

# \* Galois Deformation Ring and Base Change to a Real Quadratic Field

Haruzo Hida

Department of Mathematics, UCLA,  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, U.S.A.

A talk at Mazur's 80th anniversary conference, June 7, 2018.

\*The author is partially supported by the NSF grant: DMS 1464106.

Consider the universal minimal  $p$ -ordinary deformation  $\rho_{\mathbb{T}} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T})$  (for a prime  $p \geq 5$ ) of an induced representation  $\text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}} \varphi$  from a real quadratic field  $F$ . For almost all primes  $p$  split in  $F$ , we describe an isomorphism  $\mathbb{T} \cong \Lambda[\sqrt{\langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1}]$  for the Iwasawa algebra  $\Lambda$ , where  $\langle \varepsilon \rangle := (1 + T)^{\log_p(\varepsilon)/\log_p(1+p)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \subset \Lambda$  for a fundamental unit  $\varepsilon$  of  $F$ . This implies that the dual adjoint Selmer group of  $\rho_{\mathbb{T}}$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda/(\langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1)$  as  $\Lambda$ -modules, and in particular, it is a semi-simple  $\Lambda$ -module after extension of scalars to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  from  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

## §0. Setting.

- $p \geq 5$  a fixed prime.  $\infty : F \subset \mathbb{R}$ : a real quadratic field.
- $O$ : the integer ring of  $F$ ;  $(p) = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^\sigma$  (fix  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\sigma|_F \neq 1$ ).
- $\bar{\rho} = \text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\varphi}$  ( $\bar{\varphi} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ );  $[\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{F}_p] < \infty$ ; the Teichmüller lift  $\varphi$  of  $\bar{\varphi}$ . For simplicity, assume  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ ;
- $\varphi^-(\tau) = \varphi(\tau)\varphi(\sigma\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1})$ .
- $\varphi^-|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)} \neq 1$  (locally) and  $\varphi^-$  has order  $\geq 3$  (globally).
- $\mathfrak{c}\infty$ : the conductor of  $\varphi$ , assume  $\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{c}^\sigma = O$  and  $\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{p}^\sigma = O$ .
- $F(\rho)$ : the splitting field of a Galois representation  $\rho$ ,  
 $F^{(p)}(\bar{\rho})$ : the maximal  $p$ -profinite extension of  $F(\bar{\rho})$  **unramified outside  $p$** .  
 $G = \text{Gal}(F^{(p)}(\bar{\rho})/\mathbb{Q}) \triangleright H = \text{Gal}(F^{(p)}(\bar{\rho})/F)$
- $p \nmid h_F h_{F(\varphi^-)}$  for the class number  $h_X$  of a number field  $X$ .
- $(\mathbb{T}, \rho_{\mathbb{T}} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}))$ : the universal pair among  $p$ -ordinary deformations with coefficients in local  $p$ -profinite  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebras with residue field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . (*CNL*: category of such algebras)
- $\mathbb{T}$  is an algebra over the Iwasawa algebra  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$  via  $\det(\rho_{\mathbb{T}})([u, \mathbb{Q}_p]) = t^{\log_p(u)/\log_p(1+p)}$  ( $t = 1 + T$ ) for  $u \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

§1. **Main Theorem.** *Suppose  $p \nmid h_F h_{F(\overline{\varphi}^-)}$ . We have*

$$\mathbb{T} = \Lambda[\sqrt{\langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1}]$$

for  $\langle \varepsilon \rangle = t^{\log_p(\varepsilon)/\log_p(1+p)}$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a fundamental unit of  $F$ .

- $Ad(\rho_{\mathbb{T}})$ : the adjoint action of  $\rho_{\mathbb{T}}$  on  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{T})$  and  $\Lambda_{\varepsilon} := \Lambda/(\langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1)$ .

**Corollary.** *For the adjoint Selmer group  $Sel(Ad(\rho_{\mathbb{T}}))$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,*

$$Sel(Ad(\rho_{\mathbb{T}})) \cong \Lambda_{\varepsilon}^{\vee} \quad (\text{the Pontryagin dual of } \Lambda_{\varepsilon}).$$

So  $Sel(Ad(\rho_{\mathbb{T}}))^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$  is a **semi-simple**  $\Lambda$ -module.

