental weights. Let d_{ij} be the square of the length of the co-root $(\alpha_{ij})^\vee$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $q = \sum_j k_j q_j \in S^2(S^*)^W \subset S^2(\Lambda_w)^W$ with $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $I \in \text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{ind}}$ be the element corresponding to q under the isomorphism (5.2). Then I is reductive indecomposable if and only if the order of $\bar{w}_{ij}$ in C^* divides $d_{ij}k_j$ for all i and j .*

Proof. By construction, the composition of θ in (6.1) with the injective map $C^* \otimes Q^* \rightarrow C^* \otimes T^*$ factors into the composition

$$S^2(S^*)^W \rightarrow S^2(\Lambda_w)^W \xrightarrow{\text{pol}} \Lambda_w \otimes \Lambda_r \rightarrow C^* \otimes \Lambda_r \rightarrow C^* \otimes T^*.$$

As G is strict, Λ_r is a direct summand of T^* , hence the last map in the sequence is injective. Therefore, the sequence

$$S^2(T^*)^W \rightarrow S^2(S^*)^W \xrightarrow{\theta'} C^* \otimes \Lambda_r$$

is exact.

It follows from Theorem 6.4 that I is reductive indecomposable if and only if q belongs to the kernel of θ' . By [18, §10], the polar form of q_j is equal to

$$\sum_i d_{ij} w_{ij} \otimes \alpha_{ij} \in \Lambda_w \otimes \Lambda_r.$$

Since the roots α_{ij} form a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for Λ_r , q belongs to the kernel of θ' if and only if the order of $\bar{w}_{ij}$ in C^* divides $d_{ij}k_j$ for all i and j . $\square$

Remark 7.2. The implication “ $\Rightarrow$ ” of Proposition 7.1 was earlier proved in [18, Proposition 10.6].

We compute the group $\text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{red}}$ for a simple group H . If H is either simply connected or adjoint, then all invariants are reductive indecomposable by Corollary 6.5. In what follows we consider all other cases.

Case A_{n-1} : Let H be a split simple group of type A_{n-1} , i.e., $H = \mathbf{SL}_n / \mu_m$ for some m dividing n . We claim that $\text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{red}} = 0$. It is sufficient to show that the p -primary component is trivial for every prime p . Let r be the largest power of p dividing m . Note that the group $\mathbf{GL}_n / \mu_m$ is a strict envelope of H and the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\mathbf{GL}_n / \mu_r \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_n / \mu_m$ is finite of degree prime to p . It follows from [18, Proposition 7.1] that the p -primary components of the groups of degree 3 invariants of H and $\mathbf{SL}_n / \mu_r$ are isomorphic. Replacing m by r we may assume that m is a p -power.

Let q be the canonical generator of $S^2(S^*)^W$. It is proved in [1, Theorem 4.1] that if $\text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{norm}}$ is nonzero, then $mq \in \text{Dec}(H)$. On the other hand, by Proposition 7.1, if I is a reductive indecomposable invariant of H

corresponding to a multiple kq of q , then k divides the order of the first fundamental weight in $C^* = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. The latter is equal to m , i.e., m divides k , hence $kq \in \text{Dec}(H)$ and therefore, the invariant I is trivial.

Remark 7.3. The group $G = \mathbf{GL}_n / \mu_m$ is a strict envelope of $H = \mathbf{SL}_n / \mu_m$. A G -torsor over a field K is a central simple algebra A of degree n over K and exponent dividing m . Thus, every reductive indecomposable invariant of H is an invariant of such algebras. We have shown that every normalized degree 3 invariant of A is decomposable, i.e., it is equal to $[A] \cup (x) \in H^3(K, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$ for some $x \in F^\times$, where $[A]$ is the class of A in $\text{Br}(K) = H^2(K, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1))$. In other words, central simple algebras of fixed degree and exponent have no nontrivial indecomposable degree 3 invariants.

