Estimates for coefficients of L-functions. III W. DUKE $^{\dagger *}$ and H. IWANIEC $^{\dagger }$

1. Introduction

In this sequence of papers we investigate Dirichlet series

(1)
$$\mathcal{A}(s,\chi) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n \chi(n) n^{-s}$$

having Euler products and compatible functional equations with the aim of estimating the coefficients a_n . It was shown in [2], [3] by different techniques that if the analytic continuation and the functional equations hold for sufficiently many characters then a suitable upper bound for a_n is true which is considerably better than that resulting from the absolute convergence of the series. In this installment we combine both techniques to give new results and improve on those of [3] when $\mathcal{A}(s,\chi)$ has an Euler product of degree 3.

Let p be an odd prime. We assume that for every non-principal even character $\chi \pmod p$ the following hold :

- (2) $\mathcal{A}(s,\chi)$ converges absolutely in $\Re es>1$ and has analytic continuation to an entire function in s-plane,
- (3) $\mathcal{A}(s,\chi)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\theta(s)\mathcal{A}(s,\chi) = \varepsilon_{\chi}\theta(1-s)\mathcal{A}(1-s,\overline{\chi})$$

with $|arepsilon_\chi|=1$ and

(4)
$$\theta(s) = \left(\frac{p}{\pi}\right)^{\alpha s} \prod_{j} \Gamma(\alpha_{j} s + \beta_{j}),$$

where α_j are positive numbers with $\Sigma \alpha_j = \alpha$ and β_j are complex numbers with $\alpha_j + 2\Re e\beta_j > 0$ (α_j, β_j are independent of χ and p),

(5)
$$S_p(a) := \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^+ \varepsilon_{\chi} \overline{\chi}(a) \ll p^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where + means that the summation ranges over non-principal, even characters.

Remarks: in many cases α is half an integer, $\alpha=\frac{k}{2}$ say, and $\varepsilon_\chi=\tau^k(\chi)p^{\frac{-k}{2}}$, where $\tau(\chi)$ is the Gauss sum

(6)
$$\tau(\overline{\chi}) = \sum_{x \pmod{p}} \overline{\chi}(x) e_p(x).$$

In such case $S_p(a)$ can be represented in terms of k-dimensional Kloosterman sums. Precisely, we have

(7)
$$S_p(a) = (p-1)p^{\frac{-k}{2}} \sum_{x_1 \cdots x_k \equiv a \pmod{p}} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{p}(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)\right)$$

so (5) follows from the Deligne estimate [1].

We shall evaluate the mean-value of a_n over a short interval of an arithmetic progression to modulus p. Let f be a smooth function supported in [x,x+y] with $2 \le y \le x$ such that

$$f^{(\nu)} \ll y^{-\nu}$$

for all $\nu \geq 0$, the implied constant depending on ν only. Let (a,p)=1. Put

$$\mathcal{D}_f(p;a) = \sum_{n \equiv \pm a \pmod{p}} a_n f(n) - \frac{2}{p-1} \sum_{(n,p)=1}^n a_n f(n).$$

THEOREM 1. — Suppose (2-5) hold with $\alpha \ge 1/2$ and that f satisfies (8). For any $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ we have

(9)
$$\mathcal{D}_f(p;a) \ll x^{\varepsilon}(pT)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}$$

where $T = xy^{-1}$ and ε is any positive number, the implied constant depending on ε and the sequence $A = (a_n)$ only.

Our m

(10)

subject t to impro function:

Theor $p \in \mathcal{P} \subset$

(11)

for any ε

Coroi of positii

(12)

Then for

(13)

If we associate commen

Coro: cusp for complex numbers with

Our main interest is in Euler products of degree $k=2\alpha=3.$ In this case we have shown in [3] that

(10)
$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n^2 n^{-2} \text{ converges absolutely in } \Re e \ s > 1$$

subject to the above conditions for all primitive characters. We shall use (10) to improve on (9) on average with respect to the moduli p. The sign ε_{χ} of the functional equation does not play a role in [3] nor in the proof of the following.

