

VERSAL TORSORS AND RETRACTS

ALEXANDER S. MERKURJEV

ABSTRACT. Let G be an algebraic group over F and p a prime integer. We introduce the notion of a p -retract rational variety and prove that if $Y \rightarrow X$ is a p -versal G -torsor, then BG is a stable p -retract of X . It follows that the classifying space BG is p -retract rational if and only if there is a p -versal G -torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ with X a rational variety, that is all G -torsors over infinite fields are rationally parameterized. In particular, for such groups G the unramified Galois cohomology group $H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(BG), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ coincides with $H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be an algebraic group over a field F . In the present paper we study G -torsors $E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ for field extensions K/F . In many cases G -torsors are related to classical algebraic objects. For example, if $G = \mathbf{PGL}_n$ such objects are central simple algebras A of degree n over K . Every \mathbf{PGL}_n -torsor over $\text{Spec } K$ is the torsor of isomorphisms between A and the matrix algebra $M_n(K)$.

A G -torsor $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is called *versal* if every G -torsor $E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ for an extension K/F with K an infinite field is isomorphic to the pull-back of f with respect to a morphism (a point) $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$ and the set of images of such morphisms is dense in X . Thus, a versal G -torsor keeps information about all G -torsors over field extensions K/F .

Versal G -torsors exist. For example, let V be a generically free representation of G (that is the generic stabilizer of a vector in V is trivial). There is a nonempty G -invariant open subset $I \subset V$ and a G -torsor $I \rightarrow Z$ for some variety Z over F . (One can think of Z as the variety of orbits I/G .) It appears that $I \rightarrow Z$ is a versal G -torsor. We call such torsors *standard* versal G -torsors. We think of the variety Z as an “approximation” of the stack BG of all G -torsors, which we call the *classifying space* of G .

If $I \rightarrow Z$ and $I' \rightarrow Z'$ are two standard versal G -torsors, then the varieties Z and Z' are stably birationally isomorphic. In other words, the stable birational type of the classifying space BG is well defined.

If $I \rightarrow Z$ is a standard versal G -torsor and $Y \rightarrow X$ is a versal G -torsor, then Z and X may not be stably birationally isomorphic. But we prove in Theorem 5.7 that Z is a stable retract of X , that is there are rational morphisms $f : Z \dashrightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$ and $g : X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \dashrightarrow Z$ for some n such that the composition $g \circ f$ is defined and equals the identity of Z .

We say that all G -torsors over infinite fields for an algebraic group G are *rationally parameterized* if there is a versal G -torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ with X a rational variety. We prove (Theorem 5.8) that all G -torsors over infinite fields are rationally parameterized if and

The work has been supported by the NSF grant DMS #1801530.

only if BG (that is, its approximation Z for a standard versal torsor $I \rightarrow Z$) is retract of a rational variety.

We also consider the local setting. Namely, for a prime integer p we consider p -versal torsors and define p -retracts, roughly, by ignoring the effects given by dominant morphisms of finite degree prime to p . We prove local analogs of the theorems mentioned above.

In Section 7 we prove (Theorem 7.4) that if X and X' are smooth varieties over F such that X is a p -retract of X' , then there is an injective homomorphism of the groups of unramified cohomology

$$H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X'), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)).$$

In particular, if X is a p -retract rational smooth variety over F , then the natural homomorphism

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$$

is an isomorphism.

We use the following notation. A *variety* over a field F is an integral separated scheme of finite type over F . We write $F(X)$ for the function field of X over F . An *algebraic group* over F is an affine group scheme of finite type over F (not necessarily smooth or connected). The *degree* of a dominant morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ of varieties is the integer $[F(X) : F(Y)]$. We write $X \approx Y$ if X and Y are birationally isomorphic, i.e., $F(X) \simeq F(Y)$ over F . If X is a scheme over F and L/F is a field extension, we write X_L for the scheme $X \times_F \text{Spec } L$ over L . The *generic fiber* of a dominant rational morphism $f : Y \dashrightarrow X$ of varieties over F is the scheme $U \times_X \text{Spec } K$ over $K = F(X)$, where $U \subset Y$ is the domain of definition of f . We write pt for $\text{Spec } F$.

The letter p in the paper denotes either a prime integer or 0. An integer k is said to be *prime to p* when k is prime to p if $p > 0$ and $k = 1$ if $p = 0$.

We collect technical (mostly known) results in the Appendix.

Acknowledgment: The author is grateful to Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, David Harbater and Zinovy Reichstein for useful comments.

2. SPLIT RATIONAL MORPHISMS

A rational dominant morphism of varieties $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$ over a field F is called (*rationally*) p -*split* if for every nonempty open subset $U' \subset X'$ in the domain of definition of f , there is a morphism of varieties $g : Y \rightarrow X'$ such that $\text{Im}(g) \subset U'$ and the composition $f \circ g : Y \rightarrow X$ is dominant of finite degree prime to p :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ g \swarrow & \downarrow \text{of degree prime to } p & \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X. \end{array}$$

Clearly, a dominant morphism $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$ is p -split if and only if the set of closed points of degree prime to p in the generic fiber of f is everywhere dense.

