

2. STUDY OF p -ADIC ANALYTIC FAMILIES VIA COHOMOLOGY GROUPS

We studied the duality theorem between Hecke algebra and the space of modular forms in [LEC] Proposition 2.18. The duality is useful to recover the space of cusp forms $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), A)$ as the linear dual $\text{Hom}_A(h(N, A), A)$ and the set of Hecke eigenforms is in bijection with $\text{Spec}(h(N; A))(A) = \text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(h(N, A), A)$. We try to construct a Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$ (which is a Λ -algebra) so that $M^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$ is the Λ -linear dual of $\mathbb{H}^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$. Then we study the ring structure of this algebra which eventually lead to a proof of Theorem 1.37.

The idea of getting $\mathbb{H}^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$ is as follows. Taking the limit increasing the level by p -power, we create a big module, call it X . Recall $Y_1(Np^r) = X_1(Np^r) - \{\text{cusps}\} = \Gamma_1(Np^r) \backslash \mathfrak{H}$. The module X can be the projective limit $\varprojlim_n X_n$ for cohomology groups $X_n = H_1^{ord}(Y_1(Np^n), \mathbb{Z}_p)$ or its Pontryagin dual $\varinjlim_n H_{ord}^1(Y_1(Np^n), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ or $\varprojlim_n X_n$ for $X_n = S_2^{ord}(\Gamma_1(Np^\infty); \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Here the subscript or superscript “ ord ” indicate the image of the projector $e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(p)^{n!}$. Note that $(\mathbb{Z}/Np^r\mathbb{Z})^\times$ acts on X_n by the diamond operator $\langle \cdot \rangle$, and $\Gamma/\Gamma^{p^{r-1}} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^\times \subset (\mathbb{Z}/Np^r\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Thus $W[[\Gamma]] := \varprojlim_r W[\Gamma/\Gamma^{p^{r-1}}]$ acts on X . Note that this algebra $W[[\Gamma]]$ is isomorphic to Λ by sending $\gamma \in \Gamma \subset W[[\Gamma]]$ to $1 + T$. Then we define $\mathbb{H}^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$ to be the Λ -subalgebra of $\text{End}_\Lambda(X)$ generated by Hecke operators. An important point is that the algebra $\mathbb{H}^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$ does not depends on the choice of X_n .

2.1. Fundamental group. By the theory of fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ for a Riemann surface X , we have a universal covering $\pi : U \rightarrow X = X \cong \pi_1(X) \backslash X$ for a simply connected space U . The projection is unramified, and U is determined uniquely (up to isomorphisms; see, for example, [AFC] Chapter 3). Since \mathfrak{H} is simply connected, if a subgroup $\Delta \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of finite index is torsion-free, $\Delta \cong \pi_1(\Delta \backslash \mathfrak{H})$.

Here is a brief sketch of the construction of U and the fundamental group: A path $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$ on X is a continuous map γ from the interval $[0, 1]$ into X (under the Euclidean topology on X) such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(1) = y$. Two paths $\gamma, \gamma' : x \rightarrow y$ are homotopy equivalent (for which we write $\gamma \approx \gamma'$) if there is a bi-continuous map $\varphi : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $\varphi(0, t) = \gamma(t)$ and $\varphi(1, t) = \gamma'(t)$. Fix an origin $x \in X$. Let U be the set of all equivalence classes of paths emanating from x .

More generally, for each complex manifold M , we can think of the space $U = U(M)$ of homotopy classes of paths emanating from a fixed point $x \in M$. An open neighborhood U of x is called *simply connected* if $U(U) \cong U$ by projecting ($\gamma : x \rightarrow y$) down to y . For example, if U is homeomorphic to an open disk with center x , it is simply connected (that is, every loop is equivalent to x). If $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$ and $\gamma' : y \rightarrow z$ are two paths, we define their product path $\gamma\gamma' : x \rightarrow z$ by

$$\gamma\gamma'(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma(2t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ \gamma'(2t - 1) & \text{if } 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

By this multiplication, $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(M, x) = \{\gamma \in U(M) | \gamma : x \rightarrow x\}$ becomes a group called *the fundamental group* of M . Taking a fundamental system of neighborhoods \mathcal{U}_y

of $y \in M$ made of simply connected open neighborhoods of y , we define a topology on $U(M)$ so that a fundamental system of neighborhoods of $\gamma : x \rightarrow y$ is given by $\{\gamma U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_x\}$. Then $\pi_1(M)$ acts on $U(M)$ freely without fixed points. By definition, we have a continuous map $\pi : \pi_1(M) \backslash U(M) \rightarrow M$ given by $\pi(\gamma : x \rightarrow y) = y$, which is a local isomorphism. Since $(\pi)^{-1}(x) = \{x\}$, $\pi : \pi_1(M) \backslash U(M) \cong M$ is a homeomorphism. Since $\pi : U(M) \rightarrow M$ is local isomorphism, we can regard $U(M)$ as a complex manifold. This space $U(M)$ is called a universal covering space of M .

Fix a base point $x \in X$ and cut X by 1-cycles α_i passing through x so that the resulting space is a simply connected polygon P , α_i generates $\pi_1(X)$ (basically by definition). If X is compact, and writing the edges of P counterclockwise as $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g$, then $\pi_1(X)$ is generated by these paths with only relation

$$(2.1) \quad \prod_{j=1}^g (\alpha_j, \beta_j) = (\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2) \cdots (\alpha_g, \beta_g) = 1,$$

where $(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$ (a commutator). If $Y = X - S$ for a finite sets of points (outside the fixed x), ordering $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_c\}$ according to the orientation of P (from left to right), we can take a path π_j starting at x encircling s_j , cutting P by π_j , we get a new polygon of $2g + c$ sides. In this case, $\pi_1(Y)$ is generated by $\{\pi_i, \alpha_j, \beta_j\}_{i,j}$ with only relation

$$(2.2) \quad \prod_{i=1}^c \pi_i \prod_{j=1}^g (\alpha_j, \beta_j) = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_c (\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2) \cdots (\alpha_g, \beta_g) = 1.$$

2.2. Cohomology groups. Suppose that $Y = X$ (so $S = \emptyset$) is a compact Riemann surface or an open Riemann surface of the form $Y = X - S$ as in (2.2) for a compact Riemann surface X . Then by (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_1^{ab}(Y) &= \frac{\pi_1(Y)}{(\pi_1(Y), \pi_1(Y))} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^g (\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_j] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\beta_j]) \cong H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), \quad \text{if } Y = X \text{ is compact,} \\ \pi_1^{ab}(Y) &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{c-1} \mathbb{Z}[\pi_j] \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^g \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_j] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\beta_j] \right) \cong H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}), \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the genus of X is given by g .

Exercise 2.1. *Prove (2.3).*

In particular, we have

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$H^1(Y, A) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(Y), A).$$

Take an abstract group G to introduce group cohomology. For a ring A , take a $A[G]$ -module M (where $A[G]$ is the group ring of G). We define a general group cohomology $H^q(G, M)$ for $q = 0, 1, 2$. We have

$$H^0(G, M) = M^G = \{m \in M \mid gm = m \text{ for all } g \in G\},$$

and if M is finite, the first cohomology is defined by

$$H^1(G, M) = \frac{\{G \xrightarrow{c} M \mid c(\sigma\tau) = \sigma c(\tau) + c(\sigma) \text{ for all } \sigma, \tau \in G\}}{\{G \xrightarrow{b} M \mid b(\sigma) = (\sigma - 1)x \text{ for } x \in M \text{ independent of } \sigma\}}.$$

As for the second cohomology, 2-cocycles $c : G \times G \rightarrow M$ are functions satisfying the following relation:

$$c(\alpha, \beta) + c(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = \alpha \cdot c(\beta, \gamma) + c(\alpha, \beta\gamma)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$. For any function $b : G \rightarrow M$, we define

$$(2.4) \quad \partial b(\alpha, \beta) = b(\alpha\beta) - \alpha b(\beta) - b(\alpha).$$

Then ∂b is easily checked to be a 2-cocycle by computation. Such 2-cocycles obtained from $b : G \rightarrow M$ is called a 2-coboundary. Then

$$H^2(G, M) = \frac{\{2\text{-cocycles with values in } M\}}{\{2\text{-coboundaries with values in } M\}}.$$

Exercise 2.3. Check that ∂b as above is a 2-cocycle.

