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## 1. Introduction

Activities with Anders, always kind, wise and helpful:
The period in Copenhagen. Anders held a position in Copenhagen in the mid 70 'ies for $11 / 2$ years (until he got a lektor position in Lund), giving lectures on hyperbolic problems and other PDE subjects. This was a temporary use of some some positions that the department wanted to fill permanently at a slow rate (Kalle Andersson also took such a job). He and I did our best to spread the word on (then modern) analysis of PDE. The Øresund seminar. Anders and I cooperated with Lars Hörmander and, in the start, Johannes Sjöstrand, to run the Danish-Swedish Analysis seminar - the Øresund seminar - which started in the mid 80'ies and provided many interesting visitors to both Lund and Copenhagen.
French collaborations. Another activity we had together was the participation in a steering group with French, Swedish and Danish members, which planned the annual meeting in PDE at Saint-Jean-de-Monts on the coast south of Bretagne in France. This was more a formal and honorary thing, not requiring much administrative work, but some funding, as far as I remember. It went on for a large number of years until taken over fully by people at Ecole Polytechnique in Paris.

## 1. Heat equations

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $1<q<\infty$. Consider a positive-order operator $A$ in $L_{q}(\Omega)$, e.g. an elliptic differential operator together with a boundary condition $B u=0$; then the heat problem is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} u+A u & =f \text { on } \Omega \times I, \quad I=(0, T) \\
B u & =0 \text { for } t \in I, \\
u & =u_{0} \text { for } t=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 1. $A$ is an elliptic diff. op., e.g. $=-\Delta, B$ is a diff. op. followed by restriction to $\partial \Omega$.
But more general situations are of interest too:
Example 2. $A=P+G, B=T$, where $P, G$ and $T$ belong to the Boutet de Monvel calculus. $P$ is a ps.d.o. of order $m \in \mathbb{N}, G$ a singular Green operator of order $m, T$ a suitable trace operator. This situation comes up e.g. when the linearized Navier-Stokes problem is reduced to a truly parabolic form (G.-Solonnikov in the $90^{\prime}$ ies.)
Example 3. $A=(-\Delta)^{a}$ with $0<a<1$, the fractional Laplacian - or a ps.d.o. generalization of order 2a. Here $B u=0$ is taken to mean that $u=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$. Enters in finance, in differential geometry and physics ${ }_{\text {I }}$

An interesting question is to solve the heat equation in $L_{q}$-spaces, $1<q<\infty$. The operator provided with the boundary condition defines a realization $\boldsymbol{A}$ in $L_{q}(\Omega)$; an unbounded closed densely defined operator. $\boldsymbol{A}$ acts like $A, P+G$ or $(-\Delta)^{a}$ in the three examples, with domain $D(\boldsymbol{A})$ defined by the boundary condition $B u=0, T u=0$ resp. supp $u \subset \bar{\Omega}$. The heat problem (with $u(x, 0)=0$ for simplicity) is then formulated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+\boldsymbol{A} u=f \text { on } \Omega \times I,\left.\quad u\right|_{t=0}=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under suitable hypotheses of strong ellipticity, $\boldsymbol{A}$ has its spectrum in a sectorial region in $\mathbb{C}($ "keyhole region") $\{|\lambda| \leq r\} \cup\{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq c \operatorname{Re} \lambda\}$ opening to the right, so the resolvent set contains a region with $\delta>0$

$$
V_{\delta, K}=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}|\arg \lambda \in[\pi / 2-\delta, 3 \pi / 2+\delta],|\lambda| \geq K\} .
$$