Remark 2.1. By Lemma 8.5, the set of closed points of degree prime to $p > 0$ in a regular variety is either empty or everywhere dense. It follows that in the case the generic

fiber of a dominant rational morphism $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$ is regular, the density condition in the definition of p -retract for f can be removed if $p > 0$.

Example 2.2. If $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$ is a dominant rational morphism of finite degree prime p , then f is p -split. Indeed, we can take Y the domain of definition of f and g the inclusion of Y into X' .

We say that f is *split* if f is p -split for $p = 0$. By definition, f is split if and only if for every nonempty open subset U' in the domain of definition of f , there is a rational morphism $g : X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that $\text{Im}(g) \cap U' \neq \emptyset$ and $f \circ g = 1_X$. Equivalently, f is split if and only if the rational points in the generic fiber of f are everywhere dense.

Lemma 2.3. (1) *If $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$ and $f' : X'' \dashrightarrow X'$ are p -split morphisms of varieties over F , then so is $f \circ f'$.*
 (2) *Every birational isomorphism is split.*
 (3) *If X is a variety over F such that the field $F(X)$ is infinite, then the projection $X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow X$ is split for all n .*

Proof. (1): Let $U'' \subset X''$ be a nonempty open subset in the domains of definition of f' and $f \circ f'$. Choose a morphism $g' : Y' \rightarrow X''$ such that $\text{Im}(g') \subset U''$ and $t' := f' \circ g'$ is dominant of finite degree prime to p .

By Lemma 8.3, there exists a nonempty open subset $U' \subset X'$ in the domain of definition of f such that for every point $u \in U'$ there is $y \in Y'$ with $t'(y) = u$ and finite $[F(y) : F(u)]$ prime to p . Since f is p -split, there is a morphism $g : Y \rightarrow X'$ with $\text{Im}(g) \subset U'$ such that $t := f \circ g$ is dominant of finite degree prime to p .

By Lemma 8.4, there is a variety Y'' , a morphism $g'' : Y'' \rightarrow Y'$ and a dominant morphism $t'' : Y'' \rightarrow Y$ of finite degree prime to p such that $t' \circ g'' = g \circ t''$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & Y'' \\
 & & & & \downarrow t'' \\
 & & & g'' \swarrow & Y \\
 & & & & \downarrow t \\
 & & & g' \swarrow & Y' \\
 & & & & \downarrow t' \\
 & & & g \swarrow & Y \\
 & & & & \downarrow t \\
 X'' & \xrightarrow{f'} & X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X
 \end{array}$$

We have

$$(f \circ f') \circ (g' \circ g'') = f \circ t' \circ g'' = f \circ g \circ t'' = t \circ t''$$

and $\text{Im}(g' \circ g'') \subset \text{Im}(g') \subset U''$. Moreover, $\deg(t \circ t'') = \deg(t) \deg(t'')$ is prime to p . Therefore, $f \circ f'$ is p -split.

(2) follows immediately from the definition.

(3): Under the assumption, the $F(X)$ -points are dense in the generic fiber $\mathbb{A}_{F(X)}^n$ of the projection $X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow X$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *If $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$ is p -split, then so is $f \times 1 : X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \dashrightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$ for every n .*

Proof. Let W be the domain of definition of f and $U \subset W \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$ a nonempty open subset. As the projection $p : W \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow W$ is flat, it is an open morphism, hence the image $U' := p(U)$ is open in W . As f is p -split, there is a morphism of varieties $g : Y \rightarrow X'$ such that $\text{Im}(g) \subset U'$ and the composition $f \circ g : Y \rightarrow X$ is dominant of finite degree prime to p . It follows that the image of $g \times 1 : Y \times \mathbb{A}_F^n \rightarrow X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$ intersects U . Therefore, the subset $T := (g \times 1)^{-1}(U) \subset Y \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$ is nonempty open. Then the restriction $h := (g \times 1)|_T : T \rightarrow X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$ satisfies $\text{Im}(h) \subset U$ and the composition $(f \times 1) \circ h : T \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$ is dominant of finite degree prime to p . \square

3. RETRACTS

We say that a variety X is a (rational) p -retract of a variety X' if there is a p -split rational morphism $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$. We write $X <_p X'$ if X is a p -retract of X' .

If $p = 0$, we simply write $X < X'$ for $X <_p X'$ and call X a retract of X' . Clearly, $X < X'$ implies $X <_p X'$ for every p .

Our definition of retract coincides with the one in [24, Definition 1.1].

Example 3.1. If $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$ is a dominant rational morphism of finite degree prime p , then $X <_p X'$ (see Example 2.2).

In the case $p = 0$, the following lemma was proved in [24, Lemma 1.3, Lemma 1.4, Example 1.5a].

Lemma 3.2. (1) If $X <_p X'$ and $X' <_p X''$, then $X <_p X''$.
(2) If $X \approx Y$, then $X <_p Y <_p X$ for all p .
(3) If $X <_p X'$, $X \approx Y$ and $X' \approx Y'$, then $Y <_p Y'$.
(4) If X is a variety over F such that the function field $F(X)$ is infinite, then $X < (X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n)$ for all n .

Proof. (3) follows from (1) and (2). The other statements are proved in Lemma 2.3. \square

Lemma 3.2 shows that the relation $<_p$ can be defined on the set of birational isomorphism classes of varieties over F .

