

Faithfully flat  
Lefschetz extensions

Matthias Aschenbrenner  
University of Illinois at Chicago

(joint with Hans Schoutens)

July 2004

## Motivating question

We call a ring of characteristic zero a

*Lefschetz ring*

if it is isomorphic to an ultraproduct of rings of positive characteristic. (Always: “ring” = “commutative ring with unit 1.”)

### Examples:

- The field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers;
- in general: any algebraically closed field  $K$  of char. zero of cardinality  $2^\lambda$  with  $\lambda > \aleph_0$  can be written as an ultraproduct of algebraically closed fields  $K_p$  of char.  $p$ .

**Question:** Given a Noetherian ring  $R$  of characteristic zero, can we find a *faithfully flat* ring extension  $D$  of  $R$  which is Lefschetz?

**Fact:** Every finitely generated algebra  $A$  over a field of characteristic zero admits a faithfully flat Lefschetz extension.

Enough to see this for

$$A = K[X], \quad X = (X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

Here and below  $K$  is an ultraproduct of alg. closed fields  $K_p$  of char.  $p$ . Take

$$D := K[X]_\infty := \text{ultraproduct of the } K_p[X].$$

The (images of the) indeterminates  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  remain algebraically independent over  $K$  in  $K[X]_\infty$  (by Łos' Theorem). Hence

$$K[X] \hookrightarrow K[X]_\infty$$

as  $K$ -algebras. This embedding is faithfully flat (van den Dries and Schmidt).

**Theorem.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring of equicharacteristic zero (i.e.,  $R \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ ). There exists a local Lefschetz ring  $\mathfrak{D}(R)$  and a faithfully flat embedding  $\eta_R: R \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(R)$ .*

**Naive idea:** For  $R = K[[X]]$  put

$$\mathfrak{D}(R) = K[[X]]_\infty$$

$:=$  the ultraproduct of the  $K_p[[X]]$ .

Now  $K[X]$  is a subring of  $K[[X]]_\infty$ , and the local ring  $K[[X]]_\infty$  is complete in the  $X$ -adic topology.

Might try

$$\eta_R(f) := \begin{cases} \text{limit in } K[[X]]_\infty \text{ of a Cauchy} \\ \text{sequence in } K[X] \text{ approximat-} \\ \text{ing } f. \end{cases}$$

But  $K[[X]]_\infty$  not Hausdorff!

## More subtle problem:

Let  $L$  be a field and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let us say that a power series  $f \in L[[X]]$  *does not involve the indeterminate*  $X_i$  if

$$f \in L[[X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n]].$$

An element of  $K[[X]]_\infty$  *does not involve*  $X_i$  if it is the ultraproduct of power series in  $K_p[[X]]$  not involving  $X_i$ .

**Fact:** There is *no* homomorphism

$$h: K[[X_1, \dots, X_6]] \rightarrow K[[X_1, \dots, X_6]]_\infty$$

such that for  $i = 4, 5, 6$ , if  $f \in K[[X]]$  does not involve the variable  $X_i$ , then neither does  $h(f)$ . (Uses an example due to P. Roberts.)

Nevertheless, the construction in the theorem can be made *functorial* in a way. For this we need some definitions ...

Define a category  $\mathbf{Coh}_K$ :

(1) objects are quadruples  $\Lambda = (R, \mathbf{x}, k, u)$ :

(a)  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a Noetherian local ring;

(b)  $\mathbf{x}$  is a finite tuple of generators of  $\mathfrak{m}$ ;

(c)  $k$  is a quasi-coefficient field of  $R$  (i.e., a subfield of  $R$  such that  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  is algebraic over the image of  $k$  under  $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}$ );

(d)  $u: R \rightarrow K$  is a homom. with  $\ker u = \mathfrak{m}$ .

(2) morphisms  $\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma = (S, \mathbf{y}, l, v)$  are local ring homomorphisms  $\alpha: R \rightarrow S$  such that

(a)  $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  is an initial segment of  $\mathbf{y}$ ,

(b)  $\alpha(k) \subseteq l$ , and

(c)  $v \circ \alpha = u$ .

**Example.**  $(K[[X]], X, K, u)$  is an object in  $\mathbf{Coh}_K$ , where  $u(f) = f(0)$ .

On the other side, given an *ultraset*  $\mathcal{W}$  (= infinite set equipped with a non-principal ultrafilter) let  $\mathbf{Lef}_{\mathcal{W}}$  be the category with:

- (1) objects: *analytic* Lefschetz rings with respect to  $\mathcal{W}$ , i.e., ultraproducts w.r.t.  $\mathcal{W}$  of complete Noetherian local rings  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  with algebraically closed residue field  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\text{char}(R/\mathfrak{m}) = \text{char}(R) > 0$ ;
- (2) morphisms: ultraproducts (with respect to  $\mathcal{W}$ ) of local ring homomorphisms.

