

# MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY OF THE SIMPLICIAL MOTIVE OF A ROST VARIETY

ALEXANDER MERKURJEV AND ANDREI SUSLIN

ABSTRACT. We compute the motivic cohomology groups of the simplicial motive  $\mathcal{X}_\theta$  of a Rost variety for an  $n$ -symbol  $\theta$  in Galois cohomology of a field. As an application we compute the kernel and cokernel of multiplication by  $\theta$  in Galois cohomology. We also show that the reduced norm map on  $K_2$  of a division algebra of square-free degree is injective.

## 1. MOTIVIC COHOMOLOGY OF $\mathcal{X}_\theta$

**1.1. Introduction.** Let  $l$  be a prime integer,  $F$  a field of characteristic different from  $l$ . The Galois cohomology group  $H_{et}^1(F, \mu_l)$ , where  $\mu_l$  is the Galois module of all  $l$ th roots of unity, is canonically isomorphic to the factor group  $F^\times / F^{\times l}$ . We write  $(a)$  for the class in  $H_{et}^1(F, \mu_l)$  corresponding to an element  $a \in F^\times$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in F^\times$  for some  $n \geq 1$  and  $\chi \in H_{et}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$ . We consider the  $n$ -tuple of 1-dimensional cohomology classes

$$\theta = (\chi, (a_1), \dots, (a_{n-1})).$$

Abusing notation we shall also write  $\theta$  for the cup-product  $\chi \cup (a_1) \cup \dots \cup (a_{n-1})$  in  $H_{et}^n(F, \mu_l^{\otimes(n-1)})$  and call this element a *symbol*.

Note that if  $\mu_l \subset F^\times$ , the choice of a primitive  $l$ th root of unity identifies  $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\mu_l$  and, therefore,  $\chi$  with  $(a_0)$  for some  $a_0 \in F^\times$ . Thus,  $\theta$  is given by the  $n$ -tuple  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  of elements in  $F^\times$ .

A *Rost variety* for  $\theta$  is a smooth projective variety  $X_\theta$  over  $F$  satisfying the conditions given in [20, Def. 1.1] or [3, Def. 0.5].

**Example 1.1.** (see [20])

- 1) If  $n = 1$ , then  $X_\theta = \text{Spec}(L)$ , where  $L/F$  is a cyclic field extension of degree  $l$  splitting  $\theta$ , is a Rost variety for  $\theta$ .
- 2) If  $n = 2$ , the Severi-Brauer variety  $X_\theta = SB(A)$  of a central simple  $F$ -algebra  $A$  of dimension  $l^2$  with the class  $\theta$  in  $H^2(F, \mu_l) \subset \text{Br}(F)$  is a Rost variety for  $\theta$ .

An inductive process given in [13] allows to construct a Rost variety for any  $\theta$ . Denote further by  $\mathcal{X}_\theta$  the Čech simplicial scheme  $\check{C}(\mathcal{X}_\theta)$  of  $X_\theta$  (see [17, Appendix B]) and by  $M(\mathcal{X}_\theta)$  the motive of  $\mathcal{X}_\theta$  in the triangulated category  $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$  (see [6]). The motive of  $\mathcal{X}_\theta$  in  $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$  is independent of the

---

The first author acknowledges support by the NSF grant DMS #0652316.

The second author acknowledges support by the NSF grant DMS #0901852.

choice of the Rost variety  $X_\theta$  ([16, §5]). If  $\theta = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}_\theta = \mathbb{Z}$ , so in general,  $\mathcal{X}_\theta$  is a “twisted form” of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We write  $H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  for the motivic cohomology group  $H^{p,q}(M(\mathcal{X}_\theta), \mathbb{Z})$ .

The triviality of the motivic cohomology group  $H^{n+1,n}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  is the essential step in the proof of Bloch-Kato Conjecture (see [16, Prop. 6.11]). In this paper we compute the motivic cohomology  $H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  for all  $p$  and  $q$  (Theorem 1.15).

In the second part of the paper some applications are given. We compute the kernel and cokernel of multiplication by  $\theta$  in Galois cohomology. We also show that the reduced norm map on  $K_2$  of a division algebra of square-free degree is injective.

We use the following notation:

$K_*(F)$  is the Milnor ring of a field  $F$ .

If  $X$  is a variety over  $F$ , we write  $A_0(X, K_p)$  for the cokernel of the residue homomorphism (see [11]):

$$\coprod_{x \in X_{(1)}} K_{p+1}F(x) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X_{(0)}} K_pF(x),$$

where  $X_{(i)}$  is the set of all points of  $X$  of dimension  $i$ .

$n \geq 2$  an integer,

$$b = (l^{n-1} - 1)/(l - 1) = 1 + l + \dots + l^{n-2},$$

$$c = (l^n - 1)/(l - 1) = 1 + l + \dots + l^{n-1} = bl + 1 = b + l^{n-1},$$

$$d = l^{n-1} - 1 = b(l - 1) = c - b - 1.$$

## 1.2. The Bloch-Kato Conjecture and the motivic cohomology of $\mathcal{X}_\theta$ .

The Bloch-Kato Conjecture asserts that the norm residue homomorphism

$$h_{n,l} : K_n(F)/lK_n(F) \rightarrow H_{et}^n(F, \mu_l^{\otimes n}),$$

taking the class of a symbol  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$  to the cup-product  $(a_0) \cup (a_1) \cup \dots \cup (a_{n-1})$ , is an isomorphism. This conjecture was proved in [16] (see also [3], [13], [19], [20] and [21]). In view of [14], the natural maps

$$H^{p,q}(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{et}^{p,q}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$$

are isomorphisms for a smooth projective variety  $Y$  over  $F$  and  $p \leq q + 1$ . Moreover, the natural map

$$(1) \quad H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{et}^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism if  $p \leq q + 1$ . By [17, Lemma 7.3],

$$(2) \quad H_{et}^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{et}^{p,q}(F, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all  $p$  and  $q$ .

For every  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$  and every  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z})$  the *order* of  $\alpha$  is the integer  $\text{ord}(\alpha) = p - q - 1$ . The subgroup of  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z})$  of elements of non-negative (respectively, non-positive) order will be denoted by  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0}$  (respectively,  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z})^{\leq 0}$ ).