The following statement is proved in Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 3.3. If $X <_p X'$, then $(X \times \mathbb{A}_F^n) <_p (X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n)$ for every n .

We say that X is a stable p -retract of X' and write $X \triangleleft_p X'$ if $X <_p (X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n)$ for some $n \geq 0$ (cf., [24, Definition 4.1]). If X is a p -retract of X' , i.e., $X <_p X'$, then $X \triangleleft_p X'$.

Corollary 3.4. If $X \triangleleft_p X'$ and $X' \triangleleft_p X''$, then $X \triangleleft_p X''$.

Proof. We have $X <_p X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^m$ and $X' <_p X'' \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$ for some m and n . By Lemma 3.3, $X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^m <_p X'' \times \mathbb{A}_F^{n+m}$, hence $X <_p X'' \times \mathbb{A}_F^{n+m}$ in view of Lemma 3.2. \square

If X and Y are varieties over F , we write $X \overset{\text{s.b.}}{\approx} Y$ if X and Y are stably birational, i.e., $X \times \mathbb{A}_F^m \approx Y \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$ for some m and n .

Corollary 3.5. If $F(Y)$ is infinite, $X \triangleleft_p X'$, $X \overset{\text{s.b.}}{\approx} Y$ and $X' \overset{\text{s.b.}}{\approx} Y'$, then $Y \triangleleft_p Y'$.

Proof. We have birational isomorphisms $X \times \mathbb{A}_F^m \approx Y \times \mathbb{A}_F^n$, $X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^r \approx Y' \times \mathbb{A}_F^k$ and $X \prec_p X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^s$ for some m, n, r, k, s . By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3,

$$Y \prec_p (Y \times \mathbb{A}_F^{n+r}) \prec_p (X \times \mathbb{A}_F^{m+r}) \triangleleft_p (X \times \mathbb{A}_F^r) \prec_p (X' \times \mathbb{A}_F^{r+s}) \prec_p (Y' \times \mathbb{A}_F^{k+s}) \triangleleft_p Y'.$$

By Corollary 3.4, $Y \triangleleft_p Y'$. \square

A variety X is called *p-retract rational* if X is a p -retract of a rational variety. Equivalently, by Lemma 3.2, X is p -retract rational if and only if $X \triangleleft_p \text{pt}$. A variety X is called *retract rational* if X is p -retract rational for $p = 0$.

4. VERSAL TORSORS

Let G be an algebraic group over F . We consider G -torsors $Y \rightarrow X$ over a variety X . Note that we don't assume that Y is a variety, i.e., Y is integral.

A G -torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ over a variety X is called *p-versal* if for every G -torsor $E \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ for a field extension K/F with K an infinite field and every nonempty open subset $U \subset X$, there is a finite field extension L/F of degree prime to p such that the G -torsor $E_L \rightarrow \text{Spec} L$ is isomorphic to the pull-back of $Y \rightarrow X$ with respect to a point $x : \text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow X$ with $\text{Im}(x) \in U$ (see [11]).

A G -torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ is called *versal* if it is p -versal for $p = 0$ (see [13]). Every versal torsor is p -versal for every p .

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ be a dominant morphism of varieties over F , $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$ a G -torsor and $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$ the pull-back of $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$ with respect to f . Then*

- (1) *If $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is a p -versal G -torsor, then so is $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$.*
- (2) *If $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is a p -versal G -torsor and f is p -split, then $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is p -versal.*

Proof. (1) Let $E \rightarrow \text{Spec} K$ be a G -torsor, where K is a field extension of F such that K is an infinite field, and $U_2 \subset X_2$ a nonempty open subset. As f is dominant, the open subset $U_1 := f^{-1}(U_2) \subset X_1$ is nonempty. Since $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is a p -versal torsor, there is a field extension L/K of finite degree prime to p and a point $x_1 : \text{Spec} L \rightarrow X_1$ with $\text{Im}(x_1) \subset U_1$ such that the torsor $E_L \rightarrow \text{Spec} L$ is isomorphic to the pull-back of $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$ with respect to x_1 . If $x_2 := f \circ x_1 : \text{Spec} L \rightarrow X_2$, then $\text{Im}(x_2) \subset U_2$ and $E_L \rightarrow \text{Spec} L$ is isomorphic to the pull-back of $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$ with respect to x_2 .

(2) Let $E \rightarrow \text{Spec} K$ be a G -torsor, where K is a field extension of F such that K is an infinite field, and $U_1 \subset X_1$ a nonempty open subset. Since f is p -split, there is a morphism of varieties $g : Y \rightarrow X_1$ such that $\text{Im}(g) \subset U_1$ and the composition $f \circ g : Y \rightarrow X_2$ is finite of degree prime to p . In view of Lemma 8.3 applied to the morphism $f \circ g : Y \rightarrow X_2$ of finite degree prime to p , we find a nonempty open subset $U_2 \subset X_2$ such that for every point $x_2 \in U_2$ there is a point $y \in Y$ with the property that $f(g(y)) = x_2$ and the field extension $F(y)/F(x_2)$ is finite of degree prime to p .