Then suitable estimates of the resolvent $(\boldsymbol{A}-\lambda)^{-1}$ on $V_{\delta, K}$ lead to solvability theorems for (1).
Example $\mathbf{1}$ was treated by Seeley ' 69 in $H_{q}^{s}$-spaces; recall

$$
H_{q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} \hat{u}\right) \in L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\},
$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}, 1<q<\infty,\langle\xi\rangle=\left(|\xi|^{2}+1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Seeley used pseudodifferential machinery (precluding the Boutet de Monvel calculus), for the case where $\Omega$ and the coefficients in $A$ and $B$ are $C^{\infty}$. There are more recent results assuming less smoothness; e.g. Denk, Hieber and Prüss '03, giving a new point of view and method. Example 2 was treated in $H_{q}^{s}$-spaces in G.-Solonnikov '91 (for $p=2$ ), G.-Kokholm '93 and G. '95, in a smooth setting. Nonsmooth generalizations were introduced by Abels '05.
Example $\mathbf{3}$ will be discussed in this lecture.
An important problem in $L_{q}$ is to show maximal $L_{q}$-regularity, namely that (1) for any $f \in L_{q}(\Omega \times I)$ has a unique solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L_{q}(\Omega \times I)}+\|\boldsymbol{A} u\|_{L_{q}(\Omega \times I)} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{q}(\Omega \times I)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obtained in the mentioned treatments of Examples $\mathbf{1}$ and 2.
To extend Ex. $\mathbf{1}$ to nonsmooth cases, there has been developed a functional calculus point of view, through works of Da Prato and Grisvard, Lamberton, Dore and Venni, Clément, Prüss, Hieber, Denk, Weiss, Bourgain and others, to link the question of maximal $L_{q}$-regularity with the concept of $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness, as explained e.g. in Denk-Hieber-Prüss [DHP03]. It is a kind of "boundedness preserved under signed rearrangement".

Definition 1. Let $q \in[1, \infty)$. Denote by $Z_{N}$ the subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ $Z_{N}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right) \mid z_{j} \in\{-1,+1\}\right.$ for all $\left.j\right\}$.
Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces. Let $q \in[1, \infty)$. $A$ subset $\mathcal{T}$ of the bounded linear operators $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is $\mathcal{R}$-bounded if there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that for every choice of $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and every choice of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$ in $X$ and $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{N}$ in $\mathcal{T}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{z \in Z_{N}}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{N} z_{j} T_{j} x_{j}\right\|_{Y}^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \leq C\left(\sum_{z \in Z_{N}}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{N} z_{j} x_{j}\right\|_{X}^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(There is an equivalent definition drawing on probability formulations.) The best constant $C$, denoted $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)}(\mathcal{T})$, is called the $\mathcal{R}$-bound of $\mathcal{T}$, and the finiteness for one $q \in[1, \infty)$ implies the finiteness for all other $q \in[1, \infty)$. An $\mathcal{R}$-bounded set is norm-bounded. Finite norm-bounded sets are $\mathcal{R}$-bounded. (3) is trivial when $X, Y$ are Hilbert spaces.
Theorem 2. [DHP03] Let $1<q<\infty$. Problem (1) has maximal $L_{q}$-regularity on $I=\mathbb{R}_{+}$if and only if the family $\left\{\lambda(\boldsymbol{A}-\lambda)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta, 0}\right\}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-bounded in $\mathcal{L}\left(L_{q}(\Omega)\right)$ for some $\delta>0$.
A very useful result, so much more since the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness property allows suitable perturbations of $\boldsymbol{A}$.

Proposition 3. $1^{\circ}$ Let $X=L_{q}(\Omega)$, and let $\boldsymbol{A}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\lambda(\boldsymbol{A}-\lambda)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq C<\infty \text { for } \lambda \in V_{\delta, K} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S$ be defined on $D(\boldsymbol{A})$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|S u\|_{X} \leq \alpha\|\boldsymbol{A} u\|_{X}+\beta\|u\|_{X} \text { for } u \in D(\boldsymbol{A}) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then when $\alpha$ is sufficiently small, there exists $K_{1} \geq K$ such that $\boldsymbol{A}+S$ satisfies an inequality (4) on $V_{\delta, K_{1}}$.
$2^{\circ}$. Assume in addition that $\left\{\lambda(\boldsymbol{A}-\lambda)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta, K}\right\}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-bounded.
Then, for sufficiently small $\alpha>0$, there is a $K_{2} \geq K$ such that $\left\{\lambda(\boldsymbol{A}+S-\lambda)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta, K_{2}}\right\}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-bounded.