**Example.**  $K[[X]]_{\infty}$  is an analytic Lefschetz ring w.r.t.  $\mathcal{W} =$  a non-principal ultrafilter on the set of prime numbers.

**Theorem'**. *There exists an ultraset  $\mathcal{W}$  and a functor*

$$\mathfrak{D}: \mathbf{Coh}_K \rightarrow \mathbf{Lef}_{\mathcal{W}}$$

*with the following property: for every  $\mathbf{Coh}_K$ -object  $\Lambda$  as above there exists a faithfully flat homomorphism  $\eta_\Lambda: R \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)$  such that for any  $\mathbf{Coh}_K$ -morphism  $\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma$  with underlying homomorphism  $\alpha: R \rightarrow S$  the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & S \\ \eta_\Lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_\Gamma \\ \mathfrak{D}(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{D}(\alpha)} & \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

*of local homomorphisms commutes.*

### **Ingredients in the proof of Theorem'.**

1. A model-theoretic embedding criterion.
2. An approximation result.
3. A test for flatness.



## 1. An embedding criterion.

A *nested ring* is a ring  $R$  together with a *nest* of subrings:

$$R_0 \subseteq R_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq R_n \subseteq \cdots, \quad R = \bigcup_n R_n.$$

A homomorphism  $\varphi: S \rightarrow R$  between nested rings  $R = (R_n)$  and  $S = (S_n)$  is a *homomorphism of nested rings* if  $\varphi(S_n) \subseteq R_n$  for all  $n$ .

A *nested system  $S$  of equations* over  $S$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P_{00}(Z_0) & = & \cdots & = & P_{0k}(Z_0) & = & 0, \\ P_{10}(Z_0, Z_1) & = & \cdots & = & P_{1k}(Z_0, Z_1) & = & 0, \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \\ P_{n0}(Z_0, \dots, Z_n) & = & \cdots & = & P_{nk}(Z_0, \dots, Z_n) & = & 0, \end{array}$$

where  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $Z_i = (Z_{i1}, \dots, Z_{ik_i})$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $P_{ij} \in S_i[Z_0, \dots, Z_i]$ .

A tuple  $(\mathbf{a}_0, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$  with  $\mathbf{a}_i \in (R_i)^{k_i}$  is called a *nested solution of  $S$  in  $R$*  if  $P_{ij}(\mathbf{a}_0, \dots, \mathbf{a}_i) = 0$  for all  $i, j$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nested  $S$ -algebras. Given an ultraset  $\mathcal{U}$  consider the  $S$ -subalgebra  $B^{\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle} := \bigcup_n B_n^{\mathcal{U}}$  of the ultrapower  $B^{\mathcal{U}}$  as a nested  $S$ -algebra with nest  $(B_n^{\mathcal{U}})$ .

**Theorem.** *If each  $S_n$  is Noetherian, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every nested system of polynomial equations over  $S$  which has a nested solution in  $A$  has one in  $B$ .*
- (2) *There exists a homomorphism of nested  $S$ -algebras  $\eta: A \rightarrow B^{\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle}$ , for some ultraset  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

## 2. An approximation result.

Define nested rings  $S$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $S^\sim$  by

$$S_n := K[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

$$A_n := K[[X_1, \dots, X_n]],$$

$$B_n := K[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]_\infty,$$

$$S_n^\sim := \text{algebraic closure of } S_n \text{ in } A_n.$$

**Theorem.** *Every nested system of polynomial equations over  $S$  which has a nested solution in  $A$  has one in  $S^\sim$ , and hence in  $B$ .*

Follows from the fact that rings of the form

$$K[[X_1, \dots, X_n]][X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{n+m}]_{(X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{n+m})}$$

are existentially closed in their completion (C. Rotthaus, 1987).

### 3. A test for flatness.

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ . Recall:  $\mathbf{x}$  is a *system of parameters* (s.o.p.) for  $R$  if  $n = \dim R$  and  $\dim R/(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , and  $R$  is *regular* if it has a s.o.p. generating  $\mathfrak{m}$ . (E.g.,  $R = K[[X]]$ .)

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then  $\mathbf{x}$  is called an  *$M$ -regular sequence* if  $M/(\mathbf{x})M \neq 0$  and  $x_i$  is a non-zerodivisor on  $M/(\mathbf{x}_{i-1})M$  for all  $i$ . If  $M$  is f.g., then every permutation of an  $M$ -regular sequence is  $M$ -regular.

**Fact.** (Hochster & Huneke) If  $R$  is regular and

- (1) there exists a s.o.p. for  $R$  which is  $M$ -regular, and
- (2) every permutation of an  $M$ -regular sequence is again  $M$ -regular,

then  $M$  is flat.

