Problem Set 3 Due Monday, Oct. 11.

Formal Logic

Math 430, Fall 2004

1. Let $S = \{0, +\}$ where 0 is a constant symbol and + is a 2-place function symbol. Show that the set Φ consisting of the S-sentences

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z ((x+y) + z = x + (y+z)), \\ \forall x (x+0 = x \land 0 + x = x), \\ \forall x \forall y (x+y = 0 \rightarrow (x = 0 \land y = 0))$$

is satisfiable.

2. Let S be a symbol set. A set Φ of S-sentences is called **independent** if there is no $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that $\Phi \setminus \{\varphi\} \models \varphi$. Suppose now that $S = \{E\}$ where E is a 2-place relation symbol. Show that the set of axioms for equivalence relations

$$\left\{\forall x Exx, \ \forall x \forall y (Exy \leftrightarrow Eyx), \ \forall x \forall y \forall z (Exy \wedge Eyz \rightarrow Exz)\right\}$$

is independent.

- 3. Let S be a symbol set. An S-formula which does not contain $\neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ is called **positive**.
 - (a) Give an inductive definition of the set \mathcal{P}_S of positive S-formulas (similar to the definition of the set \mathcal{F}_S of all S-formulas).
 - (b) Show that every positive S-formula is satisfiable. (One may use an S-structure whose universe consists of a single element.)
- 4. Let S be a symbol set and let \mathcal{M} be an S-structure. Show:
 - (a) If α and β are automorphisms of \mathcal{M} , then so is $\alpha \circ \beta$.
 - (b) If α is an automorphism of \mathcal{M} , then so is α^{-1} .

(For those of you who know about groups: this yields that the set of all automorphisms of \mathcal{M} forms a group, with \circ as group operation, called the **automorphism group** of \mathcal{M} .)

- 5. Let $S = \{<\}$ where < is a 2-place relation symbol. Without proof: what are all automorphisms of the S-structure $\mathcal{Z} = (\mathbb{Z}, <^{\mathcal{Z}})$, where < is interpreted as the usual ordering on \mathbb{Z} ?
- 6. Recall the symbol set $S_{\text{graph}} = \{R\}$ appropriate for graphs introduced in Problem Set 2.
 - (a) Show that the following graphs, construed as S_{graph} -structures, are not isomorphic (using 4.1.9 in the lecture notes):



(b) Are the following graphs isomorphic?



7. (Extra credit.) A set A of natural numbers is called a **spectrum** if there is a symbol set S and an S-sentence φ such that

 $A = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there is an } S \text{-structure } \mathcal{M} \text{ with } \mathcal{M} \models \varphi \\ \text{whose universe } M \text{ contains exactly } n \text{ elements} \}.$

Show:

- (a) Every finite subset of $\mathbb{N}^{>0} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ is a spectrum.
- (b) For every $m \ge 1$, the set of positive integers which are divisible by m is a spectrum.

Which subsets of $\mathbb{N}^{>0}$ are spectra? This problem was asked by Heinrich Scholz in 1952, and it is still unsolved. For example, as far as I know, it is unknown whether the complement $\mathbb{N}^{>0} \setminus A$ of a spectrum A is also a spectrum.