We can define cohomology group $H^q(G, M)$ of general degree q , but we apply this theory for a torsion-free subgroup Δ of finite index in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and in this case, we know that $H^q(\Delta, M) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$ (see [CGP] Example 5 page 217 or [LFE] Proposition 6.1.1 for $q = 2$); so, we do not touch in details the general definition (which can be found in [CGP]).

Exercise 2.4. Prove that $\Gamma_1(N)$ is torsion-free if $N \geq 4$.

Let $\bar{\Gamma}_0(N)$ be the image of $\Gamma_0(N)$ in $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Now we assume that $\Delta \subset \Gamma_0(N)$, and let $L(n, \chi; A)$ be a space of homogeneous polynomials in (x, y) of degree n . Choose a Dirichlet character $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow A^\times$, and let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ on $L(n, \chi; A)$ by

$$\gamma \cdot P(x, y) = \chi(d)P((x, y)^t \gamma^t),$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^t = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$.

Exercise 2.5. Prove the following facts:

- (1) $\gamma^t \delta^t = (\delta\gamma)^t$ for any $\gamma, \delta \in M_2(A)$,
- (2) $\gamma^t + \gamma = \text{Tr}(\gamma)$ and $\gamma^t \gamma = \gamma \gamma^t = \det(\gamma)$,
- (3) $L(n, \chi; A)$ is a left $\Gamma_0(N)$ -module,
- (4) If $\chi(-1) = (-1)^n$, the action of $\Gamma_0(N)$ on $L(n, \chi; A)$ factors through $\bar{\Gamma}_0(N)$.

Let $f \in M_{k, \chi}(\Gamma_0(N))$ ($k \geq 2$), and define an $L(k-2, \chi; \mathbb{C})$ -valued differential form $\omega(f)$ by $\omega(f) = f(z)(x - zy)^n dz$ for $n = k-2$. For the complex conjugate $\bar{S}_{k, \chi^{-1}}(\Gamma_0(N))$ of $S_{k, \chi^{-1}}(\Gamma_0(N))$ and $f \in \bar{S}_{k, \chi^{-1}}(\Gamma_0(N))$, we similarly define $\omega(f) = f(z)(x - \bar{z}y)^n d\bar{z}$.

Exercise 2.6. *Prove the following facts:*

- (1) $\omega(f) \circ \gamma(z) = \gamma \cdot \omega(f)(z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$,
- (2) Fix a point $z \in \mathfrak{H}$. The map $\gamma \mapsto c_f(\gamma) = \int_z^{\gamma(z)} \omega(f) \in L(k-2, \chi; \mathbb{C})$ is a 1-cocycle,
- (3) The cohomology class of c_f in $H^1(\Gamma_0(N), L(k-2, \chi; \mathbb{C}))$ is independent of the choice of z .

We take the following theorem for granted (cf. [IAT] Chapter 8 or [LFE] Chapter 6):

Theorem 2.7 (Eichler–Shimura). *By $f \mapsto [c_f]$, we get an isomorphism:*

$$M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N)) \oplus \overline{S}_{k,\chi^{-1}}(\Gamma_0(N)) \cong H^1(\overline{\Gamma}_0(N), L(k-2, \chi; \mathbb{C})).$$

This isomorphism is equivariant under the Hecke operators (defined in the following section on cohomology groups). Similarly we have a Hecke equivariant isomorphism:

$$M_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \oplus \overline{S}_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \cong H^1(\Gamma_1(N), L(k-2, \chi; \mathbb{C}))$$

(for $N > 2$).

Exercise 2.8. *Check that if $k = 2$, the above theorem is just a restatement of Corollary 2.19 in the lecture notes of the course in the previous quarter.*

2.3. Hecke operators on cohomology groups. Let M be $A[\Delta_0(N)^t]$ -module. Let $\Delta = \Gamma_0(N)$. Then in particular M is a Δ -module, because $\Delta \subset \Delta_0(N)^t$. For any left coset decomposition $\Delta\alpha\Delta = \bigsqcup_j \Delta\alpha_j$, we have $\alpha_j\gamma = \gamma_j\alpha_{\gamma^*(j)}$ with $\gamma_j \in \Delta$ for a permutation $j \mapsto \gamma^*(j)$ of indices. Then for a 1-cocycle c : we define a map $c[[\Delta\alpha\Delta]](\gamma) = \sum_j \alpha_j^t c(\gamma_j)$.

Lemma 2.9. *If $c : \Delta \rightarrow M$ is a 1-cocycle, $c[[\Delta\alpha\Delta]]$ is 1-cocycle, and the cohomology class of $c[[\Delta\alpha\Delta]]$ is uniquely determined by the cohomology class of c .*

Proof. Let $\gamma, \delta \in \Delta$, and write $c' = c[[\Delta\alpha\Delta]]$. Then $\alpha_j\gamma\delta = \gamma_j\alpha_{\gamma^*(j)}\delta = \gamma_j\delta_{\gamma^*(j)}\alpha_{\delta^*\gamma^*(j)}$. Note that $\alpha_j\gamma = \gamma_j\alpha_{\gamma^*(j)} \Leftrightarrow \gamma_j^{-1}\alpha_j = \alpha_{\gamma^*(j)}\gamma^{-1}$. We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} c'(\gamma\delta) &= \sum_j \alpha_j^t c(\gamma_j\delta_{\gamma^*(j)}) = \sum_j \{\alpha_j^t \gamma_j c(\delta_{\gamma^*(j)}) + \alpha_j^t c(\gamma_j)\} = \sum_j (\gamma_j^{-1}\alpha_j)^t c(\delta_{\gamma^*(j)}) + c'(\gamma) \\ &= \sum_j (\alpha_{\gamma^*(j)}\gamma^{-1})^t c(\delta_{\gamma^*(j)}) + c'(\gamma) \stackrel{\gamma^*(j) \mapsto j}{=} \gamma c'(\delta) + c'(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

If $c(\gamma) = (\gamma - 1)m$ for $m \in M$, again by $\gamma_j^{-1}\alpha_j = \alpha_{\gamma^*(j)}\gamma^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c'(\gamma) &= \sum_j \alpha_j^t (\gamma_j - 1)m = \sum_j (\gamma_j^{-1}\alpha_j)^t m - \sum_j \alpha_j^t m = \sum_j (\alpha_{\gamma^*(j)}\gamma^{-1})^t m - \sum_j \alpha_j^t m \\ &= \gamma \sum_j \alpha_{\gamma^*(j)}^t m - \sum_j \alpha_j^t m = (\gamma - 1) \sum_j \alpha_j^t m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $c \mapsto c[[\Delta\alpha\Delta]]$ preserves the subspace of 1-coboundaries. Thus it induces a unique linear endomorphism of $H^1(\Delta, M)$. \square

We can let $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_0(N)^t$ on $L(k-2, \chi; A)$ by $\delta \cdot P(x, y) = \chi(d)P((x, y)^t \delta^t)$, and this action coincides with the original action of $\Gamma_0(N)$ on $\Gamma_0(N) \subset \Delta_0(N)^t$. Thus by the above lemma, we have a well defined Hecke operator $T(n)$ on $H^1(\Gamma_0(N), L(k-2, \chi; A))$. In other words, splitting $T_n = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} \Delta \alpha \Delta$ and define $T(n) = \sum_{\alpha} [\Delta \alpha \Delta]$.

