

1. INTRODUCTION

In this introduction, first, without going into technical details, we describe a prototypical example of a p -adic analytic families of modular forms. Starting with the third week (or slightly earlier), we start to justify our construction cohomologically. The examples we describe are from [LFE] Chapter 7.

1.1. p -Adic L -functions as a power series. We start with a general fact on the Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -functions. We consider the binomial formula:

$$(1.1) \quad (1 + T)^s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{s}{n} T^n.$$

Since $s \mapsto \binom{s}{n} = \frac{s(s-1)\cdots(s-(n-1))}{n!}$ is a polynomial in s and has integer value over natural numbers, it is a polynomial on \mathbb{Z}_p with values in \mathbb{Z}_p . Thus if $\gamma \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we have the p -adic power $\gamma^s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{s}{n} (\gamma - 1)^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ (convergent p -adically) for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with the p -adic integer ring $W = \{w \in K \mid |w|_p \leq 1\}$. Let φ be a p -adic measure on \mathbb{Z}_p with values in W (so it is a bounded measure). Since the power series ring $W[[T]]$ is a Banach algebra under the norm $\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n T^n\| = \sup_n |a_n|_p$, we can integrate any continuous function $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow W[[T]]$ under $d\varphi$. In other words, we approximate ϕ by step functions $\phi_n : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow W[[T]]$ factoring through $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n = \phi$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and define

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \phi d\varphi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \phi_n d\varphi \in W[[T]].$$

Exercise 1.1. *Prove that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} (1 + T)^s d\varphi(s) = \sum_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \binom{s}{n} d\varphi(s) T^n =: \Phi_\varphi(T).$$

Let $\Gamma = 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $z \mapsto \langle z \rangle = \omega(z)^{-1}z$ be the projection of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times onto Γ , where ω is the Teichmüller character defined in [LEC] Theorem 1.33. By the existence of a primitive root, for an odd prime p , the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is a cyclic group, and hence its subgroup $\{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times \mid x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$ is cyclic generated by $\gamma = 1 + p$.

Exercise 1.2. *Let $\Gamma^n = \{u^n \mid u \in \Gamma\} \subset \Gamma$ and p be an odd prime. Prove the following facts*

- (1) $\Gamma^n = \Gamma$ if $p \nmid n$;
- (2) $\Gamma/\Gamma^{p^{n-1}} \cong \{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times \mid x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$ by sending $u\Gamma^{p^{n-1}}$ to $u \pmod{p^n}$. In particular, $[\Gamma : \Gamma^{p^n}] = p^n$;
- (3) $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ by $\gamma^s \mapsto s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $\gamma = 1 + p$;
- (4) $1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ by $\gamma^s \mapsto s \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ for $\gamma = 5$.

We have a projection $\langle \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \Gamma$. Thus we can define a bounded measure $\langle \varphi \rangle$ on Γ by $\int_\Gamma \phi d\langle \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \phi(\langle z \rangle) d\varphi$. Identifying Γ with \mathbb{Z}_p by $\gamma^s \leftrightarrow s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, consider $\Phi_{\langle \varphi \rangle}(T) \in W[[T]]$.

Lemma 1.3. *We have $\int_{\Gamma} u^s d\langle\varphi\rangle(u) = \Phi_{\langle\varphi\rangle}(\gamma^s - 1)$.*

Proof. For the isomorphism $\iota : \Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $\iota(\gamma^z) = z$, we can define a measure φ_+ on \mathbb{Z}_p by $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \phi d\varphi_+ = \int_{\Gamma} \phi \circ \iota d\langle\varphi\rangle$. Then we have $\Phi_{\langle\varphi\rangle} = \Phi_{\varphi_+}$, and $\Phi_{\varphi_+}(T) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1+T)^z d\varphi_+(z)$. Replacing T by $\gamma^s - 1$ and writing $u = \gamma^z$, we get

$$\Phi_{\varphi_+}(\gamma^s - 1) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \gamma^{sz} d\varphi_+(z) = \int_{\Gamma} u^s d\langle\varphi\rangle(u),$$

which shows the assertion. □

Exercise 1.4. *Define a Dirac measure δ_z for $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ by $\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \phi d\delta_z = \phi(z)$. Prove that $\Phi_{\delta_z}(T) = (1+T)^z$.*

Let N be a positive integer prime to p . We defined in [LEC] Theorem 1.33 the p -adic Dirichlet L -function for each primitive odd character χ modulo Np^r (with values in K). Reformulating the result there (by making a variable change $\chi \mapsto \chi\omega^{-1}$; so now χ is even), by the above lemma, we thus get

Theorem 1.5. *Let N be a positive integer prime to p and χ with $\chi(-1) = 1$ be a Dirichlet character modulo Np . Suppose that χ_N is primitive modulo N . Then there exists a power series $\Phi_{\chi}(T) \in W[[T]]$ such that $L_p(1-s, \chi) = \Phi_{\chi}(\gamma^s - 1)$ if $\chi_N \neq \mathbf{1}$ and $L_p(1-s, \mathbf{1}) = \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{1}}(\gamma^s - 1)}{\gamma^s - 1}$.*

Exercise 1.6. *Give a detailed proof of the above theorem.*

A p -adic analytic function on \mathbb{Z}_p of the form $s \mapsto \Phi(\gamma^s - 1)$ for a power series $\Phi(T) \in W[[T]]$ is called an Iwasawa function. Iwasawa functions form a special subclass of p -adic analytic functions on \mathbb{Z}_p .

