Math 273: Homework #2

Assigned on: October 15, 2014.

Due to: Teaching Assistant Eric Radke in two weeks.

[1] Consider the minimization problem

$$\inf_{u} F(u) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} L(x, u(x), u'(x), u''(x)) dx,$$

with $u(x_0) = u_0$, $u(x_1) = u_1$, $u'(x_0) = U_0$, $u'(x_1) = U_1$ given, and L a sufficiently smooth function. Obtain the Euler-Lagrange equation of the minimization problem that is satisfied by a smooth optimal u. Choose test functions v in $C^{\infty}[x_0, x_1]$ that satisfy $v(x_0) = v(x_1) = v'(x_0) = v'(x_1) = 0$, and proceed as in HW1 (you should obtain a fourth-order differential equation).

[2] Consider the minimization problem in two dimensions (x, y),

$$\inf_{u} E(u) = \int_{\Omega} L(x, y, u, u_x, u_y) dx dy, \quad u = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where g is a given function on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, with Ω a bounded and open region in the plane. Assume that the integrand L is differentiable.

(i) Show that a sufficiently smooth minimizer u formally satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}L_{u_x}(P) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}L_{u_y}(P) - L_u(P) = 0$$

on Ω , where $P = (x, y, u(x, y), u_x(x, y), u_y(x, y))$.

(ii) Apply the above result to the case when $L(x, y, u_x, u_y) = u_x^2 + u_y^2 - 2fu$. Hint: consider another test function v, such that v = 0 on $\partial\Omega$. Since u is a minimizer, we must have $E(u) \leq E(u + \varepsilon v)$ for all such sufficiently smooth functions v and all real ϵ . Apply integration by parts to obtain the desired result. Here, $(u_x, u_y) = \nabla u$.

 ${\bf [3]}$ Consider the 1D length functional minimization problem

$$\operatorname{Min}_{u} F(u) = \int_{0}^{1} L(u'(x)) dx$$
, or $\operatorname{Min}_{u} \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1 + (u'(x))^{2}} dx$,

over functions $u:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ with boundary conditions u(0)=0, u(1)=1.

- (a) Find the exact solution of the problem.
- (b) Show that the functional $u \mapsto F(u)$ is convex.
- (c) Consider a discrete version of the problem: let

$$x_0 = 0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_n < x_{n+1} = 1$$

be equidistant points, with $x_{i+1} - x_i = h$. For $\vec{u} = (u_1, ..., u_n)$, consider $f(\vec{u}) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{u_{i+1} - u_i}{h}\right)^2}$, with the additional condition that $u_0 = 0$ and $u_{n+1} = 1$.

Choose an appropriate discretization integer n. Then numerically and experimentally analyze the behavior of the gradient descent method with backtracking line search. Choose the initial starting point u^0 as a curve joining the points (0,0) and (1,1). Record the number of iterations and plot the error $u^k - u^*$, where u^* is the exact minimizer. You could also plot the curve given by \vec{u}^k at some iterations.

- (d) Repeat question (c), using now Newton's method.
- (e) Discuss the results obtained in (c) and (d).

[4] Let $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a (linear) self-adjoint operator, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and consider the quadratic function for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$x \mapsto q(x) := \langle Ax, x \rangle - 2\langle b, x \rangle.$$

Show that the three statements

- (i) $\inf\{q(x): x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} > -\infty$
- (ii) $A \ge O$ and $b \in \text{Im} A$.
- (iii) the problem $\inf\{q(x): x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} > -\infty$ has a solution are equivalent. When they hold, characterize the set of minimum points of q, in terms of the pseudo-inverse of A.

Notes:

- Pseudo-Inverse. If A is a symmetric (or self-adjoint) linear operator on X, then $\operatorname{Im} A^{\perp} = \operatorname{Ker} A$. Let $p_{\operatorname{Im} A}$ be the operator of orthogonal projection onto $\operatorname{Im} A$. For given $y \in X$, there is a unique x = x(y) in $\operatorname{Im} A$ such that $Ax = p_{\operatorname{Im} A}y$. Forthermore, the mapping $y \mapsto x(y)$ is linear. This mapping is called the pseudo-inverse, or generalized inverse of A.
- Integration by Parts Formula. Let Ω be an open and bounded subset of R^d , with Lipschitz-continuous (or sufficiently smooth) boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $\vec{n}=(n_1,n_2,...,n_d)$ be the exterior unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. Let me recall the following fundamental Green's formula, or integration by parts formula: given two functions u,v (with u,v, and all their 1st order partial derivatives belonging to $L^2(\Omega)$, or $u,v \in H^1(\Omega)$), then

$$\int_{\Omega} u v_{x_i} dx = -\int_{\Omega} u_{x_i} v dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} u v n_i dS.$$