

* Ring theoretic properties of Hecke algebras and Cyclicity in Iwasawa theory

Haruzo Hida

Department of Mathematics, UCLA,
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, U.S.A.

A talk in June, 2016
at Banff conference center.

*The author is partially supported by the NSF grant: DMS 1464106.

We can formulate certain Gorenstein property of subrings of the universal deformation ring (i.e., the corresponding Hecke algebra) as a condition almost equivalent to the cyclicity of the Iwasawa module over \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions of an imaginary quadratic field if the starting residual representation is induced from the imaginary quadratic field. I will discuss this fact in some details.

§0. Setting over an imaginary quadratic field. Let F be an imaginary quadratic field with discriminant $-D$ and integer ring O . Assume that the prime (p) **splits** into $(p) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ in O with $\mathfrak{p} \neq \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let L/F be a \mathbb{Z}_p -extension with group $\Gamma_L := \text{Gal}(L/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Take a branch character $\bar{\phi} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ (for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$) with its Teichmüller lift ϕ with values in $W = W(\mathbb{F})$. Regard it as an idele character $\phi : F_{\mathbb{A}}^\times / F^\times \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ with

$$W = \mathbb{Z}_p[\phi] := \mathbb{Z}_p[\phi(x) | x \in F_{\mathbb{A}}^\times] \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p.$$

Consider the Iwasawa algebra $W[[\Gamma_L]] = \varprojlim_n W[\Gamma_L/\Gamma_L^{p^n}]$.

Let $F(\phi)/F$ be the extension cut out by ϕ (i.e., $F(\phi) = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{Ker}(\phi)}$). Let Y_L be the Galois group of the maximal p -abelian extension over the composite $L(\phi) := L \cdot F(\phi)$ **unramified outside** \mathfrak{p} . By the splitting: $\text{Gal}(L(\phi)/F) = \text{Gal}(F(\phi)/F) \times \Gamma_L$, we have

$$Y_L(\phi) := Y_L \otimes_{W[\text{Gal}(F(\phi)/F)], \phi} W \text{ (the } \phi\text{-eigenspace)}.$$

This is a **torsion** module over $W[[\Gamma_L]]$ of finite type by Rubin.

§1. Cyclicity conjecture for an anti-cyclotomic branch. Let c be complex conjugation in $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. Suppose that $\phi(x) = \varphi(x)\varphi(x^{-c}) := \varphi^-(x)$ for a finite order character $\varphi : F_{\mathbb{A}}^{\times}/F^{\times} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$.

Conjecture for L : *Assume $\varphi^- \neq 1$ and that the conductor φ is a product of split primes over \mathbb{Q} . If the class number h_F of F is prime to p , then $Y_L(\varphi^-)$ is pseudo isomorphic to $W[[\Gamma_L]]/(f_L)$ as $W[[\Gamma_L]]$ -modules for an element $f_L \in W[[\Gamma_L]]$.*

We know $f_L \neq 0$ by Rubin. For some specific \mathbb{Z}_p -extension (e.g., the anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension), we know that (f_L) is prime to $pW[[\Gamma_L]]$ (vanishing of the μ -invariant).

The anti-cyclotomic cyclicity conjecture is the one for the anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension $L = F_{\infty}^-$ such that on $\Gamma_- := \Gamma_{F_{\infty}^-}$, we have $c\sigma c^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}$. Write $Y^-(\varphi^-)$ for the $W[[\Gamma_-]]$ -module $Y_{F_{\infty}^-}(\varphi^-)$.

§2. Anti-cyclotomic cyclicity $\Leftrightarrow L$ -cyclicity.

In this talk, we only deal with “**pure**” cyclicity. Hereafter, we suppose

- (H1) We have $\phi = \varphi^-$ for a character φ of conductor \mathfrak{c} with $\mathfrak{c} + (p) = \mathcal{O}$ and of order prime to p ,
- (H2) $N = DN_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{c})$ for an \mathcal{O} -ideal \mathfrak{c} prime to D with square-free $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{c})$ (so, N is cube-free),
- (H3) p is prime to $N \prod_{l|N} (l-1)$ for prime factors l of N ,
- (H4) the character φ^- has order at least 3,
- (H5) the class number of F is prime to p .

