

NON-FORMALITY OF GALOIS COHOMOLOGY MODULO ALL PRIMES

ALEXANDER MERKURJEV AND FEDERICO SCAVIA

ABSTRACT. Let p be a prime number and let F be a field of characteristic different from p . We prove that there exist a field extension L/F and a, b, c, d in L^\times such that $(a, b) = (b, c) = (c, d) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)[p]$ but $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is not defined over L . Thus the Strong Massey Vanishing Conjecture at the prime p fails for L , and the cochain differential graded ring $C^*(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ of the absolute Galois group Γ_L of L is not formal. This answers a question of Positselski.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number, let F be a field of characteristic different from p and containing a primitive p -th root of unity ζ , and let Γ_F be the absolute Galois group of F . The Norm-Residue Isomorphism Theorem of Voevodsky and Rost [HW19] gives an explicit presentation by generators and relations of the cohomology ring $H^*(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = H^*(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. In view of this complete description of the cup product, the research on $H^*(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ shifted in recent years to external operations, defined in terms of the differential graded ring of continuous cochains $C^*(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$.

Hopkins–Wickelgren [HW15] asked whether $C^*(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is formal for every field F and every prime p . Loosely speaking, this amounts to saying that no essential information is lost when passing from $C^*(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ to $H^*(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. Positselski [Pos17] showed that $C^*(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is not formal for some finite extensions F of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ and $\mathbb{F}_\ell((z))$, where $\ell \neq p$. He then posed the following question; see [Pos17, p. 226].

Question 1.1 (Positselski). *Does there exist a field F containing all roots of unity of p -power order such that $C^*(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is not formal?*

We showed in [MS22, Theorem 1.6] that Question 1.1 has a positive answer when $p = 2$. In the present work we provide examples showing that the answer to Question 1.1 is affirmative for all primes p .

Theorem 1.2. *Let p be a prime number and let F be a field of characteristic different from p . There exists a field L containing F such that the differential graded ring $C^*(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is not formal.*

In order to detect non-formality of the cochain differential graded ring, we use Massey products. For any $n \geq 2$ and all $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, the Massey product of χ_1, \dots, χ_n is a certain subset $\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \rangle \subset H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$; see Section 2.2 for the definition. We say that $\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \rangle$ is defined if it is not empty, and that it vanishes if it contains 0. When $\text{char}(F) \neq p$ and F contains a primitive p -th root of unity ζ , Kummer Theory gives an identification

Date: September 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 12G05; 55S30, 16K50.

$H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = F^\times/F^{\times p}$, and we may thus consider Massey products $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$, where $a_i \in F^\times$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer, let $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, and consider the following assertions:

- (1.1) The Massey product $\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \rangle$ vanishes.
- (1.2) The Massey product $\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \rangle$ is defined.
- (1.3) We have $\chi_i \cup \chi_{i+1} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

We have that (1.1) implies (1.2), and that (1.2) implies (1.3). The Massey Vanishing Conjecture, due to Mináč–Tân [MT17b] and inspired by the earlier work of Hopkins–Wickelgren [HW15], predicts that (1.2) implies (1.1). This conjecture has sparked a lot of activity in recent years. When F is an arbitrary field, the conjecture is known when either $n = 3$ and p is arbitrary, by Efrat–Matzri and Mináč–Tân [Mat18, EM17, MT16], or $n = 4$ and $p = 2$, by [MS23]. When F is a number field, the conjecture was proved for all $n \geq 3$ and all primes p , by Harpaz–Wittenberg [HW23].

When $n = 3$, it is a direct consequence of the definition of Massey product that (1.3) implies (1.2). Thus (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) are equivalent when $n = 3$.

In [MT17a, Question 4.2], Mináč and Tân asked whether (1.3) implies (1.1). This became known as the Strong Massey Vanishing Conjecture (see e.g. [PS18]): If F is a field, p is a prime number and $n \geq 3$ is an integer then, for all characters $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\chi_i \cup \chi_{i+1} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, the Massey product $\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \rangle$ vanishes.

The Strong Massey Vanishing Conjecture implies the Massey Vanishing Conjecture. However, Harpaz and Wittenberg produced a counterexample to the Strong Massey Vanishing Conjecture, for $n = 4$, $p = 2$ and $F = \mathbb{Q}$; see [GMT18, Example A.15]. More precisely, if we let $b = 2$, $c = 17$ and $a = d = bc = 34$, then $(a, b) = (b, c) = (c, d) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$ but $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is not defined over \mathbb{Q} . In this example, the classes of a, b, c, d in $F^\times/F^{\times 2}$ are not \mathbb{F}_2 -linearly independent modulo squares. In fact, by a theorem of Guillot–Mináč–Topaz–Wittenberg [GMT18], if F is a number field and a, b, c, d are independent in $F^\times/F^{\times 2}$ and satisfy $(a, b) = (b, c) = (c, d) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$, then $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ vanishes.

If F is a field for which the Strong Massey Vanishing Conjecture fails, for some $n \geq 3$ and some prime p , then $C^*(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is not formal; see Lemma 2.3 for the $n = 4$ case. Therefore Theorem 1.2 follows from the next more precise result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let p be a prime number, let F be a field of characteristic different from p . There exist a field L containing F and $\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4 \in H^1(L, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\chi_1 \cup \chi_2 = \chi_2 \cup \chi_3 = \chi_3 \cup \chi_4 = 0$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ but $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4 \rangle$ is not defined. Thus the Strong Massey Vanishing conjecture at $n = 4$ and the prime p fails for L , and $C^*(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is not formal.*

This gives the first counterexamples to the Strong Massey Vanishing Conjecture for all odd primes p . We easily deduce that (1.3) does not imply (1.2) for all $n \geq 4$, in general: indeed, if the fourfold Massey product $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4 \rangle$ is not defined, neither is the n -fold Massey product $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$. Theorem 1.3 was proved in [MS22, Theorem 1.6] when $p = 2$, and is new when p is odd. Our proof of Theorem 1.3 is uniform in p .

We now describe the main ideas that go into the proof of Theorem 1.3. We may assume without loss of generality that F contains a primitive p -th root of unity. In Section 2, we collect preliminaries on formality, Massey products and Galois algebras. In particular, we recall Dwyer’s Theorem (see Theorem 2.4): a Massey product $\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \rangle \subset H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes (resp. is defined) if and only if the homomorphism $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n): \Gamma_F \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ lifts to the group U_{n+1} of upper unitriangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ (resp. to the group \overline{U}_{n+1} of upper unitriangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with top-right corner removed). As for [MS22, Theorem 1.6], our approach is based on Corollary 2.5, which is a restatement of Theorem 2.4 in terms of Galois algebras.

In Section 3, we show that a fourfold Massey product $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined over F if and only if a certain system of equations admits a solution over F , and the variety defined by these equations is a torsor under a torus; see Proposition 3.7. This is done by using Dwyer’s Theorem 2.4 to rephrase the property that $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined in terms of \overline{U}_5 -Galois algebras, and then by a detailed study of Galois G -algebras, for $G = U_3, \overline{U}_4, U_4, \overline{U}_5$. As a consequence, we also obtain an alternative proof of the Massey Vanishing Conjecture for $n = 3$ and any prime p ; see Proposition 3.6.

In Section 4, we use the work of Section 3.4 to construct a “generic variety” for the property that $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined. More precisely, under the assumption that $(a, b) = (c, d) = 0$ in $\mathrm{Br}(F)$ and letting X be the Severi-Brauer variety of (b, c) , we construct an F -torus T , and a $T_{F(X)}$ -torsor E_w such that, if E_w is non-trivial, then $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is not defined over $F(X)$; see Corollary 4.5. The definition of E_w depends on a rational function $w \in F(X)^\times$, which we construct in Lemma 4.1(3).

Since $(a, b) = (b, c) = (c, d) = 0$ in $\mathrm{Br}(F(X))$, the proof of Theorem 1.3 will be complete once we give an example of a, b, c, d for which the corresponding torsor E_w is non-trivial. Here we consider the generic quadruple a, b, c, d such that (a, b) and (c, d) are trivial. More precisely, we let x, y be two variables over F , and replace F by $E := F(x, y)$. We then set $a := 1 - x$, $b := x$, $c := y$ and $d := 1 - y$ over E . We have $(a, b) = (b, c) = 0$ in $\mathrm{Br}(E)$. The class (b, c) is not zero in $\mathrm{Br}(E)$, so that the Severi-Brauer variety X/E of (b, c) is non-trivial, but $(b, c) = 0$ over $L := E(X)$.

It is natural to attempt to prove that E_w is non-trivial over L by performing residue calculations to deduce that this torsor is ramified. However, the torsor E_w is in fact unramified. We are thus led to consider a finer obstruction to the triviality of E_w . This “secondary obstruction” is only defined for unramified torsors. We describe the necessary homological algebra in Appendix A, and we define the obstruction and give a method to compute it in Appendix B. In Section 5, an explicit calculation shows that the obstruction is non-zero on E_w , and hence E_w is non-trivial, as desired.

Notation. Let F be a field, let F_s be a separable closure of F , and denote by $\Gamma_F := \mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)$ the absolute Galois group of F .

If E is an F -algebra, we let $H^i(E, -)$ be the étale cohomology of $\mathrm{Spec}(E)$ (possibly non-abelian if $i \leq 1$). If E is a field, $H^i(E, -)$ may be identified with the continuous cohomology of Γ_E .

We fix a prime p , and we suppose that $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq p$. If E is an F -algebra and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in E^\times$, we define the étale E -algebra E_{a_1, \dots, a_n} by

$$E_{a_1, \dots, a_n} := E[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (x_1^p - a_1, \dots, x_n^p - a_n)$$

and we set $(a_i)^{1/p} := x_i$. More generally, for all integers d , we set $(a_i)^{d/p} := x_i^d$. We denote by $R_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(-)$ the functor of Weil restriction along $F_{a_1, \dots, a_n}/F$. In particular $R_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is the quasi-trivial torus associated to $F_{a_1, \dots, a_n}/F$, and we denote by $R_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ the norm-one subtorus of $R_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. We denote by N_{a_1, \dots, a_n} the norm map from F_{a_1, \dots, a_n} to F .

We write $\text{Br}(F)$ for the Brauer group of F . If $\text{char}(F) \neq p$ and F contains a primitive p -th root of unity, for all $a, b \in F^\times$ we let (a, b) be the corresponding degree- p cyclic algebra and for its class in $\text{Br}(F)$; see Section 2.1. We denote by $N_{a_1, \dots, a_n}: \text{Br}(F_{a_1, \dots, a_n}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(F)$ for the corestriction map along $F_{a_1, \dots, a_n}/F$.

An F -variety is a separated integral F -scheme of finite type. If X is an F -variety, we denote by $F(X)$ the function field of X , and we write $X^{(1)}$ for the collection of all points of codimension 1 in X . We set $X_s := X \times_F F_s$. If K is an étale algebra over F , we write X_K for $X \times_F K$. For all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^\times$, we write X_{a_1, \dots, a_n} for $X_{F_{a_1, \dots, a_n}}$. When $X = \mathbb{P}_F^d$ is a d -dimensional projective space, we denote by $\mathbb{P}_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^d$ the base change of \mathbb{P}_F^d to F_{a_1, \dots, a_n} .

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Galois algebras and Kummer Theory. Let F be a field and let G be a finite group. A G -algebra is an étale F -algebra L on which G acts via F -algebra automorphisms. The G -algebra L is *Galois* if $|G| = \dim_F(L)$ and $L^G = F$; see [KMRT98, Definitions (18.15)]. A G -algebra L/F is Galois if and only if the morphism of schemes $\text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(F)$ is an étale G -torsor. If L/F is a Galois G -algebra, the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ acts on the multiplicative group L^\times : an element $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i g_i \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g_i \in G$, sends $x \in L^\times$ to $\prod_{i=1}^r g_i(x)^{m_i}$.

By [KMRT98, Example (28.15)], we have a canonical bijection

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma_F, G)/\sim \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{Isomorphism classes of Galois } G\text{-algebras over } F\},$$

where, if $f_1, f_2: \Gamma_F \rightarrow G$ are continuous group homomorphisms, we say that $f_1 \simeq f_2$ if there exists $g \in G$ such that $g f_1(\sigma) g^{-1} = f_2(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_F$.

Let H be a normal subgroup of G . Under the correspondence (2.1), the map $\text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma_F, G)/\sim \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma_F, G/H)/\sim$ sends the class of a Galois G -algebra L to the class of the Galois G/H -algebra L^H .