1.2. Eisenstein series. Let $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ be a primitive Dirichlet character. We consider the Eisenstein series of weight $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$E'_k(z, s) = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 - \{(0,0)\}} \chi^{-1}(n)(mNz + n)^{-k} |mNz + n|^{-2s},$$

where $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$. When $N = 1$, χ is the trivial character $\mathbf{1}$. For the following exercise, see [MFM] Section 2.6 and Chapter 7.

Exercise 1.7. *Prove*

- (1) $E'_{k,\chi}(z, s)$ converges absolutely and locally uniformly with respect to $(z, s) \in \mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ if $\text{Re}(2s + k) > 2$;
- (2) $E'_{k,\chi}(z, s) = 0$ if $\chi(-1) \neq (-1)^k$ (assuming convergence);
- (3) $E'_{k,\chi}(z) = E'_{k,\chi}(z, 0)$ is a holomorphic function of z if $k > 2$ (this fact is actually true if $k = 2$ and $\chi \neq \mathbf{1}$ for the limit $E'_{k,\chi}(z) = \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} E'_{k,\chi}(z, s)$);
- (4) $E'_{k,\chi}(\gamma(z)) = \chi(d)(cz + d)^k E'_{k,\chi}(z)$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$.

A holomorphic function $f : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a modular form on $\Gamma_0(N)$ of weight k with character χ if f satisfies the following conditions:

- (M1) $f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \chi(d)f(z)(cz+d)^k$ for all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$;
 (M2) f is finite at all cusps of $X_0(N)$; in other words, for all $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$,
 $f|_k\alpha(z) = f(\alpha(z))(cz+d)^{-k}$ has Fourier expansion of the form

$$\sum_{0 \leq n \in N^{-1}\mathbb{Z}} a(n, f|_k\alpha) \exp(2\pi inz) \quad (\text{with } a(n, f|_k\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}).$$

The above condition means that the function f is finite at the cusp $\alpha(\infty)$ of $X_0(N)$ (whose value at the cusp is $a(0, f|_k\alpha)$). We write $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N))$ for the space of functions satisfying (M1–2). Replace (M2) by

- (S) f is vanishing at all cusps of $X_0(N)$ (that is, $a(n, f|_k\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $n \leq 0$),

we define subspace $S_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N)) \subset M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N))$ by imposing (S). Functions in the space $S_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N))$ are called holomorphic cusp forms on $\Gamma_0(N)$ of weight k with character χ .

Exercise 1.8. Prove that $M_{0,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N))$ is either \mathbb{C} (constants) or 0 according as $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ or not.

Exercise 1.9. Prove that $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N)) = 0$ if $\chi(-1) \neq (-1)^k$.

Proposition 1.10. Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo N . The Eisenstein series $E'_{k,\chi}(z, s)$ for $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be meromorphically continued as a function of s for a fixed z giving a real analytic function of z if $E'_{k,\chi}(z, s)$ is finite at $s \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\chi \neq \mathbf{1}$ or $k \neq 2$, $E'_{k,\chi}(z) = E'_{k,\chi}(z, 0)$ is an element in $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N))$.

We only prove the last assertion for $k > 2$, since the proof of the other assertions require more preparation from real analysis. See [LFE] Chapter 9 (or [MFM] Chapter 7) for a proof of these assertions not proven here.

Proof. Suppose $k > 2$. Then $E'_{k,\chi}$ is absolutely and locally uniformly convergent by the exercise above, and hence $E'_{k,\chi}$ is a holomorphic functions in $z \in \mathfrak{H}$. Thus we need to compute its Fourier expansion. Since the computation is basically the same for all cusps, we only do the computation at the cusp ∞ . We use the following partial fraction expansion of cotangent function (can be found any advanced Calculus text or [LFE] (2.1.5-6) in page 28):

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi \cot(\pi z) &= \pi i \frac{\exp(2\pi iz) + 1}{\exp(2\pi iz) - 1} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z+n} + \frac{1}{z-n} \right) \\ \pi \cot(\pi z) &= \pi i \frac{\exp(2\pi iz) + 1}{\exp(2\pi iz) - 1} = \pi i \left(-1 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^n \right), \quad q = \exp(2\pi iz). \end{aligned}$$