1.3. **The motive  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta$ .** The motive  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta$  is defined by the exact triangle

$$(3) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta \rightarrow M(\mathcal{X}_\theta) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta[1]$$

in  $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$ . Note that the motive  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta$  differs by a shift from the one defined in [17].

It follows from (1) and (2) that

$$(4) \quad H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{et}^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{et}^{p,q}(F, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^{p,q}(F, \mathbb{Z})$$

if  $p \leq q + 1$ . As  $H^{p,q}(F, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  when  $p > q$ , the exact triangle (3) yields:

**Proposition 1.2.** *There are canonical isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} H^{*,*}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0} &\simeq H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0}, \\ H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\leq 0} &\simeq H^{*,*}(F, \mathbb{Z})^{\leq 0}, \\ H^{*,*}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\leq 0} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the motive  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta$  and hence the group  $H^{p,q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  vanish if  $\theta = 0$ . Since in general  $\theta$  has a degree  $l$  splitting field extension, the group  $H^{p,q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  is  $l$ -torsion.

Recall that  $K_p(F) = H^{p,p}(F, \mathbb{Z})$  (see [6, §5]). Hence there is the product

$$(5) \quad K_s(F) \otimes H^{p,q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{p+s, q+s}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}), \quad x \otimes \alpha \mapsto x \cdot \alpha.$$

Let  $K_*^\theta(F)$  be the (graded) cokernel of the norm homomorphism

$$\coprod K_*(L) \rightarrow K_*(F),$$

where the coproduct is taken over all finite field extensions  $L/F$  such that  $\theta$  is split over  $E$ . By projection formula,  $K_*^\theta(F)$  has structure of a graded ring. Clearly,  $K_*^\theta(F) = 0$  if  $\theta = 0$ . If  $\theta \neq 0$ , a transfer argument shows that the degree of a finite splitting field extension for  $\theta$  is divisible by  $l$ . On the other hand, there is a splitting field extension of degree  $l$ , hence  $K_0^\theta(F) = \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ .

It follows from Proposition 1.2 that in general the product (5) yields the structure of a left  $K_*^\theta(F)$ -module on  $H^{*,*}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0}$ .

1.4. **Integral elements.** We say that an element  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$  is *integral* if  $\alpha$  belongs to the image of the natural homomorphism

$$H^{p,q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{p,q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let

$$B : H^{*,*}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*+1,*}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$$

be the *Bockstein homomorphism*, i.e., the connecting homomorphism for the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l^2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

The following statement is a consequence of the fact that the group  $H^{p,q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  is  $l$ -torsion.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\alpha$  is integral;
- (2)  $B(\alpha) = 0$ ;
- (3)  $\alpha \in \text{Im } B$ .

□

1.5. **The element  $\delta$ .** As  $X_\theta$  is a splitting variety for  $\theta$ , the symbol  $\theta$  belongs to the kernel of the natural homomorphism

$$\text{res} : H_{et}^n(F, \mu_l^{\otimes(n-1)}) \rightarrow H_{et}^n(F(X_\theta), \mu_l^{\otimes(n-1)}).$$

**Proposition 1.4.** *For any  $m > 0$ , there is a canonical isomorphism between  $H^{m+1,m-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  and the kernel of the natural homomorphism*

$$\text{res} : H_{et}^m(F, \mu_l^{\otimes(m-1)}) \rightarrow H_{et}^m(F(X_\theta), \mu_l^{\otimes(m-1)}).$$

*Proof.* As  $H^{m+1,m-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) = H^{m+1,m-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  is  $l$ -torsion, we have an exact sequence

$$H^{m,m-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{m,m-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{m+1,m-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from Proposition 1.2 that the first term of the sequence is trivial. Hence the group  $H^{m+1,m-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  is canonically isomorphic to  $H^{m,m-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$ . By the proof of [16, Lemma 6.5], the latter group is canonically isomorphic to the kernel of the homomorphism  $\text{res}$ . □

Denote by  $\delta \in H^{n+1,n-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  the element corresponding to the symbol  $\theta \in \text{Ker}(\text{res})$  when  $m = n$ . Clearly,  $\delta \neq 0$  if  $\theta \neq 0$ . We have  $\text{ord}(\delta) = 1$ .

1.6. **Cohomological operations.** Denote by  $Q_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , the Milnor cohomological operations of bidegree  $(2l^i - 1, l^i - 1)$  on  $H^{*,*}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$  (see [18, §13]). As  $H^{p,q}(F, \mathbb{Z})$  is trivial if  $p > q$ ,  $Q_i$  is trivial on  $H^{p,p}(F, \mathbb{Z}) = K_p(F)$ . It follows from the product formula (see the proof of Lemma 1.8 below), that the operations  $Q_i$  are  $K_*(F)$ -linear, that is  $Q_i(\alpha \cdot x) = Q_i(\alpha) \cdot x$  and  $Q_i(x \cdot \alpha) = (-1)^p x \cdot Q_i(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in H^{*,*}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$  and  $x \in K_p(F)$ . The operations anti-commute:  $Q_k Q_j = -Q_j Q_k$  for  $j \neq k$  and  $Q_i^2 = 0$  for all  $i$ . Moreover,  $Q_0 = B$ . Note that

$$\text{ord } Q_i(\alpha) = \text{ord}(\alpha) + l^i$$

for all  $\alpha$ .

**Proposition 1.5.** [17, Th. 3.2], [16, Lemma 4.3] *For every  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , the sequence*

$$H^{p-2l^i+1, q-l^i+1}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{Q_i} H^{p,q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{Q_i} H^{p+2l^i-1, q+l^i-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$$

*is exact for all  $p$  and  $q$ .* □

It follows from the equality  $Q_i B = -B Q_i$  for  $i \geq 1$  and Lemma 1.3 that  $Q_i$  takes integral elements to integral ones. The restriction of  $Q_i$  on the subgroup of integral elements  $H^{p,q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  is still denoted by  $Q_i$ .

**Proposition 1.6.** *For every  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , the sequence*

$$H^{p-2l^i+1, q-l^i+1}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{Q_i} H^{p, q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{Q_i} H^{p+2l^i-1, q+l^i-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$$

*is exact for all  $p$  and  $q$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $Q_i(\alpha) = 0$  for some  $\alpha \in H^{p, q}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ . By induction on  $\text{ord}(\alpha)$  we prove that  $\alpha = Q_i(\beta)$  for some integral  $\beta$ .