Exercise 2.10. *Check that the isomorphism of Theorem 2.7 is equivariant under Hecke operators $T(n)$.*

The above action of $\Delta_0(N)^t$ induces an action of $\Delta_1(N)^t$ on $L(k-2, \chi; A)$. Since χ is modulo N , the action of $\Delta_1(N)^t$ does not depend on χ ; so, we write simply $L(k-2; A)$ for this $A[\Delta_1(N)^t]$ -module $L(k-2, \chi; A)$. Then in the same manner as above, replacing $\Delta_0(N)$ by $\Delta_1(N)$, we have a natural action of $T(n)$ on $H^1(\Gamma_1(N), L(k-2; A))$.

We can generalize a bit the above definition. Let $\Delta, \Delta' \subset \Gamma_0(N)$ be two subgroups of finite index. Decomposition $\Delta \alpha \Delta' = \bigsqcup_j \Delta \alpha_j$ and defining $\alpha_j \gamma' = \gamma_j \alpha_{\gamma'^*(j)}$ for $\gamma' \in \Delta'$ and $\gamma_j \in \Delta$, we can define $c[[\Delta \alpha \Delta']](\gamma') = \sum_j \alpha_j^t c(\gamma_j)$. This linear map induces, by the same proof as above,

$$(2.5) \quad [\Delta \alpha \Delta'] : H^1(\Delta, M) \rightarrow H^1(\Delta', M).$$

If $\Delta \subset \Delta'$, the double coset $\Delta' = \Delta 1 \Delta'$ induces a map $[\Delta'] : H^1(\Delta, M) \rightarrow H^1(\Delta', M)$ which is called a trace map $\text{Tr} = \text{Tr}_{\Delta'/\Delta}$.

Exercise 2.11. *Define the restriction map $\text{Res} : H^1(\Delta', M) \rightarrow H^1(\Delta, M)$ by restricting cocycle of Δ' to Δ (if $\Delta' \supset \Delta$). Prove $\text{Tr} \circ \text{Res}([c]) = [\Delta' : \Delta] \cdot [c]$. Using this fact, prove that $H^1(G, M) = 0$ for a finite group G and a finite G -module M if $|G|$ is prime to $|M|$.*

The fact $H^q(G, M) = 0$ for a finite group G and a finite G -module M with $(|G|, |M|) = 1$ is true in general (and one can give a similar proof as above using a higher degree version of Tr and Res).

For $\alpha \in \Delta_1(N)$, put $\Delta_{\alpha} = \Delta \cap \alpha^{-1} \Delta \alpha$ and ${}_{\alpha} \Delta = \Delta \cap \alpha \Delta \alpha^{-1}$ (which are subgroups of finite index of Δ). Then for a 1-cocycle $c : \Delta \rightarrow M$, define $c[[\alpha]] : \Delta_{\alpha} \rightarrow M$ by $c[[\alpha]](\delta) = \alpha^t c(\alpha \delta \alpha^{-1})$.

Exercise 2.12. *Prove the following facts:*

- (1) $c[[\alpha]]$ is a 1-cocycle of Δ_{α} ;
- (2) the above operation induces a linear map $[\alpha] : H^1({}_{\alpha} \Delta, M) \rightarrow H^1(\Delta_{\alpha}, M)$;
- (3) $[\Delta \alpha \Delta] = \text{Tr}_{\Delta/\Delta_{\alpha}} \circ [\alpha] \circ \text{Res}_{\Delta/{}_{\alpha} \Delta}$.

2.4. Hecke operators and level. Fix N so that $\Gamma_1(N)$ is torsion-free, and put $\Gamma_r = \Gamma_1(Np^r)$ for $r > 0$.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\Phi_{r,m} = \Gamma_r \cap \Gamma_0(p^m)$ for $m \geq r > 0$. Then we have*

$$\Phi_{r,m} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_r = \bigsqcup_{u=0}^{p-1} \Phi_{r,m} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\alpha^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & bp \\ c/p & d \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\alpha^{-1} \Phi_{r,m} \alpha \cap \Gamma_r = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1 \mid a \equiv 1 \pmod{p^r}, c \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\max(r,m-1)}}, b \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

Thus we have

$$\Gamma_r = \bigcup_{u=0}^{p-1} (\alpha^{-1} \Phi_{r,m} \alpha \cap \Gamma_r) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplying $\alpha^{-1} \Phi_{r,m} \alpha$ from the left side, we have

$$\alpha^{-1} \Phi_{r,m} \alpha \Gamma_r = \bigcup_{u=0}^{p-1} \alpha^{-1} \Phi_{r,m} \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies

$$(U) \quad \Phi_{r,m} \alpha \Gamma_r = \bigcup_{u=0}^{p-1} \Phi_{r,m} \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\Gamma_0(p) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \cap \Gamma_0(p) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} = \emptyset$ if $u \not\equiv v \pmod{p}$, the union in (U) has to be disjoint. Note that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and the result follows. \square

Exercise 2.14. *Prove*

$$\Gamma_n = \bigsqcup_{u=0}^{p-1} (\alpha^{-1} \Phi_{r,m} \alpha \cap \Gamma_r) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Restricting cocycle $c : \Delta' \rightarrow M$ to a subgroup $\Delta \subset \Delta'$, we get the restriction morphism $\text{Res} : H^1(\Delta', M) \rightarrow H^1(\Delta, M)$. Applying Lemma 2.13 to $m = r$, we have the following commutative diagrams.

Corollary 2.15. *If $m \geq r > 0$, the following two diagrams are commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; A)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & H^1(\Gamma_m, L(k-2; A)) \\ U(p) \downarrow & & \downarrow U(p) \\ H^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; A)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & H^1(\Gamma_m, L(k-2; A)) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_k(\Gamma_r; A) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & M_k(\Gamma_m; A) \\ U(p) \downarrow & & \downarrow U(p) \\ M_k(\Gamma_r; A) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & M_k(\Gamma_m; A). \end{array}$$

More generally, by the decomposition given in [LEC] Lemma 2.14 and its version for $\Gamma_1(Np^r)$ in [IAT] Proposition 3.36 (or [MFM] Section 4.5), actually we have

Corollary 2.16. *If $m \geq r > 0$, the following two diagrams are commutative for all positive integer n :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; A)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & H^1(\Gamma_m, L(k-2; A)) \\ T(n) \downarrow & & \downarrow T(n) \\ H^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; A)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & H^1(\Gamma_m, L(k-2; A)) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_k(\Gamma_r; A) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & M_k(\Gamma_m; A) \\ T(n) \downarrow & & \downarrow T(n) \\ M_k(\Gamma_r; A) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & M_k(\Gamma_m; A). \end{array}$$

Let $\mathbb{H}_k(Np^r; A)$ be the A -subalgebra of $\text{End}_A(M_k(Np^r; A))$ generated by Hecke operators $T(n)$ for all n . Then by the above corollary, a Hecke operator $h \in \mathbb{H}_k(Np^m; A)$ restricted to $M_k(\Gamma_r; A)$ belongs to $\mathbb{H}_k(Np^r; A)$, getting a surjective A -algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{H}_k(Np^m; A) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{H}_k(Np^r; A)$ sending $T(n)$ to $T(n)$ as long as $m \geq r > 0$. We then take a projective limit:

$$\mathbb{H}_k(Np^\infty; A) = \varprojlim_m \mathbb{H}_k(Np^m; A).$$

Since the transition map takes $U(p)$ to $U(p)$, the projector $e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(p)^{n!}$ of level Np^m is sent to e of level Np^r . Thus we have the projector $e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(p)^{n!}$ well defined in $\mathbb{H}_k(Np^\infty; W)$. We define $\mathbb{H}_k^{\text{ord}}(Np^\infty; W) = e(\mathbb{H}_k(Np^\infty; A))$. We know the following facts (cf. [H86b] (1.7)):

