A generalization of the Bernstein-Walsh-Siciak theorem on uniform approximation of functions by polynomials on compact sets.

> Ragnar Sigurðsson University of Iceland

(Joint work with: Benedikt Steinar Magnússon, Álfheiður Edda Sigurðardóttir and Bergur Snorrason)

Workshop on Microlocal Analysis and Mathematical Physics In honor of Anders Melin's 80th birthday September 19-21, 2023

Contents:

- 1. Background
- 2. Graded polynomial spaces $\mathcal{P}_m^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$
- 3. Weighted extremal functions
- 4. A weighted Siciak-Zakharyuta theorem
- 5. L^2 estimates and polynomial spaces
- 6. A weighted Bernstein-Walsh-Siciak theorem

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

1. Background

We let $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denote the space of polynomials of degree $\leq m$ in n complex variables and let

$$d_{\mathcal{K},m}(f) = \inf\{\|f - p\|_{\mathcal{K}}; p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$$

denote the smallest error in an approximation of f by polynomials of degree $\leq m$, i.e., the distance from f to $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ in the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})$.

1. Background

We let $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denote the space of polynomials of degree $\leq m$ in n complex variables and let

$$d_{K,m}(f) = \inf\{\|f - p\|_K; p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$$

denote the smallest error in an approximation of f by polynomials of degree $\leq m$, i.e., the distance from f to $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ in the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ on $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K})$.

Theorem (Bernstein 1912) Let $f: [-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and R > 1. Then

$$\overline{\lim_{m\to\infty}} \left(d_{[-1,1],m}(f) \right)^{1/m} \le \frac{1}{R}$$

if and only if f has a holomorphic extension to the domain bounded by the ellipse with focii -1 and 1 and semi-major axis R.



Theorem (Bernstein-Walsh ~1925) Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be compact, f a holomorphic function in some neighborhood of K, and assume that $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ is connected and a regular domain for the Dirichlet problem for harmonic functions with logarithmic growth at ∞ ,

Theorem (Bernstein-Walsh ~1925) Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be compact, f a holomorphic function in some neighborhood of K, and assume that $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ is connected and a regular domain for the Dirichlet problem for harmonic functions with logarithmic growth at ∞ ,

Then for a given real number $R \ge 1$ the inequality

$$\overline{\lim_{m\to\infty}}\left(d_{K,m}(f)\right)^{1/m}\leq \frac{1}{R}$$

holds if and only if f has a holomorphic extension to

$$\Omega_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} ; g_K(z,\infty) < \log R\},\$$

where $g_K(\cdot, \infty)$ is the Green function of K with logaritmic pole at infinity, which is the unique function on \mathbb{C} , which is 0 on K, harmonic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$, and has logarithmic growth at ∞ .

For the closed unit disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ we have

$$g_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}(z) = \log^+(|z|), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

and R is the radius of convergence of the power series of the given function at the origin.

For the closed unit disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ we have

$$g_{\overline{\mathbb{D}}}(z) = \log^+(|z|), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

and R is the radius of convergence of the power series of the given function at the origin.

We have an explicit formula for the Green function for $\mathcal{K} = [-1, 1]$,

$$g_{\mathcal{K}}(z,\infty)=\log|z+(z^2-1)^{1/2}|,\qquad z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus[-1,1],$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ヨ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

where the branch of the square root is chosen such that for t > 1 the value $t + (t^2 - 1)^{1/2} > 0$.

A review of some results from approximation theory

Weierstrass (1885): Every complex valued continuous function on a compact interval [a, b] can be approximated uniformly on [a, b] by polynomials.

A review of some results from approximation theory

- Weierstrass (1885): Every complex valued continuous function on a compact interval [a, b] can be approximated uniformly on [a, b] by polynomials.
- ▶ Runge (1885): If K is a compact subset of \mathbb{C} and \mathcal{A} is a subset of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ with at least one point in each bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$, then every holomorphic function f defined on some open neighborhood X of K can be approximated uniformly on K by rational functions with poles in \mathcal{A} .

A review of some results from approximation theory

- Weierstrass (1885): Every complex valued continuous function on a compact interval [a, b] can be approximated uniformly on [a, b] by polynomials.
- ▶ Runge (1885): If K is a compact subset of \mathbb{C} and \mathcal{A} is a subset of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ with at least one point in each bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$, then every holomorphic function f defined on some open neighborhood X of K can be approximated uniformly on K by rational functions with poles in \mathcal{A} .
- Mergelyan (1951): The Runge theorem holds for $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ connected even if it is only assume that the given function is continuous on K and holomorphic in the interior of K.