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finite group, and let H, H', S be normal subgroups of G such that $H \subset S$, $H' \subset S$, and the square*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & G/H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G/H' & \longrightarrow & G/S \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

(1) *Let L be a Galois G -algebra. Then the tensor product $L^H \otimes_{L^S} L^{H'}$ has a Galois G -algebra structure given by $g(x \otimes x') := g(x) \otimes g(x')$ for all $x \in L^H$ and $x' \in L^{H'}$. Moreover, the inclusions $L^H \rightarrow L$ and $L^{H'} \rightarrow L$ induce an isomorphism of Galois G -algebras $L^H \otimes_{L^S} L^{H'} \rightarrow L$.*

(2) *Conversely, let K be a Galois G/H -algebra, let K' be a Galois G/H' -algebra, and let E be a Galois G/S -algebra. Suppose given G -equivariant algebra homomorphisms $E \rightarrow K$ and $E \rightarrow K'$. Endow the tensor product $L := K \otimes_E K'$ with the*

structure of a G -algebra given by $g(x \otimes x') := g(x) \otimes g(x')$ for all $x \in K$ and $x' \in K'$. Then L is a Galois G -algebra such that $L^H \simeq K$ as G/H -algebras, and $L^{H'} \simeq K'$ as G/H' -algebras.

The condition that (2.2) is cartesian is equivalent to $H \cap H' = \{1\}$ and $S = HH'$.

Proof. (1) It is clear that the formula $g(x \otimes x') := g(x) \otimes g(x')$ makes $L^H \otimes_{L^S} L^{H'}$ into a G -algebra. Consider the commutative square of F -schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(L)/H' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(L)/H & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(L)/S. \end{array}$$

After base change to a separable closure of F , this square becomes the cartesian square (2.2), and therefore it is cartesian. Passing to coordinate rings, we deduce that the map $L^H \otimes_{L^S} L^{H'} \rightarrow L$ is an isomorphism of G -algebras. In particular, since L is a Galois G -algebra, so is $L^H \otimes_{L^S} L^{H'}$.

(2) We have a G -equivariant cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(K') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(K) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(E). \end{array}$$

Every G -equivariant morphism between G/H and G/S is isomorphic to the projection map $G/H \rightarrow G/S$. Therefore the base change of $\mathrm{Spec}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(E)$ to F_s is G -equivariantly isomorphic to the projection $G/H \rightarrow G/S$. Similarly for $\mathrm{Spec}(K') \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(E)$. Therefore the base change of $\mathrm{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(F)$ over F_s is G -equivariantly isomorphic to $(G/H) \times_{G/S} (G/H') \simeq G$, that is, the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(F)$ is an étale G -torsor. \square

Suppose that $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq p$ and that F contains a primitive p -th root of unity. We fix a primitive p -th root of unity $\zeta \in F^\times$. This determines an isomorphism of Galois modules $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mu_p$, given by $1 \mapsto \zeta$, and so the Kummer sequence yields an isomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^1(F, \mu_p) \simeq F^\times / F^{\times p}.$$

For every $a \in F^\times$, we let $\chi_a : \Gamma_F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ be the homomorphism corresponding to the coset $aF^{\times p}$ under (2.3). Explicitly, letting $a' \in F_{\mathrm{sep}}^\times$ be such that $(a')^p = a$, we have $g(a') = \zeta^{\chi_a(g)} a'$ for all $g \in \Gamma_F$. This definition does not depend on the choice of a' .

Now let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. For all $i = 1, \dots, n$, let σ_i be the canonical generator of the i -th factor $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$. By (2.3) all Galois $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ -algebras over F are of the form F_{a_1, \dots, a_n} , where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^\times$ and the Galois $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ -algebra structure is defined by $(\sigma_i - 1)a_i^{1/p} = \zeta$ for all i and $(\sigma_i - 1)a_j^{1/p} = 1$ for all $j \neq i$.

We write (a, b) for the cyclic degree- p central simple algebra over F generated, as an F -algebra, by F_a and an element y such that

$$y^p = b, \quad ty = y\sigma_a(t) \text{ for all } t \in F_a.$$

We also write (a, b) for the class of (a, b) in $\text{Br}(F)$. The Kummer sequence yields a group isomorphism

$$\iota: H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Br}(F)[p].$$

For all $a, b \in F^\times$, we have $\iota(\chi_a \cup \chi_b) = (a, b)$ in $\text{Br}(F)$; see [Ser79, Chapter XIV, Proposition 5].

Lemma 2.2. *Let p be a prime, and let F be a field of characteristic different from p and containing a primitive p -th root of unity ζ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(a, b) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$;
- (ii) there exists $\alpha \in F_a^\times$ such that $b = N_a(\alpha)$;
- (iii) there exists $\beta \in F_b^\times$ such that $a = N_b(\beta)$.

Proof. See [Ser79, Chapter XIV, Proposition 4(iii)]. □

2.2. Formality and Massey products. Let (A, ∂) be a differential graded ring, i.e. $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A^i$ is a non-negatively graded abelian group with an associative multiplication which respects the grading, and $\partial: A \rightarrow A$ is a group homomorphism of degree 1 such that $\partial \circ \partial = 0$ and $\partial(ab) = \partial(a)b + (-1)^i a\partial(b)$ for all $i \geq 0$, $a \in A^i$ and $b \in A$. We denote by $H^*(A) := \text{Ker}(\partial)/\text{Im}(\partial)$ the cohomology of (A, ∂) , and we write \cup for the multiplication (cup product) on $H^*(A)$.

We say that A is *formal* if it is quasi-isomorphic, as a differential graded ring, to $H^*(A)$ with the zero differential.

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in H^1(A)$. A *defining system* for the n -th order Massey product $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is a collection M of elements of $a_{ij} \in A^1$, where $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$, $(i, j) \neq (1, n+1)$, such that

- (1) $\partial(a_{i,i+1}) = 0$ and $a_{i,i+1}$ represents a_i in $H^1(A)$, and
- (2) $\partial(a_{ij}) = -\sum_{l=i+1}^{j-1} a_{il}a_{lj}$ for all $i < j - 1$.

It follows from (2) that $-\sum_{l=2}^n a_{1l}a_{l,n+1}$ is a 2-cocycle: we write $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_M$ for its cohomology class in $H^2(A)$, called the *value* of $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ corresponding to M . By definition, the *Massey product* of a_1, \dots, a_n is the subset $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ of $H^2(A)$ consisting of the values $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_M$ of all defining systems M . We say that the Massey product $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is *defined* if it is non-empty, and that it *vanishes* if $0 \in \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let (A, ∂) be a differential graded ring, and let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ be elements of $H^1(A)$ satisfying $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 = \alpha_2 \cup \alpha_3 = \alpha_3 \cup \alpha_4 = 0$. If A is formal, then $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \rangle$ is defined.*

Proof. This was proved in [MS22, Lemma B.1] under the assumption that A is a differential graded \mathbb{F}_2 -algebra. The proof for an arbitrary differential graded ring remains the same. □

In fact, one could prove the following: If the differential graded ring A is formal, then for all $n \geq 3$ and all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in H^1(A)$ such that $\alpha_i \cup \alpha_{i+1} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$ vanishes.

2.3. Dwyer's Theorem. Let p be a prime, and let $U_{n+1} \subset \text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the subgroup of $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ upper unitriangular matrices. For all $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$, we denote by e_{ij} the matrix whose non-diagonal entries are all zero except for the entry (i, j) , which is equal to 1. We set $\sigma_i := e_{i,i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. By [BD01,

Theorem 1], the group U_{n+1} admits a presentation with generators the σ_i and relations:

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma_i^p = 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$(2.5) \quad [\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq j-2 \leq n-2,$$

$$(2.6) \quad [\sigma_i, [\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}]] = [\sigma_{i+1}, [\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}]] \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-2,$$

$$(2.7) \quad [[\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}], [\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_{i+2}]] = 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-3.$$

The following relation holds in U_{n+1} :

$$[e_{ij}, e_{jk}] = e_{ik} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n+1.$$

By induction, we deduce that

$$e_{1,n+1} = [\sigma_1, [\sigma_2, \dots, [\sigma_{n-2}, [\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n]] \dots]].$$

The center Z_{n+1} of U_{n+1} is the subgroup generated by $e_{1,n+1}$. The factor group $\bar{U}_{n+1} := U_{n+1}/Z_{n+1}$ may be identified with the group of all $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ upper unitriangular matrices with entry $(1, n+1)$ omitted. For all $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$, let \bar{e}_{ij} be the coset of e_{ij} in \bar{U}_{n+1} , and set $\bar{\sigma}_i := \bar{e}_{i,i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then \bar{U}_{n+1} is generated by all the \bar{e}_{ij} modulo the relations

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{\sigma}_i^p = 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$(2.9) \quad [\bar{\sigma}_i, \bar{\sigma}_j] = 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq j-2 \leq n-2,$$

$$(2.10) \quad [\bar{\sigma}_i, [\bar{\sigma}_i, \bar{\sigma}_{i+1}]] = [\bar{\sigma}_{i+1}, [\bar{\sigma}_i, \bar{\sigma}_{i+1}]] \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-2,$$

$$(2.11) \quad [[\bar{\sigma}_i, \bar{\sigma}_{i+1}], [\bar{\sigma}_{i+1}, \bar{\sigma}_{i+2}]] = 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-3.$$

$$(2.12) \quad [\bar{\sigma}_1, [\bar{\sigma}_2, \dots, [\bar{\sigma}_{n-2}, [\bar{\sigma}_{n-1}, \bar{\sigma}_n]] \dots]] = 1.$$

We write $u_{ij}: U_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for the (i, j) -th coordinate function on U_{n+1} . Note that u_{ij} is not a group homomorphism unless $j = i+1$. We have commutative diagram

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & Z_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & U_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \bar{U}_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & & & & & (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n \end{array}$$

where the row is a central exact sequence and the homomorphism $U_{n+1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ is given by $(u_{12}, u_{23}, \dots, u_{n,n+1})$. We also let

$$Q_{n+1} := \text{Ker}[U_{n+1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n], \quad \bar{Q}_{n+1} := \text{Ker}[\bar{U}_{n+1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n] = Q_{n+1}/Z_{n+1}.$$

Note that $Z_{n+1} \subset Q_{n+1}$, with equality when $n = 2$.

Let G be a profinite group. The complex $(C^\cdot(G, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), \partial)$ of mod p non-homogeneous continuous cochains of G with the standard cup product is a differential graded ring. Therefore $H^\cdot(G, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = H^\cdot(C^\cdot(G, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), \partial)$ is endowed with Massey products. The following theorem is due to Dwyer [Dwy75].

Theorem 2.4 (Dwyer). *Let p be a prime number, let G be a profinite group, let $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, and write $\chi: G \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ for the continuous homomorphism with components (χ_1, \dots, χ_n) . Consider (2.13).*

(1) *The Massey product $\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \rangle$ is defined if and only if χ lifts to a continuous homomorphism $G \rightarrow \overline{U}_{n+1}$.*

(2) *The Massey product $\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \rangle$ vanishes if and only if χ lifts to a continuous homomorphism $G \rightarrow U_{n+1}$.*

Proof. See [Dwy75] for Dwyer's original proof in the setting of abstract groups, and [Efr14] or [HW23, Proposition 2.2] for the statement in the case of profinite groups. \square

Theorem 2.4 may be rephrased as follows.

Corollary 2.5. *Let p be a prime, F be a field of characteristic different from p and containing a primitive p -th root of unity ζ , and let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^\times$. The Massey product $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \subset H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is defined (resp. vanishes) if and only if there exists a Galois \overline{U}_{n+1} -algebra K/F (resp. a Galois U_{n+1} -algebra L/F) such that $K^{\overline{Q}_{n+1}} \simeq F_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$ (resp. $L^{Q_{n+1}} \simeq F_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$) as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ -algebras.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.4 and (2.1). \square

We will apply Lemma 2.1 to the cartesian square of groups

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{U}_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n+1}} & U_n \\ \downarrow \varphi'_{n+1} & & \downarrow \varphi'_n \\ U_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} & U_{n-1} \end{array}$$

where φ_{n+1} (respectively, φ'_{n+1}) is the restriction homomorphism from U_{n+1} or from \overline{U}_{n+1} to the top-left (respectively, bottom-right) $n \times n$ subsquare U_n in \overline{U}_{n+1} .

The fact that the square (2.14) is cartesian is proved in [MS22, Proposition 2.7] when $p = 2$. The proof extends to odd p without change.

3. MASSEY PRODUCTS AND GALOIS ALGEBRAS

In this section, we let p be a prime number and we let F be a field. With the exception of Proposition 3.6, we assume that $\text{char}(F) \neq p$ and that F contains a primitive p -th root of unity ζ .

