

Kronecker coefficients: bounds and complexity

Igor Pak, UCLA

Triangle Lectures in Combinatorics, November 14, 2020



Basic Definitions

Let χ^λ denote *character* of S_n associated with $\lambda \vdash n$.

Kronecker coefficients $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ are defined by:

$$\chi^\lambda \cdot \chi^\mu = \sum_{\nu \vdash n} g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \chi^\nu, \quad \text{where } \lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash n.$$

$\Rightarrow g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{N}$. Also:

$$g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \langle \chi^\lambda \cdot \chi^\mu, \chi^\nu \rangle = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \chi^\mu(\sigma) \chi^\nu(\sigma)$$

$\Rightarrow g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = g(\mu, \lambda, \nu) = g(\lambda, \nu, \mu) = \dots \leftarrow$ *symmetries*

$\Rightarrow g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = g(\lambda', \mu', \nu) = g(\lambda, \mu', \nu') = g(\lambda', \mu, \nu') \leftarrow$ *conjugations*

Example: $n = 3$, partitions $\{3, 21, 1^3 \vdash n\}$

Characters: $\chi^{(3)} = (1, 1, 1)$, $\chi^{(21)} = (2, 0, 1)$, $\chi^{(1^3)} = (1, -1, 1)$

$$\chi^{(21)} \cdot \chi^{(21)} = (4, 0, 1) = \chi^{(3)} + \chi^{(21)} + \chi^{(1^3)} \implies g(21, 21, 21) = 1$$

Main Problems

- (1) **Compute:** $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ \leftarrow find formulas, complexity aspects
- (2) **Decide:** $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) >^? 0$ \leftarrow *vanishing problem*
- (3) **Estimate:** $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ \leftarrow even in some special cases
- (4) **Give:** *combinatorial interpretation* for $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ \leftarrow classical open problem

History:

- [Murnaghan, 1937], [Murnaghan, 1956] \leftarrow definition, stability, generalizations of LR-coefficients
- [Mulmuley, 2011] \leftarrow connections to the *Geometric Complexity Theory*

Complexity of Computing

$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \vdash n$ given in *binary* \rightarrow size $\phi(\lambda) := \log_2(\lambda_1) + \dots + \log_2(\lambda_\ell)$
unary \rightarrow size $\phi(\lambda) := n$.

KRON \leftarrow the problem of computing $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$

LR \leftarrow the problem of computing $c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$

Theorem [binary \leftarrow Narayanan'06] \Leftarrow [unary \leftarrow P.–Panova'20+]

LR is $\#\mathbf{P}$ -complete.

Theorem [binary \leftarrow Bürgisser–Ikenmeyer'08] \Leftarrow [unary \leftarrow Ikenmeyer–Mulmuley–Walter'17]

KRON is $\#\mathbf{P}$ -hard.

Theorem [Christandl–Doran–Walter'12], [P.–Panova'17]:

Let $\ell = \ell(\lambda)$, $m = \ell(\mu)$, $r = \ell(\nu)$. Then: **KRON** \in **FP** for $\ell, m, r = O(1)$.

[unary \leftarrow easy, binary \leftarrow *Barvinok's Algorithm* to $\#\mathbf{CT}$'s]

Complexity Classes

Major Open Problem: $\text{KRON} \in \#\text{P}$ (\exists combinatorial interpretation)

Theorem [Bürgisser–Ikenmeyer, 2008]:

$\text{KRON} \in \text{GapP} := \#\text{P} - \#\text{P}$ (both binary and unary)

$$g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \sum_{\omega \in S_\ell} \sum_{\pi \in S_m} \sum_{\tau \in S_r} \text{sign}(\omega\pi\tau) \cdot \text{CT}(\lambda + 1_\ell - \omega, \mu + 1_m - \pi, \lambda + 1_r - \tau)$$

where $\text{CT}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \#[3\text{-dim contingency tables with marginals } \alpha, \beta, \gamma]$.

For comparison:

$\text{LR} \in \#\text{P}$ unary \leftarrow LR-rule, binary \leftarrow GT-patterns

$\text{SCHUBERT} \in \text{GapP}_{\geq 0}$ \leftarrow [Postnikov–Stanley'09]

$[\chi^\lambda(\mu)]^2 \in \text{GapP}_{\geq 0}$ \leftarrow Murnaghan–Nakayama rule (unary only)

$\sum_{\mu \vdash n} \chi^\lambda(\mu) \in \text{GapP}_{\geq 0}$ \leftarrow self-adjoint multiplicities

Easy Bounds

Proposition 1. $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \leq \min\{f^\lambda, f^\mu, f^\nu\}$, where $f^\lambda := \chi^\lambda(1)$.

$$g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \leq \frac{f^\lambda f^\mu}{f^\nu} \leq f^\lambda, \quad \text{for all } f^\lambda \leq f^\mu \leq f^\nu$$

Proposition 2. $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \leq \text{CT}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$

$$\text{CT}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash n} g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \cdot K_{\lambda\alpha} K_{\mu\beta} K_{\nu\gamma}$$

where $K_{\lambda\alpha}$ is the *Kostka number* = #SSYT of shape λ and weight α

Proposition 2'. [Vallejo'00]

$$g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \leq \text{BCT}(\lambda', \mu', \nu') \quad \leftarrow \text{0/1 contingency tables}$$

Used by [Ikenmeyer–Mulmuley–Walter'17] via matching lower bound in some cases.