As $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$ is a p -versal G -torsor, there is a field extension L/K of finite degree prime to p and a morphism $h : \text{Spec} L \rightarrow X_2$ such that $\{x_2\} := \text{Im}(h) \subset U_2$ and $E_L \rightarrow \text{Spec} L$ is isomorphic to the pull-back of the torsor $Y_2 \rightarrow X_2$ with respect to h . Choose a point $y \in Y$ such that $f(g(y)) = x_2$ and the field extension $F(y)/F(x_2)$ is finite of degree prime to p . By Corollary 8.2, applied to the morphism $f \circ g : Y \rightarrow X_2$, there is a field extension

L'/L of finite degree prime to p and a morphism $k : \text{Spec } L' \rightarrow Y$ such that $\text{Im}(k) = \{y\}$ and the composition of $\text{Spec } L' \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$ with h coincides with $f \circ g \circ k$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } L' & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } L \\ k \downarrow & & \searrow h \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & X_1 \xrightarrow{f} X_2. \end{array}$$

It follows that $E_{L'} \rightarrow \text{Spec } L'$ is isomorphic to the pull-back of the torsor $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$ with respect to $g \circ k$ and $[L' : K] = [L' : L] \cdot [L : K]$ is prime to p . Finally, $\text{Im}(g \circ k) = g(\text{Im}(k)) = \{g(y)\} \subset U_1$. It follows that $Y_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is a p -versal torsor. \square

5. STANDARD VERSAL TORSORS

Let G be an algebraic group over F . Let V be a generically free G -representation and $I \subset V$ a nonempty G -invariant open subset together with a G -torsor $I \rightarrow Z$, where Z is a variety over F . We call $I \rightarrow Z$ a *standard G -torsor*. We always assume that I is chosen so that $\dim(Z) > 0$, hence the field $F(Z)$ is infinite.

Example 5.1. Embed G into \mathbf{GL}_n as a closed subgroup. Then the natural morphism $\mathbf{GL}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_n/G$ is a standard G -torsor since \mathbf{GL}_n is an open subset of the affine space of $M_n(F)$ and G acts on $M_n(F)$ by multiplication generically freely.

Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a G -torsor with X a variety over F . The trivial vector bundle $Y \times V \rightarrow Y$ with the diagonal G -action on $Y \times V$ descends to a vector bundle $Y^V \rightarrow X$ (see [2] and [26, Chapter 4]). The open nonempty G -invariant subset $Y \times I \subset Y \times V$ descends to an open subset $Y^I \subset Y^V$. In particular, Y^I is a variety over F birational to $X \times V$, therefore, $Y^I \stackrel{\text{s.b.}}{\approx} X$. The projection $Y \times I \rightarrow I$ yields a morphism $Y^I \rightarrow Z$.

Let $E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$, where $K = F(Z)$, be the generic fiber of $I \rightarrow Z$. Write $Y^E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ for the generic fiber of $Y^I \rightarrow Z$. As Y^E is a localization of Y^I , Y^E is a variety over K .

If $I_1 \rightarrow Z_1$ and $I_2 \rightarrow Z_2$ are two standard G -torsors, then

$$Z_1 \stackrel{\text{s.b.}}{\approx} (I_1)^{I_2} \simeq (I_2)^{I_1} \stackrel{\text{s.b.}}{\approx} Z_2,$$

hence Z_1 and Z_2 are stably birationally isomorphic.

If Y is a variety, we write $\text{BG} \triangleleft_p Y$ if $Z \triangleleft_p Y$ for a standard G -torsor $I \rightarrow Z$. By Corollary 3.5, this makes sense. We say that BG is stably rational (respectively, p -retract rational) if so is Z .

Example 5.2. If $\text{char}(F) = p > 0$ and G is a finite p -group, then BG is stably rational (see [14] and [18, §5.6]).

Example 5.3. Let $H \subset G$ be a subgroup of finite index prime to p and $I \rightarrow Z$ a standard G -torsor. Then $I \rightarrow T := I/H$ is a standard H -torsor. Since the natural morphism $T \rightarrow Z$ is of degree $[G : H]$ prime to p , we have $Z <_p T$ by Example 3.1. In other words, $\text{BG} <_p \text{BH}$.

By [13, Part 1, §5.4], every standard G -torsor $I \rightarrow Z$ is versal.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a G -torsor with X a variety and let $I \rightarrow Z$ be a standard G -torsor. Then $Y \rightarrow X$ is p -versal if and only if the morphism $Y^I \rightarrow Z$ is p -split.*

Proof. \Rightarrow : Let $K = F(Z)$ and $E \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ the generic fiber of $I \rightarrow Z$. It suffices to show that closed points of degree prime to p are dense in Y^E .

Let $U \subset Y^E$ be a nonempty open subset. We will show that U contains a closed point of degree prime to p .

Since Y^E is a localization of Y^I , there is an open subset $U' \subset Y^I$ such that U is the pull-back of U' under the natural morphism $Y^E \rightarrow Y^I$. As the morphism $Y^I \rightarrow X$ is flat, it is open and the image W of U' is an open subset of X .

As $Y \rightarrow X$ is a p -versal torsor, there is a field extension L/K of finite degree prime to p and a point $x : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow X$ such that $\text{Im}(x) \subset W$ and the torsor $E_L \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$ is isomorphic to the pull-back of $Y \rightarrow X$ with respect to x . We can find a variety Z' over F , a morphism $s : Z' \rightarrow Z$ of varieties over F such that the field extension $F(Z')/F(Z)$ given by s is isomorphic to L/K , a morphism $t : Z' \rightarrow X$ such that the composition $\text{Spec } L \xrightarrow{\sim} Z' \xrightarrow{t} X$ coincides with x and $\text{Im}(t) \subset W$ such that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I & \xleftarrow{a} & I' & \xrightarrow{b} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \xleftarrow{s} & Z' & \xrightarrow{t} & X \end{array}$$

with two fiber product squares.