3.1. Galois U_3 -algebras. Let $a, b \in F^\times$, and suppose that $(a, b) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$. By Lemma 2.2, we may fix $\alpha \in F_a^\times$ and $\beta \in F_b^\times$ such that $N_a(\alpha) = b$ and $N_b(\beta) = a$.

We write $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2 = \langle \sigma_a, \sigma_b \rangle$, and we view $F_{a,b}$ as a Galois $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ -algebra as in Section 2.1. The projection $U_3 \rightarrow \overline{U}_3 = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ sends $e_{12} \mapsto \sigma_a$ and $e_{23} \mapsto \sigma_b$. We define the following elements of U_3 :

$$\sigma_a := e_{12}, \quad \sigma_b := e_{23}, \quad \tau := e_{13} = [\sigma_a, \sigma_b].$$

Suppose given $x \in F_a^\times$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad (\sigma_a - 1)x = \frac{b}{\alpha^p}.$$

The étale F -algebra $K := (F_{a,b})_x$ has the structure of a Galois U_3 -algebra such that the Galois $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ -algebra K^{Q_3} is equal to $F_{a,b}$, and

$$(3.2) \quad (\sigma_a - 1)x^{1/p} = \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha}, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)x^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\tau - 1)x^{1/p} = \zeta^{-1}.$$

Similarly, suppose given $y \in F_b^\times$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad (\sigma_b - 1)y = \frac{a}{\beta^p}.$$

The étale F -algebra $K := (F_{a,b})_y$ has the structure of a Galois U_3 -algebra, such that the Galois $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ -algebra K^{Q_3} is equal to $F_{a,b}$, and

$$(3.4) \quad (\sigma_a - 1)y^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)y^{1/p} = \frac{a^{1/p}}{\beta}, \quad (\tau - 1)y^{1/p} = \zeta.$$

In (3.2) and (3.4), the relation involving τ follows from the first two.

If $x \in F_a^\times$ satisfies (3.1), then so does ax . We may thus apply (3.2) to $(F_{a,b})_{ax}$. Therefore $(F_{a,b})_{ax}$ has the structure of a Galois U_3 -algebra, where U_3 acts via $\bar{U}_3 = \text{Gal}(F_{a,b}/F)$ on $F_{a,b}$, and

$$(\sigma_a - 1)(ax)^{1/p} = \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha}, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)(ax)^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\tau - 1)(ax)^{1/p} = \zeta^{-1}.$$

Similarly, if $y \in F_b^\times$ satisfies (3.3), we may apply (3.4) to $(F_{a,b})_{by}$. Therefore $(F_{a,b})_{by}$ admits a Galois U_3 -algebra structure, where U_3 acts via $\bar{U}_3 = \text{Gal}(F_{a,b}/F)$ on $F_{a,b}$, and

$$(\sigma_a - 1)(by)^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)(by)^{1/p} = \frac{a^{1/p}}{\beta}, \quad (\tau - 1)(by)^{1/p} = \zeta.$$

Lemma 3.1. (1) Let $x \in F_a^\times$ satisfy (3.1), and consider the Galois U_3 -algebras $(F_{a,b})_x$ and $(F_{a,b})_{ax}$ as in (3.2). Then $(F_{a,b})_x \simeq (F_{a,b})_{ax}$ as Galois U_3 -algebras.

(2) Let $y \in F_b^\times$ satisfy (3.1), and consider the Galois U_3 -algebras $(F_{a,b})_y$ and $(F_{a,b})_{by}$ as in (3.4). Then $(F_{a,b})_y \simeq (F_{a,b})_{by}$ as Galois U_3 -algebras.

Proof. (1) The automorphism $\sigma_b: F_{a,b} \rightarrow F_{a,b}$ extends to an isomorphism of étale algebras $f: (F_{a,b})_x \rightarrow (F_{a,b})_{ax}$ by sending $x^{1/p}$ to $(ax)^{1/p}a^{-1/p}$. The map f is well defined because $f(x^{1/p})^p = x = [(ax)^{1/p}a^{-1/p}]^p$. We check that it is U_3 -equivariant. This is true on $F_{a,b}$ because $\sigma_a\sigma_b = \sigma_b\sigma_a$ on $F_{a,b}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_a(f(x^{1/p})) &= \sigma_a((ax)^{1/p}) \cdot \sigma_a(a^{-1/p}) = (b^{1/p}/\alpha)(ax)^{1/p} \cdot \zeta a^{-1/p} \\ &= (\zeta b^{1/p}/\alpha) \cdot (ax)^{1/p} a^{-1/p} = f((b^{1/p}/\alpha)(x^{1/p})) = f(\sigma_a(x^{1/p})) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_b(f(x^{1/p})) = \sigma_b((ax)^{1/p}) \cdot \sigma_b(a^{-1/p}) = (ax)^{1/p} a^{-1/p} = f(x^{1/p}) = f(\sigma_b(x^{1/p})).$$

Thus f is U_3 -equivariant, as desired.

(2) The proof is similar to that of (1). \square

Proposition 3.2. Let $a, b \in F^\times$ be such that $(a, b) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$, and fix $\alpha \in F_a^\times$ and $\beta \in F_b^\times$ such that $N_a(\alpha) = b$ and $N_b(\beta) = a$.

(1) Every Galois U_3 -algebra K over F such that $K^{Q_3} \simeq F_{a,b}$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ -algebras is of the form $(F_{a,b})_x$ for some $x \in F_a^\times$ as in (3.1), with U_3 -action given by (3.2).

(2) Every Galois U_3 -algebra K over F such that $K^{Q_3} \simeq F_{a,b}$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ -algebras is of the form $(F_{a,b})_y$ for some $y \in F_b^\times$ as in (3.3), with U_3 -action given by (3.4).

(3) Let $(F_{a,b})_x$ and $(F_{a,b})_y$ be Galois U_3 -algebras as in (3.2) and (3.4), respectively. The Galois U_3 -algebras $(F_{a,b})_x$ and $(F_{a,b})_y$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists $w \in F_{a,b}^\times$ such that

$$w^p = xy, \quad (\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)w = \zeta.$$

Proof. (1) Since $Q_3 = \langle \tau \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $K^{Q_3} \simeq F_{a,b}$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ -algebras, we have an isomorphism of étale $F_{a,b}$ -algebras $K \simeq (F_{a,b})_z$, for some $z \in F_{a,b}^\times$ such that $(\tau - 1)z^{1/p} = \zeta^{-1}$. We may suppose that $K = (F_{a,b})_z$. As τ commutes with σ_b we have

$$(\tau - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)z^{1/p} = (\sigma_b - 1)(\tau - 1)z^{1/p} = (\sigma_b - 1)\zeta^{-1} = 1,$$

hence $(\sigma_b - 1)z^{1/p} \in F_{a,b}^\times$. By Hilbert's Theorem 90 for the extension $F_{a,b}/F_a$, there is $t \in F_{a,b}^\times$ such that $(\sigma_b - 1)z^{1/p} = (\sigma_b - 1)t$. Replacing z by zt^{-p} , we may thus assume that $(\sigma_b - 1)z^{1/p} = 1$. In particular, $z \in F_a^\times$. Since $(\tau - 1)z^{1/p} = \zeta^{-1}$, we have $\sigma_b \sigma_a(z^{1/p}) = \zeta \sigma_a \sigma_b(z^{1/p})$. Thus

$$(\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_a - 1)z^{1/p} = (\sigma_b \sigma_a - \sigma_a \sigma_b + (\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1))z^{1/p} = \zeta(\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)z^{1/p} = \zeta,$$

and hence $(\sigma_a - 1)z^{1/p} = b^{1/p}/\alpha'$ for some $\alpha' \in F_a^\times$. Moreover $N_a(\alpha'/\alpha) = b/b = 1$, and so by Hilbert's Theorem 90 there exists $\theta \in F_a^\times$ such that $\alpha'/\alpha = (\sigma_a - 1)\theta$. We define $x := z\theta^p \in F_a^\times$, and set $x^{1/p} := z^{1/p}\theta \in (F_{a,b})_z^\times$. Then $K = (F_{a,b})_x$, where

$$(\sigma_a - 1)x^{1/p} = (\sigma_a - 1)w \cdot (\sigma_a - 1)\theta = \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha'} \cdot \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} = \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha}$$

and $(\sigma_b - 1)x^{1/p} = 1$, as desired.

(2) The proof is analogous to that of (1).

(3) Suppose given an isomorphism of Galois U_3 -algebras between $(F_{a,b})_x$ and $(F_{a,b})_y$. Let $t \in (F_{a,b})_x$ be the image of $y^{1/p}$ under the isomorphism and set

$$w' := x^{1/p}t \in (F_{a,b})_x.$$

Set $y' := t^p$. We have $(\tau - 1)w' = \zeta^{-1} \cdot \zeta = 1$, and hence $w' \in F_{a,b}^\times$. We have $(w')^p = xy'$. Since F_b coincides with the $\langle \sigma_a, \tau \rangle$ -invariant subalgebra of $(F_{a,b})_x$ and $(F_{a,b})_y$, the isomorphism $(F_{a,b})_y \rightarrow (F_{a,b})_x$ restricts to an isomorphism of Galois $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras $F_b \rightarrow F_b$. Since the automorphism group of F_b as a Galois $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ -algebra is $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, generated by σ_b , this isomorphism $F_b \rightarrow F_b$ is equal to σ_b^i for some $i \geq 0$. Thus $y' = \sigma_b^i(y)$. Define

$$w := (w' a^{i/p}) / \prod_{j=0}^i \sigma_b^j(\beta) \in F_{a,b}^\times.$$

We have

$$(1 - \sigma_b^i)y = \left(\sum_{j=0}^i \sigma_b^j(1 - \sigma_b) \right) y = a^i / \left(\prod_{j=0}^i \sigma_b^j(\beta^p) \right) = w^p / (w')^p.$$

Therefore

$$(3.5) \quad w^p = (w')^p(1 - \sigma_b^i)y = x\sigma_b^i(y)(1 - \sigma_b^i)y = xy.$$

We have $(\sigma_b - 1)x^{1/p} = 1$ and

$$(\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)t = (\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)y^{1/p} = (\sigma_a - 1)(a^{1/p}/\beta) = \zeta,$$

therefore

$$(\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)w' = (\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)t = \zeta.$$

Since $(\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)a^{1/p} = 1$ and $(\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)\beta = 1$, we conclude that

$$(3.6) \quad (\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)w = (\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)w' = 1.$$

Putting (3.5) and (3.6) together, we see that w satisfies the conditions of (3).

Conversely, suppose given $w' \in F_{a,b}^\times$ such that

$$xy = (w')^p, \quad (\sigma_a - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)w' = \zeta.$$

Claim 3.3. There exists $w \in F_{a,b}^\times$ such that

$$xy = w^p, \quad (\sigma_a - 1)w = \zeta^{-i} \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha}, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)w = \zeta^{-j} \frac{a^{1/p}}{\beta}$$

for some integers i and j .

Proof of Claim 3.3. We first find $\eta_a \in F_a^\times$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad \eta_a^p = 1, \quad (\sigma_a - 1)(w'/\eta_a) = \zeta^{-i} \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha}.$$

We have

$$(\sigma_a - 1)(w')^p = (\sigma_a - 1)x = \frac{b}{\alpha^p}.$$

Let

$$\zeta_a := (\sigma_a - 1)w' \cdot \alpha \cdot b^{-1/p} \in F_{a,b}^\times.$$

We have $\zeta_a^p = 1$. Moreover, $(\sigma_b - 1)\zeta_a = \zeta \cdot 1 \cdot \zeta^{-1} = 1$, that is, ζ_a belongs to F_a^\times . If F_a is a field, this implies that $\zeta_a = \zeta^i$ for some integer i , and (3.7) holds for $\eta_a = 1$.

Suppose that F_a is not a field. Then $F_a \simeq F^p$, where σ_a acts by cyclically permuting the coordinates:

$$\sigma_a(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) = (x_2, \dots, x_p, x_1).$$

We have $\zeta_a = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_p)$ in $F_a = F^p$, where $\zeta_i \in F^\times$ is a p -th root of unity for all i . We have $N_a(\zeta_a) = N_a(\alpha)/b = 1$, and so $\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_p = 1$. Inductively define $\eta_1 := 1$ and $\eta_{i+1} := \zeta_i \eta_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, p-1$. Then

$$\eta_1/\eta_p = (\eta_1/\eta_2) \cdot (\eta_2/\eta_3) \cdots (\eta_{p-1}/\eta_p) = \zeta_1^{-1} \zeta_2^{-1} \cdots \zeta_{p-1}^{-1} = \zeta_p.$$

Therefore the element $\eta_a := (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p) \in F^p = F_a$ satisfies $\eta_a^p = 1$ and

$$(\sigma_a - 1)\eta_a = (\eta_2/\eta_1, \dots, \eta_p/\eta_{p-1}, \eta_1/\eta_p) = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{p-1}, \zeta_p) = \zeta_a.$$

Thus

$$\eta_a^p = 1, \quad (\sigma_a - 1)(w'/\eta_a) = (\sigma_a - 1)w' \cdot \zeta_a^{-1} = \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha}.$$

Independently of whether F_a is a field or not, we have found η_a satisfying (3.7).

