## Homework 2 (due: Fr, Oct. 13)

**Problem 1:** Let X be a set and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subets of X. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a  $\pi$ -system on X if it is closed under finite intersections: if  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ . The family  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a  $\lambda$ -system on X if it has the following properties:

(i) 
$$X \in \mathcal{F}$$
,

(ii) if  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \subseteq A$ , then  $A \setminus B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

(iii) if  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $A_n \nearrow$ , then  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

a) Show that if S is any family of subsets of X, then there exists a smallest  $\lambda$ -system on X, denoted  $\lambda(S)$ , that contains S.

b) Suppose S is a  $\pi$ -system on X and  $\mathcal{F} = \lambda(S)$  is the smallest  $\lambda$ -system containing S. Show that  $\mathcal{F} = \lambda(S)$  is a  $\pi$ -system. Hint: First show that for fixed  $S \in S$  the family of all  $A \subseteq X$  with  $A \cap S \in \lambda(S)$  is a  $\lambda$ -system.

c) Prove Dynkin's  $\pi$ - $\lambda$ -Theorem: if S is a  $\pi$ -system and  $\mathcal{F}$  a  $\lambda$ -system on X that contains S, then  $\sigma(S) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}$  contains the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by S.

**Problem 2:** Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be measures on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  a  $\pi$ -system that generates  $\mathcal{F}$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$ ). Suppose that  $\mu(S) = \nu(S)$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ .

a) Show that if  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are finite measures with  $\mu(X) = \nu(X)$ , then  $\mu = \nu$ . Give an example that shows that this implication is not true without the requirement that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\pi$ -system.

b) Prove the following extension of (a): Suppose there exist sets  $S_n \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $\mu(S_n) = \nu(S_n) < \infty$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n = X$ . Then  $\mu = \nu$ .

**Problem 3:** Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e., a measure defined on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $\mu(K) < \infty$  for each compact set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

a) Show that if  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Borel set with  $\mu(F) < \infty$  and we define

$$\nu(A) = \mu(F \cap A) \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B},$$

then  $\nu$  is a finite Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

b) Show that  $\mu$  is *regular* in the following sense:

 $\mu(A) = \inf\{\mu(U) : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \text{ open and } A \subseteq U\}$ 

for each Borel set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  (outer regularity) and

 $\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \text{ compact and } K \subseteq A\}$ 

for each Borel set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  (inner regularity).

**Problem 4:** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measure space, and  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be measures on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . The measure  $\nu$  is called *absolutely continuous with respect to*  $\mu$  if every  $\mu$ -null set is also a  $\nu$ -null set (i.e.,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu(A) = 0$  imply  $\nu(A) = 0$ ).

Show that if  $\nu$  is a finite measure, then  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  if and only if the following condition is true: for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  we have the implication  $\mu(A) < \delta \Rightarrow \nu(A) < \epsilon$ .

Show that this equivalence is not true without the assumption that  $\nu$  is finite.