Here $1^{\circ}$ is a well-known standard result; $2^{\circ}$ is proved in [DHP03].
Note that $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of $\left\{\lambda(\boldsymbol{A}-\lambda)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta, K}\right\}$ implies that when $\mu>K, \mathcal{R}$-boundedness holds for $\left\{\lambda(\boldsymbol{A}+\mu-\lambda)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta^{\prime}, 0}\right\}$ for some $\delta^{\prime}>0$. Then the shifted operator $\boldsymbol{A}+\mu$ has maximal $L_{q}$-regularity on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, and $\boldsymbol{A}$ itself has it on finite intervals $I=(0, T)$.

Now to Example 3, where $P$ is of fractional order:

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u+P u & =f \text { on } \Omega \times I, \\
u & =0 \text { on }\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega\right) \times I,  \tag{6}\\
\left.u\right|_{t=0} & =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $P=(-\Delta)^{a}$ with symbol $|\xi|^{2 a}$, or is more generally a ps.d.o. of order 2a $(0<a<1)$ with special properties.
Recall that the ps.d.o. $P$ with symbol $p(x, \xi)$ is defined by use of the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: u(x) \mapsto(\mathcal{F} u)(\xi)=\hat{u}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} u(x) d x$, as

$$
(P u)(x)=\mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow x}^{-1}(p(x, \xi)(\mathcal{F} u)(\xi))=\operatorname{Op}(p) u
$$

Our current hypotheses are: $p(x, \xi)$ is $C^{\tau}$ in $x$ (some $\tau>2 a$ ) and $C^{\infty}$ in $\xi$, satisfying

$$
\left\|D_{\xi}^{\alpha} p(\cdot, \xi)\right\|_{C^{\tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C_{\alpha}\langle\xi\rangle^{2 a-|\alpha|} \text { for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n} .
$$

Moreover, it satisfies for $|\xi| \geq 1$ :
(i) $p$ is classical, i.e., $p \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} p_{j}$ with $p_{j}(x, t \xi)=t^{2 a-j} p_{j}(x, \xi)$.
(ii) $p$ is strongly elliptic: $\operatorname{Re} p_{0}(x, \xi) \geq c|\xi|^{2 a}$ with $c>0$.
(iii) $p$ is even, $p_{j}(x,-\xi)=(-1)^{j} p_{j}(x, \xi)$, all $j$.

Along with $H_{q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{u \mid \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} \hat{u}\right) \in L_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}$, define

$$
\bar{H}_{q}^{s}(\Omega)=r^{+} H_{q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \dot{H}_{q}^{s}(\bar{\Omega})=\left\{u \in H_{q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \mid \operatorname{supp} u \subset \bar{\Omega}\right\} .
$$

Here $r^{+}$denotes restriction to $\Omega ; e^{+}$will indicate extension by 0 from $\Omega$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. (The dot and overline notation stems from Hörmander '85.) For $q=2$, the index $q$ is omitted.
Let $\Omega$ be bounded and $C^{1+\tau}$ with $\tau>2 a$, let $1<q<\infty$, let $P$ satisfy (i)-(iii) (G. '15 for $\tau=\infty$, Abels-G. '23 for $\tau<\infty$ ). The Dirichlet realization $P_{D}$ in $L_{q}(\Omega)$, acting like $r^{+} P$ on $\dot{H}_{q}^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$, has the domain

$$
D\left(P_{D}\right)=\left\{u \in \dot{H}_{q}^{a}(\bar{\Omega}) \mid r^{+} P u \in L_{q}(\Omega)\right\}=H_{q}^{a(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega}),
$$

where the space $H_{q}^{a(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega})$ is a so-called a-transmission space. It is defined in local coordinates from the definition for $\Omega=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ by

$$
H_{q}^{a(2 a)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n}\right)=\operatorname{Op}\left(\left(\left\langle\xi^{\prime}\right\rangle+i \xi_{n}\right)^{-a}\right) e^{+} \bar{H}_{q}^{a}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) .
$$