The two series converge locally uniformly on \mathfrak{H} and periodic on \mathbb{C} by definition. Applying the differential operator $(2\pi i)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ to the formulas in (1.2) term by term, we get

$$(1.3) \quad S_k(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z+n)^k} = \frac{(-2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} q^n.$$

Form this, assuming $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 E'_{k,\chi}(z) &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)^{-1} n^{-k} + 2 \sum_{r=1}^N \chi^{-1}(r) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} N^{-k} (mz + \frac{r}{N} + n)^{-k} \\
 (1.4) \quad &= 2L(k, \chi^{-1}) + 2 \sum_{r=1}^N \chi^{-1}(r) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} N^{-k} S_k(mz + \frac{r}{N}) \\
 &\stackrel{(1.3)}{=} 2L(k, \chi^{-1}) + 2N^{-k} \frac{(-2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} q^m \sum_{r=1}^N \chi^{-1}(r) \exp(2\pi i \frac{nr}{N}).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the functional equation (see [LFE] Theorem 2.3.2), we have, if $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$,

$$(1.5) \quad L(k, \chi^{-1}) = G(\chi^{-1}) \frac{(-2\pi i)^k}{N^k (k-1)!} L(1-k, \chi),$$

where $G(\psi)$ for a primitive character ψ modulo C is the Gauss sum $\sum_{r=1}^C \psi(r) \exp(2\pi i \frac{r^2}{C})$.

We have $\sum_{r=1}^N \chi^{-1}(r) \exp(2\pi i \frac{nr}{N}) = \begin{cases} \chi(n)G(\chi^{-1}) & \text{if } n \text{ is prime to } N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ and we get the formula

$$(1.6) \quad E'_{k,\chi}(z) = G(\chi^{-1}) \frac{2(-2\pi i)^k}{N^k (k-1)!} E_{k,\chi}(z)$$

for

$$E_{k,\chi}(z) = 2^{-1} L(1-k, \chi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1,\chi}(n) q^n$$

for $\sigma_{k-1,\chi}(n) = \sum_{0 < d|n} \chi(d) d^{k-1}$. Here we used the convention that $E_{k,\chi}(z) = 0$ if $\chi(-1) \neq (-1)^k$. \square

Exercise 1.11. Give a proof of

$$\sum_{r=1}^N \chi^{-1}(r) \exp(2\pi i \frac{nr}{N}) = \begin{cases} \chi(n)G(\chi^{-1}) & \text{if } n \text{ is prime to } N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 1.12. Let p be a prime, and write $\mathbf{1}_p$ for the imprimitive identity character of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Prove that

$$E_{k,\mathbf{1}}(z) - p^{k-1} E_{k,\mathbf{1}}(pz) = 2^{-1} (1 - p^{k-1}) \zeta(1-k) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1,\mathbf{1}}^{(p)}(n) q^n$$

for $\sigma_{k-1,\mathbf{1}}^{(p)}(n) = \sum_{0 < d|n, p \nmid n} d^{k-1}$. More generally, if N is prime to p , prove that

$$E_{k,\chi}(z) - \chi(p) p^{k-1} E_{k,\chi}(pz) = 2^{-1} (1 - \chi(p) p^{k-1}) L(1-k, \chi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1,\chi}^{(p)}(n) q^n$$

for $\sigma_{k-1,\chi}^{(p)}(n) = \sum_{0 < d|n, p \nmid n} \chi(d) d^{k-1}$.

1.3. Eisenstein family. We continue to fix a positive integer N prime to p and a Dirichlet character χ modulo Np with $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. We know by a work of Shimura (recalled in [LEC] Proposition 2.18 when $k = 2$ and $\chi = \mathbf{1}$) that $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(Np^r); A) \otimes_A \mathbb{C} = M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(Np^r))$ for any algebra $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing the values of χ , where

$$M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(Np^r); A) = \{f \in M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(Np^r)) \mid a(n, f) \in A \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}.$$

Here we write the q -expansion of f as $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n, f)q^n$. Then we take $A = W \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and define $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(Np^r); W) = M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(Np^r); A) \otimes_A W$ and

$$M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(Np^r); K) = M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(Np^r); W) \otimes_W K = M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(Np^r); A) \otimes_A K.$$

By definition, $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(Np^r); A) \hookrightarrow A[[q]]$ via q -expansion.

Definition 1.13. A p -adic analytic family of modular forms of character χ (modulo Np) with coefficients in $\Lambda = W[[T]]$ is a formal q -expansion $F(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n, F)(T)q^n \in \Lambda[[q]]$ such that for all sufficiently large integers $k \gg 0$, $F(\gamma^k - 1)$ is the q -expansion of an element in $M_{k,\chi\omega^{-k}}(\Gamma_0(Np); W)$ for the Teichmüller character $\omega(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z^{p^n}$ for $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ (which factors through $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$).

