Evolution equations with fractional-order operators

Gerd Grubb Copenhagen University

Workshop on Microlocal Analysis and Mathematical Physics In Honor of Anders Melin's 80'th Birthday Sptember 19-21, 2023, Lund University

# 1. Introduction

Activities with Anders, always kind, wise and helpful:

The period in Copenhagen. Anders held a position in Copenhagen in the mid 70'ies for  $1 \ 1/2$  years (until he got a lektor position in Lund), giving lectures on hyperbolic problems and other PDE subjects. This was a temporary use of some some positions that the department wanted to fill permanently at a slow rate (Kalle Andersson also took such a job). He and I did our best to spread the word on (then modern) analysis of PDE.

**The Øresund seminar.** Anders and I cooperated with Lars Hörmander and, in the start, Johannes Sjöstrand, to run the Danish-Swedish Analysis seminar — the Øresund seminar — which started in the mid 80'ies and provided many interesting visitors to both Lund and Copenhagen.

**French collaborations.** Another activity we had together was the participation in a steering group with French, Swedish and Danish members, which planned the annual meeting in PDE at Saint-Jean-de-Monts on the coast south of Bretagne in France. This was more a formal and honorary thing, not requiring much administrative work, but some funding, as far as I remember. It went on for a large number of years until taken over fully by people at Ecole Polytechnique in Paris.

## 1. Heat equations

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $1 < q < \infty$ . Consider a positive-order operator A in  $L_q(\Omega)$ , e.g. an elliptic differential operator together with a boundary condition Bu = 0; then the heat problem is

$$\partial_t u + Au = f \text{ on } \Omega \times I, \quad I = (0, T)$$
  
 $Bu = 0 \text{ for } t \in I,$   
 $u = u_0 \text{ for } t = 0.$ 

**Example 1.** A is an elliptic diff. op., e.g.  $= -\Delta$ , B is a diff. op. followed by restriction to  $\partial\Omega$ .

But more general situations are of interest too:

**Example 2.** A = P + G, B = T, where P, G and T belong to the Boutet de Monvel calculus. P is a ps.d.o. of order  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , G a singular Green operator of order m, T a suitable trace operator. This situation comes up e.g. when the linearized Navier-Stokes problem is reduced to a truly parabolic form (G.-Solonnikov in the 90'ies.)

**Example 3.**  $A = (-\Delta)^a$  with 0 < a < 1, the fractional Laplacian — or a ps.d.o. generalization of order 2*a*. Here Bu = 0 is taken to mean that u = 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ . Enters in finance, in differential geometry and physics.

An interesting question is to solve the heat equation in  $L_q$ -spaces,  $1 < q < \infty$ . The operator provided with the boundary condition defines a *realization* **A** in  $L_q(\Omega)$ ; an unbounded closed densely defined operator. **A** acts like A, P + G or  $(-\Delta)^a$  in the three examples, with domain  $D(\mathbf{A})$ defined by the boundary condition Bu = 0, Tu = 0 resp. supp  $u \subset \overline{\Omega}$ . The heat problem (with u(x, 0) = 0 for simplicity) is then formulated as

$$\partial_t u + \mathbf{A} u = f \text{ on } \Omega \times I, \quad u|_{t=0} = 0.$$
 (1)

Under suitable hypotheses of strong ellipticity, **A** has its spectrum in a sectorial region in  $\mathbb{C}$  ("keyhole region")  $\{|\lambda| \leq r\} \cup \{| \operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq c \operatorname{Re} \lambda\}$  opening to the right, so the resolvent set contains a region with  $\delta > 0$ 

$$V_{\delta, \mathsf{K}} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \mid \arg \lambda \in [\pi/2 - \delta, 3\pi/2 + \delta], |\lambda| \ge \mathsf{K}\}.$$

Then suitable estimates of the resolvent  $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda)^{-1}$  on  $V_{\delta,\kappa}$  lead to solvability theorems for (1).