By Proposition 1.5,  $\alpha = Q_i(\beta')$  for  $\beta' \in H^{p-2l^i+1, q-l^i+1}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $\alpha$  is integral, we have  $(Q_i B)(\beta') = -(B Q_i)(\beta') = -B(\alpha) = 0$ . Since  $B(\beta')$  is integral, by the induction hypothesis,  $B(\beta') = Q_i(\gamma)$  for some integral  $\gamma$ . By Lemma 1.3, we have  $\gamma = B(\gamma')$  for some  $\gamma'$  and hence

$$B(\beta' + Q_i(\gamma')) = B(\beta') + (B Q_i)(\gamma') = B(\beta') - Q_i(\gamma) = 0.$$

Therefore, the element  $\beta = \beta' + Q_i(\gamma')$  is integral and  $Q_i(\beta) = Q_i(\beta') = \alpha$ .  $\square$

Propositions 1.2 and 1.6 yield:

**Corollary 1.7.** *Let  $Q_i(\alpha) = 0$  for some  $\alpha \in H^{p, q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $0 \leq \text{ord}(\alpha) < l^i$ , then  $\alpha = 0$ .*
- (2) *If  $\text{ord}(\alpha) \geq l^i$ , then  $\alpha = Q_i(\beta)$  for some  $\beta \in H^{p-2l^i+1, q-l^i+1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ .*

**1.7. The elements  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$ .** Set

$$\mu = (Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_{n-2})(\delta) = \pm(Q_{n-2} \dots Q_2 Q_1)(\delta) \in H^{2b+1, b}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$\gamma = (Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_{n-2} Q_{n-1})(\delta) = \pm Q_{n-1}(\mu) \in H^{2c, c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We have  $\text{ord}(\mu) = b$  and  $\text{ord}(\gamma) = c$ . If  $\theta \neq 0$ , then  $\delta \neq 0$ , hence it follows from Corollary 1.7(1) by induction on  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  that  $(Q_i \dots Q_2 Q_1)(\delta) \neq 0$ . In particular,  $\mu \neq 0$  and  $\gamma \neq 0$ .

We write  $\cup$  for the product in  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** *We have  $Q_i(\gamma) = 0$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $Q_i(x \cup \gamma) = Q_i(x) \cup \gamma$  for every  $x \in H^{p, q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* The first equality follows from  $Q_i^2 = 0$  and the anti-commutativity of the  $Q_j$ . If  $l$  is odd, by the product formula, for every homogeneous  $x$  of degree  $p$ , we have

$$Q_i(x \cup \gamma) = Q_i(x) \cup \gamma + (-1)^p x \cup Q_i(\gamma) = Q_i(x) \cup \gamma.$$

In the case  $l = 2$ , the product formula looks as follows [18, Prop. 13.4]:

$$Q_i(x \cup \gamma) = x \cup Q_i(\gamma) + Q_i(x) \cup \gamma + \sum_{E, E'} u_{E, E'} Q_E(x) \cup Q_{E'}(\gamma)$$

for some  $u_{E, E'} \in H^{*,*}(F, \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $Q_E = Q_1^{e_1} Q_2^{e_2} \dots$  and the sum is taken over all pairs of nonzero sequences  $E = (e_1, e_2, \dots)$  and  $E'$  of length less than  $i$ . Note that  $Q_j(\gamma) = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ , hence  $Q_{E'}(\gamma) = 0$ .  $\square$

The element  $\mu$  gives rise to a morphism  $M(\mathcal{X}_\theta) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{X}_\theta)(b)[2b+1]$  in  $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$ , still denoted by  $\mu$  (see [16, 5.3]). Let  $\mathcal{M}_\theta$  be the motive in  $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$  defined by the exact triangle

$$M(\mathcal{X}_\theta)(b)[2b] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\theta \rightarrow M(\mathcal{X}_\theta) \xrightarrow{\mu} M(\mathcal{X}_\theta)(b)[2b+1].$$

For every  $i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$ , let  $S^i \mathcal{M}_\theta$  be the  $i$ -th symmetric power of  $\mathcal{M}_\theta$  in  $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$  (see [16, §3]). The symmetric power  $\mathcal{R}_\theta := S^{l-1} \mathcal{M}_\theta$  is called the *Rost motive of  $\theta$* .

Note that in the split case,

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{M}_\theta = \mathbb{Z}_{(l)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(b)[2b] \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}((l-1)b)[2(l-1)b].$$

There are exact triangles [16, (5.5) and (5.6)] in  $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$ :

$$\mathcal{R}_\theta \rightarrow S^{l-2} \mathcal{M}_\theta \rightarrow M(\mathcal{X}_\theta)(d)[2d+1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\theta[1],$$

$$S^{l-2} \mathcal{M}_\theta(b)[2b] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\theta \rightarrow M(\mathcal{X}_\theta) \rightarrow S^{l-2} \mathcal{M}_\theta(b)[2b+1].$$

For all integers  $p$  and  $q$  we then have exact sequences

$$(7) \quad H^{p+2d, q+d}(\mathcal{R}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow H^{p, q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \\ H^{p+2d+1, q+d}(S^{l-2} \mathcal{M}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow H^{p+2d+1, q+d}(\mathcal{R}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$$

and

$$(8) \quad H^{p+2c-1, q+c-1}(\mathcal{R}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow H^{p+2d+1, q+d}(S^{l-2} \mathcal{M}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \\ H^{p+2c, q+c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow H^{p+2c, q+c-1}(\mathcal{R}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}).$$

By [16, Lemma 5.15] and [20, Cor. 8.8], the motive  $\mathcal{R}_\theta$  is a direct summand of  $M(\mathcal{X}_\theta)$  in  $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$ . It follows that  $H^{p, q}(\mathcal{R}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) = 0$  if  $p - q > d = \dim X_\theta$ . Therefore, by (7),

$$(9) \quad \partial_1 : H^{p, q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow H^{p+2d+1, q+d}(S^{l-2} \mathcal{M}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$$

is an isomorphism if  $p > q$  and by (8),

$$(10) \quad \partial_2 : H^{p+2d+1, q+d}(S^{l-2} \mathcal{M}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow H^{p+2c, q+c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$$

is an isomorphism if  $p + c > q + d$ .