Exercise 1.14. Prove that the limit $\omega(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z^{p^n}$ exists in \mathbb{Z}_p and that it gives rise to a Dirichlet character modulo p .

Exercise 1.15. Prove that $\log_p(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(z-1)^n}{n}$ converges p -adically for $z \in \Gamma$ to an element in $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ and satisfies $\log_p(zw) = \log_p(z) + \log_p(w)$ and $\gamma^{\log_p(\langle n \rangle) / \log_p(\gamma)} = \langle n \rangle$ for all integer n prime to p (cf. [LFE] Section 1.3). Similarly, prove that $\exp_p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$ converges to an element in Γ p -adically over $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ and show that $\exp_p \circ \log_p$ and $\exp_p \circ \log_p$ are the identity maps.

Define $\Phi_\chi(T) \in W[[T]]$ by

$$\Phi_\chi(\gamma^s - 1) = \begin{cases} 2^{-1}L_p(1-s, \chi) & \text{if } \chi \neq \mathbf{1} \\ 2^{-1}(\gamma^s - 1)L_p(1-s, \chi) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and

$$a(n, \mathcal{E}_\chi)(T) = \begin{cases} \sum_{0 < d \mid n, p \nmid d} \chi(d)(1+T)^{\log_p(\langle d \rangle) / \log_p(\gamma)} & \text{if } \chi \neq \mathbf{1}, \\ T \sum_{0 < d \mid n, p \nmid d} (1+T)^{\log_p(\langle d \rangle) / \log_p(\gamma)} & \text{if } \chi = \mathbf{1}. \end{cases}$$

Exercise 1.16. Using Theorem 1.5, prove the existence and uniqueness of $\Phi_\chi(T) \in W[[T]]$ if χ_N is primitive modulo N .

Theorem 1.17. Let χ be an even Dirichlet character modulo Np with primitive χ_N . Then the q -expansion $\mathcal{E}_\chi = \Phi_\chi(T) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n, \mathcal{E}_\chi)q^n$ gives a p -adic analytic family of modular form with character χ . Moreover $E_\chi(\gamma^k - 1) \in M_{k,\chi\omega^{-k}}(\Gamma_0(Np); W)$ for $k \geq 2$ except for the case where $\chi = \mathbf{1}$. When $N = 1$ and $\chi = \mathbf{1}$, $E_1(\gamma^k - 1) \in M_{k,\omega^{-k}}(\Gamma_0(Np); W)$ if $k = 0$ or $k > 3$.

Proof. We prove the result assuming $\chi \neq \mathbf{1}$, since the case of $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ and $N = 1$ is similar. By computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(n, \mathcal{E}_\chi)(\gamma^k - 1) &= \sum_{0 < d | n, p \nmid n} \chi(d) \gamma^{k \log_p(\langle d \rangle) / \log_p(\gamma)} \\ &= \sum_{0 < d | n, p \nmid n} \chi(d) \exp_p(\log_p(\gamma))^{k \log_p(\langle d \rangle) / \log_p(\gamma)} = \sum_{0 < d | n, p \nmid n} \chi(d) \langle n \rangle^k \\ &= \sum_{0 < d | n, p \nmid n} \chi \omega^{-k}(d) d^k = \sigma_{k, \chi}^{(p)}(n). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly by definition, $\Phi_\chi(\gamma^k - 1) = 2^{-1} L_p(1 - k, \chi \omega^{-k})$. Thus we have from Exercise 1.12

$$\mathcal{E}_\chi(\gamma^k - 1) = \begin{cases} E_{k, \chi \omega^{-k}} & \text{if } \chi \omega^{-k} \text{ is primitive modulo } Np, \\ E_{k, \chi_N}(z) - \chi_N(p) p^{k-1} E_{k, \chi_N}(pz) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Exercise 1.18. Give a detailed proof of the above theorem when $\chi = \mathbf{1}$ and $N = 1$.

The collection of all p -adic analytic families of modular forms with character χ form a Λ -module $M_\chi(N; \Lambda)$. If $F \in M_\chi(N; \Lambda)$ specializes to a cusp form $F(\gamma^k - 1) \in S_{k, \chi \omega^{-k}}(\Gamma_0(N); W)$ for all sufficiently large $k \gg 0$, F is called a p -adic analytic cuspidal family. The correction of all cuspidal families is written as $S_\chi(N; \Lambda)$. For a given modular form $f \in M_{\ell, \psi}(\Gamma_0(pN); W)$, we can define a convoluted product $f * \mathcal{E}_\chi$ by

$$f * \mathcal{E}_\chi(T) = f \mathcal{E}_\chi(\gamma^{-\ell}(1 + T) - 1).$$

Then $f * \mathcal{E}_k \in \Lambda[[q]]$ and by computation, we have $f * \mathcal{E}_\chi(\gamma^k - 1) = f \cdot \mathcal{E}(\gamma^{k-\ell} - 1)$. Since $\mathcal{E}(\gamma^{k-\ell} - 1) \in M_{k-\ell, \chi \omega^{\ell-k}}(\Gamma_0(N); W)$, we find $f \cdot \mathcal{E}(\gamma^{k-\ell} - 1) \in M_{k, \chi \psi \omega^{\ell-k}}(\Gamma_0(N); W)$ if $k \geq \ell + 2$. This shows