More Bounds

Theorem [P.-Panova, 2020] Let $\ell(\lambda) = \ell$, $\ell(\mu) = m$, and $\ell(\nu) = r$. Then:

$$g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\ell m r}{n}\right)^n \left(1 + \frac{n}{\ell m r}\right)^{\ell m r}$$

Uses Prop. 2, [Barvinok'09] and *majorization* over reals.

Example: $\lambda = \mu = \nu = (\ell^2)^\ell$. Then Prop. 1 gives $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \leq f^\lambda = \sqrt[3]{n!}$.

Thm gives $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \leq 4^n$. We conjecture: $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = 4^{n-o(n)}$.

Theorem:

$$(1) \quad \max_{\lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash n} g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \sqrt{n!} e^{-O(\sqrt{n})} \quad [\text{Stanley'16}]$$

$$(2) \quad \max_{\nu \vdash n} g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \geq \frac{f^\lambda f^\mu}{\sqrt{p(n) n!}} \quad [\text{P.-Panova-Yeliussizov'19}]$$

For (1), use: $\sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash n} g(\lambda, \mu, \nu)^2 = \sum_{\alpha \vdash n} z_\alpha \geq n!$

Harder Bounds

Reduced Kronecker coefficients: $\bar{g}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(\alpha[n], \beta[n], \gamma[n])$,
 where $\alpha[n] := (n - |\alpha|, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$, and $n \geq |\alpha| + \alpha_1$

Theorem [P.–Panova’20]

$$\max_{|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\leq 3n} \bar{g}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sqrt{n!} e^{O(n)}$$

The proof is based on the following identity in [Bowman – De Visscher – Orellana, 2015]

$$\bar{g}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\pi \vdash q+m-b} \sum_{\rho \vdash q+m-a} \sum_{\sigma \vdash m} \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash k-2m} c_{\nu\pi\rho}^{\alpha} c_{\mu\pi\sigma}^{\beta} c_{\lambda\rho\sigma}^{\gamma} g(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$$

where $a = |\alpha|$, $b = |\beta|$, $q = |\gamma|$, $k = a + b - q$, and

$$c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\lambda} = \sum_{\tau} c_{\alpha\tau}^{\lambda} c_{\beta\gamma}^{\tau}.$$

combined with bounds in [P.–Panova–Yeliussizov’19].

Conjectural Bounds

Staircase shape $\delta_k := (k-1, k-2, \dots, 2, 1) \vdash n = \binom{k}{2}$

Conjecture: $g(\delta_k, \delta_k, \delta_k) = \sqrt{n!} e^{-O(n)}$

Theorem [Bessenrodt–Behns’04]: $g(\delta_k, \delta_k, \delta_k) \geq 1$

Theorem [P.–Panova’20+]: $g(\delta_k, \delta_k, \delta_k, \delta_k) = n! e^{-O(n)}$,

where $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \tau) := \langle \chi^\lambda \chi^\mu \chi^\nu \chi^\tau, 1 \rangle$.

Saxl Conjecture: $g(\delta_k, \delta_k, \mu) > 0$ for all $\mu \vdash \binom{k}{2}$.

Remains open. Known for:

[Ikenmeyer’15], [P.–Panova–Vallejo’16] \leftarrow various families of μ

[Luo–Sellke’17] \leftarrow random $\mu \vdash \binom{k}{2}$

[Bessenrodt–Bowman–Sutton] \leftarrow $\mu \vdash \binom{k}{2}$ s.t. f^μ is odd

Explicit Constructions

Open Problem:

Give an explicit construction of $\lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash n$, s.t. $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \sqrt{n!} e^{-O(n)}$.

Here an *explicit construction* means $\lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash n$ can be computed in polynomial time.

Note 1. Similar “derandomization problems” are classical, e.g. to find explicit construction of *Ramsey graphs*.

Note 2. It follows from [PPY’19] that one can take $\lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash n$ to have *VKLS shape* so that $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \sqrt{n!} e^{-O(\sqrt{n})}$. This is NOT an explicit construction.

Theorem [P.–Panova’20]:

There is an explicit construction of $\lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash n$, s.t. $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = e^{\Omega(n^{2/3})}$.

Proof idea: Use $\lambda = \mu = \nu := \left(\binom{k}{2}, \binom{k-1}{2}, \dots, \binom{2}{2} \right)$, and Prop. 2’.

Theorem [P.–Panova’20+]: $g(k^k, k^k, k^k) = e^{\Omega(n^{1/4})}$.

The proof used [P.–Panova’17] and the *semigroup property*.

Thank you!