THEOREM 2. — Suppose (2-4) and (10) hold with $\alpha=3/2$ for prime moduli $p\in\mathcal{P}\subset[1,P]$ and that (10) is true. Suppose f satisfies (8). We then have

(11)
$$\sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p \nmid 1_a}} |\mathcal{D}_f(p;a)|^2 \ll x^{\varepsilon} P(P^2 T + P T^2 + (xy)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the implied constant depending on ε and A only.

Corollary 1. — Suppose (2-4) and (10) hold with $\alpha=3/2$ for prime moduli of positive density. Moreover suppose that (10) holds and that

(12)
$$\sum_{x < n < x + y} |a_n| \ll x^{\varepsilon} y \quad \text{if} \quad x > y > x^{\frac{3}{5}}.$$

Then for all $n \ge 1$ we have

$$a_n \ll n^{\frac{3}{7} + \varepsilon}.$$

If we apply (13) for the coefficients of the symmetric square zeta-function associated with a Maass cusp form for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ as in [3] we conclude that (see comments in [3] about the best known results).

Corollary 2. — Suppose $\lambda(n)$ are eigenvalues of the Hecke operators T_n of a cusp form u(z) for the modular group. We then have

$$\lambda(n) \ll n^{\frac{3}{14} + \varepsilon}.$$

au, and $arepsilon_{\chi} = au^k(\chi) p^{rac{-k}{2}}$,

ripal, even characters.

insional Kloosterman

 $\cdots + x_k)$

erval of an arithmetic provided in [x, x + y]

t(a, p) = 1. Put

 $\iota_n f(n)$.

 ${\it it}\ f\ {\it satisfies}\ (8).$ For

constant depending

2. An application of the functional equations

We have

$$\sum_{\chi(\bmod p)}^{+} \chi(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{2} & \text{if } a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{D}_f(p;a) = \frac{2}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^+ \overline{\chi}(a) \mathcal{A}_f(\chi),$$

where

$$A_f(\chi) = \sum_n a_n \chi(n) f(n).$$

The functional equation (3) yields (through contour integration)

$$\mathcal{A}_f(\chi) = \varepsilon_{\chi} \mathcal{A}_g(\overline{\chi}),$$

where

(14)
$$g(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \hat{f}(s) \frac{\theta(s)}{\theta(1-s)} v^{-s} ds, \quad \sigma > 1$$

and \hat{f} is the Mellin integral,

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_0^\infty f(u)u^{-s}du.$$

Combining these relations we obtain

(15)
$$\mathcal{D}_f(p;a) = \frac{2}{p-1} \sum_m a_m S_p(am) g(m).$$

3. Evaluation of g

By repeated partial integration ν times we get

(16)
$$\hat{f}(s) \ll yx^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{T}{|s|}\right)^{\nu}$$

where $\sigma=\Re es\geq \frac{1}{2}$, the implied constant depending on σ and ν . Choosing ν sufficiently large we infer that

(17)
$$\hat{f}(s) \ll |s|^{-2} x^{-A} \quad \text{if} \quad |s| \ge x^{\varepsilon} T$$

with a

(18)

Insert

where

(19)

For methor integral (18) \$\varepsilon\$

(20)

with

(21)

By St

we ge

where

 $(\operatorname{mod} p)$

 $l_f(\chi)$,

integration)

 $\sigma > 1$

g(m).

ig on σ and ν . Choosing ν

 $:^{\epsilon}T$

with any A>0, the implied constant depending on σ, ε and A. Moreover by Stirling's formula we have

(18)
$$\frac{\theta(s)}{\theta(1-s)} \ll (p|s|)^{\alpha(2\sigma-1)} \quad \text{if} \quad \sigma \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

Inserting (18) and (16) with $\nu=2\alpha\sigma$ into (14) we get

$$g(v) \ll y p^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{M}{v}\right)^{\sigma},$$

where $M=x^{-1}(pT)^{2\alpha}$. Choosing σ sufficiently large we conclude that

(19)
$$g(v) \ll v^{-2} x^{-A} \quad \text{if} \quad v \ge x^{\varepsilon} M$$

with any A > 0, the implied constant depending on ε and A.