Example 1 was treated by Seeley '69 in  $H_q^s$ -spaces; recall

$$H_q^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{ u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{u}) \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^n) \},$$

for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $\langle \xi 
angle = (|\xi|^2 + 1)^{rac{1}{2}}.$ 

A B > A B > A B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B >
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Seeley used pseudodifferential machinery (precluding the Boutet de Monvel calculus), for the case where  $\Omega$  and the coefficients in A and B are  $C^{\infty}$ . There are more recent results assuming less smoothness; e.g. Denk, Hieber and Prüss '03, giving a new point of view and method.

Example **2** was treated in  $H_q^s$ -spaces in G.-Solonnikov '91 (for p = 2), G.-Kokholm '93 and G. '95, in a smooth setting. Nonsmooth generalizations were introduced by Abels '05.

Example 3 will be discussed in this lecture.

An important problem in  $L_q$  is to show **maximal**  $L_q$ -**regularity**, namely that (1) for any  $f \in L_q(\Omega \times I)$  has a unique solution u(x, t) satisfying

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{L_q(\Omega \times I)} + \|\mathbf{A}u\|_{L_q(\Omega \times I)} \le C \|f\|_{L_q(\Omega \times I)}.$$
(2)

It is obtained in the mentioned treatments of Examples  ${\bf 1}$  and  ${\bf 2}.$ 

To extend Ex. **1** to nonsmooth cases, there has been developed a functional calculus point of view, through works of Da Prato and Grisvard, Lamberton, Dore and Venni, Clément, Prüss, Hieber, Denk, Weiss, Bourgain and others, to link the question of maximal  $L_q$ -regularity with the concept of  $\mathcal{R}$ -**boundedness**, as explained e.g. in Denk-Hieber-Prüss [DHP03]. It is a kind of "boundedness preserved under signed rearrangement".

**Definition 1.** Let  $q \in [1, \infty)$ . Denote by  $Z_N$  the subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  $Z_N = \{(z_1, \ldots, z_N) \mid z_j \in \{-1, +1\} \text{ for all } j\}.$ Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Let  $q \in [1, \infty)$ . A subset  $\mathcal{T}$  of the bounded linear operators  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded if there is a constant  $C \geq 0$  such that for every choice of  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and every choice of  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$  in X and  $T_1, \ldots, T_N$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,

$$\left(\sum_{z\in Z_{N}}\|\sum_{j=1}^{N}z_{j}T_{j}x_{j}\|_{Y}^{q}\right)^{1/q} \leq C\left(\sum_{z\in Z_{N}}\|\sum_{j=1}^{N}z_{j}x_{j}\|_{X}^{q}\right)^{1/q}.$$
 (3)

(There is an equivalent definition drawing on probability formulations.) The best constant C, denoted  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}(\mathcal{T})$ , is called the  $\mathcal{R}$ -bound of  $\mathcal{T}$ , and the finiteness for one  $q \in [1, \infty)$  implies the finiteness for all other  $q \in [1, \infty)$ . An  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded set is norm-bounded. Finite norm-bounded sets are  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded. (3) is trivial when X, Y are Hilbert spaces.

**Theorem 2.** [DHP03] Let  $1 < q < \infty$ . Problem (1) has maximal  $L_q$ -regularity on  $I = \mathbb{R}_+$  if and only if the family  $\{\lambda(\mathbf{A} - \lambda)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta,0}\}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $\mathcal{L}(L_q(\Omega))$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .

A very useful result, so much more since the  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness property allows suitable perturbations of A.

**Proposition 3.** 1° Let  $X = L_q(\Omega)$ , and let **A** satisfy

$$\|\lambda(\mathbf{A}-\lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C < \infty \text{ for } \lambda \in V_{\delta,K}.$$
 (4)

Let S be defined on  $D(\mathbf{A})$ , satisfying

$$\|Su\|_X \le \alpha \|Au\|_X + \beta \|u\|_X \text{ for } u \in D(A).$$
 (5)

Then when  $\alpha$  is sufficiently small, there exists  $K_1 \ge K$  such that  $\mathbf{A} + S$  satisfies an inequality (4) on  $V_{\delta,K_1}$ .