This follows from  $Sel(Ad(\rho_{\mathbb{T}}))^{\vee} \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{T}/\Lambda}$  (a theorem of Mazur).

**§2. Presentation Theorem.** Since  $\bar{\rho} \otimes \chi \cong \bar{\rho}$  for  $\chi = \left(\frac{F/\mathbb{Q}}{\cdot}\right)$ , we have an involution  $\iota \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}/\Lambda)$  such that  $\iota \circ \rho_{\mathbb{T}} \cong \rho_{\mathbb{T}} \otimes \chi$ . Let  $I := \mathbb{T}(\iota - 1)\mathbb{T}$ . Since  $\iota$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{T}/I$ , we have  $(\rho_{\mathbb{T}} \bmod I) = (\rho_{\mathbb{T}}^{\iota} \bmod I) \cong (\rho_{\mathbb{T}} \otimes \chi \bmod I)$ , and hence

$$(\rho_{\mathbb{T}} \bmod I) \cong \text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}} \Phi$$

for a character  $\Phi : H \rightarrow (\mathbb{T}/I)^{\times}$ . By the universality of  $(\mathbb{T}, \rho_{\mathbb{T}})$ ,

**Ind Lemma.**  $\mathbb{T}/I \cong \Lambda_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\Phi : H \rightarrow \Lambda_{\varepsilon}^{\times}$  is universal among characters of  $H$  deforming  $\bar{\varphi}$ , where  $\Lambda_{\varepsilon} = \mathbb{Z}_p[(O_p^{\times}/\overline{O^{\times}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p]$ .

**Presentation Theorem.** Let  $\mathbb{T}_+ := \{x \in \mathbb{T} \mid \iota(x) = x\}$ .

$\mathbb{T} \cong \Lambda[[X]]/(S) \quad X \mapsto \Theta \in \mathbb{T}, \quad \mathbb{T}_+ = \Lambda[[X^2]]/(S) \quad X^2 \mapsto \Theta^2 = \theta$   
with involution  $\iota_{\infty}$  of  $\Lambda[[X]]$  lifting  $\iota$ :  $\iota_{\infty}(X) = -X, \iota_{\infty}(S) = S$ .

This is done in “**Cyclicity of adjoint Selmer groups and fundamental units**” posted on the web by a  $\iota$ -compatible Taylor–Wiles patching argument.

§3. Weierstrass preparation. Note

$$I = \mathbb{T}(\iota - 1)\mathbb{T} = (\Theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}/(\Theta) \cong \Lambda_\varepsilon.$$

**Theorem:**  $S(X) = D(X)U(X)$  with  $D(0) = \langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1$  with a unique monic distinguished polynomial  $D(X)$  of degree  $e := \text{rank}_\Lambda \mathbb{T}$ .

For  $S = S(X) \in \Lambda[[X^2]]$ ,

$$\mathbb{T}/(\Theta) = \Lambda[[X]]/(X, S(X)) = \Lambda/(S(0)) = \Lambda_\varepsilon \Rightarrow S(0) = \langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1.$$

- By Weierstrass preparation theorem,  $S(X) = D(X)U(X)$  for a distinguished polynomial  $D(X) = X^e + \dots + D(0) \in \Lambda[X]$  for  $e = \text{rank}_\Lambda \mathbb{T}$  with  $D(X) \equiv X^e \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_\Lambda}$  and  $U(X) \in \Lambda^\times$ .
- $U(0)D(0) = S(0) = \langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1$ , replacing  $S(X)$  by  $U(0)^{-1}S(X)$ , we may assume  $D(0) = \langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1$ .

Pick a prime divisor  $P$  of  $\langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1$ . Do the same argument in  $\hat{\Lambda}_P[[X]]$  over the localized-completed DVR  $\hat{\Lambda}_P$ .