Similarly, we construct $\eta_b \in F_b^\times$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad \eta_b^p = 1, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)(w'/\eta_b) = \zeta^{-j} \frac{a^{1/p}}{\beta},$$

for some integer j . Set $w := w'/(\eta_a \eta_b) \in F_{a,b}^\times$. Putting together (3.7) and (3.8), we deduce that w satisfies the conclusion of Claim 3.3. \square

Let $w \in F_{a,b}^\times$ be as in Claim 3.3. By Lemma 3.1(1), applied i times, the Galois U_3 -algebra $(F_{a,b})_x$ is isomorphic to $(F_{a,b})_{a^i x}$, where

$$(\sigma_a - 1)(a^i x)^{1/p} = \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha}, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)(a^i x)^{1/p} = 1,$$

By Lemma 3.1(2), applied j times, the Galois U_3 -algebra $(F_{a,b})_y$ is isomorphic to $(F_{a,b})_{b^j y}$, where

$$(\sigma_a - 1)(b^j y)^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)(b^j y)^{1/p} = \frac{a^{1/p}}{\beta}.$$

It thus suffices to construct an isomorphism of U_3 -algebras $(F_{a,b})_{a^i x} \simeq (F_{a,b})_{b^j y}$. Let

$$\tilde{w} := wa^{i/p}b^{j/p} \in F_{a,b}^\times,$$

so that

$$(\sigma_a - 1)\tilde{w} = \frac{a^{1/p}}{\beta}, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)\tilde{w} = \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha}.$$

Let $f: (F_{a,b})_{a^i x} \rightarrow (F_{a,b})_{b^j y}$ be the isomorphism of étale algebras which is the identity on $F_{a,b}$ and sends $(a^i x)^{1/p}$ to $\tilde{w}/(b^j y)^{1/p}$. Note that f is well defined because

$$(\tilde{w})^p = wa^i b^j = (a^i x)(b^j y).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_a - 1)(\tilde{w}/(b^j y)^{1/p}) &= \frac{a^{1/p}}{\beta} = (\sigma_a - 1)(a^i x)^{1/p}, \\ (\sigma_b - 1)(\tilde{w}/(b^j y)^{1/p}) &= \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{b^{1/p}} = 1 = (\sigma_b - 1)(a^i x)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence f is U_3 -equivariant. \square

3.2. Galois \overline{U}_4 -algebras. Let $a, b, c \in F^\times$ be such that $(a, b) = (b, c) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$. By Lemma 2.2, we may fix $\alpha \in F_a^\times$ and $\gamma \in F_c^\times$ be such that $N_a(\alpha) = N_c(\gamma) = b$. We have $\text{Gal}(F_{a,b,c}/F) = \langle \sigma_a, \sigma_b, \sigma_c \rangle$. The projection map $\overline{U}_4 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ is given by $\bar{e}_{12} \mapsto \sigma_a$, $\bar{e}_{23} \mapsto \sigma_b$, $\bar{e}_{34} \mapsto \sigma_c$. Its kernel $\overline{Q}_4 \subset \overline{U}_4$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$, generated by \bar{e}_{13} and \bar{e}_{24} . We define the following elements of \overline{U}_4 :

$$\sigma_a := \bar{e}_{12}, \quad \sigma_b := \bar{e}_{23}, \quad \sigma_c := \bar{e}_{34}, \quad \tau_{ab} := \bar{e}_{13}, \quad \tau_{bc} := \bar{e}_{24}.$$

Let $x \in F_a^\times$ and $z \in F_c^\times$ be such that

$$(3.9) \quad (\sigma_a - 1)x = \frac{b}{\alpha^p}, \quad (\sigma_c - 1)z = \frac{b}{\gamma^p},$$

and consider the Galois \overline{U}_4 -algebra $K := (F_{a,b,c})_{x,z}$, where \overline{U}_4 acts on $F_{a,b,c}$ via the surjection onto $\text{Gal}(F_{a,b,c}/F)$, and

$$(3.10) \quad (\sigma_a - 1)x^{1/p} = \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha}, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)x^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\sigma_c - 1)x^{1/p} = 1,$$

$$(3.11) \quad (\tau_{ab} - 1)x^{1/p} = \zeta^{-1}, \quad (\tau_{bc} - 1)x^{1/p} = 1,$$

$$(3.12) \quad (\sigma_a - 1)(x')^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)(x')^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\sigma_c - 1)(x')^{1/p} = \frac{b^{1/p}}{\gamma},$$

$$(3.13) \quad (\tau_{ab} - 1)(x')^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\tau_{bc} - 1)(x')^{1/p} = \zeta.$$

Note that (3.11) follows from (3.10) and (3.13) follows from (3.12). We leave to the reader to check that the relations (2.8)-(2.12) are satisfied.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $a, b, c \in F^\times$ be such that $(a, b) = (b, c) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$. Fix $\alpha \in F_a^\times$ and $\gamma \in F_c^\times$ such that $N_a(\alpha) = N_c(\gamma) = b$. Let K be a Galois \overline{U}_4 -algebra such that $K^{\overline{Q}_4} \simeq F_{a,b,c}$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ -algebras. Then there exist $x \in F_a^\times$ and $x' \in F_c^\times$ such that $K \simeq (F_{a,b,c})_{x,x'}$ as Galois \overline{U}_4 -algebras, where \overline{U}_4 acts on $(F_{a,b,c})_{x,x'}$ by (3.10)-(3.13).*

Proof. Let H (resp. H') be the subgroup of \overline{U}_4 generated by σ_c and τ_{bc} (resp. σ_b and τ_{ab}), and let S be the subgroup of \overline{U}_4 generated by H and H' . Note that K^H is a Galois U_3 -algebra over F such that $(K^H)^{Q_3} \simeq F_{a,b}$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ -algebras and $K^S \simeq F_b$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ -algebras. Thus by Proposition 3.2(1) there exists $x \in F_a^\times$ such that $K^H \simeq (F_{a,b})_x$ as Galois U_3 -algebras. Similarly, by Proposition 3.2(2) there exists $x' \in F_c^\times$ such that $K^{H'} \simeq (F_{b,c})_{x'}$ as Galois U_3 -algebras. Therefore x satisfies (3.10) and x' satisfies (3.12). We apply Lemma 2.1(2) to (2.14). We obtain the isomorphisms of \overline{U}_4 -algebras

$$K \simeq K^H \otimes_{K^S} K^{H'} \simeq (F_{a,b,c})_{x,x'},$$

where $(F_{a,b,c})_{x,x'}$ is the \overline{U}_4 -algebra given by (3.10) and (3.12). \square

3.3. Galois U_4 -algebras. Let $a, b, c \in F^\times$, and suppose that $(a, b) = (b, c) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$. We write $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3 = \langle \sigma_a, \sigma_b, \sigma_c \rangle$ and view $F_{a,b,c}$ as a Galois $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ -algebra over F as in Section 2.1. The quotient map $U_4 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ is given by $e_{12} \mapsto \sigma_a$, $e_{23} \mapsto \sigma_b$ and $e_{34} \mapsto \sigma_c$. The kernel Q_4 of this map is generated by e_{13} , e_{24} and e_{14} and is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$. We define the following elements of U_4 :

$$\sigma_a := e_{12}, \quad \sigma_b := e_{23}, \quad \sigma_c := e_{34},$$

$$\tau_{ab} := e_{13} = [\sigma_a, \sigma_b], \quad \tau_{bc} := e_{24} = [\sigma_b, \sigma_c], \quad \rho := e_{14} = [\sigma_a, \tau_{bc}] = [\tau_{ab}, \sigma_c].$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let $a, b, c \in F^\times$ be such that $(a, b) = (b, c) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$. Let $\alpha \in F_a^\times$ and $\gamma \in F_c^\times$ be such that $N_a(\alpha) = b$ and $N_c(\gamma) = b$. Let K be a Galois \overline{U}_4 -algebra such that $K^{\overline{Q}_4} \simeq F_{a,b,c}$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ -algebras.*

There exists a Galois U_4 -algebra L over F such that $L^{Z_4} \simeq K$ as \overline{U}_4 -algebras if and only if there exist $u, u' \in F_{a,c}^\times$ such that

$$\alpha \cdot (\sigma_a - 1)u = \gamma \cdot (\sigma_c - 1)u'.$$

and such that K is isomorphic to the Galois \overline{U}_4 -algebra $(F_{a,b,c})_{x,x'}$ determined by (3.10)-(3.13), where $x = N_c(u) \in F_a^\times$ and $x' = N_a(u') \in F_c^\times$.

Proof. Suppose that $K = (F_{a,b,c})_{x,x'}$, with \overline{U}_4 -action determined by (3.10)-(3.13). Let L be a Galois U_4 -algebra over F be such that $L^{Z_4} = K$, and let $y \in K^\times$ be such that $L = K_y$.

We have $\text{Gal}(L/F_{a,b,c}) = Q_4 = \langle \tau_{ab}, \tau_{bc}, \rho \rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$, and hence one may choose y in $F_{a,b,c}^\times$ and such that

$$(\tau_{ab} - 1)y^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\tau_{bc} - 1)y^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\rho - 1)y^{1/p} = \zeta^{-1}.$$

The element σ_b commutes with τ_{ab}, τ_{bc} and ρ . Hence

$$\tau_{ab}(\sigma_b - 1)(y^{1/p}) = (\sigma_b - 1)\tau_{ab}(y^{1/p}) = (\sigma_b - 1)(y^{1/p}).$$

Similarly

$$\tau_{bc}(\sigma_b - 1)(y^{1/p}) = (\sigma_b - 1)(y^{1/p})$$

and

$$\rho(\sigma_b - 1)(y^{1/p}) = (\sigma_b - 1)(\zeta \cdot y^{1/p}) = (\sigma_b - 1)(y^{1/p}).$$

It follows that $(\sigma_b - 1)(y^{1/p}) \in F_{a,b,c}^\times$. By Hilbert's Theorem 90, applied to $F_{a,b,c}/F_{a,c}$, there is $q \in F_{a,b,c}^\times$ such that $(\sigma_b - 1)(y^{1/p}) = (\sigma_b - 1)q$. Replacing y by y/q^p , we may assume that $\sigma_b(y^{1/p}) = y^{1/p}$. In particular, $y \in F_{a,c}^\times$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\sigma_a - 1)(y^{1/p}) &= (\sigma_a - 1)\rho(y^{1/p}) = (\sigma_a - 1)(\zeta^{-1} \cdot y^{1/p}) = (\sigma_a - 1)(y^{1/p}), \\ \sigma_b(\sigma_a - 1)(y^{1/p}) &= (\sigma_a\sigma_b\tau_{ab}^{-1} - \sigma_b)(y^{1/p}) = (\sigma_a - 1)(y^{1/p}), \\ \tau_{ab}(\sigma_a - 1)(y^{1/p}) &= (\sigma_a - 1)\tau_{ab}(y^{1/p}) = (\sigma_a - 1)(y^{1/p}), \\ \tau_{bc}(\sigma_a - 1)(y^{1/p}) &= (\rho^{-1}\sigma_a - 1)\tau_{bc}(y^{1/p}) = (\sigma_a\rho^{-1} - 1)(y^{1/p}) = \zeta \cdot (\sigma_a - 1)(y^{1/p}). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.12)-(3.13), analogous identities are satisfied by $(x')^{1/p}$:

$$(\rho - 1)(x')^{1/p} = (\sigma_b - 1)(x')^{1/p} = (\tau_{ab} - 1)(x')^{1/p} = 1, \quad (\tau_{bc} - 1)(x')^{1/p} = \zeta.$$

Therefore

$$(\sigma_a - 1)(y^{1/p}) = \frac{(x')^{1/p}}{u'}$$

for some $u' \in F_{a,c}^\times$. In particular, $x' = N_a(u')$. A similar computation shows that

$$(\sigma_c - 1)(y^{1/p}) = \frac{x^{1/p}}{u}$$

for some $u \in F_{a,c}^\times$. In particular, $x = N_c(u)$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b^{1/p}}{\alpha} &= (\sigma_a - 1)(x^{1/p}) = (\sigma_a - 1)[u \cdot (\sigma_c - 1)(y^{1/p})], \\ \frac{b^{1/p}}{\gamma} &= (\sigma_c - 1)((x')^{1/p}) = (\sigma_c - 1)[u' \cdot (\sigma_a - 1)(y^{1/p})]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\alpha \cdot (\sigma_a - 1)u = \gamma \cdot (\sigma_c - 1)u'.$$

