

## Math 115a: Selected Solutions for HW 3

Paul Young

October 23, 2005

**Exercise 2.1.3:** Prove that  $T$  is a linear transformation, and find bases for both  $N(T)$  and  $R(T)$ . Then compute the nullity and rank of  $T$ , and verify the dimension theorem. Finally, use the appropriate theorems in this section to determine whether  $T$  is one-to-one or onto: Define  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  by

$$T(a_1, a_2) = (a_1 + a_2, 0, 2a_1 - a_2)$$

*Solution:* We first prove that  $T$  is a linear transformation. Let  $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T(cx + y) &= T(c(x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2)) \\ &= T(cx_1 + y_1, cx_2 + y_2) \\ &= ((cx_1 + y_1) + (cx_2 + y_2), 0, (2(cx_1 + y_1) - (cx_2 + y_2))) \\ &= c(x_1 + x_2, 0, 2x_1 - x_2) + (y_1 + y_2, 0, 2y_1 - y_2) \\ &= cT(x_1, x_2) + T(y_1, y_2), \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $T$  is linear. Next we figure out what  $N(T)$  and  $R(T)$  look like. Let  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in N(T)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 + x_2, 0, 2x_1 - x_2) \rightarrow \\ &x_1 + x_2 = 0, \quad 2x_1 - x_2 = 0 \rightarrow \\ &x_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude that  $N(T) = \{0\}$ , so that the basis for  $N(T)$  would be  $\{0\}$ . We now look at the image space. Generally, what we do is take a basis of the domain, and then transform each of these basis elements by  $T$  to see what we get. More specifically, let  $\beta =$  be the canonical basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ —that is,  $\beta = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(1, 0) &= (1, 0, 2) \\ T(0, 1) &= (1, 0, -1) \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $R(T) = \text{span}(\{(1, 0, 2), (1, 0, -1)\})$ . Since these two vectors are linearly independent, we conclude that this is actually a basis for  $R(T)$ . Therefore

after computing  $N(T)$  and  $R(T)$ , we conclude that  $\text{nullity}(T) = \dim(N(T)) = 0$  and  $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(R(T)) = 2$ . This is clearly consistent with the dimension formula:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dim(\mathbb{R}^2) & = & \text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank}(T) \\ 2 & = & 0 + 2. \end{array}$$

Lastly, since  $N(T) = \{0\}$ , by theorem 2.4  $T$  is injective. Now since the range space is  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which is larger in dimension than that of the domain space, we conclude that  $T$  cannot be onto.

**Exercise 2.1.9ace:** In this exercise,  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a function. For each of the following parts, state why  $T$  is *not* linear.

- (a)  $T(a_1, a_2) = (1, a_2)$
- (c)  $T(a_1, a_2) = (\sin a_1, 0)$
- (e)  $T(a_1, a_2) = (a_1 + 1, a_2)$

*Solution:* (a) Fails under scalar multiplication when the scalar is 0. (c) Fail addition for practically every pair of vectors. (e) Fails under scalar multiplication when the scalar is 2. (Note: There may be other reasons why these functions fail to be linear. I only picked out the most obvious reasons.)

**Exercise 2.1.14c:** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces and  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be linear. Suppose  $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$  and  $T$  is one-to-one and onto. Prove that  $T(\beta) = \{T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_n)\}$  is a basis for  $W$ .

*Solution:* In order to prove that  $T(\beta)$  is a basis, we need to show two things:  $T(\beta)$  is a linearly independent set and  $\text{span}(T(\beta)) = W$ .

L.I.: Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$  be scalars such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i T(v_i) = 0.$$

By linearity of  $T$ , we may rewrite the left hand side as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i T(v_i) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i\right)$$

Since  $T$  is injective,  $N(T) = \{0\}$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \in N(T) \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i = \vec{0}.$$

Since  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$ , it is a linearly independent set. Therefore the last equality we got implies that  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Therefore we've proven

L.I. for  $T(\beta)$ .

