CoSaMP: Greedy Signal Recovery and Uniform Uncertainty Principles

SIAM Student Research Conference

Deanna Needell

Joint work with Roman Vershynin and Joel Tropp

UC Davis, May 2008

CoSaMP: Greedy Signal Recovery and Uniform Uncertainty Principles - p.1/12





• If we know the $d \times d$ matrix Φ and the measurement vector x, can we recover every v?



- If we know the $d \times d$ matrix Φ and the measurement vector x, can we recover every v?
- What kind of matrix Φ ? How would we recover?





• If we know the $m \times d$ matrix Φ ($m \ll d$) and the measurement vector x, can we recover every v?



- If we know the $m \times d$ matrix Φ ($m \ll d$) and the measurement vector x, can we recover every v?
- What kind of matrix Φ ? How would we recover?

• By simple linear algebra, we cannot recover every v in this setting. What if v has few non-zero coordinates?

- By simple linear algebra, we cannot recover every v in this setting. What if v has few non-zero coordinates?
- We say a vector v is r-sparse if $|\operatorname{supp}(v)| \le r$.

- By simple linear algebra, we cannot recover every v in this setting. What if v has few non-zero coordinates?
- We say a vector v is r-sparse if $|\operatorname{supp}(v)| \le r$.
- It turns out that we can recover any *sparse* vector v, even in the setting where $m \ll d!$ This is called Sparse Reconstruction.

- By simple linear algebra, we cannot recover every v in this setting. What if v has few non-zero coordinates?
- We say a vector v is r-sparse if $|\operatorname{supp}(v)| \le r$.
- It turns out that we can recover any *sparse* vector v, even in the setting where $m \ll d!$ This is called Sparse Reconstruction.
- What kind of matrix Φ? How would we recover?
 ... Why do we care?

• Error Correction

- Error Correction
- Medical Imaging

- Error Correction
- Medical Imaging
- Data Storage

- Error Correction
- Medical Imaging
- Data Storage
- Single Pixel Camera (Rice Univ. CS Group)



• We thus want a matrix Φ along with a recovery algorithm, so that:

- We thus want a matrix Φ along with a recovery algorithm, so that:
 - 1. Speed: The recovery of the signal v can be done *fast*

- We thus want a matrix Φ along with a recovery algorithm, so that:
 - 1. Speed: The recovery of the signal v can be done *fast*
 - 2. Stability: If small errors are introduced, the algorithm still produces a good approximation to v.

- We thus want a matrix Φ along with a recovery algorithm, so that:
 - 1. Speed: The recovery of the signal v can be done *fast*
 - 2. Stability: If small errors are introduced, the algorithm still produces a good approximation to v.
 - 3. Uniform Guarantees: We would like the matrix Φ and the algorithm to be able to recover *every* signal v.

- We thus want a matrix Φ along with a recovery algorithm, so that:
 - 1. Speed: The recovery of the signal v can be done *fast*
 - 2. Stability: If small errors are introduced, the algorithm still produces a good approximation to v.
 - 3. Uniform Guarantees: We would like the matrix Φ and the algorithm to be able to recover *every* signal v.
- So, what kinds of matrices can we use, and how do we recover the signal *v*?

 In theory, we need only that Φ be one-to-one on all *r*-sparse vectors. However, for practical reasons we require that Φ satisifies the Restricted Isometry Condition:

- In theory, we need only that Φ be one-to-one on all *r*-sparse vectors. However, for practical reasons we require that Φ satisifies the Restricted Isometry Condition:
- $(1 \delta) \|v\|_2 \le \|\Phi v\|_2 \le (1 + \delta) \|v\|_2$ for all *r*-sparse vectors *v*.

- In theory, we need only that Φ be one-to-one on all *r*-sparse vectors. However, for practical reasons we require that Φ satisifies the Restricted Isometry Condition:
- $(1-\delta) \|v\|_2 \le \|\Phi v\|_2 \le (1+\delta) \|v\|_2$ for all r-sparse vectors v.
- Every set of *r* columns is approximately an orthonormal basis.

- In theory, we need only that Φ be one-to-one on all *r*-sparse vectors. However, for practical reasons we require that Φ satisifies the Restricted Isometry Condition:
- $(1 \delta) \|v\|_2 \le \|\Phi v\|_2 \le (1 + \delta) \|v\|_2$ for all *r*-sparse vectors *v*.
- Every set of r columns is approximately an orthonormal basis.
- Random matrices (Gaussian, Bernoulli, Random Fourier) satisfy the RIC with high probability for $m \approx r \log d$.

• Two major approaches: ℓ_1 -minimization and Greedy Methods

- Two major approaches: ℓ_1 -minimization and Greedy Methods
- ℓ_1 -minimization (Basis Pursuit):

 $v = \operatorname{argmin} \|z\|_1, \ \Phi z = \Phi v = x$

- Two major approaches: ℓ_1 -minimization and Greedy Methods
- ℓ_1 -minimization (Basis Pursuit):

 $v = \operatorname{argmin} \|z\|_1, \ \Phi z = \Phi v = x$

 Candès-Tao and Rudelson-Vershynin (2005) showed that when Φ satisfies the RIC, Basis Pursuit recovers every *r*-sparse vector ("uniform guarantees").