Let  $\partial$  be the composition  $\partial_2 \circ \partial_1$ . Then (7) and (10) yield an exact sequence

$$(11) \quad H^{p+2c-1, p+c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow H^{p+2d, p+d}(\mathcal{R}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow \\ H^{p, p}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{p+2c, p+c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow 0$$

for every  $p$ .

**Proposition 1.9.** *The homomorphism  $\partial$  in (11) yields an isomorphism*

$$K_p^\theta(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{p+2c, p+c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$$

for every integer  $p$ .

*Proof.* Since both groups are  $l$ -torsion, it is sufficient to establish the isomorphism over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ . By (4), we have  $H^{p,p}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) = H^{p,p}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) = K_p(F)_{(l)}$ . Let  $L/F$  be a finite splitting field extension of  $\theta$ . The commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_p(L)_{(l)} & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^{p,p}(\mathcal{X}_{\theta L}, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_L} & H^{p+2c,p+c-1}(\mathcal{X}_{\theta L}, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \\ N_{L/F} \downarrow & & N_{L/F} \downarrow & & N_{L/F} \downarrow \\ K_p(F)_{(l)} & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^{p,p}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H^{p+2c,p+c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \end{array}$$

and the triviality of the top right corner imply that

$$\text{Ker}(\partial) \supset A := \text{Ker}(K_p(F)_{(l)} \rightarrow K_p^\theta(F)_{(l)}).$$

By [17, Lemma 4.11], the group  $H^{p+2d,p+d}(X_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  is canonically isomorphic to  $A_0(X_\theta, K_p)$ . As  $\mathcal{R}_\theta$  is a direct summand of  $M(X_\theta)$ , the group  $H^{p+2d,p+d}(X_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$  and hence  $H^{p+2d,p+d}(\mathcal{R}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$  is generated by the norms for the field extensions  $F(x)/F$  over all closed points  $x \in X_\theta$ . Since the field  $F(x)$  splits  $\theta$ , we see from the exactness of (11) that  $\text{Ker}(\partial) \subset A$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ker}(\partial) = A$  and  $\partial$  yields the isomorphism in the statement of the proposition.  $\square$

Suppose that  $\theta \neq 0$ . We have  $K_0^\theta(F) = \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$  and therefore by Proposition 1.9,  $H^{2c,c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand,  $\gamma$  is a nonzero element of this group, hence  $H^{2c,c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})\gamma$ .

Note that the motive  $\mathcal{M}_\theta$  and its symmetric powers are motives over  $\mathcal{X}_\theta$  (see [16]). Moreover, the morphisms in the exact triangles involving these motives are over  $\mathcal{X}_\theta$ . In particular, the homomorphism  $\partial$  is  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ -linear. Therefore,  $\partial$  is given by multiplication by the canonical generator of  $H^{2c,c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  that is a multiple of  $\gamma$ . Note that since the degree  $2c$  of  $\gamma$  is even,  $\gamma$  is central in  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  by [6, Th. 15.9]. Then Proposition 1.9, (9) and (10) yield:

**Proposition 1.10.** (1) *The map  $K_p^\theta(F) \rightarrow H^{p+2c,p+c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  given by multiplication by  $\gamma$ , is an isomorphism for any  $p$ .*

(2) *The map  $H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{p+2c,q+c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ , given by multiplication by  $\gamma$ , is an isomorphism if  $p > q$ , i.e., every  $\alpha \in H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\text{ord}(\alpha) > c$  can be written in the form  $\alpha = \beta \cup \gamma$  for a unique  $\beta$ .*  $\square$

**Proposition 1.11.** *The map  $K_p^\theta(F) \rightarrow H^{p+n+1,p+n-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $x \mapsto x \cdot \delta$ , is an isomorphism for all  $p$ .*

*Proof.* The composition

$$K_p^\theta(F) \xrightarrow{\cdot \delta} H^{p+n+1,p+n-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{Q_1 \cdots Q_{n-1}} H^{p+2c,p+c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$$

coincides with the multiplication by  $\gamma$  and therefore is an isomorphism by Proposition 1.10(1). The second map is injective by Corollary 1.7.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.12.** *If  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $b < \text{ord}(\alpha) \leq c$ , then  $Q_{n-1}(\alpha) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{ord } Q_{n-1}(\alpha) > b + l^{n-1} = c$ , we have  $Q_{n-1}(\alpha) = \beta \cup \gamma$  for some  $\beta \in H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0}$  by Proposition 1.10(2). Hence, in view of Lemma 1.8,

$$Q_{n-1}(\beta) \cup \gamma = Q_{n-1}(\beta \cup \gamma) = Q_{n-1}^2(\alpha) = 0,$$

therefore  $Q_{n-1}(\beta) = 0$  again by Proposition 1.10(2). Since

$$\text{ord}(\beta) \leq c + l^{n-1} - (c + 1) < l^{n-1},$$

we have  $\beta = 0$  by Corollary 1.7 and therefore  $Q_{n-1}(\alpha) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.13.** *If  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $2l^i \leq \text{ord}(\alpha) < l^{i+1}$  for some  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ , then  $\alpha = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the element  $\alpha' = (Q_{n-2} \dots Q_{i+1})(\alpha)$ . Since

$$\text{ord}(\alpha') = \text{ord}(\alpha) + l^{i+1} + \dots + l^{n-2},$$

we have  $b < \text{ord}(\alpha') < l^{n-1} < c$ . By Lemma 1.12,  $Q_{n-1}(\alpha') = 0$ .

Using Corollary 1.7, by descending induction on  $j = n-2, \dots, i$ , we deduce that  $(Q_j \dots Q_{i+1})(\alpha) = 0$  since  $\text{ord}(Q_j \dots Q_{i+1})(\alpha) < l^{j+1}$ . Therefore,  $\alpha = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.14.** *If  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\text{ord}(\alpha) \leq c$  and  $l^i \leq \text{ord}(\alpha) < 2l^i$  for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , then  $\alpha = Q_i(\beta)$  for some  $\beta \in H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0}$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 1.7, it is sufficient to show that  $Q_i(\alpha) = 0$ .