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I \times I & \xleftarrow{a \times 1} & I' \times I & \xrightarrow{b \times 1} & Y \times I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I & \xleftarrow{a} & I' & \xrightarrow{b} & Y, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are first projections, yields a fiber product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I^I & \xleftarrow{g} & (I')^I & \xrightarrow{f} & Y^I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \xleftarrow{s} & Z' & \xrightarrow{t} & X. \end{array}$$

Since $\text{Im}(t) \subset W$, we have $\text{Im}(f) \cap U' \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, the open subset $T' := f^{-1}(U') \subset (I')^I$ is nonempty. As $(I')^E$ is a localization of $(I')^I$, the inverse image T of T' under the natural morphism $(I')^E \rightarrow (I')^I$ is a nonempty open subset of $(I')^E$. The commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (I')^E & \xrightarrow{h} & Y^E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (I')^I & \xrightarrow{f} & Y^I \end{array}$$

implies that $h(T) \subset U$.

The natural morphism $g' : (I')^E \rightarrow I^E$ of varieties over K induced by g is dominant of finite degree prime to p . By Lemma 8.3 applied to the restriction $k : T \rightarrow I^E$ of g' , there is a nonempty open subset $U'' \subset I^E$ such that for every point $x \in U''$ there is a

point $t \in T$ with the property that $k(t) = x$ and the field extension $K(t)/K(x)$ is finite of degree prime to p .

Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$ be a generically free representation such that the variety I is a nonempty G -invariant open subset of a vector space V . Hence I^E is open in a vector space V^E over K that is the twist $(V \times E)/G$ of V by E . If ρE is the push-forward of E with respect to ρ , we have $V^E \simeq V^{\rho E}$. By the classical Hilbert Theorem 90, the $\mathbf{GL}(V)$ -torsor ρE is trivial, hence $V^{\rho E} \simeq V_K$ over K . Thus, $I^E \approx I_K$ over K . As K is an infinite field, the K -points of I^E are everywhere dense. Choose a K -point $x \in U'' \subset I^E$. There is a closed point $t \in T$ of degree prime to p such that $k(t) = x$. Then $h(t) \in U \subset Y^E$ is a closed point of degree prime to p .

\Leftarrow : Consider the following diagram with two fiber product squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} I & \xleftarrow{p_2} & Y \times I & \xrightarrow{p_1} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longleftarrow & Y^I & \longrightarrow & X. \end{array}$$

As $I \rightarrow Z$ is versal and $Y^I \rightarrow Z$ is p -split, by Proposition 4.1(2), the torsor $Y \times I \rightarrow Y^I$ is p -versal. It follows from Proposition 4.1(1) that $Y \rightarrow X$ is p -versal. \square

Remark 5.5. It was shown in [11] that if $Y \rightarrow X$ is a versal torsor, the rational points are dense in Y . The p -local analog is false if $p > 0$.

Example 5.6. Let $p = 2$ and $G = \mu_3$ over a field F of characteristic not 3 such that $G(F) = 1$. If K/F is a field extension and $a \in K^\times$, write $K_a := K[x]/(x^3 - a)$ and set $Y_a = \text{Spec } K_a$. Then $Y_a \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ is a G -torsor and every G -torsor over $\text{Spec } K$ is of this form. If $a \in K^{\times 3}$, the torsor Y_a is trivial. Otherwise, K_a is a field, hence Y_a is a variety. Therefore, a nontrivial G -torsor Y_a is split over the cubic field extension K_a/K . It follows that the trivial G -torsor $G \rightarrow \text{Spec } F$ is 2-versal. But since $G = \text{Spec } F + \text{Spec } L$, where L/F is a quadratic field extension, the closed points of G of odd degree are not dense in G .

Theorem 5.7. *Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a p -versal G -torsor. Then BG is a stable p -retract of X .*

Proof. As $Y^I \overset{\text{s.b.}}{\approx} X$, we have $Y^I \triangleleft X$ by Corollary 3.5. In view of Proposition 5.4, the morphism $Y^I \rightarrow Z$ is p -split. Therefore, Z is a p -retract of Y^I , i.e., $Z \triangleleft_p Y^I$. Finally, $Z \triangleleft_p X$ by Corollary 3.4. \square

Theorem 5.8. *Let G be an algebraic group over F . Then BG is p -retract rational if and only if there is a p -versal G -torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ with X a rational variety.*

Proof. \Rightarrow : Choose a standard G -torsor $I \rightarrow Z$ over F . By assumption, Z is a p -retract of a rational variety X , i.e., there is a p -split rational dominant morphism $f : X \dashrightarrow Z$. Shrinking X , we may assume that f is regular. Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be the pull-back of $I \rightarrow Z$ with respect to f . By Proposition 4.1(2), the torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ is p -versal.