Corollary 1.19. We have $f * \mathcal{E}_\chi \in M_{\chi \psi \omega^\ell}(\Gamma_0(N); \Lambda)$ if $f \in M_{\ell, \psi}(\Gamma_0(pN); W)$. If $f \in S_{\ell, \psi}(\Gamma_0(pN); W)$, we have $f * \mathcal{E}_\chi \in S_{\chi \psi \omega^\ell}(\Gamma_0(N); \Lambda)$.

In this way, we can produce a lot of p -adic analytic families.

Exercise 1.20. Prove that $f * \mathcal{E}_\chi \in S_{\chi \psi \omega^\ell}(\Gamma_0(N); \Lambda)$ if $f \in S_{\ell, \psi}(\Gamma_0(pN); W)$.

1.4. Hecke operators. Recall

$$\Delta_0(pN) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{pN}, a\mathbb{Z} + Np\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc > 0 \right\}.$$

We define a character χ_Δ of $\Delta_0(pN)$ by $\chi_\Delta \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \chi^{-1}(a)$.

Exercise 1.21. Prove that $\chi_\Delta \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \chi(d)$ if $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(pN)$.

Define for $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_0(pN)$ and a function $f : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, a new function $f|_{k, \chi} \alpha(z)$ by $f|_{k, \chi} \alpha(z) = \det(\alpha)^{k-1} f(\alpha(z)) \chi_\Delta^{-1}(\alpha) (cz + d)^{-k}$. Splitting $T_n = \{\alpha \in \Delta_0(pN) \mid \det(\alpha) = n\}$ into a disjoint union $T_n = \bigsqcup_\alpha \Gamma_0(pN)\alpha$, we define $f|T(n) = \sum_\alpha f|_{k, \chi} \alpha$. Then the same proof of Lemma 2.14 in [LEC] gives

Lemma 1.22. (1) Write $T(n)$ for the operator corresponding to T_n . Then $T(n)$ gives a linear endomorphism of $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(pN))$.

(2) We get the following identity of Hecke operators for $f \in M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(pN))$:

$$a(m, f|T(n)) = \sum_{0 < d|(m,n),(d,pN)=1} \chi(d)d^{k-1} \cdot a\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}, f\right).$$

(3) $T(m)T(n) = T(n)T(m)$ for all integers m and n .

When $m|pN$, we often write $U(m)$ for $T(m)$.

Exercise 1.23. Give a detailed proof of the above Lemma.

Corollary 1.24. If $k \geq 1$ and A contains the values of χ , the Hecke operators $T(n)$ preserves $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(pN), \chi)$.

Definition 1.25. We consider the operator $T_\Lambda(n)$ on $F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n, F)(T)q^n \Lambda[[q]]$ defined by $a(m, F|T_\Lambda(n)) = \sum_{0 < d|(m,n),(d,pN)=1} \chi(d)d^{-1}(1+T)^{\log_p((d))/\log_p(\gamma)} \cdot a\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}, F\right)$.

Since $(1+T)^{\log_p((d))/\log_p(\gamma)}|_{T=\gamma^k-1} = \langle d \rangle^k = \omega^{-k}(d)d^k$, after specializing $T = \gamma^k - 1$, we find $(F|T_\Lambda(n))(\gamma^k - 1) = (F(\gamma^k - 1)|T(n))$. Thus $T_\Lambda(n)$ preserves $M_\chi(N; \Lambda)$ and $S_\chi(N; \Lambda)$.

Proposition 1.26. We have a linear operator $T_\Lambda(n)$ defined by Definition 1.25 acting on $M_\chi(N; \Lambda)$ which preserves $S_\chi(N; \Lambda)$. In particular, $(F|T_\Lambda(n))(\gamma^k - 1) = (F(\gamma^k - 1)|T(n))$ for all $F \in M_k(N; \Lambda)$ and all $k \gg 0$ and $T_\Lambda(m)T_\Lambda(n) = T_\Lambda(n)T_\Lambda(m)$ and $T_\Lambda(m)T_\Lambda(n) = T_\Lambda(mn)$ if $m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$.