- Two major approaches: ℓ_1 -minimization and Greedy Methods
- ℓ_1 -minimization (Basis Pursuit):

 $v = \operatorname{argmin} \|z\|_1, \ \Phi z = \Phi v = x$

- Candès-Tao and Rudelson-Vershynin (2005) showed that when Φ satisfies the RIC, Basis Pursuit recovers every *r*-sparse vector ("uniform guarantees").
- Advantages: Uniform guarantees, Stable

- Two major approaches: ℓ_1 -minimization and Greedy Methods
- ℓ_1 -minimization (Basis Pursuit):

 $v = \operatorname{argmin} \|z\|_1, \ \Phi z = \Phi v = x$

- Candès-Tao and Rudelson-Vershynin (2005) showed that when Φ satisfies the RIC, Basis Pursuit recovers every *r*-sparse vector ("uniform guarantees").
- Advantages: Uniform guarantees, Stable
- Disadvantage: No known strongly polynomial time algorithm to solve a linear program.

• Greedy methods compute the support of the signal v iteratively.

- Greedy methods compute the support of the signal v iteratively.
- Since Φ forms approximately an ONB, the coordinates of Φ*Φv are locally good estimaters of v.

- Greedy methods compute the support of the signal v iteratively.
- Since Φ forms approximately an ONB, the coordinates of Φ*Φv are locally good estimaters of v.
- The greedy method Orthogonal Matching Pursuit [Gilbert-Tropp, 2005] selects the largest coefficient of $\Phi^* \Phi v$ to be in the support, subtracts off its contribution, and iterates.

- Greedy methods compute the support of the signal v iteratively.
- Since Φ forms approximately an ONB, the coordinates of Φ*Φv are locally good estimaters of v.
- The greedy method Orthogonal Matching Pursuit [Gilbert-Tropp, 2005] selects the largest coefficient of $\Phi^* \Phi v$ to be in the support, subtracts off its contribution, and iterates.
- Advantage: Fast

- Greedy methods compute the support of the signal v iteratively.
- Since Φ forms approximately an ONB, the coordinates of Φ*Φv are locally good estimaters of v.
- The greedy method Orthogonal Matching Pursuit [Gilbert-Tropp, 2005] selects the largest coefficient of $\Phi^* \Phi v$ to be in the support, subtracts off its contribution, and iterates.
- Advantage: Fast
- Disadvantages: Not known to be stable, provides non-uniform guarantees (works for each *fixed* signal with high probability)

• There existed this gap between the approaches. We bridged the gap with Regularized Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (ROMP) [N-Vershynin 2007].

- There existed this gap between the approaches. We bridged the gap with Regularized Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (ROMP) [N-Vershynin 2007].
- Computes the support iteratively: Selects multiple coordinates of Φ*Φv that have comparable magnitudes (are "regularized") at each iteration.

- There existed this gap between the approaches. We bridged the gap with Regularized Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (ROMP) [N-Vershynin 2007].
- Computes the support iteratively: Selects multiple coordinates of Φ*Φv that have comparable magnitudes (are "regularized") at each iteration.
- Advantages: Fast, Stable, Uniform Guarantees

- There existed this gap between the approaches. We bridged the gap with Regularized Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (ROMP) [N-Vershynin 2007].
- Computes the support iteratively: Selects multiple coordinates of Φ*Φv that have comparable magnitudes (are "regularized") at each iteration.
- Advantages: Fast, Stable, Uniform Guarantees
- Is it perfect?

- There existed this gap between the approaches. We bridged the gap with Regularized Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (ROMP) [N-Vershynin 2007].
- Computes the support iteratively: Selects multiple coordinates of Φ*Φv that have comparable magnitudes (are "regularized") at each iteration.
- Advantages: Fast, Stable, Uniform Guarantees
- Is it perfect?
- Not quite: Requires a slightly stronger condition on the RIC than Basis Pursuit.

Finding Perfection

• We overcome this disadvantage with Compressive Sampling Matching Pursuit (CoSaMP) (N-Tropp, 2008).

Finding Perfection

- We overcome this disadvantage with Compressive Sampling Matching Pursuit (CoSaMP) (N-Tropp, 2008).
- Computes the signal iteratively: Selects the largest O(r) coordinates of Φ*Φv, estimates the signal using this support, and prunes the signal to be r-sparse. Then repeats.

Finding Perfection

- We overcome this disadvantage with Compressive Sampling Matching Pursuit (CoSaMP) (N-Tropp, 2008).
- Computes the signal iteratively: Selects the largest O(r) coordinates of Φ*Φv, estimates the signal using this support, and prunes the signal to be r-sparse. Then repeats.
- Advantages: Fast, Stable, Uniform Guarantees, no stronger condition needed on RIC

For more information

- dneedell@math.ucdavis.edu
- www.math.ucdavis.edu/~dneedell
- N and Tropp, "CoSaMP: Iterative signal recovery from incomplete and inaccurate samples," submitted
- N and Vershynin, "Stable signal recovery from incomplete and inaccurate samples," submitted
- N and Vershynin, "Uniform Uncertainty Principle and signal recovery via Regularized Orthogonal Matching Pursuit," Found. Comput. Math., to appear.