§4.  $D(X)$  is **Eisenstein**. We have

$$S(X) = D_P(X)U_P(X) \quad \text{with } D_P(X) \in \widehat{\Lambda}_P[x] \text{ and } U_P(X) \in \widehat{\Lambda}_P[[X]]^\times.$$

By the uniqueness of the decomposition,  $D = D_P$ , so,

$$D(X) \equiv X^e \pmod{P} \text{ and } P \parallel (\langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1) = D(0).$$

Since  $(\langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1) = (t^{p^{m-1}} - 1)$  ( $m = \text{ord}_p(\varepsilon^{p-1} - 1)$ ) is **square-free**,

$D(X)$  is an **Eisenstein polynomial** with respect to  $P$ .

**Irreducibility Theorem.**  $\mathbb{T} = \Lambda[X]/(D(X))$  is an integral domain fully ramified at each prime factor  $P$  of  $\langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1$ .

**If  $e = 2$ , we have**  $\mathbb{T} \cong \Lambda[\sqrt{\langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1}]$ .

$\mathbb{T}$  is regular  $\Leftrightarrow \text{ord}_p(\varepsilon^{p-1} - 1) = m = 1$  as  $(\langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1) = (t^{p^{m-1}} - 1)$ ;

• If  $F = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$ ,  $m > 1 \Leftrightarrow p$  is **Wall-Sun-Sun primes** (1992).

No Wall-Sun-Sun primes  $\leq 2.6 \times 10^{17}$ .

•  $p = 191, 693, \dots$  are for such for  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{10}]$ .

## §5. Pseudo character (start of the proof of $e = 2$ ).

• A pseudo-character  $T : X \rightarrow A$  ( $A \in CNL$ ) from an  $A$ -algebra  $X$  is a function satisfying

$$(T1) \quad T(1) = 2;$$

$$(T2) \quad T(rs) = T(sr) \text{ for all } r, s \in X;$$

$$(T3) \quad T(r)T(s)T(t) + T(rst) + T(tsr) - T(rs)T(t) - \dots = 0;$$

$$(T4) \quad r \mapsto \det(T) = \frac{1}{2}(T(r)^2 - T(r^2)) \text{ is multiplicative.}$$

**Cayley–Hamilton** (CH) representation lifting  $T$ :

• An  $A$ -GMA  $E$  is an  $A$ -algebra of the form  $E = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & A \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A$ -modules  $B$  and  $C$  equipped with product law  $B \otimes C \rightarrow A$ . The product of  $E$  is then given by usual matrix product.

• A homomorphism  $\rho : X \rightarrow E$  is a **CH representation** of  $T$  if

$$T = \text{Tr}(\rho), \quad \det(T) = \det(\rho), \quad \rho(r)^2 - T(r)\rho(r) + \det(T) = 0.$$

## §6. Universal ordinary CH representation.

- A CH representation  $\rho : \mathbb{Z}_p[H] \rightarrow E$  (continuous with respect to the topology induced by  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[H]]$ ) is called **ordinary** if

$$b(D_{\mathfrak{p}\sigma}) = 0, \quad a(I_{\mathfrak{p}\sigma}) = 1, \quad c(D_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$$

writing  $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . This definition is similar to the one by Wang-Erickson and Wake over  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ .

- There is a universal triple

$$(R^{ord}, E^{ord}, \rho^{ord} : H \rightarrow E^{ord}) \quad \text{deforming} \quad \text{Tr}(\overline{\rho})|_H$$

made of  $R^{ord} \in \text{CNL}$ , a  $R^{ord}$ -GMA  $E^{ord} = \begin{pmatrix} R^{ord} & B^{ord} \\ C^{ord} & R^{ord} \end{pmatrix}$  and a CH representation  $\rho^{ord}$  such that we have a unique  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra morphism  $\phi_R : R^{ord} \rightarrow A$  and an  $R^{ord}$ -GMA homomorphism  $\phi_E : E^{ord} \rightarrow E$  such that  $\text{Tr}(\rho) = \phi_R \circ \text{Tr}(\rho^{ord})$  and  $\phi_E \circ \rho^{ord} \cong \rho$  for any ordinary CH representation  $\rho : H \rightarrow E$  deforming  $\overline{\varphi} \oplus \overline{\varphi}_\varsigma$ .