Theorem 2.17. *If $k \geq 2$, we have unique isomorphisms: $\mathbb{H}_k(Np^\infty; W) \cong \mathbb{H}_2(Np^\infty; W)$ and $\mathbb{H}_k^{\text{ord}}(Np^\infty; W) \cong \mathbb{H}_2^{\text{ord}}(Np^\infty; W)$ taking $T(n)$ to $T(n)$ for all n .*

Taking this theorem granted, we put $\mathbb{H}^{\text{ord}}(Np^\infty; W) := \mathbb{H}_2^{\text{ord}}(Np^\infty; W)$. We find that $\ell \langle \ell \rangle_2 = T(\ell)^2 - T(\ell^2)$ of weight 2 is sent to $\ell^{k-1} \langle \ell \rangle_k = T(\ell)^2 - T(\ell^2)$ of weight k in $\mathbb{H}_k^{\text{ord}}(Np^\infty; W)$ (see Exercise 1.28). Anyway, $\Gamma \ni \ell \mapsto \ell^k \langle \ell \rangle_k = \langle \ell \rangle \in \mathbb{H}_k(Np^\infty; W)$ gives rise to a continuous character $\iota : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_k(Np^\infty; W)^\times$, which induces $W[[\Gamma]]$ -algebra structure on $\mathbb{H}_k(Np^\infty; W)$ and $\mathbb{H}_k^{\text{ord}}(Np^\infty; W)$ independent of $k \geq 2$.

2.5. Control theorem. We want to prove the following result which basically implies Theorem 1.37:

Theorem 2.18. *As Λ -module, the Pontryagin dual $H_{\text{ord}}^1(Y_1(Np^\infty), K/W)^*$ of the cohomology group $H_{\text{ord}}^1(Y_1(Np^\infty), K/W)$ (which is isomorphic to $H_1^{\text{ord}}(Y_1(Np^\infty), W) = \varprojlim_r H_1^{\text{ord}}(Y_1(Np^r), W)$ by Poincaré's duality) is free of finite rank. Moreover the quotient $H_1^{\text{ord}}(Y_1(Np^\infty), W) \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda / ((1+T)^{p^r} - \gamma^{p^r k})$ is canonically isomorphic to the dual $H_{\text{ord}}^1(\Gamma_1(Np^r), L(n, K/W))^*$ of $H_{\text{ord}}^1(\Gamma_1(Np^r), L(n, K/W))$. This isomorphism commutes with Hecke operators $T(n)$ for all n .*

Note here that if we use the Λ -algebra structure $\Gamma \ni \ell \mapsto \langle \ell \rangle_k$ instead of $\Gamma \ni \ell \mapsto \langle \ell \rangle = \ell^k \langle \ell \rangle_k$, then the isomorphism in the theorem can be written as

$$H_1^{ord}(Y_1(Np^\infty), W) \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda / ((1+T)^{p^r} - 1) \cong H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(Np^r), L(n, K/W))^*.$$

We have a long way to go to prove this theorem. However, this basically tells us that $\mathbb{H}_k^{ord}(Np^\infty; W) \cong \mathbb{H}^{ord}(Np^\infty; W)$ by the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism (Theorem 2.7), because taking $r = \infty$

$\mathbb{H}_k^{ord}(Np^\infty; W) \subset \text{End}_\Lambda(H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(Np^\infty), L(n, K/W))^*) = \text{End}_\Lambda(H_{ord}^1(Y_1(Np^\infty), K/W))^*$ generated by $T(n)$ for all n over Λ , and moreover $\mathbb{H}^{ord}(Np^\infty; W) / ((1+T) - \gamma^k)$ is almost isomorphic to the level Np weight k Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_k^{ord}(Np; W)$ by an isomorphism sending $T(n)$ to $T(n)$. Then by duality between (the χ -part of) the Hecke algebra and $M_\chi(N; \Lambda)$, we get Theorem 1.37. This is our scheme of proving Theorem 1.37.

Here is a review of Pontryagin's duality: Let $\mathbb{T}_p = \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p$. For any abelian p -profinite compact or p -torsion discrete module X , we define the Pontryagin dual module X^* by $X^* = \text{Hom}_{cont}(X, \mathbb{T}_p)$ and give X^* the topology of uniform convergence on every compact subgroup of X . By Pontryagin's duality theory (cf. [LFE] Section 8.3), we have $(X^*)^* \cong X$ canonically.

Exercise 2.19. *Show that $X^* \cong X$ noncanonically if X is finite.*

Exercise 2.20. *Prove that X^* is a discrete module if X is p -profinite and X^* is compact if X is discrete (e.g., [LFE] Lemma 8.3.1).*

By this fact, if X^* is the dual of a profinite module $X = \varprojlim_n X_n$ for finite modules X_n with surjections $X_m \twoheadrightarrow X_n$ for $m > n$, $X^* = \bigcup_n X_n^*$ is a discrete module which is a union of finite modules X_n^* and vice versa. We quote the following fact:

Exercise 2.21. *If X is a profinite A -module, then by the duality, we have, for an ideal \mathfrak{a} of A , $X^*[\mathfrak{a}] := \{x \in X^* | ax = 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{a}\} \cong (X/\mathfrak{a}X)^*$ naturally.*

2.6. Restriction and Inflation. We start preparing the proof of Theorem 2.18. Let U be a normal subgroup of a group G . Let M be a G -module. For a 1-cocycle $u : U \rightarrow M$ and $g \in G$, ${}^g u : (g_1) \mapsto gu(g^{-1}g_1g)$ is again a 1-cocycle of U , and the cohomology class of ${}^g u$ is equal to that of u if $g \in U$, as easily verified by computation. Thus the quotient group G/U acts on $H^1(U, M)$ by $[u] \mapsto [{}^g u]$. We now prove

Theorem 2.22. *Let U be a normal subgroup of G . Then the following sequence is exact:*

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G/U, M^U) \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}} H^1(G, M) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^0(G/U, H^1(U, M)) \xrightarrow{\text{Trans}} H^2(G/U, M^U).$$

We shall give a definition of the *transgression* Trans , due to Hochschild and Serre, in the following proof of the theorem.

Proof. For the projection $\pi : G \rightarrow G/U$ (and a cocycle $c : G/U \rightarrow M^U$), $\text{Inf}(c) = c \circ \pi$ and $\text{Res}(c) = c|_U$. For these two maps, it is easy to show the exactness by a simple computation (see Exercise 2.23).