We first note:

Theorem 1. *The anticyclotomic pure cyclicity is equivalent to the pure cyclicity for $Y_L(\varphi^-)$. (Note that the branch character is anti-cyclotomic.)*

This follows from a control theorem of Rubin.

§3. Anti-cyclotomic Cyclicity and Hecke algebra. Anti-cyclotomic cyclicity follows from a **ring theoretic assertion** on the big ordinary Hecke algebra \mathfrak{h} . We identify the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda = W[[\Gamma]]$ with the one variable power series ring $W[[T]]$ by $\Gamma \ni \gamma = (1 + p) \mapsto t = 1 + T \in \Lambda$. Take a Dirichlet character $\psi : (\mathbb{Z}/Np\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow W^\times$, and consider the big ordinary Hecke algebra \mathfrak{h} (over Λ) of prime-to- p level N and the character ψ . We just mention here the following three facts about \mathfrak{h} :

- \mathfrak{h} is an algebra flat over the Iwasawa (weight) algebra $\Lambda := W[[T]]$ **interpolating** p -ordinary Hecke algebras of level Np^{r+1} , of weight $k + 1 \geq 2$ and of character $\epsilon\psi\omega^{-k}$, where $\epsilon : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mu_{p^r}$ ($r \geq 0$) and $k \geq 1$ vary. If N is cube-free, \mathfrak{h} is a **reduced** algebra;
- Each prime $P \in \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{h})$ has a unique Galois representation

$$\rho_P : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\kappa(P)), \quad \text{Tr}\rho_P(\text{Frob}_l) = T(l) \pmod{P} \quad (l \nmid Np)$$

for the residue field $\kappa(P)$ of P ;

- $\rho_P|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_P & * \\ 0 & \delta_P \end{pmatrix}$ with unramified quotient character δ_P .

§4. Ring theoretic setting.

Let $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{T})$ be the connected component of $\text{Spec}(\mathfrak{h})$ of $\bar{\rho} := \rho_{\mathbb{T}} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{T}} = \text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\varphi}$. Since \mathbb{T} is universal among ordinary deformation of $\bar{\rho}$ with certain extra properties insensitive to the twist $\rho \mapsto \rho \otimes \chi$ for $\chi = \left(\frac{F/\mathbb{Q}}{\cdot}\right)$, \mathbb{T} has an **algebra involution** σ over Λ coming from the twist. For any ring A with an involution σ , we put $A_{\pm} = A^{\pm} := \{x \in A \mid \sigma(x) = \pm x\}$. Then $A_+ \subset A$ is a subring and A_- is an A_+ -module.

It is easy to see

- For the ideal I of \mathbb{T} generated by \mathbb{T}_- (the “−” eigenspace), we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{T}/I \cong W[[\Gamma_-]]$ as Λ -algebras, where the Λ -algebra structure is given by sending $u \in \Gamma$ naturally into $u \in O_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times} = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ and then projecting the local Artin symbol $\tau = [u, F_{\mathfrak{p}}] \in \Gamma$ to $\sqrt{\tau c \tau^{-1} c^{-1}} = \tau^{(1-c)/2} \in \Gamma_-$.

§5. Non CM components.

- The fixed points $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{T})^{\sigma=1}$ is known to be canonically isomorphic to $\text{Spec}(W[[\Gamma_-]])$,
- $Y^-(\varphi^-) \neq 0$ if and only if σ is non-trivial on \mathbb{T} (and hence $\mathbb{T} \neq W[[\Gamma_-]]$).
- The ring \mathbb{T} is reduced (as N is cube-free), and for the kernel $I = \mathbb{T}(\sigma - 1)\mathbb{T} = \text{Ker}(\mathbb{T} \twoheadrightarrow W[[\Gamma_-]])$, I span over $\text{Frac}(\Lambda)$ a ring direct summand X complementary to $\text{Frac}(W[[\Gamma_-]])$.

