

Summary of Things to Know for Math 225B Final

Basic definitions and calculations for manifolds and local coordinate changes including tangent vectors

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \hat{x}_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \quad F_* (= dF) \text{ if } F: M_1 \rightarrow M_2:$$

definition and local coordinate calculations

Definition of vector fields, Lie bracket, proof Lie bracket is vector field

Integral curves of vector fields: small-time existence and uniqueness (differential equations result)

Flow of vector fields, one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms

Basic examples: infinitesimal generator of rotations, translations,

Fundamental Theorem: $[X, Y] \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow$ flows of X, Y commute

Proof via interpretation of $[X, Y]$ as "Lie derivative" of Y with respect to (flow of) X .

Important trick: $X(p) \neq 0 \in T_p M \Rightarrow X \equiv \partial/\partial x_i$ some coordinate system in nbhd of p . This gives $[X, Y] = \text{Lie derivative where } X \neq 0$ (general result then follows).

Application: Frobenius Theorem: Distribution generated by X_1, \dots, X_k which is "involutive" ($[X_i, X_j] \in \text{span}(X_1, \dots, X_k)$) has integral submanifolds.

Example: When is there ^(locally) a function f such that fV has $\text{curl} \equiv 0$, given a vector field V nowhere $= 0$.

(approach via: happens if V^\perp is integrable (\Leftrightarrow involutive))

Results from homework on 1-parameter subgroups of Lie groups.

Example: $\gamma(t)$ one parameter subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ lies in $SO(n) \Leftrightarrow \left. \frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0}$ is skew symmetric

Differential forms: $T_p M^*$ dual space of $T_p M$. Basis dx_1, \dots, dx_n
differential 1-form $p \mapsto T_p M^*$ C^∞ in obvious sense. Locally $\sum f_j dx_j$. Change of coordinates $d\hat{x}_j = \sum \frac{\partial \hat{x}_j}{\partial x_i} dx_i$

Differential of function f : $df(v) = v f$, $v \in T_p M$

$F: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, ω 1-form on M_2 , $F^*\omega$ is 1-form on M_1 , defined by $(F^*\omega)(v) = \omega(F_*v)$, $v \in T_p M_1$.

Things to know (2) for 225B final

Differential forms "pull back" even though vector fields do not push forward. (Vectors push forward, but not vector fields)

k -forms: at each point p , antisymmetric multilinear map $T_p M \times \dots \times T_p M$ (k factors) $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Basis: $dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$, $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, dimension $\binom{n}{k}$ (at each point) $\begin{matrix} \dim M \\ \text{"} \\ n \end{matrix}$

Important operator: d : k forms $\rightarrow (k+1)$ forms.

Not pointwise: involves differentiation.

$$d(f dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}) = df \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$$

Coordinate invariance, coordinate invariant formula:

$$(d\omega)(X_0, \dots, X_k) = \sum (-1)^j X_j \omega(X_0, \dots, X_k) - \sum \pm \omega([X_i, X_j], X_0, \dots, X_k)$$

X_j omitted \uparrow $\pm = (-1)^{i+j+1}$ X_i, X_j omitted

Important idea:

"pointwise" same as function linear.

Check RHS is function linear. Then can use as definition of $d\omega$ if desired. Example ω 1-form

$$(d\omega)(X_0, X_1) = X_0 \omega(X_1) - X_1 \omega(X_0) - \omega([X_0, X_1])$$

Check function linearity of RHS.

Leibniz Rule for d : $d(\omega \wedge \theta) = d\omega \wedge \theta + (-1)^{\deg \omega} \omega \wedge d\theta$

(proof: compute in local coordinates)

Fundamental facts: (1) " d commutes with pullbacks": $F: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$

$$\omega \text{ } k \text{ form on } M_2 \text{ then } d_{M_1}(F^*\omega) = F^*(d_{M_2}\omega)$$

(2) " $d^2 = 0$ ": $d_{k+1}(d_k \omega) \equiv 0$, ω a k -form.

Proof of (1): Commutes with wedge products so enough to check (from Leibniz) on functions. of (2): check in local coordinates.

From (2): image of d on $k-1$ forms \subset kernel of d on k forms.

Important item: "deRham k -cohomology" notation $H_{\text{deR}}^k(M, \mathbb{R})$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\ker d \text{ on } k\text{-forms}) / (\text{Image of } d \text{ on } (k-1)\text{-forms})$$

Generally quotient of ∞ dim'l vector spaces but if M compact, quotient is finite dimensional (proof later)

d commutes with pullback $\Rightarrow F: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ induce $F^*: H_{\text{deR}}^k(M_2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{\text{deR}}^k(M_1, \mathbb{R})$

Important example: Compact genus g surface M
 $H^1_{\text{deR}}(M, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^{2g}$. Proof using: simply connected $\Rightarrow H^1_{\text{deR}} = 0$
 and integral conditions for closed being exact
 (simple connectivity result uses idea of integrating closed form along continuous (not nec. diff.) curve and corresponding concept for homotopy).