## §7. Universal ordinary reducible CH representation.

• Universal “reducibility” locus:  $\text{Spec}(R^{red}) \subset \text{Spec}(R^{ord})$  such that  $\rho^{red} = \rho^{ord} \otimes 1 : H \rightarrow E^{ord} \otimes_{R^{ord}} R^{red} =: E^{red} = \begin{pmatrix} R^{red} & B^{red} \\ C^{red} & R^{red} \end{pmatrix}$  satisfies the universality among reducible ordinary CH deformation. Again by universality,  $\rho_{11}^{red} = \Phi$  and  $\underline{R^{red}} \cong \Lambda_\varepsilon$ .

• By Bellaïshe–Chenevier, the **reducibility** ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $R^{ord}$  giving  $R^{red} = R^{ord}/\mathcal{J}$  is the **image** in  $R^{ord}$  of  $B^{ord} \otimes_{R^{ord}} C^{ord}$ .

Let  $L_{\mathfrak{p}}/F(\Phi^-)$  be the maximal (only)  $p$ -abelian  $\mathfrak{p}$ -ramified extension with Galois group  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Write  $\mathcal{Y}(\varphi^-)$  the  $\varphi^-$ -branch of  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

**Reducibility Theorem.** We have  $E^{red} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_\varepsilon & \mathcal{Y}(\varphi^-) \\ \sigma\mathcal{Y}(\varphi^-)\sigma^{-1} & \Lambda_\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$ ; so,

$$B^{red} \cong \mathcal{Y}(\varphi^-) \cong \Lambda_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad C^{red} \cong \sigma\mathcal{Y}(\varphi^-)\sigma^{-1} \cong \Lambda_\varepsilon$$

as  $R^{red}$ -modules (the cyclicity follows from **cyclicity** paper). The product map  $B^{red} \otimes C^{red} \xrightarrow{0} R^{red}$  is the **zero** map.

§8. **Univ Theorem:**  $R^{ord} \cong \mathbb{T}_+$ ,  $E^{ord} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{T}_+ & \mathbb{T}_- \\ \mathbb{T}_- & \mathbb{T}_+ \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\rho^{ord} = \rho_{\mathbb{T}|H}$ .

*Proof.* By universality, we have  $\pi : R^{ord} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{T}_+$  ( $\pi$  is the dual of  $\rho \mapsto \text{Tr}(\rho|_H)$ ); so,  $\mathcal{J}^n / \mathcal{J}^{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow I_+^n / I_+^{n+1}$  for  $I_+ := \mathbb{T}_+ \cap I = (\Theta^2)$ .

- By cyclicity over  $\Lambda_\varepsilon$  of  $\mathcal{Y}(\varphi^-)$ , we find  $B^{ord} / \mathcal{J}B^{ord}$  is cyclic over  $R^{ord}$ . By NAK,  $B^{ord}$  is cyclic over  $R^{ord}$ ;  $C^{ord}$  is cyclic over  $R^{ord}$ .
- Since  $\mathcal{J}$  is the image of  $B^{ord} \otimes_{R^{ord}} C^{ord}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$  is cyclic; so,  $\mathcal{J}$  is a principal ideal  $(\eta)$  ( $\eta \in R^{ord}$ ). For all  $n > 0$  we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^{ord}/(\eta) = R^{red} = \Lambda_\varepsilon & \xrightarrow{=} & \Lambda_\varepsilon = \mathbb{T}_+ / (\theta) \\ \begin{array}{c} x \mapsto \eta^n x \\ \downarrow \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \wr \downarrow x \mapsto \theta^n x \\ \downarrow \end{array} \\ \mathcal{J}^n / \mathcal{J}^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\twoheadrightarrow} & I_+^n / I_+^{n+1} \end{array}$$