\Leftarrow : Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be a p -versal G -torsor with X a rational variety. By Theorem 5.7, $BG \triangleleft_p X$. As X is rational, BG is p -retract rational. \square

Corollary 5.9. *Let G be an algebraic group over F . Then BG is retract rational if and only if all G -torsors over field extensions of F can be rationally parameterized, i.e., there is a versal G -torsor $Y \rightarrow X$ with X a rational variety.*

Note that in the case G is a finite group and F is infinite, the corollary was proved in [10, Lemma 5].

6. AN EXAMPLE

The classifying space of the alternating group A_n is stably rational if $n \leq 5$ (see [22] and [9, §4.7]). The case $n \geq 6$ remains open.

Theorem 6.1. *The classifying space BA_n of the alternating group A_n is p -retract rational for every prime integer p .*

Proof. Let p be a prime integer.

Case 1: $p = \text{char}(F)$. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of A_n . The space BP is stably rational by Example 5.2. As $BA_n <_p BP$ in view of Example 5.3, the classifying space BA_n is p -retract rational.

Case 2: $p \neq \text{char}(F)$ and p is odd. We prove that BA_n is p -retract rational by induction on n . Let $m := \lfloor n/p \rfloor$. Consider the subgroup $H := C^m \rtimes A_m$ of A_n , where $C := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let $F' := F(\xi_p)$, where ξ_p is a primitive root of unity of degree p . We consider C as the subgroup generated by ξ_p of the quasi-trivial torus $S := R_{F'/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ over F and set $T := S/C$.

The group C acts by multiplication by p -th roots of unity on the affine space $\mathbb{A}(F')$ of F' over F . Therefore, H acts faithfully naturally linearly on the affine space $\mathbb{A}(F'^m)$. As S^m is an open H -invariant subset of $\mathbb{A}(F'^m)$, we have

$$(6.2) \quad BH \stackrel{\text{s.b.}}{\approx} S^m/H = T^m/A_m.$$

The torus T is split by the cyclic cyclotomic field extension F'/F . As every flasque module over a cyclic group is invertible (see [8, Proposition 2]), there is a torus T' over F split by F'/F such that the torus $T \times T'$ is rational. The group A_m acts by permutations on $T^m \times T'^m$, hence

$$(6.3) \quad BA_m \stackrel{\text{s.b.}}{\approx} (T^m \times T'^m)/A_m.$$

The generic fiber of the projection $f : (T^m \times T'^m)/A_m \rightarrow T^m/A_m$ is equal to

$$(T'^m \times \text{Spec } L)/A_m,$$

where $L := F(T'^m)$. This is a torus \tilde{T} over $K := F(T'^m/A_m) = L^{A_m}$ split by $F' \otimes_F L$. As K is infinite, the K -rational points are dense in the torus \tilde{T} , i.e., f is split and hence

$$(6.4) \quad T^m/A_m < (T^m \times T'^m)/A_m.$$

It follows from (6.2), (6.3) and (6.4) that BH is a stable retract of BA_m . By the induction hypothesis, BA_m is p -retract rational, then so is BH . Since the index $[A_n : H]$ is prime to p , we have $BA_n <_p BH$ by Example 5.3. Therefore, BA_n is p -retract rational.

Case 3: $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$ and $p = 2$. Let $m := \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and let B be the kernel of the map $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ taking (a_i) to $\sum a_i$. The symmetric group S_m acts by permutations

on B . The group $D := B \rtimes S_m$ is a subgroup of A_n . The group $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m$ acts on $\mathbb{A}_F^m = \text{Spec } F[t_1, \dots, t_m]$ by $t_i \rightarrow \pm t_i$ and S_m acts by permutations of the t_i . Therefore, D acts faithfully and linearly on \mathbb{A}_F^m with

$$\mathbb{A}_F^m/D = \text{Spec } F[s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, t] \simeq \mathbb{A}_F^m,$$

where s_i is the i -th symmetric function on t_1^2, \dots, t_m^2 and $t = t_1 \cdots t_m$. Thus, BD is stably rational. As the index $[A_n : D]$ is odd, $BA_n <_2 BD$ by Example 5.3, and hence BA_n is 2-retract rational. \square

7. UNRAMIFIED COHOMOLOGY

For every integer $j \geq 0$ and a prime integer p , let $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)$ denote an object in the derived category of sheaves of abelian groups on the big étale site of $\text{Spec } F$, where

$$\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j) = \text{colim}_n (\mu_{p^n})^{\otimes j}$$

if $p \neq \text{char } F$, with μ_{p^n} the sheaf of p^n -th roots of unity, and if $p = \text{char } F > 0$, the complex $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)$ is defined via logarithmic de Rham-Witt differentials (see [17, I.5.7] or [19]). In particular, $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(0) = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$.

If X is a scheme over F , we write $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ for the degree n étale cohomology group of X with values in $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)$. If $X = \text{Spec } R$ for a commutative ring R , we simply write $H^n(R, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ for $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$. For example, if $\text{char}(F) = p > 0$ (see [1]),

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) = \begin{cases} K_j^M(F) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, & \text{if } n = j; \\ H^1(F, K_j^M(F_{\text{sep}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p), & \text{if } n = j + 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where K_j^M are Milnor K -groups.

