

1. For each of the following maps  $T : V \rightarrow W$ , check if  $T$  is an  $F$ -linear transformation. If yes, write out a proof. If not, prove that. (2 pts each)

a)  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $W = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $T(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_1 a_2, a_3 + 2a_1)$ .

Let  $\lambda = 2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3) = (1, 1, 1)$ . Then  $T(\lambda \mathbf{a}) = T(2, 2, 2) = (4, 6)$  but  $\lambda T(\mathbf{a}) = (2, 6)$ . That is,  $T$  does not commute with scalar multiplication and is therefore not a linear transformation.

b)  $F = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $W = \mathbb{C}$  and  $T(z, w) = \bar{z}$ .

Let  $\lambda = i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (1, 0) \in V$ . Then  $T(\lambda \mathbf{v}) = -i$  but  $\lambda T(\mathbf{v}) = i$ . That is,  $T$  does not commute with scalar multiplication and is therefore not a linear transformation.

c)  $F = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V = \mathbf{C}(\mathbb{R})$  the set of continuous real-valued functions on the real numbers,  $W = \mathbb{R}$  and  $T(f) = \int_0^1 x^2 f(x) dx$ .

The map  $U : V \rightarrow V$  that is defined by  $U(f)(x) = x^2 f(x)$  is a linear transformation because multiplication of real numbers is commutative, and multiplication and addition are distributive. Moreover, the map  $S : V \rightarrow W = \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $S(f) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx$  is linear (as shown in calculus). Therefore  $T = S \circ U$  is a composite of linear transformations and as such, is a linear transformation.

2. Let  $\mathbb{R}^+$  be the set of positive real numbers. (**Warning:** Positive means just that - zero is not in that set.) Show that there is a structure of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that the map  $\mathbf{exp} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by  $\mathbf{exp}(x) = e^x$  is a linear transformation. That is, exhibit an "addition" and "scalar multiplication" on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the vector space axioms and show that with these definitions,  $\mathbf{exp}$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear. (3 pts)

We define an addition on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  by setting  $x \boxplus y := xy$ , and a scalar multiplication by  $\lambda \boxtimes x := x^\lambda$ . Since multiplication of real numbers is commutative and associative, so is the addition  $\boxplus$ . The associativity for scalar multiplication holds because  $(x^a)^b = x^{(ab)}$ . Distributivity follows from the fact that  $(xy)^a = x^a y^a$  and  $x^{(a+b)} = x^a x^b$ . The zero element is 1, and the negative  $\boxminus x$  of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is  $x^{-1}$ .

Finally, it follows from the exponential laws that  $\mathbf{exp}$  is a linear transformation with respect to the usual  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space structure on  $\mathbb{R}$  and the vector space structure on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  just defined.

3. Suppose  $T_1 : U \rightarrow V$  and  $T_2 : V \rightarrow W$  are  $F$ -linear transformations. (3 pts)

a) Prove: if the Null space of  $T_1$  and the null space of  $T_2$  are both zero, then the Null space of  $T_2 \circ T_1$  is zero.

Suppose  $x \in N(T_2 \circ T_1)$ . Then  $T_1(x) \in N(T_2) = \{0\}$ , so  $T_1(x) = 0$ . This means that  $x \in N(T_1) = \{0\}$ . Since  $x$  was an arbitrary element of  $N(T_2 \circ T_1)$ , this proves that  $N(T_2 \circ T_1) = \{0\}$ , as asserted.

b) Show by example that the converse statement to a) does not hold.

The converse of the statement in a) is: "If the Null space of  $T_2 \circ T_1$  is zero, then the Null space of  $T_1$  and the Null space of  $T_2$  are both zero".

Let  $U = \{0\}$ ,  $V = F$  (or any non-zero  $F$ -vector space),  $W = \{0\}$  and  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  both the zero linear transformation. Then the Null space of  $T_2 \circ T_1$  is  $\{0\}$ , simply because it is a subspace of  $V$ . On the other hand, the Null space of  $T_2$  is equal to  $V$ , which is not the zero vector space.

4. Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be an  $F$ -linear transformation of finite-dimensional vector spaces with Null space  $N(T)$ , and let  $n = \dim(V)$ . Prove that there is a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $V$  and an  $r \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  such that  $T(v_i) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $\{T(v_{r+1}), T(v_{r+2}), \dots, T(v_n)\} \subseteq W$  is linearly independent. (4 pts)

Let  $r = \dim_F(N(T))$ . Choose a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  of  $N(T)$ . Using the replacement theorem, we can complete this to a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $V$ .

I claim that the set  $\{T(v_{r+1}), \dots, T(v_n)\} \subseteq W$  is linearly independent. In fact, suppose we have  $a_{r+1}, \dots, a_n \in F$  such that the linear combination  $a_{r+1}T(v_{r+1}) + \dots + a_nT(v_n) = 0 \in W$ . Since  $T$  is linear, this implies that  $T(a_{r+1}v_{r+1} + \dots + a_nv_n) = 0 \in W$ , so that  $a_{r+1}v_{r+1} + \dots + a_nv_n \in N(T)$ . Because  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  spans  $N(T)$ , this means there are  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in F$  such that

$$a_{r+1}v_{r+1} + \dots + a_nv_n = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_rv_r,$$

or equivalently, such that

$$(-a_1)v_1 + \dots + (-a_r)v_r + a_{r+1}v_{r+1} + \dots + a_nv_n = 0 \in V.$$

Since  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is linearly independent, this implies that  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$ ; in particular,  $a_{r+1} = \dots = a_n = 0$ . That is, the set  $\{T(v_{r+1}), \dots, T(v_n)\} \subseteq W$  is linearly independent, as asserted.

5. Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be the linear transformation such that  $T(e_1) = e_2$ ,  $T(e_2) = e_3$  and  $T(e_3) = e_1$ . Write down the matrix for this transformation with respect to the basis  $\{e_1 + e_2, e_2, e_3 - e_1\}$ . (4 pts)

I'll leave this as an exercise.