We write \mathbb{T}^{ncm} for the image of \mathbb{T} in the ring direct summand X (and call it the non-CM component of \mathbb{T}). Plainly \mathbb{T}^{ncm} is stable under σ , but

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{T}^{\text{ncm}})^{\sigma=1} \text{ has codimension 1 in } \text{Spec}(\mathbb{T}^{\text{ncm}}),$$

which does not therefore contain an irreducible component.

§6. Galois deformation theory. By irreducibility of $\bar{\rho}$, we have a Galois representation

$$\rho_{\mathbb{T}} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}) \quad \text{with } \text{Tr}(\rho_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Frob}_l)) = T(l)$$

for all primes $l \nmid Np$. By the celebrated $R = \mathbb{T}$ theorem of Taylor–Wiles, the couple $(\mathbb{T}, \rho_{\mathbb{T}})$ is universal among deformations $\rho : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(A)$ satisfying

(D1) $\rho \bmod \mathfrak{m}_A \cong \bar{\rho}$.

(D2) $\rho|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & * \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ with δ unramified.

(D3) $\det(\rho)|_{I_l} = \psi_l$ for the l -part ψ_l of ψ for each prime $l|N$.

(D4) $\det(\rho)|_{I_p} \equiv \psi|_{I_p} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_A$ ($\Leftrightarrow \epsilon|_{I_p} \equiv \psi|_{I_p} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_A$).

By the $R = \mathbb{T}$ theorem and a theorem of Mazur, if $p \nmid h_F$,

$$I/I^2 = \Omega_{\mathbb{T}/\Lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{T}} W[[\Gamma_-]] \cong Y^-(\varphi^-),$$

and principality of I implies cyclicity.

§7. Theorem.

Theorem A: *Suppose (H1–5). Then for the following statements (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftarrow (4):*

(1) *The rings \mathbb{T}^{ncm} and $\mathbb{T}_+^{\text{ncm}}$ are both local complete intersections free of finite rank over Λ .*

(2) *The \mathbb{T}^{ncm} -ideal $I = \mathbb{T}(\sigma - 1)\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{T}^{\text{ncm}}$ is principal and is generated by a non-zero-divisor $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_- = \mathbb{T}_-^{\text{ncm}}$ with $\theta^2 \in \mathbb{T}_+^{\text{ncm}}$, and $\mathbb{T}^{\text{ncm}} = \mathbb{T}_+^{\text{ncm}}[\theta]$ is free of rank 2 over $\mathbb{T}_+^{\text{ncm}}$.*

(3) *The Iwasawa module $Y^-(\varphi^-)$ is cyclic over $W[[\Gamma_-]]$.*

(4) *The Iwasawa module $Y^-(\varphi^- \omega)$ is cyclic over $W[[\Gamma_-]]$.*

Under the condition (4), the ring \mathbb{T}_+ is a local complete intersection.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) **follows from** $I/I^2 \cong Y^-(\varphi^-)$, and we expect (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) (a sort of modulo p Tate duality).

§8. **A key duality lemma** from the theory of dualizing modules by Grothendieck, Hartshorne and Kleiman in a simplest case:

Lemma 1 (Key lemma). *Let S be a p -profinite Gorenstein integral domain and A be a reduced Gorenstein local S -algebra free of finite rank over S . Suppose*

- *A has a ring involution σ with $A_+ := \{a \in A \mid \sigma(a) = a\}$,*
- *A_+ is Gorenstein,*
- *$\text{Frac}(A)/\text{Frac}(A_+)$ is étale quadratic extension.*
- *$\mathfrak{d}_{A/A_+}^{-1} := \{x \in \text{Frac}(A) \mid \text{Tr}_{A/A_+}(xA) \subset A_+\} \supsetneq A$,*
Then A is free of rank 2 over A_+ and $A = A_+ \oplus A_+\delta$ for an element $\delta \in A$ with $\sigma(\delta) = -\delta$.