Poincaré Lemma (actually proved by Volterra): M smoothly contractible
 $\Rightarrow H^k_{\text{deR}}(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0, k \geq 1$.

Proof using $\mathcal{L}_X = d\iota_X + \iota_X d$ formula. \leftarrow Know proof & how linear contraction ideas work in practice

deRham cohomology for $S^n, \mathbb{R}P^n$ (n odd versus even). [Homework]

Riemannian metrics: pos. def. sym. bilinear form on each $T_p M$,
 defines idea of lengths of piecewise smooth $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ or $g|_p$

curves $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow M$ $l(\gamma) = \int_a^b \sqrt{\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_{g(t)}} dt$
 $= \int_a^b \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|_{g(t)} dt$

$dis(p, q) = \inf_{\gamma \text{ from } p \text{ to } q} l(\gamma)$. Defines metric space structure
 (metric space top. = manifold topology)

inf not always realized ($\mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$)
 M complete in metric space structure \Rightarrow distance realized
 (not proved)

Differentiation of vector fields: coordinate idea (diff. coefficients)
 is not coordinate invariant. But given Riemannian metric, get a differentiation operator by using formula derived from two basic properties of \mathbb{R}^n derivative

(1) $D_X Y - D_Y X = [X, Y]$ & (2) $X \langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle D_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle Y, D_X Z \rangle$

Get: $2 \langle D_X Y, Z \rangle = X \langle Y, Z \rangle + Y \langle X, Z \rangle - Z \langle X, Y \rangle$
 $+ \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle - \langle [Y, Z], X \rangle - \langle [X, Z], Y \rangle$

Use this for definition: check RHS func linear in X, Z ,

Leibnizian in Y so definition makes sense.

Fact: Geodesics (curves with acc = 0) are locally minimal connections

Also minimal connections are geodesics (up to parameterization) (4)

Basic idea of geodesic Riemannian geometry: (M, g) complete
 \Rightarrow with p given, union of geodesics from $p = M$
 (all geodesics only extendible) so control topology of M
 by understanding geodesics in terms of "curvature"
 (generalization to all dimensions of Gauss curvature of
 surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3).

Integration and Stokes' Theorem: definition of
 integration of n -form (of compact support) on oriented
 n -manifold (with or without boundary): convert to local
 coordinate system by partition of unity. Proof of coordinate
 cover invariance by change of variables for multiple integrals
 together with $(\sum p_\lambda)(\sum \alpha_\mu) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} p_\lambda \alpha_\mu$ (p, α part. of unity).

Stokes Theorem: $\int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega$, ω $n-1$ form, M manifold
 with boundary, oriented. (∂M is given induced orientation)

Proof via partition of unity and iterated integration.

Riemannian metric and differential forms
 M with Riemannian metric g , v_1, \dots, v_n g -orthonormal on $T_p M$
 $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ dual basis of $T_p^* M$. Define $\theta_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{i_k}$ $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ to
 be orthonormal for $\wedge^k T_p^* M$ (k -forms at p).

Proof that this is independent of choice of orthon. frame v_1, \dots, v_n
 by using 1-parameter subgroup reasoning to show $SO(n)$ acting
 on v_1, \dots, v_n induces a $SO(\binom{n}{k})$ action on $\wedge^k T_p^* M$ -basis $\theta_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{i_k}$
 (problem is reduced to linear situation $\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0}$ being skew-symmetric
 hence to rotation on θ_i, θ_j only, which case is easily checked).

Definition of $*$ operator ($*(\theta_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{i_k}) = \pm \theta_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{j_{n-k}}$ of omitted θ_j with
 \pm determined so $\alpha \wedge * \alpha$ is positively oriented (M is oriented here!))

Idea of harmonic theory: Look for distinguished representative
 in each deRham k -cohomology class by trying to minimize
 $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle = \int_M \langle \omega, \omega \rangle$ ($\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is pointwise inner prod. from
 orthonormal basis choice as above)

Look for $d\omega = 0$, $\delta\omega = 0$
 where $\delta =$ adjoint of d . Stokes $\int d(\alpha \wedge * \beta) = 0$ give $\delta = \pm * d*$.
 So look for "harmonic" $\omega \ni d\omega = 0$ $d(*\omega) = 0$ Hodge Theorem:
 Each deRham class contains unique harmonic form. $H^k(M, \mathbb{R})$
 Cor: Poincaré duality $H^k(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{n-k}(M, \mathbb{R})$