We prove the exactness at $H^0(G/U, H^1(U, M))$. Let $c : U \rightarrow M$ be a 1-cocycle representing a class $[c]$ in $H^0(G/U, H^1(U, M))$. Then $gc(g^{-1}ug) - c(u) = (u-1)a(g)$ for a function $a : G \rightarrow M$, because $g[c] = [c]$. If $g \in U$, by cocycle relation, we see

$$gc(g^{-1}ug) - c(u) = c(ug) + gc(g^{-1}) - c(u) = uc(g) - c(g) = (u-1)c(g).$$

Thus we may take the function a to be c on U and hence may assume that $a(u) = c(u)$ for all $u \in U$. Then we have

$$ga(g^{-1}ug) - a(u) = (u-1)a(g).$$

Let F be the space of functions $f : U \rightarrow M$. Then we make F into a G -module by the following G -action: $gf(u) = gf(g^{-1}ug)$. Note that $(g-1)f(u) = gf(g^{-1}ug) - f(u)$. We then consider the space of functions: $C_j(G, F) = \{G^j \rightarrow F\}$. We have differential $\partial : C_1(G, F) \rightarrow C_2(G, F)$ defined in (2.4). But note that $\partial(g \mapsto (g-1)f) = 0$, and by applying ∂ to $ga(g^{-1}ug) - a(u) = (u-1)a(g)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial(x \mapsto (x-1)a(u)) = \partial(x \mapsto (u-1)a(x))(g, h) \\ &= g(g^{-1}ug - 1)a(h) - (u-1)a(gh) + (u-1)a(g) = (u-1)(ga(h) - a(gh) + a(g)). \end{aligned}$$

Now we put $b(g, h) = \partial(a)(g, h) = ga(h) - a(gh) + a(g)$. Then the above equation becomes:

$$(u-1)b(g, h) = 0.$$

Thus the 2-cocycle $b : G \times G \rightarrow M$ actually has values in M^U .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (u-1)(ua(g) + a(u)) &= u(ga(g^{-1}ug) - a(u)) + ua(u) - a(u) \\ &= uga(g^{-1}ug) - a(u) = (u-1)a(ug). \end{aligned}$$

Thus fixing a complete representative set R for $U \backslash G$ so that $1 \in R$, we may normalize a so that $a(ug) = ua(g) + a(u)$ for all $u \in U$ and all $g \in R$. Since $a|_U$ is a 1-cocycle, by computation, we conclude that $a(ug) = ua(g) + a(u)$ for all $u \in U$ and all $g \in G$ (not just in R). Then for all $u \in U$ and $g, h \in G$, we see $b(u, g) = 0$, and 2-cocycle relation is

$$ub(g, h) - b(ug, h) + b(u, gh) - b(u, g) = 0.$$

This shows that $b(g, h) = ub(g, h) = b(ug, h)$. Similarly, we can show $b(g, uh) = b(g, h)$. Thus b factors through G/U .

If $a' : G \rightarrow M$ satisfies the same properties as a , that is, $ga'(g^{-1}ug) - a'(u) = (u-1)a'(g)$ and $a' = c$ on U , then

$$(u-1)(a(g) - a'(g)) = ga(g^{-1}ug) - a(u) - (ga'(g^{-1}ug) - a'(u)) = 0,$$

because $a = c = a'$ on U . This shows that $d(g) = a(g) - a'(g) \in M^U$. Then $b - b' = \partial(d) \in \text{Im}(C_1(G/U, M^U) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_2(G/U, M^U))$, and hence we have the identity of the cohomology classes:

$$[b] = [b'] \in H^2(G/U, M^U)$$

for $b' = \partial(a')$. We then define $\text{Trans}([c])$ by the cohomology class of $[b]$ in $H^2(G/U, M^U)$.

Suppose that $\text{Trans}([c]) = 0$. Then choosing a 1-cochain $d : G/U \rightarrow M^U$ such that $\partial(d) = b$, we see that $a' = a - d$ agrees with c on U and $\partial(a') = 0$; so, a' is a 1-cocycle of G inducing c . This shows

$$\text{Ker}(\text{Trans}) \supset \text{Im}(\text{Res}).$$

By definition, if $c \in \text{Im}(\text{Res})$, we take a to be the 1-cocycle of G restricting c on U . Thus, $\text{Im}(\text{Res}) \supset \text{Ker}(\text{Trans})$. This proves the desired exactness for the degree 1 cohomology group. \square

Exercise 2.23. *Prove the exactness at $H^1(G, M)$ of the sequence in the above theorem.*

If $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} M_2 \xrightarrow{\pi} M_3 \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of G -modules, we have a corresponding long exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M_1^G \xrightarrow{\iota} M_2^G \xrightarrow{\pi} M_3^G \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H^1(M_1) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} H^1(M_2) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H^1(M_3) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(M_1) \rightarrow \dots,$$

writing $H^q(M_j)$ for $H^q(G, M_j)$. The maps π_* and ι_* are given by composition of π and ι with 1-cocycles. The map δ_0 is given as follows. For $x \in M_3^G$, pick $\tilde{x} \in M_2^G$ with $\pi(\tilde{x}) = x$. Then $(g-1)\tilde{x} \in M_1$ because $\pi((g-1)\tilde{x}) = (g-1)x = 0$. Then $\delta_0(x)(g) = (g-1)\tilde{x}$ as a 1-cocycle. The map δ can be defined similarly. Pick a class $[c] \in H^1(M_3)$ represented by a 1-cocycle $c : G \rightarrow M_3$. Take any function $\tilde{c} : G \rightarrow M_2$ such that $\pi(\tilde{c}(g)) = c(g)$. Then $\pi(\partial\tilde{c}) = \partial c = 0$, and hence $\partial\tilde{c}$ has values in M_1 and is a 2-cocycle. Then $\delta([c]) = [\partial\tilde{c}] \in H^2(M_1)$.

Exercise 2.24. *Prove the exactness of the long sequence up to degree 2 cohomology groups.*

2.7. Freeness and divisibility. As we already remarked below Exercise 2.3, second cohomology vanishes: $H^2(\Delta, M) = 0$ for all Δ -module M if Δ is a torsion-free subgroup of finite index in $\Gamma_r = \Gamma_0(Np^r)$. We consider the following short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L(n, \chi; W) \xrightarrow{\iota} L(n, \chi; K) \xrightarrow{\pi} L(n, \chi; K/W) \rightarrow 0$ for a character χ modulo Np^r and the corresponding long exact sequence. Since $H^2(\Delta, L(n, \chi; W)) = 0$, $\pi_* : H^2(\Delta, L(n, \chi; K)) \rightarrow H^2(\Delta, L(n, \chi; K/W))$ is surjective; so, $H^1(\Delta, L(n, \chi; K/W))$ is divisible (because $H^1(\Delta, L(n, \chi; K))$ is a K -vector space). Since Δ acts trivially on $L(0; A) = A$, $H^1(\Delta, W)$ is mapped injectively into $H^1(\Delta, K)$; so, $H^1(\Delta, W)$ is W -free (which actually follows from the expression in (2.3)).

Proposition 2.25. *If Δ is torsion-free, the cohomology group $H^1(\Delta, L(n, \chi; K/W))$ is p -torsion and divisible, and the cohomology group $H^1(\Delta, W)$ is W -free of finite rank.*

The torsionness follows from that fact that $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ is p -torsion and a 1-cocycle is determined by its value at the (finitely many) generators of Δ .

Exercise 2.26. *Find an example of an integer $n > 0$ such that $H^1(\Delta, L(n; W))$ has non-trivial torsion. (Hint: Find n such that $L(n; K/W)^\Delta/\pi(L(n; K)^\Delta) \neq 0$, and use the long exact sequence.)*

Write $H^1(\Delta, L(n, \chi; K/W))[p^n]$ is the submodule of $H^1(\Delta, L(n, \chi; K/W))$ killed by p^n . By the above proposition and Theorem 2.7,

$$H^1(\Delta, L(n, \chi; K)) = \left(\varprojlim_n H^1(\Delta, L(n, \chi; K/W))[p^n] \right) \otimes_W K,$$

and hence by Theorem 2.7, the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_k(Np^r; W) \subset \text{End}_W(M_k(\Gamma_1(Np^r); W))$ generated by Hecke operators $T(n)$ is identical to the subalgebra generated by Hecke operators $T(n)$ of $\text{End}_W(H^1(\Gamma_1(Np^r), L(k-2, \chi; K/W)))$. Thus we get

Corollary 2.27. *The Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_k(Np^r; W)$ is isomorphic to the W -subalgebra of $\text{End}_W(H^1(\Gamma_1(Np^r), L(k-2, \chi; K/W)))$ generated by Hecke operators $T(n)$ for all n .*

Exercise 2.28. *Give a detailed proof of the above corollary.*

Recall $\Phi_{r,m} = \Gamma_0(p^m) \cap \Gamma_r$ and $\Gamma_r = \Gamma_1(p^r N)$ for $m \geq r > 0$. Then Γ_m is a normal subgroup of $\Phi_{r,m}$.