There are a lot of questions we can ask for p -adic analytic families; for example,

- (Q1) Is the Λ -module $M_\chi(N; \Lambda)$ finitely generated?
- (Q2) Is the module $M_\chi(N; \Lambda)$ spanned by Hecke eigenforms (at least topologically if it is infinite rank)?
- (Q3) What is $F(\zeta - 1)$ for a general $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ with $|\zeta - 1|_p < 1$?
- (Q4) If $F \in M_\chi(N; \Lambda)$ is a common Hecke eigenform with $a(1, F) = 1$ with Λ -unit eigenvalue for $U(p)$, writing $d\varphi_k$ for the p -adic measure constructed for $F(\gamma^k - 1)$ in [LFE] in Section 6.5 and in [LEC] Theorem 2.36, what is the relation among $d\varphi_k$ for $k \gg 0$?

We try to answer some of these questions.

1.5. Modular forms of level N . We generalize a bit the notion of modular forms. Let

$$\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N) \mid a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

A modular form $f \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ is a holomorphic function on \mathfrak{H} satisfying the conditions (M1–2) in 1.2 for $\Gamma_1(N)$ in place of $\Gamma_0(N)$. Since $d \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ and χ is a character modulo N in (M1), this space is independent of the choice of χ , and hence the subscript χ is dropped from the notation. Similarly we define the subspace of cusp forms $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ inside $M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ by imposing (S) in addition to (M1–2). Then we define first for a ring $A \subset \mathbb{C}$

$$M_k(\Gamma_1(N); A) = \left\{ f \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \mid a(n, f) \in A \text{ for all } n \geq 0 \right\}$$

and put $S_k(\Gamma_1(N); A) = M_k(\Gamma_1(N); A) \cap S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$. Then again it is known by Shimura (cf. [IAT] Theorem 3.52) that

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} M_k(\Gamma_1(N); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A &= M_k(\Gamma_1(N); A) \quad \text{and} \quad M_k(\Gamma_1(N); A) \otimes_A \mathbb{C} = M_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \\ S_k(\Gamma_1(N); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A &= S_k(\Gamma_1(N); A) \quad \text{and} \quad S_k(\Gamma_1(N); A) \otimes_A \mathbb{C} = S_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for an algebra X with $W \subset X \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, taking $A = X \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we may define $M_k(\Gamma_1(N); X) = M_k(\Gamma_1(N); A) \otimes_A X$ and $S_k(\Gamma_1(N); X) = S_k(\Gamma_1(N); A) \otimes_A X$. These spaces can be embedded into $X[[q]]$ by q -expansion.

Since $\Gamma_0(N)/\Gamma_1(N) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (d \bmod N)$, the finite group $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ acts on $M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$. Then by definition, the χ -eigenspace of $M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ is the space $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N))$:

$$M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N)) = \{f \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N)) \mid f| \langle d \rangle = \chi(d)f \text{ for all } d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times\},$$

where $f| \langle a \rangle = f|_k \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ for $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$. Thus we get

Lemma 1.27. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} M_k(\Gamma_1(N)) &= \bigoplus_{\chi} M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N)) \\ S_k(\Gamma_1(N)) &= \bigoplus_{\chi} S_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N)), \end{aligned}$$

where χ runs over all Dirichlet characters modulo N .

The Hecke operator $T(n)$ on each $M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N))$ gives rise to a Hecke operator on the sum $M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ over χ . In other words, writing $f \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ as $f = \bigoplus_{\chi} f_{\chi}$ with $f_{\chi} \in M_{k,\chi}(\Gamma_0(N))$, we have $f|T(n) = \bigoplus_{\chi} (f_{\chi}|T(n))$.

Exercise 1.28. *Prove that for $f \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$*

$$a(m, f|T(n)) = \sum_{0 < d \mid (m,n), (d,N)=1} d^{k-1} a\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}, f| \langle d \rangle\right)$$

and $T(\ell)^2 - T(\ell^2) = \ell^{k-1} \langle \ell \rangle$ if ℓ is a prime outside N .

Let

$$\Delta_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}, a \equiv 1 \pmod{N}, ad - bc > 0 \right\}.$$

Exercise 1.29. *Splitting $T_n = \{\alpha \in \Delta_1(N) \mid \det(\alpha) = n\}$ into a disjoint union $T_n = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} \Gamma_0(pN)\alpha$, prove that $f|T(n) = \sum_{\alpha} f|_{k,1}\alpha$.*

The modular curve $X_1(N)(\mathbb{C}) = \Gamma_1(N) \backslash (\mathfrak{H} \cup \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$ has a regular model $X_1(N)$ over \mathbb{Z} . We admit the following nontrivial facts which could be proven using algebraic geometry on the regular scheme $X_1(N)_{/\mathbb{Z}}$:

Theorem 1.30. *The space $M_k(\Gamma_1(N); A)$ and $S_k(\Gamma_1(N); A)$ are stable under the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and hence under $T(n)$ for all n for any algebra A .*

1.6. Slope of modular forms. A modular form $f \in M_k(\Gamma_1(p^r N); K)$ ($r > 0$) has slope α if $f|(U(p) - a)^M = 0$ (for a sufficiently large integer $M \gg 0$) and $|a|_p = p^{-\alpha}$. If $f|U(p)^M = 0$ (for $M \gg 0$), we call f to have infinity slope.