Stone-Weierstrass (1937): A major result in functional analysis which generalizes the Weierstrass theorem.

- Stone-Weierstrass (1937): A major result in functional analysis which generalizes the Weierstrass theorem.
- **Runge-Oka-Weil (1935-6):** Recall that a compact subset K of \mathbb{C}^n is said to be polynomially convex if $K = \hat{K}$, where the polynomial hull of K is defined by

$$\widehat{K} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n ; |p(z)| \le \sup_{K} |p|, \forall p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^n) \},$$

and that for n = 1 the compact set K is polynomially convex if and only if $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ is connected.

The Runge-Oka-Weil theorem states that every holomorphic function on some neighborhood of a polynomially convex set in \mathbb{C}^n can be approximated uniformly on K by polynomials.

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

- Stone-Weierstrass (1937): A major result in functional analysis which generalizes the Weierstrass theorem.
- **Runge-Oka-Weil (1935-6):** Recall that a compact subset K of \mathbb{C}^n is said to be polynomially convex if $K = \hat{K}$, where the polynomial hull of K is defined by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n \, ; \, |p(z)| \leq \sup_{\mathcal{K}} |p|, \forall p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^n) \},$$

and that for n = 1 the compact set K is polynomially convex if and only if $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ is connected.

The Runge-Oka-Weil theorem states that every holomorphic function on some neighborhood of a polynomially convex set in \mathbb{C}^n can be approximated uniformly on K by polynomials.

• Mergelyan: There is no generalization to higher dimensions.

It is not clear what would be the correct statement, because we have to take into account the complex structure in ∂K .

- Stone-Weierstrass (1937): A major result in functional analysis which generalizes the Weierstrass theorem.
- **Runge-Oka-Weil (1935-6):** Recall that a compact subset K of \mathbb{C}^n is said to be polynomially convex if $K = \hat{K}$, where the polynomial hull of K is defined by

$$\widehat{K} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n ; |p(z)| \le \sup_{K} |p|, \forall p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}^n)\},$$

and that for n = 1 the compact set K is polynomially convex if and only if $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ is connected.

The Runge-Oka-Weil theorem states that every holomorphic function on some neighborhood of a polynomially convex set in \mathbb{C}^n can be approximated uniformly on K by polynomials.

• Mergelyan: There is no generalization to higher dimensions.

It is not clear what would be the correct statement, because we have to take into account the complex structure in ∂K .

Only fragmentary results are known for special classes of compacts K, e.g., products of compact sets, convex domains, closure of a strictly pseudoconvex domain.

Siciak's extremal functions

$$\Phi_{K,m} = \sup\{|\rho|^{1/m}; \ \rho \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n), \|\rho\|_K \le 1\} \qquad \Phi_K = \varlimsup_{m \to \infty} \Phi_{K,m},$$

The Fekete lemma implies

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{K}} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \Phi_{\mathcal{K},m} = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_{\mathcal{K},m},$$

Siciak's extremal functions

$$\Phi_{K,m} = \sup\{|p|^{1/m}; \ p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n), \|p\|_K \le 1\} \qquad \Phi_K = \varlimsup_{m \to \infty} \Phi_{K,m},$$

The Fekete lemma implies

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{K}} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \Phi_{\mathcal{K},m} = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_{\mathcal{K},m},$$

Theorem (Bernstein-Walsh-Siciak 1961)

Let K be polynomially convex, $f \in \mathcal{O}(K)$, $R \ge 1$, and assume that Φ_K is continuous. Then

$$\overline{\lim_{m\to\infty}} \left(d_{K,m}(f) \right)^{1/m} = \frac{1}{R}$$

if and only if f has a holomorphic extension to the open set

$$\Omega_R = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n ; \log \Phi_K(z) < \log R\}.$$

Siciak-Zakharyuta theorem

We have

$$\log |p(z)|^{1/m} \leq c_p + \log^+ \|z\|, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

We let $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denote the class of all $u \in \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ satisfying

$$u(z) \leq c_u + \log^+ ||z||_{\infty}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and set

$$V_{\mathcal{K}} = \sup\{u ; u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n), u|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq 0\}$$

then we have $\log \Phi_K \leq V_K$

Theorem (Siciak-Zakharyuta) For every compact K we have

$$\log \Phi_K = V_K$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Let S be a compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n_+ with $0 \in S$ and $S \neq \{0\}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Let S be a compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n_+ with $0 \in S$ and $S \neq \{0\}$.