We define the action of $U(p)$ on $H^q(\overline{\Phi}, L(n, \chi; K/W)^{\Gamma_m})$ in the following way: Note that $i : \overline{\Phi} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (a^{-1} \bmod p^m) = (d \bmod p^m)(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Take q -cocycle $c : \overline{\Phi}^q \rightarrow L(n, \chi; K/W)^{\Gamma_m}$. We decompose $\Phi_{r,m}\alpha_0\Phi_{r,m} = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{p-1} \Phi_{r,m}\alpha_j$ for $\alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $\alpha_j^t \in \Delta_0(pN)^t$ acts trivially on $L(n, \chi; A)$. For $\gamma, \dots, \in \Phi_{r,m}$, write $\alpha_j\gamma = \gamma_j\alpha_{\gamma^*(j)}$ for $\gamma_j \in \Phi_{r,m}$. Then $c|U(p)(\gamma) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c(\gamma_j)$ for 1-cocycle c and $c|U(p)(\gamma, \delta) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} c(\gamma_j, \delta_j)$ for 2-cocycle c . Note that $i(\gamma_j) = i(\gamma)$, and therefore, we find that $c|U(p) = p \cdot c$. By the construction of Inf and Trans in the proof of Theorem 2.22, the maps Inf, Res, Trans in the exact sequence of Theorem 2.22 can be easily checked to be $U(p)$ -linear. Thus we get the following result:

Lemma 2.29. *The above operator $U(p)$ on $H^q(\overline{\Phi}, L(n, \chi; K/W)^{\Gamma_m})$ is well defined, and the group $H^q(\overline{\Phi}, L(n, \chi; K/W)^{\Gamma_m})$ ($q = 1, 2$) is killed by $U(p)^M$ for sufficiently large integer $M > 0$.*

Exercise 2.30. *Give a detailed proof of the above lemma.*

By the above lemma, we get

Proposition 2.31. *Suppose that $\Phi_{r,m}$ is torsion-free (for integers $m \geq r > 0$). We have*

$$H_{ord}^1(\Phi_{r,m}, K/W) \cong H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, K/W)^{\overline{\Phi}},$$

and more generally,

$$H_{ord}^1(\Phi_{r,m}, L(0, \chi; K/W)) \cong H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, K/W)[\chi],$$

where χ is any character modulo Np^m and

$$H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, K/W)[\chi] = \{c \in H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, K/W) \mid c\langle z \rangle = \chi(z)c \text{ for } z \in \overline{\Phi} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/Np^m\mathbb{Z})^\times\}.$$

In particular, all these modules are divisible of finite corank.

Proof. The assertion follows from the above lemma. Indeed, by the above lemma, $H_{ord}^q(\overline{\Phi}, L(n, \chi; K/W)^{\Gamma_m}) = 0$. Then applying e to the exact sequence in Theorem 2.22, we get an isomorphism $H_{ord}^1(\Phi_{r,m}, L(0, \chi; K/W)) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H_{ord}^1(\Phi_{r,m}, L(0, \chi; K/W))^{\overline{\Phi}}$. Though we have $L(0, \chi; A) = A$ as Γ_m -modules, by the definition of action of $\overline{\Phi}$ on the cohomology group $H^1(\Gamma_m, L(0, \chi; A))$, we find

$$H^1(\Gamma_m, L(0, \chi; A))^{\overline{\Phi}} = H^1(\Gamma_m, A)[\chi].$$

The divisibility then follows from Proposition 2.25. \square

Proposition 2.32. *Let $\Gamma = 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ act on $H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(Np^m), K/W)$ via diamond operators. Then for $0 < r \leq m$, the restriction map induces an isomorphism*

$$H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(Np^m), K/W)^{\Gamma^{p^r}} \cong H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(Np^r), K/W)$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.13 and Corollary 2.15, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; K/W)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & H^1(\Phi_{r,m}, L(k-2; K/W)) \\ U(p) \downarrow & \swarrow u & \downarrow U(p) \\ H^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; K/W)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & H^1(\Phi_{r,m}, L(k-2; K/W)) \end{array}$$

for $u = [\Gamma_r \alpha \Phi_{r,m}]$ with $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$. Since $U(p)$ is bijective on $H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; K/W))$ and $H_{ord}^1(\Phi_{r,m}, L(k-2; K/W))$, we conclude

$$H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; K/W)) \cong H_{ord}^1(\Phi_{r,m}, L(k-2; K/W)).$$

Then the assertion for finite r follows from Proposition 2.31. Then passing to the limit, we get the assertion for $r = \infty$. \square

2.8. Co-freeness over the group algebra. We start with a lemma (cf. [GME] Theorem 1.9.7):

Lemma 2.33. *Let A be a reduced local W -algebra free of finite rank over W . If M be a W -free module of finite rank with an action of A such that for any algebra homomorphism $\lambda : A \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, $M \otimes_A (A/\text{Ker}(\lambda))$ is W -free, then M is A -free.*

Here the reducedness means that there is no nontrivial nilpotent element in A .

Proof. Let \mathfrak{m}_A be the maximal ideal of A with residue field \mathbb{F} . Then by Nakayama's lemma (see [CRT] Theorem 2.2), $m = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} M \otimes_A \mathbb{F}$ (which is finite $\leq \text{rank}_W M$) is the least number of generators of M over W . Pick a set of generators $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ of M over A . Then $\pi : A^m \ni (a_1, \dots, a_m) \mapsto \sum_j a_j x_j \in M$ is a surjective A -linear map. Writing $A_\lambda = A/\text{Ker}(\lambda) = \text{Im}(\lambda)$, we first assume that $A_\lambda = W$ for all λ . By the transitivity of the tensor products, we have $(M \otimes_A A_\lambda) \otimes_{A_\lambda} \mathbb{F} = A \otimes_A \mathbb{F}$, and hence $M_\lambda = M \otimes_A A_\lambda$ is W -torsion-free and the least number of generators of M_λ is m ; so, $M_\lambda \cong W^m$. This shows $\text{Ker}(\pi) \subset \text{Ker}(\lambda)^m$. By the reducedness, $\cap_\lambda \text{Ker}(\lambda) = 0$, we find that π is an isomorphism. If $A_\lambda \supsetneq W$, we take sufficiently large extension K'/K such that K' contain the image of

all λ and replace A by $A' = A \otimes_W W'$ and M by $M \otimes_W W'$ for the p -adic integer ring W' of K' . Then by the argument as above, we find that $M \otimes_W W'$ is A' -free of finite rank. Then M is A -free of finite rank (because W' is W -free of finite rank). \square

Exercise 2.34. *Give a detailed proof of the above lemma. In particular the following points:*

- (1) *There exists a finite extension K'/K in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ which contain the image of all W -algebra homomorphism $\lambda : A \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$;*
- (2) *Suppose that λ has values in W . The map: $(A/\text{Ker}(\lambda))^m \rightarrow M/\text{Ker}(\lambda)M$ induced by π is an isomorphism if $M/\text{Ker}(\lambda)M \cong W^m$; so, $\text{Ker}(\pi) \subset \text{Ker}(\lambda)^m$;*
- (3) $\bigcap_{\lambda} \text{Ker}(\lambda) = 0$ *if A is reduced;*
- (4) *M is A -free if $M \otimes_W W'$ is A' -free.*

Exercise 2.35. *Let G be a finite abelian p -group. Prove that the group algebra $W[G]$ is a local ring.*

Theorem 2.36. *Let $M = M_m$ be the Pontryagin dual of $M_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, K/W)$, and assume that $\Phi_{r,m}$ is torsion-free. Then M is a $W[\Gamma^{p^{r-1}}/\Gamma^{p^{m-1}}]$ -free module of finite rank. Moreover $M_m/(\gamma^{p^{r-1}} - 1)M_m \cong M_r$ for all $m \geq r > 0$ for a generator γ of $\Gamma = 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Proof. Note that $H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, K/W)^{\Gamma_r}$ is the subspace of $H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, K/W)$ killed by $\gamma^{p^{r-1}} - 1$ (by Proposition 2.32); so, by duality, we get $M_m/(\gamma^{p^{r-1}} - 1)M_m \cong M_r$.