Conversely, suppose given $u, u' \in F_{a,c}^\times$ such that

$$\alpha \cdot (\sigma_a - 1)u = \gamma \cdot (\sigma_c - 1)u', \quad x = N_c(u), \quad x' = N_a(u').$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_a - 1)x &= (\sigma_a - 1)N_c(u) = N_c(\sigma_a - 1)u = N_c\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{b}{\alpha^p}, \\ (\sigma_c - 1)x' &= (\sigma_c - 1)N_a(u') = N_a(\sigma_c - 1)u' = N_a\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\right) = \frac{b}{\gamma^p}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} N_c\left(\frac{x}{u^p}\right) &= \frac{N_c(x)}{N_c(u^p)} = \frac{x^p}{u^p} = 1, \\ N_a\left(\frac{x'}{(u')^p}\right) &= \frac{N_a(x')}{N_a((u')^p)} = \frac{(x')^p}{(u')^p} = 1, \\ (\sigma_a - 1)\left(\frac{x}{u^p}\right) &= \frac{b}{\alpha^p \cdot (\sigma_a - 1)u^p} = \frac{b}{\gamma^p \cdot (\sigma_c - 1)(u')^p} = (\sigma_c - 1)\left(\frac{x'}{(u')^p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Hilbert's Theorem 90 applied to $F_{a,c}/F$, there is $y \in F_{a,c}^\times$ such that

$$(\sigma_a - 1)y = \frac{x'}{(u')^p} \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma_c - 1)y = \frac{x}{u^p}.$$

We consider the étale F -algebra $L := K_y$ and make it into a Galois U_4 -algebra such that $L^{Z^4} = K$. It suffices to describe the U_4 -action on $y^{1/p}$. We set:

$$(\sigma_a - 1)(y^{1/p}) = \frac{(x')^{1/p}}{u'}, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)(y^{1/p}) = 1, \quad (\sigma_c - 1)(y^{1/p}) = \frac{x^{1/p}}{u},$$

One checks that this definition is compatible with the relations (2.4)-(2.7), and hence that it makes L into a Galois U_4 -algebra such that $L^{Z^4} = K$. \square

We use Proposition 3.5 to give an alternative proof for the Massey Vanishing Conjecture for $n = 3$ and arbitrary p .

Proposition 3.6. *Let p be a prime, let F be a field, and let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3 \in H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *We have $\chi_1 \cup \chi_2 = \chi_2 \cup \chi_3 = 0$ in $H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$.*
- (2) *The Massey product $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3 \rangle \subset H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is defined.*
- (3) *The Massey product $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3 \rangle \subset H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes.*

Proof. It is clear that (3) implies (2) and that (2) implies (1). We now prove that (1) implies (3). The first part of the proof is a reduction to the case when $\text{char}(F) \neq p$ and F contains a primitive p -th root of unity, and follows [MT16, Proposition 4.14].

Consider the short exact sequence

$$(3.14) \quad 1 \rightarrow Q_4 \rightarrow U_4 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3 \rightarrow 1,$$

where the map $U_4 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ comes from (2.13). Recall from the paragraph preceding Proposition 3.5 that the group Q_4 is abelian. Therefore, the homomorphism $\chi := (\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3): \Gamma_F \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ induces a pullback map

$$H^2((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3, Q_4) \rightarrow H^2(F, Q_4).$$

We let $A \in H^2(F, Q_4)$ be the image of the class of (3.14) under this map. By Theorem 2.4, for every finite extension F'/F the Massey product $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3 \rangle$ vanishes over F' if and only if the restriction of χ to $\Gamma_{F'}$ lifts to U_4 , and this happens if and only if A restricts to zero in $H^2(F', Q_4)$.

When $\text{char}(F) = p$, we have $\text{cd}(F) \leq 1$ by [Ser97, §2.2, Proposition 3]. Therefore $H^2(F, Q_4) = 0$ and hence $A = 0$. Thus (1) implies (3) when $\text{char}(F) = p$.

Suppose that $\text{char}(F) \neq p$. There exists an extension F'/F of prime-to- p degree such that F' contains a primitive p -th root of 1. If (1) implies (3) for F' , then A restricts to zero in $H^2(F', Q_4)$. By a restriction-corestriction argument, we deduce that A vanishes, that is, (1) implies (3) for F . We may thus assume that F contains a primitive p -th root of unity ζ .

Let $a, b, c \in F^\times$ be such that $\chi_a = \chi_1$, $\chi_b = \chi_2$ and $\chi_c = \chi_3$ in $H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. Since $(a, b) = (b, c) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$, there exists $\alpha \in F_a^\times$ and $\gamma \in F_c^\times$ such that $N_a(\alpha) = N_c(\gamma) = b$. Since $N_{ac}(\gamma/\alpha) = N_c(\gamma)/N_a(\alpha) = 1$ in F_{ac}^\times , by Hilbert's Theorem 90 there exists $t \in F_{a,c}^\times$ such that $\gamma/\alpha = (\sigma_a \sigma_c - 1)t$. Define $u, u' \in F_{a,c}^\times$ by $u := \sigma_c(t)$ and $u' := t^{-1}$. Then

$$\alpha \cdot (\sigma_a - 1)u = \alpha \cdot (\sigma_a \sigma_c - \sigma_c)t = \alpha \cdot (\sigma_a \sigma_c - 1)t \cdot (\sigma_c - 1)t^{-1} = \gamma \cdot (\sigma_c - 1)u'.$$

Let $x := N_c(u) \in F_a^\times$ and $x' := N_a(u') \in F_c^\times$. Since $\sigma_a\sigma_c = \sigma_c\sigma_a$ on $F_{a,c}^\times$, we have

$$(\sigma_a - 1)x = N_c((\sigma_a - 1)u) = N_c((\sigma_c - 1)u' \cdot (\gamma/\alpha)) = N_c(\gamma)/N_c(\alpha) = b/\alpha^p.$$

Similarly, $(\sigma_c - 1)x' = b/\gamma^p$. Therefore x, x' satisfy (3.9). Let $K := (F_{a,b,c})_{x,x'}$ be the Galois \overline{U}_4 -algebra over F , with the \overline{U}_4 -action given by (3.10)-(3.13). By Proposition 3.4, there exists a Galois U_4 -algebra L over F such that $L^{Z_4} \simeq (F_{a,b,c})_{x,x'}$ as \overline{U}_4 -algebras. In particular, $L^{Q_4} \simeq F_{a,b,c}$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ -algebras. By Corollary 2.5, we conclude that $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ vanishes. \square

3.4. Galois \overline{U}_5 -algebras. Let $a, b, c, d \in F^\times$. We write $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^4 = \langle \sigma_a, \sigma_b, \sigma_c, \sigma_d \rangle$ and regard $F_{a,b,c,d}$ as a Galois $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^4$ -algebra over F as in Section 2.1.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $a, b, c, d \in F^\times$ be such that $(a, b) = (b, c) = (c, d) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$. Then the Massey product $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined if and only if there exist $u \in F_{a,c}^\times$, $v \in F_{b,d}^\times$ and $w \in F_{b,c}^\times$ such that*

$$N_a(u) \cdot N_d(v) = w^p, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)w = \zeta.$$

Proof. Denote by U_4^+ and U_4^- the top-left and bottom-right 4×4 corners of U_5 , respectively, and let $S := U_4^+ \cap U_4^-$ be the middle subgroup U_3 . Let Q_4^+ and Q_4^- be the kernel of the map $U_4^+ \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ and $U_4^- \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$, respectively, and let P_4^+ and P_4^- be the kernel of the maps $U_4^+ \rightarrow U_3$ and $U_4^- \rightarrow U_3$, respectively.

Suppose $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined. By Corollary 2.5, there exists a \overline{U}_5 -algebra L such that $L^{Q_5} \simeq F_{a,b,c,d}$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^4$ -algebras. Using Lemma 2.2, we fix $\alpha \in F_a^\times$ and $\gamma \in F_c^\times$ such that $N_a(\alpha) = b$ and $N_c(\gamma) = b$. By Proposition 3.5, there exist $u, u' \in F_{a,c}^\times$ such that, letting $x' := N_c(u')$ and $x := N_a(u)$, the \overline{U}_4^+ -algebra K_1 induced by L is isomorphic to the \overline{U}_4^+ -algebra $(F_{a,b,c})_{x',x}$, where \overline{U}_4^+ acts via (3.10)-(3.13), and where the roles of x and x' have been switched.

Similarly, there exist $v, v' \in F_{b,d}^\times$ such that, letting $z := N_d(v)$ and $z' := N_b(v')$, the \overline{U}_4^- -algebra K_2 induced by L is isomorphic to $(F_{b,c,d})_{z,z'}$. Since the U_3 -algebras $(K_1)^{P_4^+}$ and $(K_2)^{P_4^-}$ are equal, by Proposition 3.2(3) there exists $w \in F_{b,c}^\times$ such that

$$N_a(u) \cdot N_d(v) = xz = w^p, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)w = \zeta.$$

Conversely, let $u \in F_{a,c}^\times$, $v \in F_{b,d}^\times$, and $w \in F_{b,c}^\times$ be such that

$$N_a(u) \cdot N_d(v) = w^p, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)w = \zeta.$$

By Lemma 2.2, there exist $\alpha \in F_a^\times$ and $\delta \in F_d^\times$ such that $N_a(\alpha) = b$ and $N_d(\delta) = c$. We may write

$$(\sigma_b - 1)w = \frac{c^{1/p}}{\beta}, \quad (\sigma_c - 1)w = \frac{b^{1/p}}{\gamma}.$$

For some $\beta \in F_b^\times$ and $\gamma \in F_c^\times$. We have

$$N_a((\sigma_c - 1)u \cdot (\gamma/\alpha)) = (\sigma_c - 1)N_a(u) \cdot N_a(\gamma/\alpha) = (\sigma_c - 1)w^p \cdot (\gamma^p/b) = 1.$$

By Hilbert's Theorem 90, there is $u' \in F_{a,c}^\times$ such that

$$\alpha \cdot (\sigma_a - 1)u' = \gamma \cdot (\sigma_c - 1)u.$$

By Proposition 3.5, we obtain a Galois U_4^+ -algebra K_1 over F with the property that $(K_1)^{Q_4^+} \simeq F_{a,b,c}$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ -algebras. Similarly, we get a Galois U_4^- -algebra over F such that $(K_2)^{Q_4^-} \simeq F_{b,c,d}$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ -algebras. Since $N_a(u) \cdot N_d(v) = w^p$,

by Proposition 3.2(3) the U_3 -algebras $(K_1)^{P_4^+}$ and $(K_2)^{P_4^-}$ are isomorphic. Now Lemma 2.1 applied to the cartesian square (2.14) for $n = 4$ yields a \overline{U}_5 -Galois algebra L such that $L^{Q_5} \simeq F_{a,b,c,d}$ as $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^4$ -algebras. By Corollary 2.5, this implies that $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let $b, c \in F^\times$ and $w \in F_{b,c}^\times$. Then $(\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)w = 1$ if and only if there exist $w_b \in F_b^\times$ and $w_c \in F_c^\times$ such that $w = w_b w_c$ in $F_{b,c}^\times$.*

Proof. We have $(\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)(w_b w_c) = (\sigma_b - 1)w_c = 1$ for all $w_b \in F_b^\times$ and $w_c \in F_c^\times$. Conversely, if $w \in F_{b,c}^\times$ satisfies $(\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)w = 1$, then $(\sigma_c - 1)w \in F_c^\times$ and $N_c((\sigma_c - 1)w) = 1$, and hence by Hilbert's Theorem 90 there exists $w_c \in F_c^\times$ such that $(\sigma_c - 1)w_c = (\sigma_c - 1)w$. Letting $w_b := w/w_c \in F_{b,c}^\times$, we have

$$(\sigma_c - 1)w_b = (\sigma_c - 1)(w/w_c) = 1,$$

that is, $w_b \in F_b^\times$. \square

From Proposition 3.7, we derive the following necessary condition for a fourfold Massey product to be defined.

