

# Solutions for week 7

Q1.

I'm going to look at right ideals instead as I don't want to deal with row vectors. The idea is that every right ideal of  $M_n(R)$  is of the form  $[P P \dots P]$  where  $P \subseteq R^n$  is a right submodule (take  $R^n$  to be column vectors)

Now, suppose  $I \subseteq M_n(R)$  is a right ideal. By acting on the right by diagonal matrices, we see that each column is a submodule of  $R^n$ . By acting via permutation matrices, we see that the columns must be the same submodule.

Hence, let  $C(I)$  be the submodule given by a column. Let  $S(P) = [P \dots P]$  for some  $P \subseteq R^n$  submodule. It is clear we have  $CS = \text{id}_{\text{submodules } R^n}$  and  $SC = \text{id}_{\text{ideals of } M_n(R)}$ . Hence these are inverses.

The left ideal situation is the same except we take rows instead.

The two sided ideals must then be the same two sided ideal of  $R$  in each entry.

Q2 Assume  $I$  nonzero.

Any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is free of rank  $k \leq n$ .

In particular, they are in the form  $\mathbb{Z}v_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}v_k$

where  $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  (column vectors).

From the previous question, we then conclude that any left ideal  $I \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is of the form

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{Z}v_i \ \mathbb{Z}v_i \ \dots \ \mathbb{Z}v_i)^T$$

Let  $v_{ij}$  be the  $j$ th component of  $v_i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } I\mathbb{Z}^n &= \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{Z}v_i \ \dots \ \mathbb{Z}v_i)^T \mathbb{Z}^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} \gcd_j(v_{ij}) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{Z} \gcd_j(v_{ij}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} \gcd_{ij}(v_{ij}) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbb{Z} \gcd_{ij}(v_{ij}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \gcd_{ij}(v_{ij}) \mathbb{Z}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $I$  nonzero,  $\gcd_{ij}(v_{ij}) \neq 0$  and so

$$\mathbb{Z}^n / \gcd_{ij}(v_{ij}) \mathbb{Z}^n \cong \mathbb{Z} / \gcd_{ij}(v_{ij}) \mathbb{Z} \text{ is finite.}$$



Q3

We show  $\underline{a}$  is finitely generated first.

Since  $\underline{a}\underline{b} = A$ , there exist  $a_i \in \underline{a}$ ,  $b_i \in \underline{b}$  s.t.  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i = 1$ .

Then for all  $a \in \underline{a}$ , we have

$$a = a(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n a b_i a_i \quad \text{and as } \underline{a}\underline{b} = A,$$

$a b_i \in \underline{a}$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $\{a_i\}$  gives a generating set for  $\underline{a}$ .

To show  $\underline{a}$  is projective, we show it is a direct summand of  $A^n$ . Since f.g. we have SES of  $A$ -mods:

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow A^n \xrightarrow{f} \underline{a} \rightarrow 0$$

define a  $A$ -hom  $g: \underline{a} \rightarrow A^n$  by  $g(a) = \sum a b_i e_i$  where  $e_i$  is the idempotent that corresponds to the  $i$ th factor of  $A^n$ .

We then have  $fg(a) = \sum a b_i a_i = a$  and so the sequence splits and we are done.

Q4

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a minimal set of generators for  $M$ .

There exists a SES of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $M$  projective,  $R^n = M \oplus N$ .

Apply the functor  $- \otimes R/\mathfrak{m}$ , we get

$$(R/\mathfrak{m})^n = (M/\mathfrak{m}M) \oplus (N/\mathfrak{m}N)$$

$R/\mathfrak{m}$  is a field, and any basis of  $M/\mathfrak{m}M$  pulls back to a generating set of  $M$  by Nakayama.

Hence by minimality and dimension,  $N/\mathfrak{m}N = 0$ .

Therefore, we conclude by Nakayama  $N = 0$  and so  $M = R^n$ . ▮

Q5.

This is essentially the Artin-Tate Lemma.

Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be the generators of  $A$  as an  $R$ -algebra and  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  the generators of  $A$  as a  $B$ -module.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{Then } x_i &= \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} y_j \text{ for some } b_{ij} \in B \\ \text{and } y_i y_j &= \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ijk} y_k \text{ for some } b_{ijk} \in B \end{aligned} \right\} *$$

Let  $B_0$  be the  $R$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $\{b_{ij}, b_{ijk}\}$ . So  $B_0$  is a f.g.  $R$ -algebra and by Hilbert basis,  $B_0$  is noetherian as a ring. (Note,  $B_0 \subseteq B \subseteq Z(A)$  and so everything is commutative here)

Observe that via the relations, (\*),  $A$  is generated as a  $B_0$ -module with generators  $y_i$ , and so by Hilbert basis,  $A$  is a noetherian  $B_0$ -module. Since  $B$  is a  $B_0$ -submodule of  $A$ , it is finitely generated as a  $B_0$ -submodule and as  $B_0$  is a f.g.  $R$ -algebra, we conclude  $B$  is a f.g.  $R$ -algebra.





## Question 6

### Solution 1 (by Ben Spitz)

Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  be a finite set of elements of  $B$  that generate  $B/I$  over  $A$ . Since  $B$  is Noetherian,  $I$  is f.g. as a  $B$ -module. Let  $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$  be generators of  $I$  as a  $B$ -module.

Then  $B = I + \sum_{i=1}^m Ax_i$ , so enough to show

$I$  f.g. over  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{j=1}^n Bi_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( I + \sum_{i=1}^m Ax_i \right) i_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n Ii_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m Ax_i i_j \end{aligned}$$

so enough to show each  $Ii_j$  f.g. over  $A$ .

we repeat the argument and it's then enough to show  $Ii_j i_k$  for all  $j, k$  is f.g. over  $A$ .

then enough to show  $Ii_j i_k i_l$  f.g. over  $A$

etc... eventually this must be zero since  $I$

is nilpotent and so by induction we are done  $\square$



## solution 2

After seeing Ben's solution, I realised the following observation is what I was missing to make my argument work:

claim: If I have two rings  $A \subseteq C$  s.t.  $C$  is f.g. as an  $A$ -module, then all f.g.  $C$ -modules  $M$  are also f.g.  $A$ -modules.

Proof: we have  $C = \sum_{i=1}^n A a_i$  for some  $a_i$ .

if  $M$  f.g.  $C$ -module with generators  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ .

then  $M = \sum_{i=1}^m C x_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n A a_j x_i$ . Hence  $a_j x_i$

generate  $M$  as an  $A$ -module. □

Now, since  $B$  noeth.  $I$  is f.g. and as each element nilpotent, we conclude  $I^N = 0$  for some  $N$ . we then have a filtration of  $A$ -modules:

$$0 = I^N \subseteq I^{N-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq I^1 \subseteq I^0 = B.$$

As  $B$  noeth., each  $I^k$  is f.g.  $B$ -module and so  $I^k/I^{k+1}$  are f.g.  $B/I$ -modules. By the above claim, we conclude  $I^k/I^{k+1}$  are f.g.  $A$ -modules. Since the filtration is of finite length with f.g. quotients

We conclude  $B$  is a f.g.  $A$ -module.