Since any algebra homomorphism $\lambda : W[\Gamma^{p^{r-1}}/\Gamma^{p^{m-1}}] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is induced by a character $\chi : \Gamma^{p^{r-1}}/\Gamma^{p^{m-1}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$, by duality (and Proposition 2.31), we find M_λ which is then Pontryagin dual of $H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, K/W)[\chi]$ is W -free of finite rank. Therefore, M is $W[\Gamma^{p^{r-1}}/\Gamma^{p^{m-1}}]$ -free module of finite rank. \square

Corollary 2.37. *Let $M = M_m$ be the Pontryagin dual of $H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, K/W)$. The projective limit $M_\infty = \varprojlim_m M_m$ is a Λ -free module of finite rank, and $M_\infty/((1+T) - \chi(\gamma))M_\infty$ is isomorphic to the Pontryagin dual of $H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_0(Np^m), K/W)[\chi]$ for all characters $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/Np^m\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow W^\times$ factoring through Γ_m .*

Since $\Phi_{r,m}$ is torsion-free for sufficiently large r , we find that M_∞ is $W[[\Gamma^{p^{r-1}}]]$ -free of finite rank. Then actually M_∞ is also Λ -free of finite rank. Thus in this corollary, we do not need to assume torsion-freeness of $\Phi_{r,m}$. We do not give a detailed proof of the last assertion.

Exercise 2.38. *Prove the above remark that a $W[[\Gamma]]$ -module M (of finite type) is actually free if it is free over $W[[\Gamma^{p^r}]]$ for $r > 0$. (Hint: Use that fact that if we identify $W[[\Gamma]]$ with $W[[T]]$, then $W[[\Gamma^{p^r}]]$ is identified with $W[[(1+T)^{p^r} - 1]]$, in particular, $W[[\Gamma]]$ is free of finite rank over $W[[\Gamma^{p^r}]]$.)*

We have basically proven the following result for $k = 2$ (see [H86b] for the general case of $k \geq 2$). Here we note that the Λ -algebra structure on $\mathbb{H}^{ord}(Np^\infty; W)$ is the twist twice by the (identity inclusion) character $\Gamma \ni \delta \mapsto \delta \in W^\times$ of the action $\langle \delta \rangle_2$ on the cohomology groups.

Corollary 2.39. *The algebra $\mathbb{H}^{ord}(Np^\infty; W)$ is a torsion free Λ -module of finite type and has a canonical surjective homomorphism*

$$\pi_k : \mathbb{H}^{ord}(Np^\infty; W) \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda / ((1 + T) - \gamma^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_k^{ord}(Np; W)$$

taking $T(n)$ to $T(n)$, where $\mathbb{H}_k^{ord}(Np; W)$ is the W -algebra in the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(Np); L(k-2; K)))$ generated by $T(n)$ over W . More over we have

$$\pi_k : \mathbb{H}^{ord}(Np^\infty; W) \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda / ((1 + T) - \gamma^k) \otimes_W K \cong \mathbb{H}_k^{ord}(Np; K)$$

for all $k \geq 2$.

By Eichler-Shimura isomorphism, $\mathbb{H}_k^{ord}(Np; W)$ is the modular W -algebra in the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(M_k^{ord}(\Gamma_1(Np); K))$ generated by $T(n)$ over W . The last assertion can be proven, for example, by counting the dimension of the left and right-hand-side. Here is a sketch how to prove the higher weight $k > 2$ case. Note that the evaluation of polynomial $L(k-2; W_m) \ni P \mapsto P(1, 0) \in W_m(k-2)$ for $W_m = W/p^m W$ is a morphism of $\Phi_{0,m}$ -modules, where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(Np^m)$ acts on $W_m(k-2) = W_m$ by $x \mapsto d^{k-2}x$. Thus we have a morphism $\iota : H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, L(k-2, W_m)) \rightarrow H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, W_m(k-2)) \cong H^1(\Gamma_m, W_m)[k-2]$, where $H^1(\Gamma_m, W_m)[k-2]$ is the submodule of $H^1(\Gamma_m, W_m)$ on which $\overline{\Phi} = \Phi_{0,m}/\Gamma_m \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ acts by $z \mapsto z^{k-2}$. Similarly, $W_m(2-k) \ni a \mapsto aY^{k-2} \in L(k-2, W_m)$ gives a morphism of $\Phi_{0,m}$ -modules, which results $\pi_0 : H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, W_m(2-k)) \rightarrow H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, L(k-2, W_m)) \cong H^1(\Gamma_m, W_m)[k-2]$. For simplicity, suppose $N = 1$. Then $\Phi_{0,m} = \Gamma_0(p^m)$. Since $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ p^m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ normalizes $\Gamma_0(p^m)$, defining $c|[\tau](\sigma) = \tau^t c(\tau\sigma\tau^{-1})$ for 1-cocycles $c : \Gamma_0(p^m) \rightarrow L(k-2, W_m)$, we have an endomorphism $[\tau]$ of $H^1(\Gamma_0(p^m), L(k-2, W_m))$. Similarly, for 1-cocycles $c : \Gamma_0(p^m) \rightarrow W_m(k-2)$, we verify that $c|[\tau](\sigma) = c(\tau\sigma\tau^{-1})$ is a 1-cocycle with values in $W_m(2-k)$, since $\tau \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p^m c & d \end{pmatrix} \tau^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ p^m b & a \end{pmatrix}$ and $ad \equiv 1 \pmod{p^m}$. Thus we can define $[\tau] : H^1(\Gamma_0(p^m), W_m(k-2)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_0(p^m), W_m(2-k))$, Put $\pi = [\Gamma_0(p^m)\delta\Gamma_0(p^m)] \circ \pi_0 \circ [\tau]$. Then by computation, we can prove $\pi \circ \iota = U(p^m)$ on $H^1(\Gamma_0(p^m), L(k-2, W_m))$ and $\iota \circ \pi = U(p^m)$ on $H^1(\Gamma_m, W_m)[k-2]$. Thus taking limit, we find an isomorphism

$$(2.6) \quad I : H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_0(p^\infty), L(k-2, K/W)) = \varinjlim_m H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_0(p^m), L(k-2, W_m)) \\ \cong \varinjlim_m H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(p^m), W_m)[k-2] = H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(p^\infty), K/W)[k-2],$$

where $H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(p^\infty), K/W)[k-2]$ is the subspace of $H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(p^\infty), K/W)$ on which $\langle z \rangle_2$ for $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ acts by the character: $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \ni z \mapsto z^{k-2} \in W$. This morphism I can be checked to satisfy $T(n) \circ I = I \circ T(n)$, and in this way, we get the result of higher weight (see [H86b] Theorem 4.4 for more details).

