

Mathematics Faculty Teaching Evaluation
Spring Quarter 2006

BISKUP, M.

The questions were:

1. How would you rate your instructor as an effective teacher?
 2. How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your instructor outside of the classroom?
 3. What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the instructor?
-

Math 285K, Sec. 1 - Seminar: Probability

	Distribution of Scores											Avg	Stdv
	Blank	NA	Failing 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Excellent 9		
Ques1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	8.86	0.38
Ques2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	8.67	0.82
Ques3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	8.00	1.00
8 Enrolled 7 Responded (87.50%)													

Blank - Student left question blank

NA - Student marked Not Applicable

SPRING QUARTER 2006

BISKUP, Marek

Math 285K, lect. 1 – Seminar: Probability

9-9-9

I know that you feel HW is exceedingly important, but flexibility and context of work need to be part of the philosophy of a course like this. Overall well done on a very tough job.

9-9-9

Good lectures. Only complaint, homework wasn't always instructive.

9-9-7

The material is so new to me that it took me some time to figure out what the interesting points are. Good teaching!

9-0-8

Despite the general rebelliousness, the homeworks were good indication of importance of certain techniques, though very long and technical. Presentation was beautifully clean as usual.

9-9-9

Interestins... and brings class up to level of current research. Especially nice when students are advanced (as many are).