

## MATH 210A HOMEWORK 1

**Problem 1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. A category  $\text{Op}(X)$  may be defined as follows: the objects are the open subsets  $U \subset X$  and the morphisms are defined by

$$\text{Mor}(U, V) := \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } U \not\subset V \\ \{\text{incl}_{VU}\} & \text{(one-point set) if } U \subset V. \end{cases}$$

- (a) Check that  $\text{Op}(X)$  is indeed a category.
- (b) For each open subset  $U \subset X$ , let  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  be the set of all continuous functions  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a contravariant functor from the category  $\text{Op}(X)$  to the category of sets. (This is an example of a *presheaf of sets on  $X$* .)

**Problem 2.** Show that the construction  $\text{Op}(-)$  from Problem 1 induces a contravariant functor from the category of topological spaces to the category of small categories.

**Problem 3.** Does the category  $\text{Op}(X)$  have arbitrary products and/or coproducts? If so, describe them.

**Problem 4.** Determine the initial and final objects in the following categories:

- (a) **Sets** of sets,
- (b) **FinSets** of finite sets,
- (c) **Grps** of groups,
- (d) **Ab** of abelian groups,
- (e) **Rings** of rings,
- (f) **Rngs** of rings without unit,
- (g) **Top** of topological spaces.

**Problem 5.** For the categories of the last problem, discuss the existence of products, coproducts, limits and colimits.

**Problem 6.** Consider a pair of “parallel” morphisms  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  in a category  $\mathcal{C}$ . An *equalizer* of  $f$  and  $g$  is an object  $Z$  and a morphism  $h : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ h = g \circ h$  and such that for every morphism  $i : T \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ i = g \circ i$  there exists a unique morphism  $j : T \rightarrow Z$  such that  $h \circ j = i$ . Show that equalizers exist in the category of sets and the category of abelian groups.

**Problem 7.** Define the dual notion of coequalizer and discuss it in the same examples.

**Problem 8.** Prove that all limits exist in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if all products and all equalizers exist in  $\mathcal{C}$ . State and prove the dual result for colimits.

**Problem 9.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be two categories and let  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$  denote the category of functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ . Show that if  $\mathcal{D}$  is complete (resp. cocomplete) then so is  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$  and that limits (resp. colimits) in  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$  are computed “pointwise.”

**Problem 10.** Define the product  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$  of two categories and prove that  $[\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}] \simeq [\mathcal{C}, [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}]]$ . What about  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{E}]$ ?

**Problem 11.** Is  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]^{\text{op}}$  the same as  $[\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}]$ ?

**Problem 12.** Establish an equivalence between the category of preordered sets (with order-preserving maps as morphisms) and the category of small categories having at most one morphism between any pair of objects.

**Problem 13.** Convince yourself that a product of an empty collection of objects is a final object, and that the coproduct of an empty collection of objects is an initial object.

**Problem 14.** A *preadditive category* is a category  $\mathcal{A}$  where each morphism set  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$  has been equipped with the structure of an abelian group in such a way that composition is a bilinear operation. Note that there is a distinguished morphism  $0_{XY} : X \rightarrow Y$  between any two objects—namely, the zero element of the abelian group  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ . Prove the following elementary facts about preadditive categories:

- (a) The composite of a zero morphism with any other morphism is again a zero morphism.
- (b) An object is initial if and only if it is final. Thus, the notions of initial, final, and zero object coincide in a preadditive category. (In any category, an object that is both initial and final is called a *zero object*.)
- (c) An object  $Z$  is a zero object if and only if  $\text{id}_Z = 0$ .
- (d) If a preadditive category has a zero object  $Z$  then  $0_{XY}$  is the unique morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  which factors through  $Z$ .

**Problem 15.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a preadditive category. A *biproduct* of a finite collection of objects  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  is an object  $B$  together with “projection” morphisms  $p_k : B \rightarrow A_k$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ) and “injection” morphisms  $i_k : A_k \rightarrow B$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ) satisfying  $p_k \circ i_k = \text{id}_{A_k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $p_k \circ i_j = 0$  for  $k \neq j$ , and  $\text{id}_B = i_1 \circ p_1 + i_2 \circ p_2 + \dots + i_n \circ p_n$ .

- (a) Show that  $(B, p_1, \dots, p_n)$  is a product  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$  and that  $(B, i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is a coproduct  $A_1 \sqcup A_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_n$ .
- (b) Conversely, show that any finite product (resp. coproduct) can be “upgraded” to a biproduct. Conclude that in a preadditive category finite products and finite coproducts coincide. (The  $n = 0$  case of this statement was considered in Problem 14(b). Note: a biproduct of an empty collection of objects is conventionally defined to be a zero object.)
- (c) An *additive category* is, by definition, a preadditive category with biproducts; equivalently, a preadditive category with finite products; equivalently, a preadditive category with finite coproducts. Convince yourself that these three definitions are indeed equivalent.

*Remark:* Since finite products and finite coproducts coincide in an additive category, the notation  $A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  is sometimes used for the product/coproduct/biproduct of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ . However, infinite products and infinite coproducts (if they exist) will be different in general. Observe that the definition of a biproduct doesn’t obviously generalize to an infinite collection of objects.