S-polynomial spaces:

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we associate to S the space $\mathcal{P}_m^S(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of all polynomials in n complex variables of the form

$$p(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in (mS) \cap \mathbb{N}^n} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

with the standard multi-index notation and let $\mathcal{P}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$.

Let S be a compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n_+ with $0 \in S$ and $S \neq \{0\}$.

S-polynomial spaces:

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we associate to S the space $\mathcal{P}_m^S(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of all polynomials in n complex variables of the form

$$p(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in (mS) \cap \mathbb{N}^n} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

with the standard multi-index notation and let $\mathcal{P}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$. $\mathcal{P}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$ is a graded ring:

$$\mathcal{P}_{j}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})\mathcal{P}_{k}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})\subseteq \mathcal{P}_{j+k}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$$

Let S be a compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n_+ with $0 \in S$ and $S \neq \{0\}$.

S-polynomial spaces:

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we associate to S the space $\mathcal{P}_m^S(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of all polynomials in n complex variables of the form

$$p(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in (mS) \cap \mathbb{N}^n} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

with the standard multi-index notation and let $\mathcal{P}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$. $\mathcal{P}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$ is a graded ring:

$$\mathcal{P}_{j}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})\mathcal{P}_{k}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})\subseteq \mathcal{P}_{j+k}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$$

The standard simplex:

Observe that for $\Sigma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+; \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \leq 1\}$ we have

$$\mathcal{P}_m^{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

For every compact subset S of \mathbb{R}^n we define the *supporting function*

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\xi) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{S}} \langle x, \xi \rangle = \max_{x \in \mathsf{ext} \, \mathcal{S}} \langle x, \xi \rangle, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For every compact subset S of \mathbb{R}^n we define the supporting function

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\xi) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{S}} \langle x, \xi \rangle = \max_{x \in \text{ext } \mathcal{S}} \langle x, \xi \rangle, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

There is a bijective correspondence between compact convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and 1-positively homogeneous convex functions φ on \mathbb{R}^n

$$\varphi = \varphi_{\mathsf{S}} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathsf{S} = \{ \mathsf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n ; \, \langle \mathsf{x}, \xi \rangle \leq \varphi(\xi), \, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \}.$$

For every compact subset S of \mathbb{R}^n we define the supporting function

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\xi) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{S}} \langle x, \xi \rangle = \max_{x \in \text{ext } \mathcal{S}} \langle x, \xi \rangle, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

There is a bijective correspondence between compact convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and 1-positively homogeneous convex functions φ on \mathbb{R}^n

$$\varphi = \varphi_{\mathsf{S}} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \mathsf{S} = \{ \mathsf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n ; \, \langle \mathsf{x}, \xi \rangle \leq \varphi(\xi), \, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \}.$$

For every $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and cone $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we define the Γ -hull of S by

$$\widehat{S}_{\Gamma} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ ; \langle x, \xi
angle \leq arphi(\xi), \ orall \xi \in \Gamma\}.$$

For every compact subset S of \mathbb{R}^n we define the supporting function

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\xi) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{S}} \langle x, \xi \rangle = \max_{x \in \text{ext } \mathcal{S}} \langle x, \xi \rangle, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

There is a bijective correspondence between compact convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n and 1-positively homogeneous convex functions φ on \mathbb{R}^n

$$\varphi = \varphi_{S} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad S = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; \langle x, \xi \rangle \leq \varphi(\xi), \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \}.$$

For every $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and cone $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we define the Γ -hull of S by

$$\widehat{S}_{\Gamma} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+; \langle x, \xi \rangle \le \varphi(\xi), \ \forall \xi \in \Gamma\}$$

The standard simplex is $\Sigma = ch\{0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, so

$$\varphi_{\Sigma}(\xi) = \max\{\xi_1^+, \ldots, \xi_n^+\} = \|\xi^+\|_{\infty},$$

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

where $\xi_j^+ = \max\{\xi_j, 0\}$ and $\xi^+ = (\xi_1^+, \dots, \xi_n^+)$.