Proposition 3.9. *Let p be a prime, let F be a field of characteristic different from p and containing a primitive p -th root of unity ζ , let $a, b, c, d \in F^\times$, and suppose that $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined over F . For every $w \in F_{b,c}^\times$ such that $(\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)w = \zeta$, there exist $u \in F_{a,c}^\times$ and $v \in F_{b,d}^\times$ such that $N_a(u)N_d(v) = w^p$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.7, there exist $u_0 \in F_{a,c}^\times$, $v_0 \in F_{b,d}^\times$ and $w_0 \in F_{a,c}^\times$ such that

$$N_a(u_0)N_d(v_0) = w_0^p, \quad (\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)w_0 = \zeta.$$

We have $(\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)(w_0/w) = 1$. By Lemma 3.8, this implies that $w_0 = w w_b w_c$, where $w_b \in F_b^\times$ and $w_c \in F_c^\times$. If we define $u = u_0 w_c$ and $v = v_0 w_b$, then

$$N_a(u)N_d(v) = N_a(u_0)N_a(w_c)N_d(v_0)N_d(w_b) = w_0^p w_c^p w_b^p = w^p. \quad \square$$

4. A GENERIC VARIETY

In this section, we let p be a prime number, and we let F be a field of characteristic different from p and containing a primitive p -th root of unity ζ .

Let $b, c \in F^\times$, and let X be the Severi-Brauer variety associated to (b, c) over F ; see [GS17, Chapter 5]. For every étale F -algebra K , we have $(b, c) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(K)$ if and only if $X_K \simeq \mathbb{P}_K^{p-1}$ over K . In particular, $X_b \simeq \mathbb{P}_b^{p-1}$ over F_b . By [GS17, Theorem 5.4.1], the central simple algebra (b, c) is split over $F(X)$.

We define the degree map $\text{deg}: \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as the composition of the pullback map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_b) \simeq \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_b^{p-1})$ and the degree isomorphism $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_b^{p-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. This does not depend on the choice of isomorphism $X_b \simeq \mathbb{P}_b^{p-1}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $b, c \in F^\times$, let $G_b := \text{Gal}(F_b/F)$, and let X be the Severi-Brauer variety of (b, c) over F . Let s_1, \dots, s_p be homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}_F^{p-1} .*

(1) *There exists a G_b -equivariant isomorphism $X_b \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}_b^{p-1}$, where G_b acts on X_b via its action on F_b , and on \mathbb{P}_b^{p-1} by*

$$\sigma_b^*(s_1) = c s_p, \quad \sigma_b^*(s_i) = s_{i-1} \quad (i = 2, \dots, p).$$

(2) *If $(b, c) \neq 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$, the image of $\text{deg}: \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is equal to $p\mathbb{Z}$.*

(3) There exists a rational function $w \in F_{b,c}(X)^\times$ such that

$$(\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)w = \zeta$$

and

$$\operatorname{div}(w) = x - y \quad \text{in } \operatorname{Div}(X_{b,c}),$$

where $x, y \in (X_{b,c})^{(1)}$ satisfy $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = 1$, $\sigma_b(x) = x$ and $\sigma_c(y) = y$.

Proof. (1) Consider the 1-cocycle $z: G_b \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}_p(F_b)$ given by

$$\sigma_b \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By [GS17, Construction 2.5.1], the class $[z] \in H^1(G_b, \operatorname{PGL}_p(F_b))$ coincides with the class of the degree- p central simple algebra over F with Brauer class (b, c) , and hence with the class of the associated Severi-Brauer variety X . It follows that we have a G_b -equivariant isomorphism $X_b \simeq \mathbb{P}_b^{p-1}$, where G_b acts on X_b via its action on F_b , and on \mathbb{P}_b^{p-1} via the cocycle z . This proves (1).

(2) By a theorem of Lichtenbaum [GS17, Theorem 5.4.10], we have an exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Pic}(X) \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{Br}(F),$$

where $\delta(1) = (b, c)$. Since (b, c) has exponent p , we conclude that the image of \deg is equal to $p\mathbb{Z}$.

(3) Let $G_{b,c} := \operatorname{Gal}(F_{b,c}/F) = \langle \sigma_b, \sigma_c \rangle$. By (1), there is a $G_{b,c}$ -equivariant isomorphism $f: \mathbb{P}_{b,c}^{p-1} \rightarrow X_{b,c}$, where $G_{b,c}$ acts on $X_{b,c}$ via its action on $F_{b,c}$, the action of σ_c on $\mathbb{P}_{b,c}^{p-1}$ is trivial and the action of σ_b on $\mathbb{P}_{b,c}^{p-1}$ is determined by

$$\sigma_b^*(s_1) = cs_p, \quad \sigma_b^*(s_i) = s_{i-1} \quad (i = 2, \dots, p).$$

Consider the linear form $l := \sum_{i=1}^p c^{i/p} \cdot s_i$ on $\mathbb{P}_{b,c}^{p-1}$ and set $w' := l/s_p \in F_{b,c}(\mathbb{P}_{b,c}^{p-1})^\times$. We have $\sigma_b^*(l) = c^{1/p} \cdot l$, and hence $(\sigma_b - 1)w' = c^{1/p} \cdot (s_p/s_{p-1})$. It follows that $(\sigma_c - 1)(\sigma_b - 1)w' = \xi$. Let $x', y' \in \operatorname{Div}(\mathbb{P}_{b,c}^{p-1})$ be the classes of linear subspaces of $\mathbb{P}_{b,c}^{p-1}$ given by $l = 0$ and $s_p = 0$, respectively. Then

$$\operatorname{div}(w') = x' - y', \quad \sigma_b(x') = x', \quad \sigma_c(y') = y'.$$

Define

$$w := w' \circ f^{-1} \in F_{b,c}(X)^\times, \quad x' := f_*(x) \in (X_{b,c})^{(1)}, \quad y' := f_*(y) \in (X_{b,c})^{(1)}.$$

Then w, x, y satisfy the conclusion of (3). \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $a, b, c, d \in F^\times$. The complex of tori*

$$R_{a,c}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R_{b,d}(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\varphi} R_{b,c}(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\psi} R_{b,c}(\mathbb{G}_m),$$

where $\varphi(u, v) := N_a(u)N_d(v)$ and $\psi(z) = (\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)z$, is exact.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, we have an exact sequence

$$R_c(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R_b(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\varphi'} R_{b,c}(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\psi} R_{b,c}(\mathbb{G}_m),$$

where $\varphi'(x, y) = xy$. The homomorphism φ factors as

$$R_{a,c}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R_{b,d}(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{N_a \times N_d} R_c(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R_b(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\varphi'} R_{b,c}(\mathbb{G}_m).$$

Since the homomorphisms N_a and N_d are surjective, so is $N_a \times N_d$. We conclude that $\text{Im}(\varphi) = \text{Im}(\varphi') = \text{Ker}(\psi)$, as desired. \square

Let $a, b, c, d \in F^\times$, and consider the complex of tori of Lemma 4.2. We define the following groups of multiplicative type over F :

$$P := R_{a,c}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times R_{b,d}(\mathbb{G}_m), \quad S := \text{Ker}(\psi) = \text{Im}(\varphi), \quad T := \text{Ker}(\varphi) \subset P.$$

By Lemma 4.2, we get a short exact sequence

$$(4.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow T \xrightarrow{\iota} P \xrightarrow{\pi} S \rightarrow 1,$$

where ι is the inclusion map and π is induced by φ .

Lemma 4.3. *The groups of multiplicative type T , P and S are tori.*

Proof. It is clear that P and S are tori. We now prove that T is a torus. Consider the subgroup $Q \subset R_{a,c}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ which makes the following commutative square cartesian:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Q & \hookrightarrow & R_{a,c}(\mathbb{G}_m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow N_a \\ \mathbb{G}_m & \hookrightarrow & R_c(\mathbb{G}_m). \end{array}$$

Here the bottom horizontal map is the obvious inclusion. It follows that Q is an $R_c(R_a^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}_m))$ -torsor over \mathbb{G}_m , and hence it is smooth and connected. Therefore Q is a torus.

The image of the projection $T \xrightarrow{\iota} P \rightarrow R_{a,c}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ is contained in the torus Q . Moreover, the kernel U of the projection is $R_b(R_{F_b, a/F_b}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}_m))$, and hence it is also a torus. We have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow U \rightarrow T \rightarrow Q.$$

We have $\dim(U) = p(p-1)$. From (4.1), we see that $\dim(T) = 2p^2 - 2p + 1$, and from (4.2) that $\dim(Q) = p^2 - p + 1$. Therefore $\dim(T) = \dim(U) + \dim(Q)$, and so the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow U \rightarrow T \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

is exact. As U and Q are tori, so is T . \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let p be a prime, let F be a field of characteristic different from p and containing a primitive p -th root of unity ζ , and let $a, b, c, d \in F^\times$. Suppose that $(a, b) = (b, c) = (c, d) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$, and let $w \in F_{b,c}^\times$ be such that $(\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)w = \zeta$. Let T and P be the tori appearing in (4.1), and let $E_w \subset P$ be the T -torsor given by the equation $N_a(u)N_d(v) = w^p$. Then the mod p Massey product $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined if and only if E_w is trivial.*

The construction of E_w is functorial in F . Therefore, for every field extension K/F , the mod p Massey product $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined if and only if E_w is split by K . We may thus call E_w a generic variety for the property “the Massey product $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined.”

Proof. By Proposition 3.9, the Massey product $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined over F if and only if there exist $u \in F_{a,c}^\times$ and $v \in F_{b,d}^\times$ such that the equation $N_a(u)N_d(v) = w^p$ has a solution over F , that is, if and only if the T -torsor E_w is trivial. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let p be a prime, let F be a field of characteristic different from p and containing a primitive p -th root of unity ζ , and let $a, b, c, d \in F^\times$. Let X be the Severi-Brauer variety of (b, c) over F , fix $w \in F_{b,c}(X)^\times$ as in Lemma 4.1(3), and let $E_w \subset P_{F(X)}$ be the $T_{F(X)}$ -torsor given by the equation $N_a(u)N_d(v) = w^p$.*

Then $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is defined over $F(X)$ if and only if E_w is trivial over $F(X)$.

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 4.4, applied over the ground field $F(X)$. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let p be a prime, and let F be a field of characteristic different from p and containing a primitive p -th root of unity ζ . Let $a, b, c, d \in F^\times$ be such that their cosets in $F^\times/F^{\times p}$ are \mathbb{F}_p -linearly independent. Consider the field $K := F_{a,b,c,d}$, and write $G = \text{Gal}(K/F) = \langle \sigma_a, \sigma_b, \sigma_c, \sigma_d \rangle$ as in Section 2.1. We set $N_a := \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sigma_a^j \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. For every subgroup H of G , we also write N_a for the image of $N_a \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ under the canonical map $\mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/H]$. We define N_b, N_c and N_d in a similar way.

Let

$$1 \rightarrow T \xrightarrow{\iota} P \xrightarrow{\pi} S \rightarrow 1$$

be the short exact sequence of F -tori (4.1). It induces a short exact sequence of cocharacter G -lattices

$$0 \rightarrow T_* \xrightarrow{\iota_*} P_* \xrightarrow{\pi_*} S_* \rightarrow 1.$$

By definition of P and S , we have

$$P_* = \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \sigma_b, \sigma_d \rangle] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \sigma_a, \sigma_c \rangle], \quad S_* = \langle N_b, N_c \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \sigma_a, \sigma_d \rangle].$$

Let X be the Severi-Brauer variety associated to $(b, c) \in \text{Br}(F)$. Since $X_K \simeq \mathbb{P}_K^{p-1}$, the degree map $\text{Pic}(X_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism, and so the map $\text{Div}(X_K) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_K)$ is identified with the degree map $\text{deg}: \text{Div}(X_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The sequence (B.2) for the torus T thus takes the form

$$(5.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow T(K) \rightarrow T(K(X)) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(X_K) \otimes T_* \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} T_* \rightarrow 0,$$

where T_* denotes the cocharacter lattice of T .

Lemma 5.1. (1) *We have $(T_*)^G = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \eta$, where $\iota_*(\eta) = (N_a N_c, -N_b N_d)$ in $(P_*)^G$.*
 (2) *If $(b, c) \neq 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$, the image of $\text{deg}: (\text{Div}(X_{b,c}) \otimes T_*)^G \rightarrow (T_*)^G$ is equal to $p(T_*)^G$.*

Proof. (1) The free \mathbb{Z} -module $(P_*)^G$ has a basis consisting of the elements $(N_a N_c, 0)$ and $(0, N_b N_d)$. The map $\pi_*: P_* \rightarrow S_* \subset \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \sigma_a, \sigma_d \rangle]$ takes $(1, 0)$ to N_b and $(0, 1)$ to N_c . It follows that $\text{Ker}(\pi_*)^G$ is generated by $(N_a N_c, -N_b N_d)$.