Lemma 2. *Let S be a Gorenstein local ring. Let A be a local Cohen–Macaulay ring and is an S -algebra with $\dim A = \dim S$. If A is an S -module of finite type, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- *The local ring A is Gorenstein;*
- *$A^\dagger := \text{Hom}_S(A, S) \cong A$ as A -modules.*

§9. We can apply the key lemmas to \mathbb{T}^{ncm} : (1) \Leftrightarrow (2).

(1) \Rightarrow (2): \mathbb{T}^{ncm} and $\mathbb{T}_+^{\text{ncm}}$ are local complete intersections by assumption; so, Gorenstein.

Use of Main conjecture: The proof of the anti-cyclotomic Main conjecture by Mazur–Tilouine (combined with a theorem of Tate on Gorenstein rings [MFG, Lemma 5.21]) shows

$$\mathbb{T}^{\text{ncm}}/\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{T}^{\text{ncm}}/\mathbb{T}_+^{\text{ncm}}} \cong W[[\Gamma_-]]/(L_p^-(\varphi^-)) \text{ (Congruence module identity);}$$

so, $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathbb{T}^{\text{ncm}}/\mathbb{T}_+^{\text{ncm}}} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{T}^{\text{ncm}}}$ for the anti-cyclotomic Katz p -adic L -function $L_p^-(\varphi^-)$. The key lemma tells us (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1): We have $I = (\theta) \subset \mathbb{T}^{\text{ncm}}$ and $I_+ = (\theta^2) \subset \mathbb{T}_+^{\text{ncm}}$.

Note that $\mathbb{T}^{\text{ncm}}/(\theta) \cong W[[\Gamma_-]]/(L_p(\varphi^-)) \cong \mathbb{T}_+^{\text{ncm}}/(\theta^2)$. Since θ is a non-zero divisor, the two rings \mathbb{T}^{ncm} and $\mathbb{T}_+^{\text{ncm}}$ are local complete intersection.

§10. Presentation of \mathbb{T} .

To see a possibility of applying the key lemma to \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T}_+ , we like to lift \mathbb{T} to a power series ring $\mathcal{R} = \Lambda[[T_1, \dots, T_r]]$ with an involution σ_∞ such that $\mathcal{R}^+ := \{r \in \mathcal{R} \mid \sigma_\infty(r) = -r\}$ is Gorenstein and that $(\mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{A}, \sigma_\infty \bmod \mathfrak{A}) \cong (\mathbb{T}, \sigma)$ for an ideal \mathfrak{A} stable under σ_∞ .

Taylor and Wiles (with a later idea of Diamond and Fujiwara) found a pair $(\mathcal{R} := \Lambda[[T_1, \dots, T_r]], (S_1, \dots, S_r))$ with a regular sequence $S := (S_1, \dots, S_r) \subset \Lambda[[T_1, \dots, T_r]]$ such that

$$\Lambda[[T_1, \dots, T_r]] / (S_1, \dots, S_r) \cong \mathbb{T}$$

by their Taylor–Wiles system argument.

We need to lift σ somehow to an involution $\sigma_\infty \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ and show also that \mathcal{R}^+ is Gorenstein. If further $\mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}^-} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}}$, $\mathcal{R} \cdot \mathcal{R}^- = (\delta_\infty)$ and the image $\delta \in \mathbb{T}^-$ of δ_∞ in \mathbb{T} generates I as desired.

§11. Taylor–Wiles method. Taylor–Wiles found an integer $r > 0$ and an infinite sequence of r -sets $\mathcal{Q} := \{Q_m | m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of primes $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^m}$ such that for **the local ring** \mathbb{T}^{Q_m} of $\bar{\rho}$ of the Hecke algebra \mathfrak{h}^{Q_m} of tame-level $N_m = N \prod_{q \in Q_m} q$. The pair $(\mathbb{T}^{Q_m}, \rho_{\mathbb{T}^{Q_m}})$ is universal among deformation satisfying (D1–4) but ramification at $q \in Q_m$ is allowed. Then $\rho \mapsto \rho \otimes \chi$ induces an involution σ_{Q_m} and $\mathbb{T}_+^{Q_m} := \{x \in \mathbb{T}^{Q_m} | \sigma_{Q_m}(x) = x\}$ is Gorenstein.