2°. Assume in addition that  $\{\lambda(\mathbf{A} - \lambda)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta,K}\}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded. Then, for sufficiently small  $\alpha > 0$ , there is a  $K_2 \ge K$  such that  $\{\lambda(\mathbf{A} + S - \lambda)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta,K_2}\}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded.

Here  $1^{\circ}$  is a well-known standard result;  $2^{\circ}$  is proved in [DHP03].

Note that  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of  $\{\lambda(\mathbf{A} - \lambda)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta,K}\}$  implies that when  $\mu > K$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness holds for  $\{\lambda(\mathbf{A} + \mu - \lambda)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta',0}\}$  for some  $\delta' > 0$ . Then the shifted operator  $\mathbf{A} + \mu$  has maximal  $L_q$ -regularity on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and  $\mathbf{A}$  itself has it on finite intervals I = (0, T).

・ 回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

### 3. Fractional-order operators

Now to Example **3**, where *P* is of **fractional order**:

$$\partial_t u + Pu = f \text{ on } \Omega \times I,$$
  
 $u = 0 \text{ on } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \times I,$  (6)  
 $u|_{t=0} = 0.$ 

Here  $P = (-\Delta)^a$  with symbol  $|\xi|^{2a}$ , or is more generally a ps.d.o. of order 2a (0 < a < 1) with special properties.

Recall that the ps.d.o. *P* with symbol  $p(x,\xi)$  is defined by use of the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}: u(x) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}u)(\xi) = \hat{u}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} u(x) dx$ , as

$$(Pu)(x) = \mathcal{F}_{\xi \to x}^{-1}(p(x,\xi)(\mathcal{F}u)(\xi)) = \operatorname{Op}(p)u.$$

Our current hypotheses are:  $p(x,\xi)$  is  $C^{\tau}$  in x (some  $\tau > 2a$ ) and  $C^{\infty}$  in  $\xi$ , satisfying

$$\|D^{lpha}_{\xi} p(\cdot,\xi)\|_{C^{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{lpha} \langle \xi 
angle^{2a-|lpha|} ext{ for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ lpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$$

Moreover, it satisfies for  $|\xi| \ge 1$ : (i) p is classical, i.e.,  $p \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} p_j$  with  $p_j(x, t\xi) = t^{2a-j}p_j(x,\xi)$ . (ii) p is strongly elliptic: Re  $p_0(x,\xi) \ge c|\xi|^{2a}$  with c > 0. (iii) p is even,  $p_j(x, -\xi) = (-1)^j p_j(x,\xi)$ , all j. Along with  $H_q^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \mid \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{u}) \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ , define

$$\overline{H}^{s}_{q}(\Omega) = r^{+}H^{s}_{q}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), \qquad \dot{H}^{s}_{q}(\overline{\Omega}) = \{u \in H^{s}_{q}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \mid \text{supp } u \subset \overline{\Omega}\}.$$

Here  $r^+$  denotes restriction to  $\Omega$ ;  $e^+$  will indicate extension by 0 from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (The dot and overline notation stems from Hörmander '85.) For q = 2, the index q is omitted.

Let  $\Omega$  be bounded and  $C^{1+\tau}$  with  $\tau > 2a$ , let  $1 < q < \infty$ , let P satisfy (i)–(iii) (G. '15 for  $\tau = \infty$ , Abels-G. '23 for  $\tau < \infty$ ). The Dirichlet realization  $P_D$  in  $L_q(\Omega)$ , acting like  $r^+P$  on  $\dot{H}^a_q(\overline{\Omega})$ , has the domain

$$D(P_D) = \{ u \in \dot{H}^{\mathfrak{a}}_q(\overline{\Omega}) \mid r^+ P u \in L_q(\Omega) \} = H^{\mathfrak{a}(2\mathfrak{a})}_q(\overline{\Omega}),$$

where the space  $H_q^{a(2a)}(\overline{\Omega})$  is a so-called *a-transmission space*. It is defined in local coordinates from the definition for  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n_+$  by

$$H^{a(2a)}_q(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n_+) = \operatorname{Op}((\langle \xi' 
angle + i\xi_n)^{-a})e^+\overline{H}^a_q(\mathbb{R}^n_+).$$

