

**Math 131AH Winter 2018: Homework 7, Due 3/7**

1. For a given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , Consider two sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_{n,k})$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that

$$a_n \leq b_{n,k} \text{ for all } n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Show that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq B_k := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n,k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently it follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} B_k.$$

2. Rudin chapter 3 exercise problem 17.

3. (Construction of  $\mathbb{R}$  by Cauchy) Let us first define some necessary notions in the field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We denote  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  by the set of positive rational numbers.

- For a sequence  $(q_n)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we say  $q_n$  *converges* to  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , or  $q_n \rightarrow r$ , if the following holds: for any  $w \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|q_n - r| \leq w$  if  $n > N$ .
- We say  $(q_n)$  is a *Cauchy sequence* in  $\mathbb{Q}$  if the following holds: for any  $w \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|q_n - q_m| \leq w$  if  $m, n > N$ .

With these definitions, let us define  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$\mathbb{R} := \{[P] : P = (p_n) \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } \mathbb{Q}\},$$

where  $[P]$  is the equivalence class of  $P$  defined by the following equivalence relation

$$P \sim \tilde{P} \quad \text{if } |p_n - \tilde{p}_n| \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us next define a relation  $<$  between  $[P]$  and  $[\tilde{P}]$  by the following:

$$[P] < [\tilde{P}] \text{ if there exists } w \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \text{ and } N \text{ such that } w < \tilde{p}_n - p_n \text{ for } n > N.$$

(1) Show that  $(\mathbb{R}, <)$  is an ordered set. First show that the order is well-defined, i.e. that it does not depend on the choice of the sequence  $P$  in the same equivalence class.

(2) Show that  $(\mathbb{R}, <)$  has the least upper bound property.

4-6. Rudin p99: problems 1,3,4.