Lemma 1.31. *Let X be a W -module of finite type and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a W -linear operator. Then the p -adic limit $e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{n!}$ exists in $\text{End}_W(X)$ and gives an idempotent of $\text{End}_W(X)$.*

Proof. Since $\text{End}_W(X)$ is a W -module of finite type, the W -subalgebra A generated by T is a W -algebra which is of finite type as W -modules. Thus we need to prove the existence of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{n!}$ in a W -algebra X which is a W -module of finite type. In particular, we have a finitely many generators a_1, \dots, a_r of A over W and a W -linear surjection: $W^r \twoheadrightarrow A$ sending $(x_j)_{j=1, \dots, r}$ to $\sum_j x_j a_j$. In particular A/pA is finite; so, Writing Ω the set of all maximal ideals of A , Ω is a finite set. Also A is p -adically complete; so, $A = \varprojlim_n A/p^n A$. We have $\bigcap_n \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Omega} \mathfrak{m}^n = 0$; so, $A = \varprojlim_n A / \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Omega} \mathfrak{m}^n$. By Chinese remainder theorem applied to $A / \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Omega} \mathfrak{m}^n$, we find $A / \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Omega} \mathfrak{m}^n = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} A / \mathfrak{m}^n$. Thus we find that $A = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Omega} A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for $A_{\mathfrak{m}} = \varprojlim_n A / \mathfrak{m}^n A$. Then A is a direct product of local rings $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Thus we may assume that A is local. Then $\lim_n T^{n!} = 0$ if $T \in \mathfrak{m}$. Since $A/\mathfrak{m}A$ is a finite field of characteristic p , its order is p^f . Then $a_n = |(A/\mathfrak{m}^n A)^\times| = p^{m_n}(p^f - 1)$ for an increasing sequence m_n . In particular, $T^{a_n} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^n}$, and hence $1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{a_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{n!}$. \square

Exercise 1.32. *Under the notation of the above proof, give a detailed proof of the following facts:*

- (1) $p \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Omega} \mathfrak{m}$;
- (2) $pA \supset (\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Omega} \mathfrak{m})^n$ for sufficiently large $n > 0$;
- (3) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \Omega} \mathfrak{m}^n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n A = 0$;
- (4) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{a_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{n!}$.

Let $e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(p)^{n!}$ in $\text{End}_W(M_k(\Gamma_1(Np^r); A))$ for $A = W$ or K , and define

$$M_k^{\text{ord}}(\Gamma_1(Np^r); A) = e(M_k(\Gamma_1(Np^r); A)).$$

The following lemma is easy:

Lemma 1.33. *$f \in M_k(\Gamma_1(Np^r); W)$ is of slope zero if and only if $f \in M_k^{\text{ord}}(\Gamma_1(Np^r); W)$ and f is an eigenform for $U(p)$.*

Exercise 1.34. *Prove the above lemma.*

Definition 1.35. *Define a Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_k(Np^r; A)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h}_k(Np^r; A)$) by the A -subalgebra of $\text{End}_A(M_k(\Gamma_1(Np^r); A))$ (resp. $\text{End}_A(S_k(\Gamma_1(Np^r); A))$) generated by Hecke operators $T(n)$ for all n .*

We can define the corresponding spaces $M_\chi^{\text{ord}}(N; \Lambda)$ of p -ordinary analytic families as follows:

Definition 1.36.

$M_\chi^{ord}(N; \Lambda) = \{F \in M_\chi(N; \Lambda) \mid F(\gamma^k - 1) \in M_{k, \chi \omega^{-k}}^{ord}(\Gamma_0(Np)) \text{ for all } k \gg 0\}$
 and $S_\chi^{ord}(N; \Lambda) = S_\chi(N; \Lambda) \cap M_\chi^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$.

The following theorem is proven in 1986 in my papers [H86a], [H86b] and [LFE] Chapter 7 (except for the case for $M_{2,1}^{ord}(\Gamma_0(p); W)$):

Theorem 1.37. $M_\chi^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$ and $S_\chi^{ord}(N; \Lambda)$ are free of finite rank over Λ , and the specialization map induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} M_\chi^{ord}(N; \Lambda) \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda/(T - (\gamma^k - 1)) &\cong M_{k, \chi \omega^{-k}}^{ord}(\Gamma_0(pN); W), \\ S_\chi^{ord}(N; \Lambda) \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda/(T - (\gamma^k - 1)) &\cong S_{k, \chi \omega^{-k}}^{ord}(\Gamma_0(pN); W) \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \geq 2$.