Here $H_{q}^{a(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega})=\dot{H}_{q}^{2 a}(\bar{\Omega})$ if $a<1 / q$; generally $H_{q}^{a(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \dot{H}_{q}^{a+1 / q}(\bar{\Omega})$ $\cap H_{q, l o c}^{2 a}(\Omega)$ and carries a singularity $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)^{a}$. We shall apply the heat equation theory to $\boldsymbol{A}=P_{D}$. The domain is denoted for short

$$
H_{q}^{a(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega})=D_{q}(\bar{\Omega}) .
$$

For $q=2$ it is easy to show, by methods going back to Lions and Magenes '68:
Theorem 4. [G. '18 for $\tau=\infty$, G. '23 for finite $\tau>2$ a.] For any $f \in L_{2}(\Omega \times I)$, there is a unique solution $u(x, t) \in \bar{C}^{0}\left(\bar{I} ; L_{2}(\Omega)\right)$; it satisfies:

$$
\left.u \in L_{2}\left(I ; D_{2}(\bar{\Omega})\right)\right) \cap \bar{H}^{1}\left(I ; L_{2}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

There are also results with higher regularity, that we omit here.
Other works have mostly been concerned with $(-\Delta)^{a}$ and $x$-independent generalizations. There are results on Schauder estimates and Hölder properties, by e.g. Felsinger and Kassmann '13, Chang-Lara and Davila '14, Jin and Xiong '15; and more precise results on regularity in anisotropic Hölder spaces by Fernandez-Real and Ros-Oton '17, Ros-Oton and Vivas '18. For $P=(-\Delta)^{a}$, Leonori, Peral, Primo and Soria '15 showed $L_{q}\left(I ; L_{r}(\Omega)\right)$ estimates; Biccari, Warma and Zuazua '18 $L_{q}\left(I ; B_{q, r, l o c}^{2 a}(\Omega)\right)$-estimates, Choi, Kim and Ryu '23 weighted $L_{q}$-estimates. There are results on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $x$-dependence by Dong, Jung and Kim '23.
We showed an optimal $L_{q}$-result in '18 under an extra hypothesis:
(iv) $p$ is $x$-independent, real and homogeneous for $\xi \neq 0$.

Theorem 5. Assume (iv) in addition to (i)-(iii). Then when $1<q<\infty$, (6) has for any $f \in L_{q}(\Omega \times I)$, a unique solution $u(x, t) \in \bar{C}^{0}\left(I ; L_{q}(\Omega)\right)$; it satisfies:

$$
u \in L_{q}\left(I ; D_{q}(\bar{\Omega})\right) \cap \bar{H}_{q}^{1}\left(I ; L_{q}(\Omega)\right)
$$

This is maximal $L_{q}$-regularity.
Proved for $\tau=\infty$ in '18, extended to finite $\tau$ in '23. The proof uses that the sesquilinear form obtained by closure on $\dot{H}^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} P u \bar{v} d x, \quad u, v \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is for real $u, v$ a so-called Dirichlet form, as in books of Davies '89, Fukushima, Oshima and Takeda '94. Then $P_{D}$ is what is called sub-Markovian, and by a result of Lamberton '87, the heat problem (6) has maximal $L_{q}$-regularity.

Currently, I have been trying for a long time to weaken hypothesis (iv) to extend the result to suitable variable-coefficient operators, by perturbation and localization arguments. Lately, I have had a cooperation with Helmut Abels on this, and we have just recently managed to show:
Theorem 6. Let $\Omega$ be bounded with $C^{1+\tau}$-boundary, $\tau>2$ a, and let $1<q<\infty$. Besides our hypotheses (i)-(iii), assume that the principal symbol $p_{0}\left(x_{0}, \xi\right)$ is real positive at each boundary point $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$.
Then there are constants $\delta>0, K \geq 0$ such that $\left\{\lambda\left(P_{D}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V_{\delta, K}\right\}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-bounded in $\mathcal{L}\left(L_{q}(\Omega)\right)$.
The proof involves a comparison, at each boundary point $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, of $P$ with the constant-coefficient operator $\bar{P}=\operatorname{Op}\left(p_{0}\left(x_{0}, \xi\right)\right)$ in an auxiliary bounded domain $\Sigma$ coinciding with $\Omega$ in a small ball around $x_{0}$, where perturbation estimates and blow-up techniques can be applied.
This leads to the desired heat equation result:
Theorem 7. Hypotheses as in Theorem 6. Then for any $f \in L_{q}(\Omega \times I)$, the heat equation (6) has a unique solution $u(x, t) \in \bar{C}^{0}\left(\overline{\bar{I}} ; L_{q}(\Omega)\right)$ satisfying