$\overline{\text{span}(T(\beta))} = W$ : Let  $w \in W$  be arbitrary. Since  $T$  is surjective, there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $T(v) = w$ . We express this  $v$  in terms of the basis  $\beta$ :  $v = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \cdots + c_nv_n$ , where the  $c_i$ 's are scalars. Therefore we can write the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} w &= T(v) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i\right) \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i T(v_i) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\stackrel{*}{=}$  is given to us by linearity of  $T$ . Looking at what we've just done, we have written  $w$  as a linear combination of elements from  $T(\beta)$ . Therefore  $w \in \text{span}(T(\beta))$ . Since  $w$  was arbitrarily chosen,  $W \subseteq \text{span}(T(\beta))$ . We note that this is enough to establish equality because  $\text{span}(T(\beta)) \subseteq R(T) \subseteq W$  is given to us for free. Therefore  $\text{span}(T(\beta)) = W$ .

This completes our proof.

**Exercise 2.1.17:** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces and  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be linear.

- (a) Prove that if  $\dim(V) < \dim(W)$ , then  $T$  cannot be onto.
- (b) Prove that if  $\dim(V) > \dim(W)$ , then  $T$  cannot be one-to-one.

*Solution:*

(a) Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $T$  is onto. Then  $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(W)$ . We are given the following chain of relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(W) &> \dim(V) \stackrel{*}{=} \text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank}(T) \\ &= \dim(V) = \text{nullity}(T) + \dim(W) \rightarrow \\ \dim(W) &> \text{nullity}(T) + \dim(W) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\stackrel{*}{=}$  is given to us by the Dimension Formula. But this means that  $\text{nullity}(T)$  must be a negative number, which is nonsense. This is our contradiction and therefore  $T$  cannot be onto.

(b) Let us suppose—for the sake of contradiction—that  $T$  is injective. Then by Theorem 2.4,  $\text{nullity}(T) = \dim(N(T)) = 0$ . Then we are given the chain of relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(W) &< \dim(V) \stackrel{*}{=} \text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank}(T) \\ &= 0 + \text{rank}(T) \rightarrow \\ \dim(W) &< \text{rank}(T). \end{aligned}$$

But this is clearly impossible because  $R(T)$  is a subspace of  $W$  and therefore always has dimension less than or equal to the dimension of  $W$ . This is our contradiction; therefore  $T$  is never injective.

**Exercise 2.1.18:** Give an example of a linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $N(T) = R(T)$ .

*Solution:* Define  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$T(a_1, a_2) = (0, a_1).$$

I leave it to the students to verify that  $N(T) = \text{span}(\{(0, 1)\}) = R(T)$

**Exercise 2.1.24:** Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ . Include figures for each of the following parts.

(a) Find a formula for  $T(a, b)$ , where  $T$  represents the projection on the  $y$ -axis along the  $x$ -axis.

(b) Find a formula for  $T(a, b)$ , where  $T$  represents the projection on the  $y$ -axis along the line  $L = \{(s, s) : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

*Solution:* I leave it to the students to draw the figures. I'll only construct the formulas.

(a) Let  $v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}^2 = W_1 \oplus W_2$  where  $W_1 = \text{span}(\{(1, 0)\})$ ,  $W_2 = \text{span}(\{(0, 1)\})$ , we will write  $v$  with respect to this decomposition:  $v = v_x + v_y$ , such that  $v_x = (v_1, 0)$ ,  $v_y = (0, v_2)$ . Then by the definition of projection on the  $y$ -axis along the  $x$ -axis,

$$T(v) = v_y = (0, v_2)$$

(b) Let  $v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}^2 = W_1 \oplus W_2$  where  $W_1 = \text{span}(\{(1, 1)\})$ ,  $W_2 = \text{span}(\{(0, 1)\})$ , we will write  $v$  with respect to this decomposition:  $v = v_L + v_y$ , such that  $v_L = (v_1, v_1)$ ,  $v_y = (0, v_2 - v_1)$ . Then by the definition of projection on the  $y$ -axis along  $L$ ,

$$T(v) = v_y = (0, v_2 - v_1).$$