Corollary 1.38. Any element in $M_{k, \chi}^{ord}(\Gamma_0(pN); W)$ for $k \geq 2$ can be lifted to a p -adic analytic family. More over if $k \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}_W M_{k, \chi \omega^{-k}}^{ord}(\Gamma_0(pN); W) &= \text{rank}_\Lambda M_\chi^{ord}(N; \Lambda), \\ \text{rank}_W S_{k, \chi \omega^{-k}}^{ord}(\Gamma_0(pN); W) &= \text{rank}_\Lambda S_\chi^{ord}(N; \Lambda) \end{aligned}$$

which are independent of $k \geq 2$.

Definition 1.39. Let $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer with divisible by $p - 1$ (so, $\omega^k = 1$). A weak p -adic analytic family of modular forms (centered at $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$) is a formal power series $F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n, F)(T)q^n$ with $a(n, F)(T) \in K[[T]]$ convergent at $\gamma^{k'} - 1$ for all k' in a small p -adic neighborhood U in $k \cdot \Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ of k such that $F(\gamma^{k'} - 1) \in M_{k', \chi}(\Gamma_0(pN); K)$ for all $k' \gg 0$ in U .

This type of weak families was introduced in [GM] by Mazur and Gouvêa in 1992. For a given slope $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, we define $M_{k, \chi}^{(\alpha)}(\Gamma_0(pN); K)$ be the space spanned by slope α modular forms in $M_{k, \chi}(\Gamma_0(pN); K)$ and put $S_{k, \chi}^{(\alpha)}(\Gamma_0(pN); K) = M_{k, \chi}^{(\alpha)}(\Gamma_0(pN); K) \cap S_{k, \chi}(\Gamma_0(pN); K)$. By definition, we have

$$M_{k, \chi}^{(0)}(\Gamma_0(pN); K) = M_{k, \chi}^{ord}(\Gamma_0(pN); K).$$

Moreover, we have

$$M_{k, \chi}(\Gamma_0(pN); K) = \bigoplus_{\alpha} M_{k, \chi}^{(\alpha)}(\Gamma_0(pN); K).$$

Exercise 1.40. Prove the above decomposition.

Gouvêa and Mazur made the following conjecture

Conjecture 1.41 (Gouvêa and Mazur, 1992).

(1) If $k, k' \geq 2\alpha + 2$ and $k \equiv k' \pmod{p^n(p-1)}$ for $n \geq \alpha$, then

$$\dim_K S_{k, \chi}^{(\alpha)}(\Gamma_0(pN); K) = \dim_K S_{k', \chi}^{(\alpha)}(\Gamma_0(pN); K),$$

- (2) If $k \geq 2\alpha + 2$, any $f \in S_{k,\chi}^{(\alpha)}(\Gamma_0(pN); K)$ can be lifted to a weak analytic family of slope α (centered at k).

Their conjecture is actually slightly stronger than what is stated here. In this conjecture, they predict the neighborhood $U = U_k$ of a given $k \geq 2\alpha + 2$ (appearing in the definition of the weak families) is specified as

$$U_k = \{k' \in k \cdot \Gamma \mid |k' - k|_p \leq p^{-\lceil \alpha \rceil}\}.$$

Though K. Buzzard found a counter example against the lower bound $k \geq 2\alpha + 2$ of (1) when $p = 2$, the conjecture would be true for $p > 3$ (as Gouvêa and Mazur actually assumed). A slightly different version of the conjecture (2) valid for $k \geq \alpha + 1$ (for a neighborhood $U \subset U_k$) was proven by Coleman [C] in 1998 (a lower bound for k for the validity of (1) quadratic in α was proven by Wan [W] soon after [C]). This result implies that actually that Hecke eigenforms in $M_{k',\chi\omega^{-k'}}(\Gamma_0(pN); \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is parameterized by $k' \in U \subset U_k$ (as we will see later for p -ordinary forms). Then Coleman and Mazur further went on to globalize the (local) parameter space U_k of modular Hecke eigenforms to a rigid analytic curve (the so called eigencurve) in [CM].

Theorem 1.37 (proven earlier than the conjecture) gives a finer result than the conjecture for slope 0 forms and was a main supporting evidence for the conjecture. Indeed, in this case, the eigencurve is actually a formal scheme finite flat over $\text{Spec}(\Lambda)$ (not just a rigid analytic space) and is given by $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{H}_\chi)$ for the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_\chi \subset M_\chi(N; \Lambda)$ generated by Hecke operators $T(n)$ over Λ . Note here the rigid analytic space $\text{Spec}(\Lambda)(\mathbb{C}_p)$ is a p -adic open unit disk. We would prove Theorem 1.37 to some extent in this course by a cohomological means in [H86b] (two other methods are discussed in [H86a] and [LFE] Chapter 7, respectively).

Exercise 1.42. Prove that $\text{Spec}(\Lambda)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) = \text{Hom}_{W\text{-alg}}(\Lambda, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ is isomorphic to the open unit disk D in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ (centered at the origin 0) by sending a W -algebra homomorphism $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ to $\phi(T)$.