For $v < x^{\varepsilon}M$ we shall evaluate g(v) asymptotically by the stationary phase method. First we move the integration to the line $\sigma = 1/2$, next we truncate the integral at the heights $\pm x^{\varepsilon}T$ controlling the error term by means of (17) and (18) and change the order of integration giving

(20)
$$g(v) = \int_0^\infty f(u)I(uv)(uv)^{-\frac{1}{2}}du + O(x^{-A})$$

with

(21)
$$I(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-x^e T}^{x^e T} \frac{\theta(\frac{1}{2} + it)}{\theta(\frac{1}{2} - it)} w^{-it} dt.$$

By Stirling's formula [for $s=\sigma+it$ with $t\geq 1$]

$$\Gamma(s) = \sqrt{2\pi} \Big(\frac{t}{e}\Big)^{it} t^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \{1 + O(t^{-1})\},$$

we get

$$\frac{\theta(\frac{1}{2}+it)}{\theta(\frac{1}{2}-it)} = \left[\frac{\gamma}{\pi e}|t|p\right]^{2i\alpha t} + O((1+|t|)^{-1}),$$

where $\gamma = \Pi \alpha_j^{\alpha_j/\alpha}$ and the implied constant depends on α_j, β_j . Hence

$$I(w) = \pi^{-1} \Re e^{\int_0^{x^e T} e^{ih(t)} dt} + O(\log x)$$

where $h(t)=2\alpha t \, \log\left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi e}tp\right)-t \, \log w$. The saddle point $t_0=\frac{\pi}{\gamma p}w^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ lies in the range of integration so we have (see [5])

(22)
$$I(w) = (\alpha \gamma p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Re e \, \zeta_8 e \left(\frac{-\alpha}{\gamma p} w^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}\right) + O(\log x).$$

Inserting (22) to (20) we get

Inserting (22) to (20) we get
$$(23) g(v) = (\alpha \gamma p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty f(u) \Re e \zeta_8 e\left(\frac{-\alpha}{\gamma p} (uv)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}\right) (uv)^{-\delta} du + O\left[\frac{y \log x}{\sqrt{vx}}\right]$$

for $v < x^{\varepsilon}M$, where $\delta = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4\alpha}$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

By (15), (19) and (23) we obtain

By (15), (19) and (25) we obtain
$$\mathcal{D}_{f}(p;a) = \frac{2}{p-1} \sum_{m < x^{\epsilon}M} a_{m} S_{p}(am) g(m) + O(x^{-A})$$

$$= \Re e \int f(u) L_{p}(u) u^{-\delta} du + O(x^{\epsilon} p^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} T^{\alpha - 1}),$$
(24)

where

(25)
$$L_p(u) = c_p \sum_{m < x^e M} a_m m^{-\delta} S_p(am) e_p(v(m))$$

with $c_p=2(p-1)^{-1}(-i\alpha\gamma p)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\asymp p^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and $v(m)=-2\alpha\gamma^{-1}(um)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}.$ By (2) and (5) we get trivially $L_p(u) \ll x^{\epsilon} p^{-1} M^{1-\delta}$ whence (9) follows by (24).

5. Proof of Theorem 2

We may assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{P}\subset \left(\frac{1}{2}P,P\right]$. By (24) and (25) we obtain

(25) we obtain
$$\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P},p\nmid a} |\mathcal{D}_f(p,a)|^2 \ll x^{\varepsilon} |\mathcal{P}| P^2 T + x^{\varepsilon-\frac{2}{3}} y^2 P^{-3} D,$$

where

$$D = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left| \sum_{m < M} a_m m^{-\frac{1}{3}} S_p(am) e_p(v(m)) \right|^2$$

with $M=x^{\varepsilon-1}P^3T^3$ and $v(m)=-3\gamma^{-1}(um)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ for some $u\in[x,x+y]$. By Cauchy's inequality and by Theorem 2 of [3] we get

$$D \leq \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^{*} \left| \sum_{m < M} a_m m^{-\frac{1}{3}} \overline{\chi}(m) e_p(v(m)) \right|^2$$

$$\ll P(M + P^2 T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x) \sum_{m < M} |a_m|^2 m^{-\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Here $\Sigma \ll M$ obtain (11).