Actually they work with $\mathbb{T}_{Q_m} = \mathbb{T}^{Q_m} / (t - \gamma^k) \mathbb{T}^{Q_m}$ ($t = 1 + T$, $\gamma = 1 + p \in \Gamma$; the weight k Hecke algebra of weight $k \geq 2$ fixed). The product inertia group $I_{Q_m} = \prod_{q \in Q_m} I_q$ acts on \mathbb{T}_{Q_m} by the p -abelian quotient Δ_{Q_m} of $\prod_{q \in Q_m} (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$. We choose an ordering of primes $Q_m = \{q_1, \dots, q_r\}$ and a generator $\delta_{i, m(n)}$ of the p -Sylow group of $(\mathbb{Z}/q_i\mathbb{Z})^\times$. The sequence \mathcal{Q} is chosen so that for a given integer $n > 0$, we can find $m = m(n) > n$ so that we have ring projection maps $R_{n+1} \rightarrow R_n := \mathbb{T}_{Q_{m(n)}} / (p^n, \delta_{i, m(n)}^{p^n} - 1)_i$, and $R_\infty = \varprojlim_n R_n \cong W[[T_1, \dots, T_r]]$ and $S_i = \varprojlim_n (\delta_{i, m(n)} - 1)$.

§12. Lifting involution.

Write $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_n$ for the image of $W[[S]]$ for $S = (S_1, \dots, S_r)$ in R_n ($\bar{\mathcal{S}}_n$ is a Gorenstein local ring). We can add the involution to this projective system and an R_n -**linear isomorphism** $\phi_n : R_n^\dagger := \text{Hom}_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}_n}(R_n, \bar{\mathcal{S}}_n) \cong R_n$ commuting with the involution σ_n of R_n induced by $\sigma_{Q_{m(n)}}$ to the Taylor-Wiles system, and get the lifting

$$\sigma_\infty \in \text{Aut}(R_\infty)$$

$$\text{with } \phi_\infty : R_\infty^\dagger := \text{Hom}_{W[[S]]}(R_\infty, W[[S]]) \cong R_\infty$$

compatible with σ_∞ ; i.e., $\phi_\infty \circ \sigma_\infty = \sigma_\infty \circ \phi_\infty$. This shows

$$R_\infty^{+, \dagger} \cong R_\infty^+$$

as R_∞^+ -modules, as desired. Then we can further lift involution to $\mathcal{R} = \Lambda[[T_1, \dots, T_r]]$ as $\mathcal{R}/(t - \gamma^k) = R_\infty$ for $t = 1 + T$.

The remaining point of the key lemma I have not done is to show

$$\mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}^+} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}}?$$

§13. Index set of Q_m (towards (4) \Leftrightarrow (2)).

Write \mathcal{D}_q for the local version of the deformation functor associated to (D1–4) adding a fixed determinant condition

(det) $\det(\rho) = \nu^k \psi$ for the chosen $k \geq 2$ (the weight condition); so, the tangent spec of \mathbb{T} is given by a Selmer group $\text{Sel}(Ad)$ for $Ad = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$.

Then the index set of Q_m is any choice of \mathbb{F} -basis of a “dual” Selmer group. Regard $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon])$ for the dual number ϵ as a subspace of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_q, Ad)$ in the standard way: Thus we have the orthogonal complement $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon])^\perp \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}_q, Ad^*(1))$ under Tate local duality. The dual Selmer group $\text{Sel}^\perp(Ad^*(1))$ is given by

$$\text{Sel}^\perp(Ad^*(1)) := \text{Ker}(H^1(\mathbb{Q}^{(Np)}/\mathbb{Q}, Ad^*(1)) \rightarrow \prod_{l|Np} \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_l, Ad^*(1))}{\mathcal{D}_l(\mathbb{F}[\epsilon])^\perp}).$$

Then $r = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Sel}^\perp(Ad^*(1))$.

