## Math 273 Homework #4, due on Wednesday, December 4th

[1] Consider the quadratic program

$$\min_{x} q(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Gx + x^{T}d, \text{ subject to } Ax = b,$$

where  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a symmetric matrix,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Assume that A has full row rank m.

(a) Express the first order necessary conditions for  $x_*$  to be a solution in the form of a linear matrix equation in the unknown  $(x_* \ \lambda^*)^T$ .

(b) Express in (a)  $x_*$  by x + p, with x some fixed feasible estimate and unknown  $p \in Null(A)$ . Re-write the matrix equation now in the unknown  $(-p \ \lambda^*)^T$ .

(c) Assume in addition that the reduced-Hessian  $Z^T G Z$  is positive definite. Show that the coefficient matrix in (b) is non-singular, thus there is a unique vector pair  $(x_*, \lambda^*)$  satisfying the matrix equation in (a).

[2] Show that  $(0, -1)^T$  is a local minimizer for the problem

Minimize  $f(x) = 2x_1^2 + x_2$  subject to  $x_2 \ge x_1^2 - 1$  $x_1 \ge x_2$ .

[3] The problem of finding the shortest distance from a point  $x_0$  to the hyperplane  $\{x : Ax = b\}$  where A has full row rank can be formulated as the quadratic program

$$\min \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^T (x - x_0), \quad \text{s.t. } Ax = b.$$

(i) Show that the optimal multiplier is  $\lambda^* = (AA^T)^{-1}(b - Ax_0)$ , and that the solution is  $x_* = x_0 + A^T (AA^T)^{-1} (b - Ax_0)$ .

(ii) Show that in the special case where A is a row vector, the shortest distance from  $x_0$  to the solution set of Ax = b is  $\frac{|b-Ax_0|}{||A||}$ .

[4] Repeat problem [3], Hw #3 using now Newton's method, and compare the two methods. Give details about your implementation (such as computation of gradient, of Hessian, of inverse, about  $\alpha$ , about your stopping criterion), and include your code.

[5] Let V be a real vector space and  $F: V \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a convex function, thus for every  $u, v \in V$ , we have  $F(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v) \leq \lambda F(u) + (1 - \lambda)F(v), \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$ , whenever the RHS is defined (the RHS is not defined when  $F(u) = -F(v) = +\infty$  or  $F(u) = -F(v) = -\infty$ ).

(a) If F is convex, show that for every  $u_1, ..., u_n$  of points of V and for every family  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$ ,  $\lambda_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ , we have

$$F(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i F(u_i).$$

(b) If  $F: V \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is convex, show that the sections  $\{u: F(u) \leq a\}$  and  $\{u: F(u) < a\}$  are convex sets. Show that the converse is not true.

[6] The *epigraph* of a function  $F: V \to \mathbb{R}$  is the set

$$epiF = \{(u, a) \in V \times \mathbb{R} | f(u) \le a\},\$$

where V is a normed vector space. Show that the function F is convex if and only if its epigraph is convex.