6. Proof of

Let $x < \ell$

Summing (

by (11) ar

We choos $a_{\ell} \ll x^{3/2}$ To pr function

The hy!

For n $\lambda(n) \leq$ and to

int $t_0 = \frac{\pi}{\gamma p} w^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ lies in the

 $O(\log x)$.

 $(v)^{-\delta}du + O\left[\frac{y \log x}{\sqrt{vx}}\right]$

 $+O(x^{-A})$

 $p^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}T^{\alpha-1}$,

[v(m))

 $\alpha \gamma^{-1} (um)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$. By (2) and lows by (24).

 $\subset \left(\frac{1}{2}P,P\right].$ By (24) and

 $y^2P^{-3}D,$

 $n))\Big|^2$

some $u \in [x, x + y]$. By

 $v(m))\Big|^2$

 $1 - \frac{2}{3}$

Here $\Sigma \ll M^{\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon}$ by the assumption (10). Inserting these estimates into (26) we obtain (11).

6. Proof of Corollaries

Let $x < \ell < x + y$ and $f(\ell) = 1$. We have

$$|a_{\ell}| = |\mathcal{D}_f(p,\ell)| + O\left[\sum_{\substack{n \equiv \pm \ell \pmod{p} \\ n \neq \ell}} |a_n f(n)| + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n} |a_n f(n)|\right].$$

Summing over primes p in a set $\mathcal{P} \subset [1,P]$ with $p \nmid \ell$ we get

$$|\mathcal{P}||a_{\ell}| = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} |\mathcal{D}_f(p,\ell)| + O\left[x^{\varepsilon} \sum_{x < n < x+y} |a_n|\right]$$

$$\ll P(PT^{\frac{1}{2}} + P^{\frac{1}{2}}T + (xy)^{\frac{1}{4}})x^{\epsilon} + yx^{\epsilon}$$

by (11) and (12) provided $x > y > x^{3/5}$. Suppose $|\mathcal{P}| \times P(\log P)^{-1}$. We get

$$a_{\ell} \ll (PT^{\frac{1}{2}} + P^{\frac{1}{2}}T + (xy)^{\frac{1}{4}} + P^{-1}y)x^{\epsilon}.$$

We choose $P=T=x^{2/7}$, so $y=x^{5/7}$ and all four terms are equal giving $a_{\ell} \ll x^{3/7+\varepsilon}$ which proves (13).

To prove Corollary 2 we apply Corollary 1 for the symmetric square zeta-function whose coefficients are defined by

$$a_n = \sum_{dk^2 = n} \lambda(d^2).$$

The hypothesis (12) follows from the asymptotic formula of Selberg (see [4])

$$\sum_{n \le N} \lambda^2(n) = cN + O\left(N^{\frac{3}{5} + \epsilon}\right).$$

For n prime (13) yields $\lambda(n)^2 = \lambda(n^2) + 1 = a_n + 1 \ll n^{3/7+\varepsilon}$ whence $\lambda(n) \ll n^{3/14+\varepsilon}$. This result extends to prime powers by a recursive formula and to all composite numbers by the multiplicativity.

Manuscrit reçu le 4 mars 1991

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ p. 113 : Research supported by NSF Grant N^{o} DMS-8902992

 $^{^{}st}$ p. 113 : Research supported by the Sloan Foundation

Sém Pari

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Deligne. La conjecture de Weil I, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 43, (1974), 273-307.
- [2] W. Duke and H. IWANIEC. Estimates for coefficients of L-functions. I in Automorphic Forms and Analytic Number Theory, CRM Publications, Montreal 1990, 43-47.
- [3] W. Duke and H. Iwaniec. Estimates for coefficients of L-functions. II (to appear in the Proceedings of Amalfi Conference, 1989).
- [4] A. Selberg. On the estimation of Fourier coefficients of modular forms, A.M.S. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **vol. viii**, (1965), 1-15.
- [5] E.C. Titchmarsh. The theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951.

W. Duke and H. Iwaniec
Department of Mathematics
Hill Center for the Mathematical Sciences
Rutgers University
New Brunswick
New Jersey 08903
U.S.A.

mo

est le ,

alg est

on

pc le

CC

ir