Case D_n : If H is the special orthogonal group $\mathbf{O}_{2n}^+$, then $\text{Inv}_{\text{ind}}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) = 0$ (see the proof of [18, Proposition 8.2]). Finally $H = \mathbf{HSpin}_{2n}$ is the half-spin group when $n \geq 4$ is even. Let q be the canonical generator of $S^2(S^*)^W$. It is shown in [1, Theorem 5.1] that

$$\text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{ind}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \text{ modulo } 4 \text{ or } n = 4; \\ 2\mathbb{Z}q/4\mathbb{Z}q, & \text{if } n \equiv 4 \text{ modulo } 8 \text{ and } n.4; \\ \mathbb{Z}q/4\mathbb{Z}q, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } 8, \end{cases}$$

where q is the canonical generator of $S^2(\Lambda_w)^W$. The orders of the fundamental weights in $C^* = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ are equal to 1 or 2. By Proposition 7.1,

$$\text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{red}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \text{ modulo } 4 \text{ or } n = 4; \\ 2\mathbb{Z}q/4\mathbb{Z}q, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } 4 \text{ and } n > 4. \end{cases}$$

Remark 7.4. It is shown in [19, Section 4b] that the group

$$\text{Inv}^3(\overline{H}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{ind}} = \text{Inv}^3(\overline{H}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{red}}$$

for the split adjoint simple group $\overline{H}$ of type D_n when n is divisible by 4, is isomorphic to $2\mathbb{Z}q/4\mathbb{Z}q$. Therefore, in this case the pull-back homomorphism $\text{Inv}^3(\overline{H}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \text{Inv}^3(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{red}}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, the value of a reductive degree 3 invariant of the half-spin group H at an H -torsor depends only on the corresponding central simple algebra of degree $2n$ with a quadratic pair (see [12]).

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bermudez and A. Ruozzi, *Degree 3 cohomological invariants of split simple groups that are neither simply connected nor adjoint*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **29** (2014), no. 4, 465–481.
- [2] S. Blinstein and A. Merkurjev, *Cohomological invariants of algebraic tori*, Algebra Number Theory **7** (2013), no. 7, 1643–1684.
- [3] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Birational invariants, purity and the Gersten conjecture*, *K-theory and algebraic geometry: connections with quadratic forms and division algebras* (Santa Barbara, CA, 1992), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 1–64.
- [4] D. Edidin and W. Graham, *Equivariant intersection theory*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 3, 595–634.

- [5] R. Elman, N. Karpenko, and A. Merkurjev, *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 56, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [6] H. Esnault, B. Kahn, M. Levine, and E. Viehweg, *The Arason invariant and mod 2 algebraic cycles*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), no. 1, 73–118.
- [7] R. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev, and Serre J.-P., *Cohomological invariants in galois cohomology*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [8] M. Gros, *Classes de Chern et classes de cycles en cohomologie de Hodge-Witt logarithmique*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) (1985), no. 21, 87.
- [9] P. Guillot, *Geometric methods for cohomological invariants*, Doc. Math. **12** (2007), 521–545 (electronic).
- [10] L. Illusie, *Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 4, 501–661.
- [11] B. Kahn, *Applications of weight-two motivic cohomology*, Doc. Math. **1** (1996), No. 17, 395–416.
- [12] M.-A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, and J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, With a preface in French by J. Tits.
- [13] S. Lichtenbaum, *The construction of weight-two arithmetic cohomology*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), no. 1, 183–215.
- [14] S. Lichtenbaum, *New results on weight-two motivic cohomology*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 35–55.
- [15] A. Merkurjev, *The group $H^1(X, K_2)$ for projective homogeneous varieties*, Algebra i Analiz **7** (1995), no. 3, 136–164.
- [16] A. Merkurjev and A. A. Suslin, *The group K_3 for a field*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **54** (1990), no. 3, 522–545.
- [17] A. Merkurjev, *Weight two motivic cohomology of classifying spaces for semisimple groups*, to appear in AJM (2013).
- [18] A. Merkurjev, *Unramified degree three invariants of reductive groups*, Preprint (2014).
- [19] A. Merkurjev, *Degree three cohomological invariants of semisimple groups*, To appear in JEMS.
- [20] J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [21] M. Rost, *Chow groups with coefficients*, Doc. Math. **1** (1996), No. 16, 319–393 (electronic).
- [22] A. A. Suslin, *Torsion in K_2 of fields*, K-Theory **1** (1987), no. 1, 5–29.
- [23] B. Totaro, *The Chow ring of a classifying space*, Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 249–281.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA

E-mail address: dlaackman@math.ucla.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA

E-mail address: merkurev@math.ucla.edu