Suppose first that  $i = n-1$ . Since  $b < l^{n-1} \leq \text{ord}(\alpha) \leq c$ , it follows from Lemma 1.12 that  $Q_{n-1}(\alpha) = 0$ . In the rest of the proof we assume that  $i \leq n-2$ .

*Case 1:  $l \geq 3$ .* We have

$$2l^i \leq \text{ord } Q_i(\alpha) < 3l^i \leq l^{i+1}.$$

By Lemma 1.13,  $Q_i(\alpha) = 0$ .

*Case 2:  $l = 2$ .* We prove that  $Q_i(\alpha) = 0$  by descending induction on  $i$ . Since  $2^{i+1} \leq \text{ord } Q_i(\alpha) < 2^i + 2^{i+1}$ , by the induction hypothesis,  $(Q_{i+1}Q_i)(\alpha) = 0$ . By Corollary 1.7(2),  $Q_i(\alpha) = Q_{i+1}(\rho)$  for some  $\rho \in H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0}$ . Since  $(Q_{i+1}Q_i)(\rho) = -(Q_iQ_{i+1})(\rho) = -Q_i^2(\alpha) = 0$  and  $\text{ord}(\rho) < 2^i$ ,  $\text{ord } Q_i(\rho) < 2^{i+1}$ , it follows from Corollary 1.7 that  $\rho = 0$  and therefore  $Q_i(\alpha) = 0$ .  $\square$

**1.8. Main theorem.** Consider the exterior algebra

$$\Lambda = K_*^\theta(F)[t][\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}]$$

over the polynomial algebra  $K_*^\theta(F)[t]$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_i^2 = 0$  and  $\lambda_i\lambda_j = -\lambda_j\lambda_i$  for  $i \neq j$ . Recall (see section 1.3) that  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  has a structure of a left  $K_*^\theta(F)$ -module. The operations  $Q_i$  are  $K_*(F)$ -linear and  $Q_i$  commute with multiplication by  $\gamma$  by Lemma 1.8. Therefore, we can view  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  as a left  $\Lambda$ -module with  $t$  acting by multiplication by  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda_i$  acting via the operation  $Q_i$ . The ring  $\Lambda$  is graded over  $K_*^\theta(F)$  as follows:  $\text{deg}(t) = c+1$ ,  $\text{deg}(\lambda_i) = l^i$ . For any homogeneous element  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and any  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ , we have

$$\text{ord}(\lambda\alpha) = \text{deg}(\lambda) + \text{ord}(\alpha).$$



Note that distinct monomials in  $\Lambda$  of the form  $t^k \lambda_1^{a_1} \lambda_2^{a_2} \dots \lambda_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}$  with  $a_i = 0$  or 1 have different degree.

**Theorem 1.15.** *Let  $l$  be a prime integer,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $F$  a field of characteristic different from  $l$  and  $\theta \in H_{\text{ét}}^n(F, \mu_l^{\otimes(n-1)})$  a nontrivial symbol. Then*

- (1)  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\leq 0}$  is canonically isomorphic to  $H^{*,*}(F, \mathbb{Z})^{\leq 0}$ .
- (2)  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0}$  is a free left  $\Lambda$ -module with basis  $\{\delta\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from Proposition 1.2.

(2): We shall prove that the map

$$\Lambda \rightarrow H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0}, \quad \lambda \mapsto \lambda\delta$$

is an isomorphism.

*Injectivity:* In view of the remark preceding the theorem, it suffices to prove that if  $\lambda\delta = 0$  for a monomial  $\lambda = x t^k \lambda_1^{a_1} \lambda_2^{a_2} \dots \lambda_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}$  with  $x \in K_*^\theta(F)$  and the integers  $k \geq 0$  and  $a_i$  such that  $a_i = 0$  or 1, i.e.,

$$\gamma^k \cup (Q_1^{a_1} Q_2^{a_2} \dots Q_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}})(x \cdot \delta) = 0,$$

then  $x = 0$ . By Proposition 1.10,

$$(Q_1^{a_1} Q_2^{a_2} \dots Q_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}})(x \cdot \delta) = 0.$$

It follows from Corollary 1.7 by descending induction on  $i \geq 0$  that

$$(Q_1^{a_1} Q_2^{a_2} \dots Q_i^{a_i})(x \cdot \delta) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $x \cdot \delta = 0$  and hence  $x = 0$  by Proposition 1.11.

*Surjectivity:* Let  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  be an element with  $\text{ord}(\alpha) \geq 0$ . By induction on  $\text{ord}(\alpha)$  we show that  $\alpha = \lambda\delta$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . If  $\text{ord}(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $\alpha = 0$  by Proposition 1.2. The case  $\text{ord}(\alpha) = 1$  is covered by Proposition 1.11. If  $\text{ord}(\alpha) \geq c + 1$ , then by Proposition 1.10(2),  $\alpha = \gamma \cup \beta$  for  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \text{ord}(\beta) < \text{ord}(\alpha)$ . By induction,  $\beta = \lambda\delta$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and hence  $\alpha = (t\lambda)\delta$ .

Suppose  $2 \leq \text{ord}(\alpha) \leq c$ . Choose an  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  such that  $l^i \leq \text{ord}(\alpha) < l^{i+1}$ . If  $\text{ord}(\alpha) \geq 2l^i$  (and hence  $i \leq n-2$ ), then by Lemma 1.13,  $\alpha = 0$ . So we may assume that  $l^i \leq \text{ord}(\alpha) < 2l^i$  for  $i \geq 1$ . It follows from Lemma 1.14 that  $\alpha = Q_i(\beta)$  for some  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \text{ord}(\beta) < \text{ord}(\alpha)$ . By induction,  $\beta = \lambda\delta$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and hence  $\alpha = (\lambda_i \lambda)\delta$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.16.** When  $l = 2$  we have  $\gamma = \mu^2$ . Moreover if  $s$  is the operation of multiplication by  $\mu$ , the left  $K_*^\theta(F)[s][\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}]$ -module  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0}$  is free with basis  $\{\delta\}$ . In this form the statement was proved by Orlov, Vishik, and Voevodsky (unpublished).