- We find that  $\mathcal{J}^n / \mathcal{J}^{n+1} \cong I_+^n / I_+^{n+1} \Rightarrow R^{ord} = \mathbb{T}_+$ .
- Since  $B^{ord}$  is generated by one element by NAK and  $\mathbb{T}_-$  is free of rank 1 over  $\mathbb{T}_+$ ,  $E^{ord} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{T}_+ & \mathbb{T}_- \\ \mathbb{T}_- & \mathbb{T}_+ \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\rho^{ord} = \rho_{\mathbb{T}|H}$ . □

## §9. $p$ -Inertia Theorem.

$$\rho_{\mathbb{T}}(I) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in t^{\mathbb{Z}_p}, b \in \Theta\Lambda \right\}$$

for the  $p$ -inertia subgroup  $I \subset \text{Gal}(F(\rho_{\mathbb{T}})/F(\bar{\rho}))$ .

**Corollary.** For a Hecke eigen form  $f$  of weight  $\geq 2$  whose Galois representation  $\rho_f$  is a deformation of  $\text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\varphi}$ , the restriction of  $\rho_f$  to the inertia subgroup at  $p$  is **indecomposable**.

Write  $\rho^{ord} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Then the corresponding Wiles' pseudo representation  $\pi^{ord} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{x}) : H \rightarrow R^{ord}$  for  $\mathbf{x}(h, h') = \mathbf{b}(h)\mathbf{c}(h')$  is universal among deformations of the Wiles' pseudo representation associated to  $\rho(\bar{\rho})$ . Therefore  $(\mathbb{T}_+, \pi^{ord})$  is **the universal couple also for Wiles' pseudo deformation**. This point is important as I work in Wiles' theory. Though we go a different path, Betina found a criterion for the **eigencurve**  $C$  has ramification index 2 at  $\text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}} \varphi$  over the weight space.

§10. **Betina's criterion for  $e = 2$ .**

• Local ramification index to be 2 at  $\wp \sim \text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}} \varphi \in C \Rightarrow \mathbb{T} = \Lambda[\sqrt{\langle \varepsilon \rangle - 1}]$  (**Irreducibility Theorem**).

• Betina's criterion: Let  $M_l$  be the maximal  $p$ -abelian extension of  $F(\varphi^-)$  unramified outside  $l$  and  $M_\infty := M_p M_{p^\sigma}$ . Let  $M_\infty$  be the maximal multiple  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions of  $F(\text{Ad}(\bar{\rho}))$  inside  $M_\infty$  and  $L_\infty/M_\infty$  be the maximal unramified  $p$ -abelian extension of  $M_\infty$ .  $X := \text{Gal}(L_\infty/M_\infty)$  is a module over  $\text{Gal}(M_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$  and  $X_\chi$  be the maximal  $\chi$ -quotient of  $X$ . Thus  $X_\chi = \text{Gal}(L_\chi/M_\infty)$ . Let  $F''$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $F(\varphi^-)$  inside  $M_\infty$ . Then

**$e = 2$  if for example  $L_\chi/F''$  is abelian.**

• Since  $\text{Gal}(M_\infty/F(\varphi^-)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^s$  for some large  $s$ , it is difficult to verify his criterion.

• If this criterion is met, Betina shows the triviality of the pseudo representation  $(a', d', x')$  associated to the tangent vector at the point  $\wp \in C$ ; so,  $e = 2$ . We try to prove triviality differently.

§11. **Pink Theory:** Compositio **88** (1993).

R. Pink gave a classification of  $p$ -profinite subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{T})$ :

- Let  $L : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{T})$  by  $L(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(x)1_2$ , and define the topological  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -span  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $L(\mathcal{G})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a Lie algebra.

- Define the central descending sequence:  $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_2 = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$  (the commutator subgroup) and  $\mathcal{G}_n := (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_{n-1})$  for  $n > 2$ . Similarly  $\mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}_3 = [\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{G}_2]$  (Lie bracket), and  $\mathfrak{G}_n = [\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G}_{n-1}]$  for  $n > 2$ .

