

Theorem 1 proof:

Let x_i be the generators of M . Then
 $f(x_i) = \sum a_{ji} x_j$ for some $a_{ij} \in I$

Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(I)$. This is such that if
 $\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ then $f(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$. We have $A[f]$

commutative, so $(fI - A)\vec{x} = 0$. Let $B = fI - A$.
Then $\text{adj}(B)B = \det B$ and so it follows that
 $\det B I \vec{x} = 0 \Rightarrow \det B m = 0$ for all $m \in M$.

Moreover, $\det B = f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ for some $a_i \in I$.

Question 1

1) Just take $f=1$ in above theorem

2) we have an element $x \in I \subseteq \text{Rad}(R)$ such
that $xm = m$ for all $m \in M$. $1-x$ is a unit (Jacobson
rad) and so must act as an isomorphism on M .
but $(1-x)m = 0$. Hence $M=0$.

3) Apply the previous to M/N .

4) Let $N = \sum R x_i$. Then as local ring, $\mathfrak{m} = \text{rad}(R)$ and so $M = \mathfrak{m}M + N$ if the x_i form an F -basis.

Hence by previous, $N = M$.

Question 2

If R not a field, exists maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}

Suppose F is a f.g. R -module, then it is also finitely generated as a $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module

Since $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{Rad}(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$ and we also clearly have

$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{m}} F = F$. Hence by Nakayama, $F = 0$ a contradiction.

Question 3

Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be surjective. $R[f]$ then acts on M and as f surjective, $(f)M = M$. Hence, there exists an element $x \in (f)$ such that $xm = m$ for all $m \in M$. Hence we conclude that f is an isomorphism.

Question 4

Note that $S^{-1}M \cong S^{-1}R \otimes_R M$ and so the functor is right exact since the tensor functor is right exact. Hence we just need to show it preserves injectivity.

Suppose $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ injective R -hom.

Then $S^{-1}(\varphi): S^{-1}M \rightarrow S^{-1}N$ is given by

$$S^{-1}(\varphi)(m/s) = \varphi(m)/s. \quad \text{if } \varphi(m)/s = 0, \text{ then there}$$

exists $t \in S$ s.t. $t\varphi(m) = 0$ i.e. $tm = 0$ since φ injective

and so $m/s = 0$. Hence $S^{-1}(\varphi)$ injective. and

S^{-1} is an exact functor

Question 5

① If $M=0$, then $M_p=0$ for prime p trivially.

Suppose $M \neq 0$. Let $m \neq 0$ in M and consider the ideal $\text{Ann}(m)$. Since $d \in \text{Ann}(m)$, this is nonempty and by Zorn's, there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} that contains it.

Suppose $M_{\mathfrak{m}}=0$. Then there must exist $r \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $rm=0$ which is a contradiction. Hence $M_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$.

② We previously showed that if $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ injective then $\varphi_p: M_p \rightarrow N_p$ injective for all prime p .

Conversely, suppose φ_p injective for all prime p .

We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\varphi) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varphi} N \rightarrow N/M \rightarrow 0$$

Applying the functor, we get

$$\text{Ker}(e)_p \rightarrow M_p \xrightarrow{e_p} N_p$$

exact for all prime p , and as injective, we

have $\text{ker}(e)_p = 0$ for all p and by previous

$$\text{ker}(e) = 0.$$

(3) Let $\text{Tor}(M)$ be the submodule of M of torsion elements.

It is not hard to show $\text{Tor}(M_p) = \text{Tor}(M)_p$ and so this follows from (1).