Here  $H_q^{a(2a)}(\overline{\Omega}) = \dot{H}_q^{2a}(\overline{\Omega})$  if a < 1/q; generally  $H_q^{a(2a)}(\overline{\Omega}) \subset \dot{H}_q^{a+1/q}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap H_{q,loc}^{2a}(\Omega)$  and carries a singularity dist $(x, \partial\Omega)^a$ . We shall apply the heat equation theory to  $\mathbf{A} = P_D$ . The domain is denoted for short

$$H_q^{a(2a)}(\overline{\Omega}) = D_q(\overline{\Omega}).$$

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 二 臣

For q = 2 it is easy to show, by methods going back to Lions and Magenes '68:

**Theorem 4.** [G. '18 for  $\tau = \infty$ , G. '23 for finite  $\tau > 2a$ .] For any  $f \in L_2(\Omega \times I)$ , there is a unique solution  $u(x, t) \in \overline{C}^0(\overline{I}; L_2(\Omega))$ ; it satisfies:

$$u \in L_2(I; D_2(\overline{\Omega}))) \cap \overline{H}^1(I; L_2(\Omega)).$$

There are also results with higher regularity, that we omit here.

Other works have mostly been concerned with  $(-\Delta)^a$  and x-independent generalizations. There are results on Schauder estimates and Hölder properties, by e.g. Felsinger and Kassmann '13, Chang-Lara and Davila '14, Jin and Xiong '15; and more precise results on regularity in anisotropic Hölder spaces by Fernandez-Real and Ros-Oton '17, Ros-Oton and Vivas '18. For  $P = (-\Delta)^a$ , Leonori, Peral, Primo and Soria '15 showed  $L_q(I; L_r(\Omega))$  estimates; Biccari, Warma and Zuazua '18  $L_q(I; B_{q,r,loc}^{2a}(\Omega))$ -estimates, Choi, Kim and Ryu '23 weighted  $L_q$ -estimates. There are results on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with x-dependence by Dong, Jung and Kim '23.

・ロト ・日下・ キロ・ ・ 日・

We showed an optimal  $L_q$ -result in '18 under an extra hypothesis:

(iv) *p* is *x*-independent, real and homogeneous for  $\xi \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 5.** Assume (iv) in addition to (i)–(iii). Then when  $1 < q < \infty$ , (6) has for any  $f \in L_q(\Omega \times I)$ , a unique solution  $u(x, t) \in \overline{C}^0(I; L_q(\Omega))$ ; it satisfies:

$$u \in L_q(I; D_q(\overline{\Omega})) \cap \overline{H}_q^1(I; L_q(\Omega)).$$

This is maximal  $L_q$ -regularity.

Proved for  $\tau = \infty$  in '18, extended to finite  $\tau$  in '23. The proof uses that the sesquilinear form obtained by closure on  $\dot{H}^a(\overline{\Omega})$  of

$$s(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} Pu \, \bar{v} \, dx, \quad u,v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega), \qquad (7)$$

is for real u, v a so-called Dirichlet form, as in books of Davies '89, Fukushima, Oshima and Takeda '94. Then  $P_D$  is what is called sub-Markovian, and by a result of Lamberton '87, the heat problem (6) has maximal  $L_q$ -regularity.

(4月) トイヨト イヨト

Currently, I have been trying for a long time to weaken hypothesis (iv) — to extend the result to suitable variable-coefficient operators, by perturbation and localization arguments. Lately, I have had a cooperation with Helmut Abels on this, and we have just recently managed to show:

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\Omega$  be bounded with  $C^{1+\tau}$ -boundary,  $\tau > 2a$ , and let  $1 < q < \infty$ . Besides our hypotheses (i)–(iii), assume that the principal symbol  $p_0(x_0, \xi)$  is real positive at each **boundary point**  $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ . Then there are constants  $\delta > 0$ ,  $K \ge 0$  such that  $\{\lambda(P_D - \lambda)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta,K}\}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $\mathcal{L}(L_q(\Omega))$ .