## Approximations.

Let  $R_w$  be the complete Noetherian local rings of equicharacteristic  $p(w) > 0$  whose ultraproduct is  $\mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)$ . We think of the  $R_w$  as *approximations* of  $R$ . They share many properties of  $R$ , e.g.:

- (1) Almost all  $R_w$  have the same Hilbert-Samuel function as  $R$ . (Hence almost all  $R_w$  have the same dimension, embedding dimension, multiplicity as  $R$ .)
- (2) Almost all  $R_w$  have the same depth as  $R$ .
- (3) Almost all  $R_w$  are regular (Cohen-Macaulay) if and only if  $R$  is regular (Cohen-Macaulay, respectively).
- (4) ... and much more. (Sometimes need to choose  $\Lambda$  carefully.)

## Applications.

The functor  $\mathfrak{D}(\cdot)$  can be used to

1. define a notion of tight closure, and
2. construct big Cohen-Macaulay algebras in equicharacteristic zero.

**Observation:**  $\mathfrak{D}(R) = \mathfrak{D}(\Lambda)$  comes equipped with the *non-standard Frobenius*  $\mathbf{F}_\infty =$  ultra-product of the

$$R_w \rightarrow R_w : a \mapsto \mathbf{F}_{p(w)}(a) = a^{p(w)}.$$

**Notation:**  $R^\circ := R \setminus$  (minimal primes of  $R$ ).

**Definition.** An element  $a \in R$  is in the *non-standard tight closure*  $\text{cl}(I) = \text{cl}_\Lambda(I)$  of  $I$  if

$$\exists c \in R^\circ : \forall m \gg 0 : c \mathbf{F}_\infty^m(a) \in \mathbf{F}_\infty^m(I) \mathfrak{D}(R).$$

(Similar to a definition given by Hochster & Huneke in positive char.)

**Theorem 1.** *If  $R$  is regular, then  $\text{cl}(I) = I$  for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Easy to check: the image of an  $R$ -regular sequence in  $R$  under  $\mathbf{F}_\infty^m$  is  $\mathfrak{D}(R)$ -regular. Hence by the Hochster-Huneke flatness criterion,

$$R \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(R) : a \mapsto \mathbf{F}_\infty^m(a) \quad (*)$$

is flat. Suppose that  $a \in \text{cl}(I) \setminus I$ . For some non-zero  $c \in R$ , we have

$$c \mathbf{F}_\infty^m(a) \in \mathbf{F}_\infty^m(I) \mathfrak{D}(R)$$

for  $m$  sufficiently large. Thus

$$c \in (\mathbf{F}_\infty^m(I) \mathfrak{D}(R) :_{\mathfrak{D}(R)} \mathbf{F}_\infty^m(a)) = \mathbf{F}_\infty^m(I :_R a) \mathfrak{D}(R)$$

where we used flatness of  $(*)$  for the last equality. Since  $a \notin I$ , we have  $(I :_R a) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , hence

$$c \in \mathbf{F}_\infty^m(\mathfrak{m}) \mathfrak{D}(R) \cap R = (0),$$

contradiction. □

## The Briançon-Skoda Theorem.

The *integral closure*  $\bar{J}$  of an ideal  $J \subseteq S$  in a ring  $S$  is the ideal of all  $b \in S$  for which

$$b^n + a_1 b^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} b + a_n = 0$$

with  $a_i \in J^i$  for each  $i$ .

**Lemma.** (Huneke) *Let  $S$  be a Noetherian local ring,  $J$  an ideal of  $S$ ,  $b \in S$ . Then*

$$b \in \bar{J} \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} b \in JV \text{ for every local homo-} \\ \text{morphism } S \rightarrow V \text{ to a discrete} \\ \text{valuation ring } V \text{ whose kernel} \\ \text{is a minimal prime of } S. \end{array} \right.$$

Together with Theorem 1 and functoriality of  $\mathcal{D}$  this yields:

$$\text{cl}(I) \subseteq \bar{I} \quad \text{for every ideal } I \text{ of } R.$$

(Hence “tight” closure.)



**Theorem 2.** *If  $I$  has positive height and is generated by  $n$  elements then  $\overline{I^n} \subseteq \text{cl}(I)$ .*

(Follows from the definitions and Łos' Theorem. The assumption  $\text{ht}(I) > 0$  is needed to get  $I^k \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$  for all  $k$ .)

**Corollary.** (Briançon-Skoda)

*If  $f \in \mathbb{C}[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  with  $f(0) = 0$ , then*

$$f^n = \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_1} g_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_n} g_n$$

*for some  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathbb{C}[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I :=$  ideal generated by the  $\partial f / \partial X_i$ . Then  $f \in \overline{I}$ . (By the lemma: may take  $V = K[[t]]$  with  $K \supseteq \mathbb{C}$ , use Chain Rule.) Hence

$$f^n \in \overline{I^n} \underset{\text{Thm. 2}}{\subseteq} \text{cl}(I) \underset{\text{Thm. 1}}{=} I.$$

□