Instead of $\varinjlim_m H_{ord}^1(Y_1(Np^m), K/W)$, taking the Pontryagin dual N_∞ of the limit cohomology $\varinjlim_m H_{ord}^1(X_1(Np^m), K/W)$ and its Hecke algebra

$$\mathbf{h}^{ord}(Np^\infty; W) = \Lambda[T(n) | n = 1, 2, \dots] \subset \text{End}_\Lambda(N_\infty),$$

we can prove the cuspidal version of the above corollary:

Corollary 2.40. *The algebra $\mathbf{h}^{ord}(Np^\infty; W)$ is a torsion free Λ -module of finite type and has a canonical surjective homomorphism*

$$\pi_k : \mathbf{h}^{ord}(Np^\infty; W) \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda / ((1+T) - \gamma^k \chi(\gamma)) \rightarrow \mathbf{h}_k^{ord}(Np^r; W)$$

taking $T(n)$ to $T(n)$, where $\mathbf{h}_k^{ord}(Np; W)$ is the W -algebra in $\text{End}(S_k(\Gamma_1(Np); K))$ generated by $T(n)$ over W . Moreover we have

$$\pi_k : \mathbf{h}^{ord}(Np^\infty; W) \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda / ((1+T) - \gamma^k \chi(\gamma)) \otimes_W K \cong \mathbf{h}_k^{ord}(Np; K)$$

for all $k \geq 2$.

2.9. Ordinary p -adic analytic families. Write $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}^{ord}(Np^\infty; W)$ simply. Consider $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathbf{h}, \Lambda)$ and embed it into $\Lambda[[q]]$ by sending $F \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathbf{h}, \Lambda)$ to a q -expansion $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a(n, F)q^n$ with $a(n, F) = F(T(n))$. Since $\mathbf{h} \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda / ((1+T) - \gamma^k) \otimes_W K \cong \mathbf{h}_k^{ord}(Np, K)$ and $\text{Hom}_K(\mathbf{h}_k^{ord}(Np, K), K) = S_k^{ord}(\Gamma_1(Np), K)$, we can easily conclude that $F(\gamma^k - 1)$ is the q -expansion of an element in $S_k^{ord}(\Gamma_1(Np), K)$. This shows that

Theorem 2.41. *The Λ -module $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathbf{h}, \Lambda)$ is isomorphic to the Λ -module $S^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$ of ordinary analytic families of cusp forms of prime-to- p level N . Moreover if $F \in S^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$, $F(\gamma^k - 1) \in S_k^{ord}(\Gamma_1(Np); K)$ for all $k \geq 2$.*

Exercise 2.42. *Give a detailed proof of the above theorem, and prove that $S^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$ is a free of finite rank over Λ .*

2.10. Higher weight. We briefly explain how to relate weight 2 and higher weight $k \geq 2$. Since $\gamma \in \Phi = \Phi_{0,m} = \Gamma_0(p^m) \cap \Gamma_1(N)$ is upper triangular modulo p^m , the evaluation of polynomials $P(X, Y) \in L(k-2; W/p^m W)$ at $(1, 0)$ induces the following morphism of Φ -modules: $i : L(k-2; W/p^m W) \rightarrow W/p^m W(k-2)$, where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ cp^m & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Phi$ acts on $W/p^m W(k-2) \cong W/p^m W$ via multiplication by d^{k-2} . Thus we have a morphism of cohomology $i_* : H^1(\Phi, L(k-2; W/p^m W)) \rightarrow H^1(\Phi, W/p^m W(k-2))$. In the same manner as in the proof of Proposition 2.31, we get

Proposition 2.43. *Suppose that $\Phi_{r,m}$ is torsion-free (for integers $m \geq r > 0$). We have*

$$H_{ord}^1(\Phi_{r,m}, W/p^m W(k-2)) \cong H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, W/p^m W)[k-2],$$

where for $\overline{\Phi} = \Phi_{r,m}/\Gamma_m \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/Np^m \mathbb{Z})^\times$

$$H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, W/p^m W)[k-2] = \{c \in H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, W/p^m W) \mid c| \langle z \rangle = z^{k-2} c \text{ for } z \in \overline{\Phi}\}.$$

By Lemma 2.13 and Corollary 2.15, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; K/W)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & H^1(\Phi_{r,m}, L(k-2; K/W)) \\ U(p) \downarrow & \swarrow u & \downarrow U(p) \\ H^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; K/W)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & H^1(\Phi_{r,m}, L(k-2; K/W)) \end{array}$$

for $u = [\Gamma_r \alpha \Phi_{r,m}]$ with $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$. Since $U(p)$ is bijective on $H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; K/W))$ and $H_{ord}^1(\Phi_{r,m}, L(k-2; K/W))$, we conclude

$$H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_r, L(k-2; K/W)) \cong H_{ord}^1(\Phi_{r,m}, L(k-2; K/W)).$$

Then passing to the limit now going to $m = \infty$, we get for $k > 2$

$$(2.7) \quad H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p), L(k-2; K/W)) \cong \lim_m H_{ord}^1(\Phi_{0,m}, L(k-2; W/p^m W)) \xrightarrow{i_*} \lim_m H_{ord}^1(\Phi_{0,m}, W/p^m W)[k-2] \cong \lim_m H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, W/p^m W)[k-2].$$

We can make an inverse of this map i_* . We define $j : W/p^m W(2-k) \rightarrow L(k-2; W/p^m W)$ by $j(z) = zY^{k-2}$. Then j is a morphism of $\Phi_{0,m}$ -modules, inducing a morphism $j_* : H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, W/p^m W(2-k)) \rightarrow H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, L(k-2; W/p^m W))$. For simplicity, we assume that $N = 1$. Take $\tau = \tau_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ p^m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\tau \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ cp^m & d \end{pmatrix} \tau^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -c \\ -bp^m & a \end{pmatrix}$. Thus for a 1-cocycle $c : \Phi_{0,m} \rightarrow W/p^m W(k-2)$, $c|[\tau](\gamma) = c(\tau\gamma\tau^{-1})$ is a 1-cocycle with values in $W/p^m W(2-k)$. Since $[\tau]^2 = 1$, we have an isomorphism

$$[\tau] : H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, W/p^m W(k-2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, W/p^m W(2-k)).$$

Then we define $\pi : H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, W/p^m W(k-2)) \rightarrow H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, L(k-2, W/p^m W))$ by

$$\pi = [\Phi_{0,m}\tau_0\Phi_{0,m}] \circ j_* \circ [\tau_m].$$

Just by computation, we get (see [H86b] Theorem 4.4)

Theorem 2.44. *We have $\pi \circ i_* = U(p^m)$ on $H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, L(k-2; W/p^m W))$ and $i_* \circ \pi = U(p^m)$ on $H^1(\Phi_{0,m}, W/p^m W(k-2))$.*

By this theorem, we find that (2.7) is all isomorphism

$$H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_0(p), L(k-2; K/W)) \cong \lim_m H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_m, W/p^m W)[k-2].$$

This shows that

$$M_\infty / (1 + T - \gamma^k)M_\infty \cong \text{Hom}(H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_0(p), L(k-2; K/W)), K/W)$$

actually as Hecke modules, where

$$M_\infty = \varprojlim_m H_1^{ord}(Y_1(p^m), W) \cong \text{Hom}(\varprojlim_m H_{ord}^1(\Gamma_1(p^m), K/W), K/W).$$

When $N > 1$, we just take τ_m such that $\tau_m \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ p^m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^{2m}}$ and $\tau_m \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p^m \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}$ with $\det(\tau_m) = p^m$, and just do the same argument to conclude the above isomorphism for $\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma_0(p)$.

Exercise 2.45. *Show the existence of τ_m as above if $N > 1$ prime to p .*