Then  $L$  induces conjugate equivariant group isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}_n/\mathcal{G}_{n+1} \cong \mathfrak{G}_n/\mathfrak{G}_{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 2$  and  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{G}_2$  becomes isomorphism after twisting suitable the abelian group structure of  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{G}_2$ .

- Split  $\text{Gal}(F(\rho)/F) = \Delta \rtimes H_p$  with  $\Delta \cong \text{Gal}(F(\bar{\rho})/F)$  and  $H_p$   $p$ -profinite. Let  $\mathcal{G} := \text{Ker}(\det \circ \rho|_{H_p}) \cap \text{Ker}(\Phi^-)$ . Note

$H_p = Z \times \mathcal{G}$  with  $Z \cong t^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ . For simplicity we ignore the center  $Z$  so small.

- Pick a prime  $P|T$ . Then  $\varphi = \Phi \pmod{P}$ , and  $(A := \hat{\mathbb{T}}_{+,P}, \pi^{ord})$  is a universal pair over  $\hat{\Lambda}_P$  ( $P$ -adic localization completion) of Wiles' pseudo representation deforming  $\text{Tr}(\text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}} \varphi)|_H$ . Writing  $B = \hat{\Lambda}_P$ . We show  $t^* = t^*_{A/B} = \mathfrak{m}_A/(\mathfrak{m}_A^2 + \mathfrak{m}_B) = 0$ ; so,  $A = B$  and hence  $\mathbb{T}_+ = \Lambda$ .

## §12. How to prove $\mathbb{T}_+ = B$ .

- By presentation  $\dim_{\kappa} t^* \leq 1$  for  $\kappa = A/\mathfrak{m}_A$  (residue field of  $P$ ). We have  $A/(\mathfrak{m}_A^2 + \mathfrak{m}_B) = \kappa[t^*] \hookrightarrow \kappa[\epsilon]$  for dual number  $\epsilon$ . Regard  $\pi := \pi^{ord} \bmod (\mathfrak{m}_A^2 + \mathfrak{m}_B)$  as having values in  $\kappa[\epsilon]$ . Need to show  $\pi$  is the deformation of  $(\Phi, \Phi_c, 0)$ .
- By the action of  $\Delta$ ,  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{G}^\Delta \oplus \mathfrak{G}^-$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}^\pm$  being  $(\varphi^-)^{\pm 1}$ -eigenspace. Here  $\mathfrak{G}^+$  is upper nilpotent,  $\mathfrak{G}^\Delta$  is diagonal and  $\mathfrak{G}^-$  is lower nilpotent.  $\exp(\mathfrak{G}^\pm) = 1 + \mathfrak{G}^\pm \subset \mathcal{G}$  is the inertia group at  $\mathfrak{p}_\pm$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{G}$  be made of diagonal matrices; so,  $\mathcal{G} = \exp(\mathfrak{G}^-)\mathcal{D}\exp(\mathfrak{G}^+)$ . On  $\mathcal{D}\exp(\mathfrak{G}^+) \cup \exp(\mathfrak{G}^-)\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}(g, h) = \mathbf{b}(g)\mathbf{c}(h) = 0$ .
- One can show that  $\pi$  factors through  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_3$  (Ballaiche-Chenevier theory). By vanishing of  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $x = 0$  on  $\exp(\mathfrak{G}^-)\mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}_3 \cup \mathcal{D}\exp(\mathfrak{G}^+)\mathcal{G}_3$ ; so,  $a(lg) = a(l)a(g)$  and  $a(gr) = a(g)a(r)$  for  $r \in \exp(\mathfrak{G}^+)\mathcal{D}\mathcal{G}_3$ ,  $l \in \mathcal{D}\exp(\mathfrak{G}^-)\mathcal{G}_3$  and any  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . For the unipotent part,  $a = d = 1$ ; so,  $a$  is determined by the restriction to  $\mathcal{D}$  modulo  $\mathcal{G}_3$  which is  $\mathcal{G}$ -conjugate invariant; so,  $a$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -conjugate invariant and hence is a character.  $a$  being a deformation of  $\varphi$  is easy.