**Remark 1.17.** By Theorem 1.15, a nontrivial element  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  of positive order can be uniquely written in the form

$$\alpha = \gamma^k \cup (Q_1^{a_1} Q_2^{a_2} \dots Q_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}})(x \cdot \delta)$$

where  $x \in K_*^\theta(F)$  and  $k, a_i$  are integers such that  $k \geq 0$  and  $a_i = 0$  or  $1$ . Moreover,

$$\text{ord}(\alpha) = 1 + k(c + 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} l^{a_i}.$$

**1.9. The multiplicative structure.** The ring structure of  $H^{*,*}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})^{\geq 0}$  in the case  $l = 2$  has been determined by Orlov, Vishik, and Voevodsky (unpublished).

Let  $l$  be an odd prime. The operation  $S$  of the form  $\pm Q_{i_1} Q_{i_2} \dots Q_{i_k}$ , where  $k \geq 0$  and  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ , is called a *monomial*. For a monomial  $S$  there exists a unique monomial  $S'$  such that  $S'S = Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_{n-1}$ . We shall compute the cup-product  $T(\delta) \cup S(\delta)$  for two monomials  $T$  and  $S$ .

**Proposition 1.18.** *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be monomials. Then*

- (1) *If  $S'T' = 0$ , then  $T(\delta) \cup S(\delta) = 0$ .*
- (2) *If  $S'T' \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $S'T'$  is a monomial, let  $U$  be (the unique) monomial such that  $U' = S'T'$ . Then  $T(\delta) \cup S(\delta) = U(\delta) \cup \gamma$ .*

*Proof.* (1): By assumption, the monomials  $T$  and  $S$  do not contain  $Q_i$  for some  $i$ . Therefore, the  $i$ -th digit of  $\text{ord} T(\delta) + \text{ord} S(\delta)$  written in base  $l$  is equal to 0. By Theorem 1.15, the product  $T(\delta) \cup S(\delta)$ , if not zero, is a  $K_*^\theta(F)$ -multiple of either  $U(\delta) \cup \gamma$  or  $U(\delta)$  for some monomial  $U$ . In the first case  $\text{ord} T(\delta) + \text{ord} S(\delta) = \text{ord} U(\delta) + c$ , and this case is impossible since all digits of the right hand side written in base  $l$  are nonzero.

In the second case  $\text{ord} T(\delta) + \text{ord} S(\delta) = \text{ord} U(\delta) - 1$  and this case does not occur since  $\text{ord} V(\delta) \equiv 1$  modulo  $l$  for every monomial  $V$ . Therefore  $T(\delta) \cup S(\delta) = 0$ .

(2): If  $T' = 1$ , then  $U = S$ ,  $T(\delta) = \gamma$  and the equality follows.

Assume that  $T' \neq 1$ . By assumption  $T'S = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S'T'(T(\delta) \cup S(\delta)) &= S'(T'T(\delta) \cup S(\delta) \pm T(\delta) \cup T'S(\delta)) \\ &= S'(\gamma \cup S(\delta)) \\ &= \gamma \cup S'S(\delta) \\ &= \gamma^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S'T'(U(\delta) \cup \gamma) &= U'(U(\delta) \cup \gamma) \\ &= U'U(\delta) \cup \gamma \\ &= \gamma^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.15, the restriction of every operation  $Q_i$  on a homogeneous component  $H^{p,q}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$  of non-negative order is either injective or zero. Hence  $T(\delta) \cup S(\delta) = U(\delta) \cup \gamma$ .  $\square$

## 2. APPLICATIONS

In this section we give some applications.

### 2.1. An exact sequence.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $l$  be a prime integer,  $F$  a field of characteristic different from  $l$  and  $\theta \in H_{\text{et}}^n(F, \mu_l^{\otimes(n-1)})$  a symbol. Then the sequence*

$$\coprod H_{\text{et}}^p(L, \mu_l^{\otimes p}) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{N_{L/F}}} H_{\text{et}}^p(F, \mu_l^{\otimes p}) \xrightarrow{\cup\theta} H_{\text{et}}^{p+n}(F, \mu_l^{\otimes(p+n-1)}) \xrightarrow{\prod^{\text{res}_{E/F}}} \prod H_{\text{et}}^{p+n}(E, \mu_l^{\otimes(p+n-1)}),$$

where the coproduct is taken over all finite splitting field extensions  $L/F$  for  $\theta$  and the product is taken over all splitting field extensions  $E/F$ , is exact.

*Proof.* Note that by a projection formula, the sequence is a complex. By Proposition 1.4, the kernel of the last homomorphism in the sequence is canonically isomorphic to  $H^{p+n+1, p+n-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z})$ . Under this isomorphism, the cup-product with  $\theta$  corresponds to multiplication by  $\delta$ . The statement follows now from Proposition 1.11, the definition of  $K_p^\theta(F)$  and the bijectivity of the norm residue homomorphism.  $\square$

**2.2. Certain motivic cohomology groups of the Rost motive  $\mathcal{R}_\theta$ .** Let  $l$  be a prime integer,  $F$  a field of characteristic different from  $l$ ,  $\theta \in H_{\text{et}}^n(F, \mu_l^{\otimes(n-1)})$  a symbol,  $X_\theta$  a Rost variety for  $\theta$  and  $\mathcal{R}_\theta$  the Rost motive of  $\theta$ . Recall the exact sequence (11):

$$H^{p+2c-1, p+c-1}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow H^{p+2d, p+d}(\mathcal{R}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow H^{p,p}(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) = K_p(F)_{(l)}.$$

By Theorem 1.15 (see also Remark 1.17), the degree  $c-2$  component of the ring  $\Lambda$  is zero, hence the first group in the sequence is trivial. We have proved:

**Proposition 2.2.** *The natural homomorphism*

$$H^{p+2d, p+d}(\mathcal{R}_\theta, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}) \rightarrow K_p(F)_{(l)}$$

*is injective.*

### 2.3. Injectivity of the reduced norm map for a central simple algebra.

Let  $D$  be a central simple algebra of degree  $m$  over  $F$ . An old theorem of Wang (see [2]) asserts that the classical reduced norm homomorphism

$$\text{Nrd}_D : K_1(D) \rightarrow K_1(F)$$

is injective provided  $m$  is a square-free integer.

