

Solutions for 1st Midterm

1. Note that

$\forall x\varphi$ is valid

- \Leftrightarrow for all \mathfrak{A} , for all s , $\text{tv}_{\mathfrak{A}}^s(\forall x\varphi) = \mathbf{T}$
- \Leftrightarrow for all \mathfrak{A} , for all $a \in A$, for all s with $s(x) = a$, $\text{tv}_{\mathfrak{A}}^s(\varphi) = \mathbf{T}$
- \Leftrightarrow for all \mathfrak{A} , for all $a \in A$, for all s , if $c_{\mathfrak{A}} = a$ then $\text{tv}_{\mathfrak{A}}^s(\varphi(x; c)) = \mathbf{T}$
- \Leftrightarrow $\varphi(x; c)$ is valid.

The third \Leftrightarrow is justified as follows.

\Rightarrow : Given \mathfrak{A} , a , and s , let s' agree with s except that $s'(x) = c_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Since $\text{tv}_{\mathfrak{A}}^{s'}(\varphi) = \mathbf{T}$ and $\text{den}_{\mathfrak{A}}^{s'}(x) = \text{den}_{\mathfrak{A}}^s(c)$, $\text{tv}_{\mathfrak{A}}^s(\varphi(x; c)) = \mathbf{T}$.

\Leftarrow : Given \mathfrak{A} , a , and s , let \mathfrak{A}' be like \mathfrak{A} except that $c_{\mathfrak{A}'} = a$. Since $\text{tv}_{\mathfrak{A}'}^s(\varphi(x; c)) = \mathbf{T}$ and $\text{den}_{\mathfrak{A}'}^s(c) = \text{den}_{\mathfrak{A}}^s(x)$, $\text{tv}_{\mathfrak{A}}^s(\varphi) = \mathbf{T}$.

2. (a) $\Gamma \not\models \varphi$. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2\}$ with a_1 and a_2 distinct. Set $f_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1) = a_1$ and let $Q_{\mathfrak{A}} = \{(a_1, a_1), (a_2, a_1)\}$.

(b) $\Gamma \models \varphi$. Let \mathfrak{A} be any model in which Γ is true. There must be elements a_1 and a_2 of A such that $a_1 \in P_{\mathfrak{A}}$ if and only if $a_2 \notin P_{\mathfrak{A}}$. Thus, for any b_1 assigned to v_1 , one or the other of a_1 and a_2 can be assigned to v_2 so as to make $(Pv_1 \leftrightarrow \neg Pv_2)$ true.

3. (a) $(\forall v_1 \exists v_2 Qv_1v_2 \rightarrow \exists v_2 Qv_2v_2)$ is not valid. It is false in a model \mathfrak{A} such that $A = \{d_1, d_2\}$ with d_1 and d_2 distinct objects and $Q_{\mathfrak{A}} = \{(d_1, d_2), (d_2, d_1)\}$. $(\forall v_1 \exists v_2 Qv_1v_2 \rightarrow \exists v_2 Qv_2v_2)$ is also not an instance of the Quantifier Axiom Schema. This is because the occurrence of v_1 for which v_2 is substituted is in the subformula $\forall v_2 Qv_1v_2$.

(b) $(\forall v_1 \forall v_2 Qv_1v_2 \rightarrow \forall v_2 Qfv_3v_2)$ is valid and is an instance of the Quantifier Axiom Schema.

4. (a)

- 1. $\forall v_2 Pv_2 \rightarrow Pv_1$ QAx
- 2. $\forall v_2 Pv_2 \rightarrow \forall v_1 Pv_1$ 1; QR
- 3. $\neg \forall v_1 Pv_1 \rightarrow \neg \forall v_2 Pv_2$ 2; SL

(b) By the Deduction Theorem, it is enough to show that

$$\{(\forall v_1(Pv_1 \rightarrow p))\} \vdash (\exists v_1 Pv_1 \rightarrow p).$$

- | | | |
|----|--|---------|
| 1. | $\forall v_1(Pv_1 \rightarrow p)$ | Premise |
| 2. | $\forall v_1(Pv_1 \rightarrow p) \rightarrow (Pv_1 \rightarrow p)$ | QAx |
| 3. | $Pv_1 \rightarrow p$ | 1,2; MP |
| 4. | $\neg p \rightarrow \neg Pv_1$ | 3; SL |
| 5. | $\neg p \rightarrow \forall v_1 \neg Pv_1$ | 4; QR |
| 6. | $\neg \forall v_1 \neg Pv_1 \rightarrow p$
$[\exists v_1 Pv_1 \rightarrow p]$ | 5; SL |