If L/F is a field extension, there is a natural homomorphism

$$\beta_{L/F} : H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H^n(L, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)).$$

If L/F is finite, the norm map for Milnor K -groups and the corestriction in cohomology yield the norm (corestriction) homomorphism

$$\gamma_{L/F} : H^n(L, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)).$$

The composition $\gamma_{L/F} \circ \beta_{L/F}$ is multiplication by $[L : F]$.

We write $\mathcal{H}_X^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ for the Zariski sheaf on X associated with the presheaf

$$U \mapsto H^n(U, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)).$$

Let $O_{X,x}$ denote the local ring of X at a point $x \in X$.

Proposition 7.1. (see [6, §2.1] and [15, Theorem 1.4]) Let X be a smooth variety over F . Then the pull-back to the generic point yields an injective homomorphism

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X, \mathcal{H}_X^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^0(\text{Spec } F(X), \mathcal{H}_{F(X)}^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) = H^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)).$$

Its image coincides with the intersection of images of natural homomorphisms

$$H^n(O_{X,x}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$$

for all points $x \in X$ of codimension 1.

Let K/F be a field extension and v a discrete valuation of K over F with valuation ring O_v . Following [5] and [7], we say that an element $a \in H^n(K, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ is *unramified with respect to v* if a belongs to the image of the map $H^n(O_v, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H^n(K, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$. We write $H_{\text{nr}}^n(K, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ for the subgroup of all elements in $H^n(K, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ that are unramified with respect to all discrete valuations of K over F . We have natural homomorphism

$$(7.2) \quad H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(K, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)).$$

Proposition 7.3. [21, Proposition 3.1] *Let K/F be a purely transcendental field extension. Then the map (7.2) is an isomorphism.*

Let X be a smooth variety over F . If $x \in X$ is a point of codimension 1, the local ring $O_{X,x}$ is a discrete valuation ring. It follows from Proposition 7.1 that the image of the injective homomorphism $H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X, \mathcal{H}_X^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) \rightarrow H^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ contains the subgroup $H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$.

Theorem 7.4. *Let X and X' be smooth varieties over F such that X is a p -retract of X' . Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X'), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \end{array}$$

with α an injective homomorphism.

Proof. There is a rational dominant morphism $f : X' \dashrightarrow X$ and a morphism $g : Y \rightarrow X'$ with $\text{Im}(g)$ in the domain of definition of f such that the composition $f \circ g$ is dominant of finite degree prime to p . Shrinking X' and Y , we may assume that f is regular. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X'), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X, \mathcal{H}_X^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{Zar}}^0(X', \mathcal{H}_{X'}^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{Zar}}^0(Y, \mathcal{H}_Y^n(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))) \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ H^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & & \longrightarrow & H^n(F(Y), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)). \end{array}$$

The maps α and β are the pull-back homomorphisms induced by the field extensions $F(X')/F(X)$ and $F(Y)/F(X)$, respectively. For every $a \in \text{Ker}(\beta)$, we have

$$0 = \gamma(\beta(a)) = [F(Y) : F(X)] \cdot a,$$

where $\gamma : H^n(F(Y), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ is the norm homomorphism. As $[F(Y) : F(X)]$ is prime to p , we have $a = 0$, i.e., β is injective. It follows that α is also injective. \square

Corollary 7.5. *Let X be a p -retract rational smooth variety over F . Then the natural homomorphism*

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let X be a p -retract of a rational variety X' . As $F(X')$ is purely transcendental over F , the map

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(X'), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$$

is an isomorphism by Proposition 7.3. The statement now follows from Theorem 7.4. \square

Example 7.6. Let p be a prime integer and F an algebraically closed field of characteristic not p . The classifying space BG for all p -groups of order dividing p^4 and 32 are stably rational by [4] and [3]. There are finite groups G such that $H_{\text{nr}}^2(F(BG), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \neq 0$ (see [25]). In [16] such groups of order p^5 (if p odd) and 64 (if $p = 2$) are given. By Corollary 7.5, BG is not p -retract rational for finite groups G with $H_{\text{nr}}^2(F(BG), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \neq 0$.

Example 7.7. Let G be a finite group and F a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let V be a generically free representation of G and $I \subset V$ a nonempty G -invariant open subset together with a G -torsor $I \rightarrow Z$. The H -torsor $I \rightarrow S := I/H$ is standard and the degree $[G : H]$ of the natural dominant morphism $S \rightarrow Z$ is prime to p . By Example 5.3, $Z <_p S$, hence $BG <_p BH$. In view of Example 5.2, BH is stably rational, therefore, the classifying space BG is p -retract rational over F . It follows from Corollary 7.5 that

$$H^n(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j)) \rightarrow H_{\text{nr}}^n(F(BG), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$$

is an isomorphism.

8. APPENDIX

In the appendix we collect a few technical results used in the paper.