The reduced norm homomorphism  $\text{Nrd}_D$  for the  $K_2$ -groups has been defined in [12, §26]. It was proven in [10] and [7] that  $\text{Nrd}_D$  is injective in the case  $m=2$ . In the following statement we generalize this result to a  $K_2$ -analog of Wang's theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $D$  be a central simple algebra of square-free degree over  $F$ . Then the reduced norm homomorphism*

$$\text{Nrd}_D : K_2(D) \rightarrow K_2(F)$$

*is injective.*

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $B$  and  $C$  be two central simple algebras over  $F$  of relatively prime degree. Suppose the reduced norm homomorphisms for  $B$  and  $C$  are injective over any field extension of  $F$ . Then  $\text{Nrd}_{B \otimes C}$  is also injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $L/F$  be a splitting field of  $C$  of degree  $r$  dividing  $\deg C$ . By assumption, the bottom homomorphism of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_2(B \otimes C) & \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}} & K_2(F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_2(B \otimes L) & \xlongequal{\quad} & K_2(B \otimes C \otimes L) \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}} K_2(L) \end{array}$$

is injective. We deduce that the kernel  $K$  of  $\text{Nrd}_{B \otimes C}$  is  $r$ -torsion. Similarly we show that  $K$  is  $s$ -torsion for some integer  $s$  relatively prime to  $r$ , hence  $K = 0$ .  $\square$

Since the algebra  $D$  is a tensor product of algebras of prime degree (see [2]), Lemma 2.4 allows to assume that  $D$  is a division algebra of a prime degree  $l$ .

Let  $L/F$  be a finite extension splitting the algebra  $D$ . Then  $[L : F] = kl$  for some integer  $k$  and there is an embedding of  $F$ -algebras  $L \hookrightarrow M_k(D)$  (see [4]). The induced homomorphism

$$K_2(L) \rightarrow K_2(M_k(D)) = K_2(D)$$

does not depend on the choice of the embedding.

Let  $X$  be the Severi-Brauer variety of left ideals of dimension  $l$  in  $D$ . As for every closed point  $x \in X$  the residue field  $F(x)$  splits  $D$ , we have a canonical homomorphism  $K_2F(x) \rightarrow K_2(D)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *There is a homomorphism  $h : A_0(X, K_2) \rightarrow K_2(D)$  satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) *The composition  $\text{Nrd}_D \circ h$  is the norm map  $A_0(X, K_2) \rightarrow K_2(F)$ .*
- (2) *For every closed point  $x \in X$ , the composition of  $h$  with the natural homomorphism  $K_2F(x) \rightarrow A_0(X, K_2)$  coincides with the canonical map  $K_2F(x) \rightarrow K_2(D)$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the construction in [9, §8]. Let  $J$  be the canonical vector bundle of rank  $l$  over  $X$ . There is a natural right action of the opposite algebra  $D^{-1}$  on  $J$  over  $F$ . For every  $i \geq 0$ , the functor  $M \mapsto J^i \otimes_{D^{\otimes -i}} M$  from the category of left finite  $D^{\otimes -i}$ -modules to the category of vector bundles over  $X$  induces a homomorphism

$$K_2(D^{\otimes -i}) \rightarrow K_2(X).$$

By Quillen's theorem [9, §8, Th. 4.1], the map

$$\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} K_2(D^{\otimes -i}) \rightarrow K_2(X)$$

is an isomorphism. We define the map  $h$  as the composition

$$h : A_0(X, K_2) \rightarrow K_2(X) \rightarrow K_2(D),$$

where the first map is the edge homomorphism of the Gersten-Quillen spectral sequence [9, §7, Th. 5.4] and the second one is projection on the  $(l - 1)$ -th component of the left hand side in Quillen's isomorphism. The Gersten-Quillen spectral sequence is functorial with respect to the base field change, in particular,  $h$  commutes with the norm maps for finite field extensions.

Note that the group  $A_0(X, K_2)$  is generated by the norms for finite field extensions that split  $D$ . Thus, to prove the first property of  $h$  we may assume that  $D$  is split. In this case  $X$  is isomorphic to the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{l-1}$ ,  $A_0(X, K_2) \simeq K_2(F)$  canonically and  $K_2(D^{-i})$  can be identified with  $K_2(F)$  via the reduced norm homomorphism. The image of an element  $\alpha \in K_2(F)$  in  $K_2(X)$  is equal to  $\alpha \cdot [pt]$ , where  $[pt]$  is the class of a rational point in  $K_0(X)$ . Note that the Quillen's isomorphism takes  $\sum a_i$  to  $\sum a_i \eta^i \in K_*(X)$ , where  $\eta$  is the class of the canonical line bundle (with the sheaf of sections  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ ). Since  $[pt] = (\eta - 1)^{l-1} = \eta^{l-1} + \dots$ , the element  $\alpha \cdot [pt]$  projects to  $\alpha \in K_2(F) = K_2(D)$ , that proves the first property of  $h$ .

To prove the second property let  $x \in X$  be a closed point of degree  $kl$  and let  $L = F(x)$ . Choose a rational point  $x' \in X_L$  over  $x$ . For every  $\alpha \in K_2(L)$  the classes  $\alpha x'$  and  $\alpha x$  in the groups  $A_0(X_L, K_2)$  and  $A_0(X, K_2)$  respectively satisfy  $N_{L/F}(\alpha x') = \alpha x$ , where  $N_{L/F}$  is the left vertical norm homomorphism in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0(X_L, K_2) & \xrightarrow{h_L} & K_2(D_L) \\ N_{L/F} \downarrow & & \downarrow N_{L/F} \\ A_0(X, K_2) & \xrightarrow{h} & K_2(D), \end{array}$$

where  $D_L = D \otimes_F L$ . Since  $\text{Nrd}_{D_L}(h_L(\alpha x')) = \alpha$  by the first part, it suffices to prove that the right vertical norm homomorphism coincides with the composition

$$K_2(D_L) \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}} K_2(L) \rightarrow K_2(D).$$

This follows from commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_2(D_L) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & K_2 M_l(L) & \longrightarrow & K_2 M_{kl}(D) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & K_2 M_l(D) \\ \text{Nrd} \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ K_2(L) & \xlongequal{\quad} & K_2(L) & \longrightarrow & K_2 M_k(D) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & K_2(D) \end{array}$$

and the fact that the diagonal composition  $K_2(D_L) \rightarrow K_2(D)$  coincides with the norm map.  $\square$

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 2.3. As the kernel of  $\text{Nrd}_D$  is  $l$ -torsion, it suffices to prove that  $\text{Nrd}_D$  is injective after tensoring with  $Z_{(l)}$ . A transfer argument shows that we can replace  $F$  by a finite field extension of degree prime to  $l$ . Thus, we can assume that  $D$  is a cyclic algebra.