(2) By Lemma 4.1(2), the image of the composition

$$\mathrm{Div}(X) \otimes T_*^G = (\mathrm{Div}(X) \otimes T_*)^G \rightarrow (\mathrm{Div}(X_{b,c}) \otimes T_*)^G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{deg}} (T_*)^G$$

is equal to $p(T_*)^G$. Thus the image of the degree map contains $p(T_*)^G$. We now show that the image the degree map is contained in $p(T_*)^G$.

For every $x \in X^{(1)}$, pick $x' \in (X_{b,c})^{(1)}$ lying over x , and write H_x for the G -stabilizer of x' . The injective homomorphisms of G -modules

$$j_x : \mathbb{Z}[G/H_x] \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Div}(X_{b,c}), \quad gH_x \mapsto g(x')$$

yield an isomorphism of G -modules

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} j_x : \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} \mathbb{Z}[G/H_x] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Div}(X_{b,c}).$$

In order to conclude, it suffices to show that the image of

$$(5.2) \quad (T_*)^{H_x} = (\mathbb{Z}[G/H_x] \otimes T_*)^G \rightarrow (\mathrm{Div}(X_{b,c}) \otimes T_*)^G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{deg}} (T_*)^G$$

is contained in $p(T_*)^G$ for all $x \in X^{(1)}$. Set $H := H_x$.

The composition (5.2) takes a cocharacter $q \in (T_*)^H$ to

$$\mathrm{deg}\left(\sum_{gH \in G/H} gx' \otimes gq\right) = \mathrm{deg}(x') \cdot N_{G/H}(q).$$

Thus (5.2) coincides with the norm map $N_{G/H}$ times the degree of x' .

Suppose that $G = H$. Then $\mathrm{deg}(x') = \mathrm{deg}(x)$ and, since $(b, c) \neq 0$, the degree of x is divisible by p by Lemma 4.1(2).

Suppose that $G \neq H$. Then either $\langle \sigma_a, \sigma_c \rangle$ or $\langle \sigma_b, \sigma_d \rangle$ is not contained in H . Suppose $\langle \sigma_b, \sigma_d \rangle$ is not in H , and let N be the subgroup generated by H, σ_b, σ_d . Note that H is a proper subgroup of N .

The norm map $N_{G/H} : (T_*)^H \rightarrow (T_*)^G$ is the composition of the two norm maps

$$(T_*)^H \xrightarrow{N_{N/H}} (T_*)^N \xrightarrow{N_{G/N}} (T_*)^G.$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \sigma_b, \sigma_d \rangle]^H = \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \sigma_b, \sigma_d \rangle]^N$, the norm map $(T_*)^H \rightarrow (T_*)^N$ is multiplication by $[N : H] \in p\mathbb{Z}$ on the first component of T_* with respect to the inclusion ι_* of T_* into $P_* = \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \sigma_b, \sigma_d \rangle] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \sigma_a, \sigma_c \rangle]$.

By Lemma 5.1(1), $(T_*)^G = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \eta$, where $\iota_*(\eta) = (N_a N_c, -N_b N_d)$ in $(P_*)^G$. Since $N_a N_c$ is not divisible by p in $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \sigma_b, \sigma_d \rangle]$, the image of (5.2) is contained in $p\mathbb{Z} \cdot \eta = p(T_*)^G$, as desired. \square

We write

$$\bar{\eta} \in \mathrm{Coker}[(\mathrm{Div}(X_{b,c}) \otimes T_*)^G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{deg}} (T_*)^G]$$

for the coset of the generator $\eta \in (T_*)^G$ appearing in Lemma 5.1(1). If $(b, c) \neq 0$, then we have $\bar{\eta} \neq 0$ by Lemma 5.1(2). We consider the subgroup of unramified torsors

$$H^1(G, T(K(X)))_{\mathrm{nr}} := \mathrm{Ker}[H^1(G, T(K(X))) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{div}} H^1(G, \mathrm{Div}(X_K \otimes T_*))],$$

and the homomorphism

$$\theta : H^1(G, T(K(X)))_{\mathrm{nr}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Coker}[\mathrm{Div}(X_K) \otimes T_* \xrightarrow{\mathrm{deg}} T_*],$$

which are defined in (B.3).

Lemma 5.2. *Let $b, c \in F^\times$ be such that $(b, c) \neq 0$ in $\text{Br}(F)$, let $w \in F_{b,c}(X)^\times$ be such that $(\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)w = \zeta$ and $\text{div}(w) = x - y$, where $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = 1$ and $\sigma_b(x) = x$ and $\sigma_c(y) = y$. Let $E_w \subset P_{F(X)}$ be the $T_{F(X)}$ -torsor given by the equation $N_a(u)N_d(v) = w^p$, and write $[E_w]$ for the class of E_w in $H^1(G, T(K(X)))$.*

(1) *We have $[E_w] \in H^1(G, T(K(X)))_{\text{nr}}$.*

(2) *Let θ be the homomorphism of (B.3). We have $\theta([E_w]) = -\bar{\eta} \neq 0$.*

Proof. The F -tori T , P and S of (4.1) are split by $K = F_{a,b,c,d}$. Therefore, we may consider diagram (B.6) for the short exact sequence (4.1), the splitting field K/F , and X the Severi-Brauer variety of (b, c) over F :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \text{Div}((X_K) \otimes T_*)^G & \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} & (T_*)^G \\
& & \downarrow \iota_* & & \downarrow \iota_* \\
P(F(X)) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & (\text{Div}(X_K) \otimes P_*)^G & \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} & (P_*)^G \\
\downarrow \pi_* & & \downarrow \pi_* & & \downarrow \pi_* \\
S(F(X)) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & (\text{Div}(X_K) \otimes S_*)^G & \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} & (S_*)^G \\
\downarrow \partial & & \downarrow \partial & & \\
H^1(G, T(K(X))) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & H^1(G, \text{Div}(X_K \otimes T_*)) & &
\end{array}$$

Since $(\sigma_b - 1)(\sigma_c - 1)w^p = 1$, we have $w^p \in S(F(X))$. The image of w^p under ∂ is equal to $[E_w] \in H^1(G, T(K(X)))$.

Let $H \subset G$ be the subgroup generated by σ_a and σ_d . The canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Div}(X_{b,c}) = \text{Div}(X_K)^H = (\text{Div}(X_K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[G/H])^G$$

sends the divisor $\text{div}(w) = x - y$ to $\sum_{i,j} \sigma_b^i \sigma_c^j (x - y) \otimes \sigma_b^i \sigma_c^j$. Therefore, the element $\text{div}(w^p)$ in $(\text{Div}(X_K) \otimes S_*)^G \subset (\text{Div}(X_K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[G/H])^G$ is equal to

$$e := p \sum_{i,j=0}^{p-1} (\sigma_b^i \sigma_c^j (x - y) \otimes \sigma_b^i \sigma_c^j) = p \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\sigma_c^j x \otimes \sigma_c^j N_b) - p \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (\sigma_b^i y \otimes \sigma_b^i N_c).$$

Since S_* is the sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \sigma_a, \sigma_d \rangle]$ generated by N_b and N_c , this implies that e belongs to S_* . Then $e = \pi_*(f)$, where

$$f := \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\sigma_c^j x \otimes \sigma_c^j N_a) - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (\sigma_b^i y \otimes \sigma_b^i N_d) \in (\text{Div}(X_K) \otimes P_*)^G.$$

It follows that $\text{div}(E_w) = \partial(e) = \partial(\pi_*(f)) = 0$, which proves (1).

Moreover, since $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = 1$ we have

$$\text{deg}(f) = (N_a N_c, -N_b N_d) = \iota_*(\eta) \quad \text{in } (P_*)^G.$$

In view of (B.7), this implies that $\theta([E_w]) = -\bar{\eta}$. We know from Lemma 5.1(2) that $\bar{\eta} \neq 0$. This completes the proof of (2). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Replacing F by a finite extension if necessary, we may suppose that F contains a primitive p -th root of unity ζ . Let $E := F(x, y)$, where x and y are independent variables over F , let X be the Severi-Brauer variety of the degree- p cyclic algebra (x, y) over E , and let $L := E(X)$. Consider the following elements of E^\times :

$$a := 1 - x, \quad b := x, \quad c := y, \quad d := 1 - y.$$

We have $(a, b) = (c, d) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(E)$ by the Steinberg relations [Ser79, Chapter XIV, Proposition 4(iv)], and hence $(a, b) = (b, c) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(L)$. Moreover, $(b, c) \neq 0$ in $\text{Br}(E)$ because the residue of (b, c) along $x = 0$ is non-zero, while $(b, c) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(L)$ by [GS17, Theorem 5.4.1]. Thus $(a, b) = (b, c) = (c, d) = 0$ in $\text{Br}(L)$.

Consider the sequence of tori (4.1) over the ground field E , associated to the scalars $a, b, c, d \in E^\times$ chosen above:

$$1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow P \rightarrow S \rightarrow 1.$$

Let $E_w \subset P_L$ be the T_L -torsor given by the equation $N_a(u)N_d(v) = w^p$. By Lemma 5.2(2), the torsor E_w is non-trivial over L . Now Corollary 4.5 implies that the Massey product $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is not defined over L . In particular, by Lemma 2.3, the differential graded ring $C^*(\Gamma_L, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is not formal. \square

APPENDIX A. HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA

Let G be a profinite group, and let

$$(A.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow A_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} A_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} A_3 \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of discrete G -modules. We break (A.1) into two short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow A_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} A_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} A_3 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain a homomorphism

$$(A.2) \quad \theta: \text{Ker}[H^1(G, A_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} H^1(G, A_2)] \rightarrow \text{Coker}[A_2^G \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} A_3^G]$$

defined as the composition of the map

$$\text{Ker}[H^1(G, A_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} H^1(G, A_2)] \rightarrow \text{Ker}[H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, A_2)]$$

and the inverse of the isomorphism

$$(A.3) \quad \text{Coker}[A_2^G \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} A_3^G] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}[H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, A_2)]$$

induced by the connecting homomorphism $A_3^G \rightarrow H^1(G, A)$.

Lemma A.1. *We have an exact sequence*

$$H^1(G, A_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \text{Ker}[H^1(G, A_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} H^1(G, A_2)] \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Coker}[A_2^G \rightarrow A_3^G] \rightarrow H^2(G, A_0),$$

where the last map is defined as the composition of (A.3) and the connecting homomorphism $H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^2(G, A_0)$.

Proof. The proof follows from the definition of θ and the exactness of (A.1). \square

Consider a commutative diagram of discrete G -modules

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} A_0 & \xleftarrow{\alpha_0} & A_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & A_3 \\ \downarrow \iota_0 & & \downarrow \iota_1 & & \downarrow \iota_2 & & \downarrow \iota_3 \\ B_0 & \xleftarrow{\beta_0} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & B_3 \\ \downarrow \pi_0 & & \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \pi_3 \\ C_0 & \xleftarrow{\gamma_0} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} & C_2 & \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} & C_3 \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. It yields a commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$(A.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A_1^G & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & A_2^G & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & A_3^G \\ \downarrow \iota_1 & & \downarrow \iota_2 & & \downarrow \iota_3 \\ B_1^G & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & B_2^G & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & B_3^G \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \pi_3 \\ C_1^G & \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} & C_2^G & \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} & C_3^G \\ \downarrow \partial_1 & & \downarrow \partial_2 & & \\ H^1(G, A_1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & H^1(G, A_2) & & \end{array}$$

where the columns are exact and the rows are complexes. Suppose that the connecting homomorphism $\partial_1: C_1^G \rightarrow H^1(G, A_1)$ is surjective. We define a function

$$\theta': \text{Ker}[H^1(G, A_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} H^1(G, A_2)] \rightarrow \text{Coker}(A_2^G \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} A_3^G)$$

as follows. Let $z \in H^1(G, A_1)$ such that $\alpha_1(z) = 0$ in $H^1(G, A_2)$. By assumption, there exists $c_1 \in C_1^G$ such that $\partial_1(c_1) = z$. By the exactness of the second column, there exists $b_2 \in B_2^G$ such that $\pi_2(b_2) = \gamma_1(c_1)$. By the exactness of the first column and the injectivity of ι_3 , there exists a unique element $a_3 \in A_3^G$ such that $\beta_2(b_2) = \iota_3(a_3)$. We set

$$\theta'(z) := a_3 + \alpha_2(A_2^G).$$

A diagram chase shows that θ' is a well-defined homomorphism.