§14. Interpretation of the dual Selmer group.

Define $Q_m^\pm := \{q \in Q_m \mid \chi(q) = \pm q\}$. Then if S_q is the variable in $W[[S]]$ corresponding from $q \in Q_m^\pm$, then $\sigma(1 + S_q) = (1 + S_q)^{\pm 1}$.

We have splitting $Ad = \bar{\chi} \oplus \text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\varphi}^-$; so, $\text{Sel}^\perp(Ad^*(1)) = \text{Sel}^\perp(\bar{\chi}(1)) \oplus \text{Sel}^\perp(\text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{\varphi}^-(1)))$ and

$$\text{Sel}^\perp(\text{Ind}_F^{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{\varphi}^-(1))) = \text{Hom}_{W[[\Gamma_-]]}(Y^-(\varphi^- \omega), \mathbb{F}).$$

Thus the number $\mu_{W[[S]]_+}(W[[S]]_-)$ of generators of $W[[S]]_-$ over $W[[S]]_+$ is

$$\mu_{W[[S]]_+}(W[[S]]_-) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} Y^-(\varphi^- \omega) \otimes_{W[[\Gamma_-]]} \mathbb{F}.$$

Writing a number of generators of an A -module M over A as $\mu_A(M)$, we thus have

$$\mu_{W[[S]]_+}(W[[S]]_-) = \text{codim}_{\text{Spec}(W[[S]])} \text{Spec}(W[[S]])^{\sigma=1}.$$

§15. Generator count $\mu_{R_\infty^+}(R_\infty^-)$ of R_∞^- .

Lemma 3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{R_\infty^+}(R_\infty^-) &= \text{codim}_{\text{Spec}(W[[S]])} \text{Spec}(W[[S]])^{\sigma=1} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}} Y^-(\varphi^- \omega) \otimes_{W[[\Gamma_-]]} \mathbb{F}. \end{aligned}$$

In the construction of Taylor–Wiles system, for each $q \in Q_m$, an eigenvalue of $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_q)$ is chosen, which is equivalent to choose a factor $\mathfrak{q}|q$ if $q \in Q_m^+$.

Then $\prod_{q \in Q_m^+} (O_F/\mathfrak{q})^\times$ has p -Sylow subgroup $\Delta_{Q_m^+}$. The projective limit $\varprojlim_n \Delta_{Q_{m(n)}} / \Delta_{Q_{m(n)}}^{p^n}$ gives rise to a group isomorphic to $\Delta_+ := \mathbb{Z}_p^{r_+}$ for $r_+ = |Q_m^+|$.

§16. QED.

Let $I_\infty = R_\infty(\sigma - 1)R_\infty$, $I^Q = \mathbb{T}^Q(\sigma - 1)\mathbb{T}^Q$ and $H_Q = \Gamma_- \times \Delta_{Q+}$.
By $\mathbb{T}^Q/I^Q \cong W[[H_Q]] = \mathbb{T}_+^Q/I_+^Q$, we get

$$R_\infty/I_\infty \cong W[[\Delta_+]] \cong R_\infty^+/I_\infty^+.$$

Note that

$$\text{Spec}(R_\infty)^{\sigma=1} = \text{Spec}(R_\infty/I_\infty) = \text{Spec}(W[[\Delta_+]]).$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{R_\infty^+}(R_\infty^-) &= \text{codim}_{\text{Spec}(W[[S]])} \text{Spec}(W[[\Delta_+]]) \\ &= r_- = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} Y^-(\varphi^- \omega) \otimes_{W[[\Gamma_-]]} \mathbb{F}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the implication (4) \Rightarrow (2) of Theorem A.