Let  $\theta$  be the 2-symbol corresponding to  $D$  and  $X$  the Severi-Brauer variety of  $D$ . Then  $X$  is a Rost variety of  $\theta$ . The Rost motive  $\mathcal{R}_\theta$  is a direct sum of

$M(X)$  in  $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$ . Let

$$\mathcal{R}_\theta \xrightarrow{r} M(X) \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{R}_\theta$$

be morphisms such that  $s \circ r$  is the identity of  $\mathcal{R}_\theta$ . Over a splitting field extension  $L/F$ ,  $X_L \simeq \mathbb{P}_L^{l-1}$ , therefore, the motives  $(\mathcal{R}_\theta)_L$  and  $M(X_L)$  are both isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(1)[2] \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(l)}(l-1)[2(l-1)]$  by (6) and hence are isomorphic. Identifying  $(\mathcal{R}_\theta)_L$  and  $M(X_L)$  with  $M(\mathbb{P}_L^{l-1})$ , we can view  $r_L$  and  $s_L$  as endomorphisms of  $M(\mathbb{P}_L^{l-1})$  with  $s_L$  a left inverse of  $r_L$ . The endomorphism ring of  $M(\mathbb{P}_L^{l-1})$  is  $\mathrm{CH}^{l-1}(\mathbb{P}_L^{l-1} \times \mathbb{P}_L^{l-1})_{(l)}$  (see [15]) that is isomorphic to the product of  $l$  copies of  $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ . As this ring is commutative,  $r_L$  and  $s_L$  are isomorphisms inverse to each other. By [1, Cor. 8.4.],  $r$  and  $s$  are isomorphisms, i.e., the Rost motive  $\mathcal{R}_\theta$  is isomorphic to the motive of the Severi-Brauer variety  $X$  in  $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(l)})$ .

By Proposition 2.2 (see also the proof of Proposition 1.9), the norm homomorphism  $N : A_0(X, K_2) \rightarrow K_2(F)$  is injective after tensoring with  $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ . By Lemma 2.5(1),  $N$  coincides with the composition

$$A_0(X, K_2) \xrightarrow{h} K_2(D) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nrd}_D} K_2(F).$$

It follows from [8, Th. 5.2] that the group  $K_2(D)$  is generated by the images of natural homomorphisms  $K_2F(x) \rightarrow K_2(D)$  over all closed points  $x \in X$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.5(2),  $h$  is surjective. It follows that  $\mathrm{Nrd}_D$  is injective after tensoring with  $\mathbb{Z}_{(l)}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** Theorem 2.3 was proven independently by B. Kahn and M. Levine in [5].

**Acknowledgment.** We are grateful to the referee for useful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Chernousov, S. Gille, and A. Merkurjev, *Motivic decomposition of isotropic projective homogeneous varieties*, Duke Math. J. **126** (2005), no. 1, 137–159.
- [2] P. K. Draxl, *Skew fields*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 81, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [3] C. Haesemeyer and C. Weibel, *Norm varieties and the chain lemma (after Markus Rost)*, Abel Symposia 4 (2009), Springer-Verlag, 95–130.
- [4] I. N. Herstein, *Noncommutative rings*, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1994, Reprint of the 1968 original, With an afterword by L.W. Small.
- [5] B. Kahn, M. Levine, *Motives of Azumaya algebras*, *K-theory Preprint Archives*, <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0875/Azumaya24.pdf> (2008), to appear in the J. Inst. Math. Jussieu.
- [6] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, and C. Weibel, *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, Clay Mathematics Monographs, vol. 2, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [7] A. S. Merkurjev, *The group  $SK_2$  for quaternion algebras*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **52** (1988), no. 2, 310–335, 447.
- [8] A. S. Merkurjev and A. A. Suslin,  *$K$ -cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), no. 5, 1011–1046, 1135–1136.

- [9] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory. I*, (1973), 85–147. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341.
- [10] M. Rost, *Injectivity of  $K_2(D) \rightarrow K_2(F)$  for quaternion algebras*, Preprint (1986).
- [11] M. Rost, *Chow groups with coefficients*, Doc. Math. **1** (1996), No. 16, 319–393 (electronic).
- [12] A. A. Suslin, *Algebraic K-theory and the norm residue homomorphism*, Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 25, Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1984, pp. 115–207.
- [13] A. Suslin and S. Joukhovitski, *Norm varieties*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **206** (2006), no. 1–2, 245–276.
- [14] A. Suslin and V. Voevodsky, *Bloch-Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients*, The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 548, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000, pp. 117–189.
- [15] V. Voevodsky, *Triangulated categories of motives over a field*, Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 143, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 188–238.
- [16] V. Voevodsky, *On motivic cohomology with  $\mathbf{Z}/l$ -coefficients*, K-theory Preprint Archives, <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0639/> (2003).
- [17] V. Voevodsky, *Motivic cohomology with  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -coefficients*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2003), no. 98, 59–104.
- [18] V. Voevodsky, *Reduced power operations in motivic cohomology*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2003), no. 98, 1–57.
- [19] C. Weibel, *Axioms for the norm residue isomorphism*, K-theory and noncommutative geometry, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008, pp. 427–435.
- [20] C. Weibel, *The norm residue isomorphism theorem*, J. Topol. **2** (2009), no. 2, 346–372.
- [21] C. Weibel, *The Proof of the Bloch-Kato Conjecture*, ICTP Lecture Notes Series 23 (2008), 277–305.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA

*E-mail address:* merkurev@math.ucla.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, 2033 SHERIDAN ROAD, EVANSTON, IL 60208-2370, USA

*E-mail address:* suslin@math.northwestern.edu