Lemma A.2. *Let G be a profinite group, and suppose given an exact sequence (A.1) and a commutative diagram (A.4) such that the connecting homomorphism $\partial_1: C_1^G \rightarrow H^1(G, A_1)$ is surjective. Then $\theta = -\theta'$.*

Proof. Let $z \in H^1(G, A_1)$ be such that $\alpha_1(z) = 0$ in $H^1(G, A_2)$. Since the map $\partial_1: C_1^G \rightarrow H^1(G, A_1)$ is surjective, there exists $c_1 \in C_1^G$ such that $\partial_1(c_1) = z$. Let $b_1 \in B_1$ be such that $\pi_1(b_1) = c_1$, and for all $g \in G$ let a_{1g} be the unique element of A_1 such that $\iota(a_{1g}) = gb - b$. Then $\partial_1(c_1)$ is represented by the 1-cocycle $\{a_{1g}\}_{g \in G}$.

Define $b_2 := \beta_1(b_1)$ and $c_2 := \gamma_1(c_1)$, so that $\pi_2(b_2) = c_2$. Since $\alpha_1(z) = 0$ is represented by the cocycle $\{\alpha_1(a_{1g})\}_{g \in G}$, we deduce that there exists $a_2 \in A_2$ such that $\alpha_1(a_{1g}) = ga_2 - a_2$ for all $g \in G$. It follows that $gb_2 - b_2 = \iota_2(ga_2 - a_2)$ for all $g \in G$, that is, $b_2 - \iota_2(a_2)$ belongs to B_2^G . Moreover, we have

$$\pi_2(b_2 - \iota_2(a_2)) = \pi_2(b_2) = \gamma_1(c_1).$$

Finally, we have

$$\beta_2(b_2 - \iota_2(a_2)) = \beta_2(\beta_1(b_1)) - \iota_3(\alpha_2(a_2)) = \iota_3(-\alpha_2(a_2)).$$

By definition, $\theta'(z) = -\alpha_2(a_2) + \alpha_2(A_2^G)$. Note that $\alpha_2(a_2)$ belongs to A_2^G because for all $g \in G$ we have

$$g\alpha_2(a_2) - \alpha_2(a_2) = \alpha_2(ga_2 - a_2) = \alpha_2(\alpha_1(a_{1g})) = 0.$$

For all $g \in G$, let $a_g \in A$ be the image of a_{1g} . The homomorphism

$$\text{Ker}[H^1(G, A_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} H^1(G, A_2)] \rightarrow \text{Ker}[H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, A_2)]$$

induced by the map $A_1 \rightarrow A$ sends the class of $\{a_{1g}\}_{g \in G}$ to the class of $\{a_g\}_{g \in G}$.

The element $a_2 \in A_2$ is a lift of $\alpha_2(a_2)$. As $ga_2 - a_2 = \alpha_1(a_{1g})$ for all $g \in G$, the injective map $A \rightarrow A_2$ sends a_g to $ga_2 - a_2$ for all $g \in G$. Therefore, the connecting homomorphism $A_3^G \rightarrow H^1(G, A)$ sends $\alpha_2(a_2)$ to the class of $\{a_g\}_{g \in G}$. It follows that the isomorphism

$$\text{Coker}[A_2^G \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} A_3^G] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}[H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, A_2)]$$

induced by $A_3^G \rightarrow H^1(G, A)$ sends $\alpha_2(a_2) + \alpha_2(A_2^G)$ to the class of $\{a_g\}_{g \in G}$. By the definition of θ , we conclude that $\theta(z) = \alpha_2(a_2) + \alpha_2(A_2^G) = -\theta'(z)$. \square

APPENDIX B. UNRAMIFIED TORSORS UNDER TORI

Let F be a field, let X be a smooth projective geometrically connected F -variety, let K be a Galois extension of F (possibly of infinite degree over F), and let $G := \text{Gal}(K/F)$. We have an exact sequence of discrete G -modules

$$(B.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow K(X)^\times \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(X_K) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{Pic}(X_K) \rightarrow 0,$$

where div takes a non-zero rational function $f \in K(X)^\times$ to its divisor, and λ takes a divisor on X_K to its class in $\text{Pic}(X_K)$.

Let T be an F -torus split by K . Write T_* for the cocharacter lattice of T : it is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -free G -module. Tensoring (B.1) with T_* , we obtain an exact sequence of G -modules

$$(B.2) \quad 1 \rightarrow T(K) \rightarrow T(K(X)) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(X_K) \otimes T_* \xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{Pic}(X_K) \otimes T_* \rightarrow 0,$$

where we have used the fact that $K^\times \otimes T_* = T(K)$.

We define the subgroup of unramified torsors

$$H^1(G, T(K(X)))_{\text{nr}} := \text{Ker}[H^1(G, T(K(X))) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} H^1(G, \text{Div}(X_K \otimes T_*))].$$

The sequence (B.1) is a special case of (A.1). In this case, the map θ of (A.1) takes the form

$$(B.3) \quad \theta: H^1(G, T(K(X)))_{\text{nr}} \rightarrow \text{Coker}[\text{Div}(X_K) \otimes T_* \xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{Pic}(X_K) \otimes T_*].$$

Proposition B.1. *We have an exact sequence*

$$H^1(G, T(K)) \rightarrow H^1(G, T(K(X)))_{\text{nr}} \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Coker}[(\text{Div}(X_K) \otimes T_*)^G \xrightarrow{\lambda} (\text{Pic}(X_K) \otimes T_*)^G] \rightarrow H^2(G, T(K)),$$

where the first map and the last map are induced by (B.2).

Proof. This is a special case of Lemma A.1. \square

By Lemma A.2, the map θ may be computed as follows. Let

$$(B.4) \quad 1 \rightarrow T \xrightarrow{\iota} P \xrightarrow{\pi} S \rightarrow 1$$

be a short exact sequence of F -tori split by K such that P is a quasi-trivial torus. Passing to cocharacter lattices, we obtain a short exact sequence of G -modules

$$(B.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow T_* \xrightarrow{\iota_*} P_* \xrightarrow{\pi_*} S_* \rightarrow 0.$$

We tensor (B.1) with T_* , P_* and S_* respectively, and pass to group cohomology to obtain the following commutative diagram, where the columns are exact and the

rows are complexes:

$$(B.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Div}((X_K) \otimes T_*)^G & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & (\text{Pic}(X_K) \otimes T_*)^G & & \\ & & \downarrow \iota_* & & \downarrow \iota_* \\ P(F(X)) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & (\text{Div}(X_K) \otimes P_*)^G & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & (\text{Pic}(X_K) \otimes P_*)^G \\ & & \downarrow \pi_* & & \downarrow \pi_* \\ S(F(X)) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & (\text{Div}(X_K) \otimes S_*)^G & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & (\text{Pic}(X_K) \otimes S_*)^G \\ & & \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow \partial \\ H^1(G, T(K(X))) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & H^1(G, \text{Div}(X_K \otimes T_*)) & & \end{array}$$

Note that $\text{Gal}(K(X)/F(X)) = G$. Therefore $H^1(G, P(K(X)))$ is trivial, and hence $\partial: S(F(X)) \rightarrow H^1(G, T(K(X)))$ is surjective.

Let $\tau \in H^1(G, T(K(X)))_{\text{nr}}$, choose $\sigma \in S(F(X))$ such that $\partial(\sigma) = \tau$. Then pick $\rho \in (\text{Div}(X_K) \otimes P_*)^G$ such that $\pi_*(\rho) = \text{div}(\sigma)$, and let t be the unique element in $(\text{Pic}(X_K) \otimes T_*)^G$ such that $\lambda(\rho) = \iota_*(t)$. Lemma A.2 implies

$$(B.7) \quad \theta(\tau) = -t.$$

Finally, suppose that $K = F_s$ is a separable closure of F , so that $G = \Gamma_F$, and write X_s for $X \times_F F_s$. The exact sequence (B.2) for $K = F_s$ takes the form

$$(B.8) \quad 1 \rightarrow T(F_s) \rightarrow T(F_s(X)) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}(X_s) \otimes T_* \xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{Pic}(X_s) \otimes T_* \rightarrow 0.$$

We have the inflation-restriction sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(F, T(F_s(X))) \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}} H^1(F(X), T) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^1(F_s(X), T).$$

Since T is defined over F , it is split by F_s , and hence by Hilbert's Theorem 90 we have $H^1(F_s(X), T) = 0$. Thus the inflation map $H^1(F, T(F_s(X))) \rightarrow H^1(F(X), T)$ is an isomorphism. We identify $H^1(F, T(F_s(X)))$ with $H^1(F(X), T)$ via the inflation map. If we define

$$H^1(F(X), T)_{\text{nr}} := \text{Ker}[H^1(F(X), T) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} H^1(F, \text{Div}(X_s) \otimes T_*)],$$

the map θ of (A.2) takes the form

$$\theta: H^1(F(X), T)_{\text{nr}} \rightarrow \text{Coker}[\text{Div}(X_s) \otimes T_* \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_s) \otimes T_*].$$

Corollary B.2. *We have an exact sequence*

$$H^1(F, T) \rightarrow H^1(F(X), T)_{\text{nr}} \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Coker}[(\text{Div}(X_s) \otimes T_*)^{\Gamma_F} \xrightarrow{\lambda} (\text{Pic}(X_s) \otimes T_*)^{\Gamma_F}] \rightarrow H^2(F, T),$$

where the first and last map are induced by (B.8).

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition B.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [BD01] Daniel K. Biss and Samit Dasgupta. A presentation for the unipotent group over rings with identity. *J. Algebra*, 237(2):691–707, 2001. 7
- [Dwy75] William G. Dwyer. Homology, Massey products and maps between groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 6(2):177–190, 1975. 7, 8
- [Efr14] Ido Efrat. The Zassenhaus filtration, Massey products, and representations of profinite groups. *Adv. Math.*, 263:389–411, 2014. 8
- [EM17] Ido Efrat and Eliyahu Matzri. Triple Massey products and absolute Galois groups. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 19(12):3629–3640, 2017. 2

- [GMT18] Pierre Guillot, Ján Mináč, and Adam Topaz. Four-fold Massey products in Galois cohomology. *Compos. Math.*, 154(9):1921–1959, 2018. With an appendix by Olivier Wittenberg. [2](#)
- [GS17] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely. *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, volume 165 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017. Second edition. [17](#), [18](#), [23](#)
- [HW15] Michael J. Hopkins and Kirsten G. Wickelgren. Splitting varieties for triple Massey products. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219(5):1304–1319, 2015. [1](#), [2](#)
- [HW19] Christian Haesemeyer and Charles A. Weibel. *The norm residue theorem in motivic cohomology*, volume 200 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2019. [1](#)
- [HW23] Yonatan Harpaz and Olivier Wittenberg. The Massey vanishing conjecture for number fields. *Duke Math. J.*, 172(1):1–41, 2023. [2](#), [8](#)
- [KMRT98] Max-Albert Knus, Alexander Merkurjev, Markus Rost, and Jean-Pierre Tignol. *The book of involutions*, volume 44 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. With a preface in French by J. Tits. [4](#)
- [Mat18] Eliyahu Matzri. Triple Massey products of weight $(1, n, 1)$ in Galois cohomology. *J. Algebra*, 499:272–280, 2018. [2](#)
- [MS22] Alexander Merkurjev and Federico Scavia. Degenerate fourfold Massey products over arbitrary fields. *arXiv:2208.13011*, 2022. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [6](#), [8](#)
- [MS23] Alexander Merkurjev and Federico Scavia. The Massey vanishing conjecture for four-fold Massey products modulo 2. *arXiv:2301.09290*, 2023. [2](#)
- [MT16] Ján Mináč and Nguyen Duy Tân. Triple Massey products vanish over all fields. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 94(3):909–932, 2016. [2](#), [15](#)
- [MT17a] Ján Mináč and Nguyen Duy Tân. Counting Galois $\mathbb{U}_4(\mathbb{F}_p)$ -extensions using Massey products. *J. Number Theory*, 176:76–112, 2017. [2](#)
- [MT17b] Ján Mináč and Nguyen Duy Tân. Triple Massey products and Galois theory. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 19(1):255–284, 2017. [2](#)
- [PS18] Ambrus Pál and Endre Szabó. The strong Massey vanishing conjecture for fields with virtual cohomological dimension at most 1. *arXiv:1811.06192* (2018). [2](#)
- [Pos17] Leonid Positselski. Koszulity of cohomology = $K(\pi, 1)$ -ness + quasi-formality. *J. Algebra*, 483:188–229, 2017. [1](#)
- [Ser79] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local fields*, volume 67 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979. Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg. [6](#), [23](#)
- [Ser97] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Galois cohomology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Translated from the French by Patrick Ion and revised by the author. [15](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Email address: merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Email address: scavia@math.ucla.edu