The proof involves a comparison, at each boundary point  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ , of P with the constant-coefficient operator  $\overline{P} = Op(p_0(x_0, \xi))$  in an auxiliary bounded domain  $\Sigma$  coinciding with  $\Omega$  in a small ball around  $x_0$ , where perturbation estimates and blow-up techniques can be applied. This leads to the desired heat equation result:

**Theorem 7.** Hypotheses as in Theorem 6. Then for any  $f \in L_q(\Omega \times I)$ , the heat equation (6) has a unique solution  $u(x, t) \in \overline{C}^0(\overline{I}; L_q(\Omega))$  satisfying

$$u \in L_q(I; D_q(\overline{\Omega})) \cap \overline{H}_q^1(I; L_q(\Omega)).$$

This is a first result on maximal  $L_q$ -regularity for variable-coefficient nonselfadjoint ps.d.o. boundary problems of fractional order.

# 4. Nonhomogeneous problems

Nonhomogeneous boundary problems can also be considered. There is a local nonzero Dirichlet boundary condition associated with P, namely the assignment of  $\gamma_0(u/d^{a-1})$ ; here  $d(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ . The problem

$$Pu = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \gamma_0(u/d^{a-1}) = \varphi, \quad \text{supp } u \subset \overline{\Omega},$$
 (8)

had good solvability properties for given  $f \in L_q(\Omega)$ ,  $\varphi \in B_q^{a+1-1/q}(\partial\Omega)$ , when u is sought in the (a-1)-transmission space  $H_q^{(a-1)(2a)}(\overline{\Omega})$ . This is a larger space than  $D_q(\overline{\Omega}) = H_q^{a(2a)}(\overline{\Omega})$ , satisfying

$$H_q^{a(2a)}(\overline{\Omega}) = \{ u \in H_q^{(a-1)(2a)}(\overline{\Omega}) \mid \gamma_0(u/d^{a-1}) = 0 \}.$$

So the case  $\varphi = 0$  in (8) is the homogeneous Dirichlet problem. One has that  $H_q^{(a-1)(2a)}(\overline{\Omega}) \subset L_q(\Omega)$  when  $q < \frac{1}{1-a}$ . We assume this for the nonhomogeneous heat problem:

$$\partial_t u + Pu = f \text{ on } \Omega \times I,$$
  

$$\gamma_0(u/d^{a-1}) = \psi \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times I,$$
  

$$u = 0 \text{ on } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \times I,$$
  

$$u|_{t=0} = 0.$$
(9)

Here we can show:

**Theorem 8.** In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 6, assume that  $\tau > 2a + 1$  and  $q < \frac{1}{1-a}$ . Then (9) has for  $f \in L_q(\Omega \times I)$ ,  $\psi \in L_q(I; B_q^{a+1-1/q}(\partial \Omega)) \cap \dot{H}_q^1(\bar{I}; B_q^{\varepsilon}(\partial \Omega))$  a unique solution u(x, t) satisfying

$$u \in L_q(I; H_q^{(\mathfrak{a}-1)(2\mathfrak{a})}(\overline{\Omega})) \cap \overline{H}_q^1(I; L_q(\Omega)).$$

Let us finally mention that one can also use the resolvent estimates (just in uniform norms) to show results in other function spaces. For example, by a strategy of Amann '97:

**Theorem 9.** Hypotheses as in Theorem 6. Let s be noninteger > 0. For any  $f \in \dot{C}^{s}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}; L_{q}(\Omega))$  there is a unique solution  $u \in \dot{C}^{s}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}; D_{q}(\overline{\Omega}))$ , and there holds

 $f(x,t)\in \dot{C}^{s}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+};L_{q}(\Omega))\iff u(x,t)\in \dot{C}^{s}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+};D_{q}(\overline{\Omega}))\cap\dot{C}^{s+1}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+};L_{q}(\Omega)).$ 

(4回) (1日) (日) 日

Here  $\dot{C}^{s}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; X)$  stands for functions in  $C^{s}(\mathbb{R}; X)$  vanishing on  $\mathbb{R}_{-}$ .