$$
u \in L_{q}\left(I ; D_{q}(\bar{\Omega})\right) \cap \bar{H}_{q}^{1}\left(I ; L_{q}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

This is a first result on maximal $L_{q}$-regularity for variable-coefficient nonselfadjoint ps.d.o. boundary problems of fractional order.

## 4. Nonhomogeneous problems

Nonhomogeneous boundary problems can also be considered. There is a local nonzero Dirichlet boundary condition associated with $P$, namely the assignment of $\gamma_{0}\left(u / d^{a-1}\right)$; here $d(x)=\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$. The problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
P u=f \text { in } \Omega, \quad \gamma_{0}\left(u / d^{a-1}\right)=\varphi, \quad \operatorname{supp} u \subset \bar{\Omega}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

had good solvability properties for given $f \in L_{q}(\Omega), \varphi \in B_{q}^{a+1-1 / q}(\partial \Omega)$, when $u$ is sought in the $(a-1)$-transmission space $H_{q}^{(a-1)(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega})$. This is a larger space than $D_{q}(\bar{\Omega})=H_{q}^{a(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega})$, satisfying

$$
H_{q}^{a(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega})=\left\{u \in H_{q}^{(a-1)(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega}) \mid \gamma_{0}\left(u / d^{a-1}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

So the case $\varphi=0$ in (8) is the homogeneous Dirichlet problem. One has that $H_{q}^{(a-1)(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset L_{q}(\Omega)$ when $q<\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{a}}$. We assume this for the nonhomogeneous heat problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u+P u & =f \text { on } \Omega \times I, \\
\gamma_{0}\left(u / d^{a-1}\right) & =\psi \text { on } \partial \Omega \times I, \\
u & =0 \text { on }\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega\right) \times I,  \tag{9}\\
\left.u\right|_{t=0} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

Here we can show:
Theorem 8. In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 6, assume that $\tau>2 a+1$ and $q<\frac{1}{1-a}$. Then (9) has for $f \in L_{q}(\Omega \times I)$,
$\psi \in L_{q}\left(I ; B_{q}^{a+1-1 / q}(\partial \Omega)\right) \cap \dot{H}_{q}^{1}\left(\bar{I} ; B_{q}^{\varepsilon}(\partial \Omega)\right)$ a unique solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying

$$
u \in L_{q}\left(I ; H_{q}^{(a-1)(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega})\right) \cap \bar{H}_{q}^{1}\left(I ; L_{q}(\Omega)\right)
$$

Let us finally mention that one can also use the resolvent estimates (just in uniform norms) to show results in other function spaces. For example, by a strategy of Amann '97:
Theorem 9. Hypotheses as in Theorem 6. Let $s$ be noninteger $>0$. For any $f \in \dot{C}^{s}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} ; L_{q}(\Omega)\right)$ there is a unique solution $u \in \dot{C}^{s}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} ; D_{q}(\bar{\Omega})\right)$, and there holds
$f(x, t) \in \dot{C}^{s}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} ; L_{q}(\Omega)\right) \Longleftrightarrow u(x, t) \in \dot{C}^{s}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} ; D_{q}(\bar{\Omega})\right) \cap \dot{C}^{s+1}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} ; L_{q}(\Omega)\right)$.
Here $\dot{C}^{s}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+} ; X\right)$ stands for functions in $C^{s}(\mathbb{R} ; X)$ vanishing on $\mathbb{R}_{-}$.
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# Dear Anders! 

## Congratulations with the 80 years!