Lemma 8.1. [20, Lemma 3.3] *Let K'/K be a field extension of finite degree prime to p , and $K \rightarrow L$ a field homomorphism. Then there exists a field extension L'/L of finite degree prime to p and a field homomorphism $K' \rightarrow L'$ extending $K \rightarrow L$.*

Corollary 8.2. *Let $f : X' \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of varieties over F , and let $x' \in X'$ and $x \in X$ be points such that $f(x') = x$ and the field extension $F(x')/F(x)$ is finite of degree prime to p . Let L/F be a field extension and $v : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow X$ a morphism over F with image $\{x\}$. Then there is a field extension L'/L of finite degree prime to p and a commutative diagram of morphisms over F*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } L' & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } L \\ v' \downarrow & & v \downarrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

such that $\text{Im}(v') = \{x'\}$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 8.1 to the field extension $F(x')/F(x)$ and the field homomorphism $F(x) \rightarrow L$. \square

Lemma 8.3. [23, Lemma 6.2] *Let $f : X' \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of varieties over F of degree prime to p . Then there is a nonempty open subset $U \subset X$ such that the restriction $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is finite flat and for every $x \in U$ there exists a point $x' \in X'$ with $f(x') = x$ and the degree $[F(x') : F(x)]$ is prime to p .*

Lemma 8.4. [23, Lemma 6.3] *Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $h : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be morphisms of varieties over F . Let $y \in Y$ be the image of the generic point of X . Suppose that there is a point $y' \in Y'$ such that $h(y') = y$ and $[F(y') : F(y)]$ is finite and prime to p . Then there exists a commutative square of morphisms of varieties*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{m} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \end{array}$$

with m dominant of finite degree prime to p .

Lemma 8.5. [12, Proposition 6.8] *Let X be a regular algebraic variety over a field F , p a prime integer and S the set of all closed points in X of degree prime to p . Then if S is nonempty, then S is dense in X .*

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Blinsein and A. Merkurjev, *Cohomological invariants of algebraic tori*, Algebra Number Theory **7** (2013), no. 7, 1643–1684.
- [2] F.A. Bogomolov and P.I. Katsylo, *Rationality of some quotient varieties*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **126(168)** (1985), no. 4, 584–589.
- [3] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu, M.-C. Kang, and Y.G. Prokhorov, *Noether’s problem for groups of order 32*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), no. 7, 3022–3035.
- [4] H. Chu and M.-C. Kang, *Rationality of p -group actions*, J. Algebra **237** (2001), no. 2, 673–690.
- [5] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Birational invariants, purity and the Gersten conjecture*, K -theory and algebraic geometry: connections with quadratic forms and division algebras (Santa Barbara, CA, 1992), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 1–64.
- [6] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, R.T. Hoobler, and B. Kahn, *The Bloch-Ogus-Gabber theorem*, Algebraic K -theory (Toronto, ON, 1996), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 31–94.
- [7] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and M. Ojanguren, *Variétés unirationnelles non rationnelles: au-delà de l’exemple d’Artin et Mumford*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), no. 1, 141–158.
- [8] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *La R -équivalence sur les tores*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), no. 2, 175–229.
- [9] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *The rationality problem for fields of invariants under linear algebraic groups (with special regards to the Brauer group)*, Algebraic groups and homogeneous spaces, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2007, pp. 113–186.
- [10] F.R. DeMeyer, *Generic polynomials*, J. Algebra **84** (1983), no. 2, 441–448.
- [11] A. Duncan and Z. Reichstein, *Versality of algebraic group actions and rational points on twisted varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **24** (2015), no. 3, 499–530, With an appendix containing a letter from J.-P. Serre.
- [12] O. Gabber, Q. Liu, and D. Lorenzini, *The index of an algebraic variety*, Invent. Math. **192** (2013), no. 3, 567–626.

- [13] R. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev, and J.-P. Serre, *Cohomological invariants in galois cohomology*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [14] W. Gaschütz, *Fixkörper von p -Automorphismengruppen rein-transzendener Körpererweiterungen von p -Charakteristik*, Math. Z. **71** (1959), 466–468.
- [15] M. Gros and N. Suwa, *La conjecture de Gersten pour les faisceaux de Hodge-Witt logarithmique*, Duke Math. J. **57** (1988), no. 2, 615–628.
- [16] A. Hoshi, M-C. Kang, and B.E. Kunyavskii, *Noether’s problem and unramified Brauer groups*, Asian J. Math. **17** (2013), no. 4, 689–713.
- [17] L. Illusie, *Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 4, 501–661.
- [18] C.U. Jensen, A. Ledet, and N. Yui, *Generic polynomials*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. 45, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002, Constructive aspects of the inverse Galois problem.
- [19] B. Kahn, *Applications of weight-two motivic cohomology*, Doc. Math. **1** (1996), No. 17, 395–416.
- [20] N.A. Karpenko and A.S. Merkurjev, *Essential dimension of finite p -groups*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), no. 3, 491–508.
- [21] D. Laackman and A. Merkurjev, *Degree three cohomological invariants of reductive groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **91** (2016), no. 3, 493–518.
- [22] T. Maeda, *Noether’s problem for A_5* , J. Algebra **125** (1989), no. 2, 418–430.
- [23] A.S. Merkurjev, *Essential dimension*, Quadratic forms—algebra, arithmetic, and geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 493, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 299–325.
- [24] Z. Reichstein, *A note on retracts and lattices (after D. J. Saltman)*, <https://www.math.ubc.ca/reichst/retract6.pdf> (2006).
- [25] D.J. Saltman, *Noether’s problem over an algebraically closed field*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), no. 1, 71–84.
- [26] A. Vistoli, *Grothendieck topologies, fibered categories and descent theory*, Fundamental algebraic geometry, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 123, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 1–104.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90095-1555, USA

E-mail address: merkurev at math.ucla.edu