#### Some references:

*H. Abels:* Reduced and generalized Stokes resolvent equations in asymptotically flat layers II.  $H_{\infty}$ -calculus. J. Math. Fluid Mech. **7**. 223–260 (2005).

*H. Abels and G. Grubb:* Fractional-order operators on nonsmooth domains, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **107** (2023), 1297–1350.

*H. Amann:* Operator-valued Fourier multipliers, vector-valued Besov spaces, and applications, Math. Nachr. **186** (1997), 5–56.

U. Biccari, M. Warma and E. Zuazua: Local regularity for fractional heat equations, arXiv:1704.07562.

*L. Boutet de Monvel:* Boundary problems for pseudo-differential operators, Acta Math. **126** (1971), 11–51.

*H. Chang-Lara and G. Davila:* Regularity for solutions of non local parabolic equations, Calc. Var. Part. Diff. Equations **49** (2014), 139–172.

*J. Choi, K. Kim and J. Ryu:* Sobolev regularity theory for the non-local elliptic and parabolic equations on  $C^{1,1}$  open sets, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. **43(9)** 3338-3377 (2023).

*E. B. Davies:* Heat kernels and spectral theory. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 92, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1989.

*H. Dong, P. Jung and D. Kim:* Boundedness of non-local operators with spatially dependent coefficients and Lp-estimates for non-local equations. Calc. Var. **62** no. 62 (2023).

*M. Felsinger and M. Kassmann:* Local regularity for parabolic nonlocal operators, Comm. Part. Diff. Equations **38** (2013), 1539–1573.

X. Fernandez-Real and X. Ros-Oton: Regularity theory for general stable operators: parabolic equations, J. Funct. Anal. **272** (2017), 4165–4221.

*M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima and M. Takeda:* Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 19, Berlin 1994.

 G. Grubb: Fractional Laplacians on domains, a development of Hörmander's theory of  $\mu$ -transmission pseudodifferential operators, Adv. Math. **268** (2015), 478–528.

*G. Grubb:* Local and nonlocal boundary conditions for *µ*-transmission and fractional elliptic pseudodifferential operators, Analysis and P.D.E. **7** (2014) 1649–1682.

*G. Grubb:* Regularity in  $L_p$  Sobolev spaces of solutions to fractional heat equations. J. Funct. Anal. **274** (2018), 2634–2660.

*G. Grubb:* Resolvents for fractional-order operators with nonhomogeneous local boundary conditions, J. Funct. Anal. **284** (2023) no. 109815.

G. Grubb and N. J. Kokholm: A global calculus of parameter-dependent pseudo differential boundary problems in  $L_p$  Sobolev spaces, Acta Math. **171** (1993), 165–229.

L. Hörmander: Seminar notes on pseudo-differential operators and boundary problems, Lectures at IAS Princeton 1965-66, available from Lund University,

https://lup.lub.lu.se/search/

L. Hörmander: The analysis of linear partial differential operators III, Springer 1985.

*T. Jin and J. Xiong:* Schauder estimates for solutions of linear parabolic integro-differential equations, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. **35** (2015), 5977–5998.

*D. Lamberton:* Équations d'évolution linéaires associées à des semi-groupes de

contractions dans les espaces Lp, J. Funct. Anal. 72 (1987), 252-262.

no *T. Leonori, I. Peral, A. Primo and F. Soria:* Basic estimates for solutions of a class of nonlocal elliptic and parabolic equations, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. **35** (2015) 6031–6068.

*J.-L. Lions and E. Magenes:* Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications. Vol. 1 et 2, Éditions Dunod, Paris 1968.

X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra: The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary, J. Math. Pures Appl. **101** (2014), 275–302. X. Ros-Oton and H. Vivas: Higher-order boundary regularity estimates for nonlocal parabolic equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **57** (2018), No. **111**.

臣

Dear Anders!

#### Congratulations with the 80 years!

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