The logarithmic supporting function of S

From now on we take $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $0 \in S$, and $S \neq \{0\}$.

We let Log: $\mathbb{C}^{*n} \to \mathbb{C}$ by Log $z = (\log |z_1|, \dots, \log |z_n|)$, define H_S by

$$H_{\mathcal{S}}(z) = (\varphi \circ \operatorname{Log})(z) = \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\log |z_1|, \dots, \log |z_n|), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^{*n},$$

and extend the definition to the coordinate hyperplanes by

$$H_{S}(z) = \lim_{\mathbb{C}^{*n} \ni w \to z} H_{S}(w), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \mathbb{C}^{*n}.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The logarithmic supporting function of S

From now on we take $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $0 \in S$, and $S \neq \{0\}$.

We let Log: $\mathbb{C}^{*n} \to \mathbb{C}$ by Log $z = (\log |z_1|, \dots, \log |z_n|)$, define H_S by

$$H_{\mathcal{S}}(z) = (\varphi \circ \operatorname{Log})(z) = \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\log |z_1|, \dots, \log |z_n|), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^{*n},$$

and extend the definition to the coordinate hyperplanes by

$$H_{S}(z) = \lim_{\mathbb{C}^{*n} \ni w \to z} H_{S}(w), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \mathbb{C}^{*n}$$

For the standard simplex we have

$$H_{\Sigma}(z) = \log^+ ||z||_{\infty}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Proposition: $H_S \in \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for every *S*.

The class $\mathcal{L}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$

consists of all $u \in \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ satisfying a growth estimate

$$u(z) \leq c_u + H_S(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We have $\mathcal{L}^{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

The class $\mathcal{L}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$

consists of all $u \in \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ satisfying a growth estimate

$$u(z) \leq c_u + H_S(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

We have $\mathcal{L}^{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Proposition: Let $p \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then $p \in \mathcal{P}^{S}_{m}(\mathbb{C}^n) \Leftrightarrow \log |p|^{1/m} \in \mathcal{L}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^n).$

The class $\mathcal{L}^{S}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$

consists of all $u \in \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ satisfying a growth estimate

$$u(z) \leq c_u + H_S(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We have $\mathcal{L}^{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Proposition: Let
$$p \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$$
. Then
 $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{S}}_m(\mathbb{C}^n) \Leftrightarrow \log |p|^{1/m} \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$

Proof: For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS$ we find $\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle > m\varphi_S(\xi)$

$$a_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{C_t} \frac{p(\zeta)}{\zeta^{\alpha}} \frac{d\zeta_1 \cdots d\zeta_n}{\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_n}.$$

where C_t is the polycircle with center 0 and polyradius $(e^{t\xi_1}, \ldots, e^{t\xi_n})$.

For
$$\zeta = (e^{t\xi_1 + i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{t\xi_n + i\theta_n}) \in C_t$$
 we have
$$|p(\zeta)|/|\zeta^{\alpha}| \le C e^{-t(\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle - m\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\xi))} \to 0, \qquad t \to +\infty.$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ▲目 ▶ ▲目 ▶ ◆□ ▶

A Liouville type theorem

The Liouville theorem tells us that an entire function $p \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, which for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in [0, 1[$ satisfies a growth estimate

$$|p(z)| \leq C(1+|z|)^{a+m}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

is a polynomial of degree $\leq m$, i.e., $p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

A Liouville type theorem

The Liouville theorem tells us that an entire function $p \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, which for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in [0, 1[$ satisfies a growth estimate

$$|p(z)| \leq C(1+|z|)^{a+m}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

is a polynomial of degree $\leq m$, i.e., $p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

The following is a Liouville type theorem for the classes $\mathcal{P}_m^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$:

Proposition: Let $p \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and assume that for some C > 0 and $a \ge 0$ less than the euclidean distance between mS and $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS$ we have

$$|p(z)| \leq C(1+|z|)^a e^{mH_{\boldsymbol{s}}(z)}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

Then $p \in \mathcal{P}_m^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Proof: Choose ξ such that $s_{\alpha} \in mS$ satisfies

$$|\alpha - s_{\alpha}| = d(\alpha, mS) = \langle \alpha - s_{\alpha}, \xi \rangle = \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle - m\varphi_{S}(\xi) > a.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} |p(\zeta)|/|\zeta^{\alpha}| &\leq C \left(1 + \left(e^{2t\xi_1} + \dots + e^{2t\xi_n} \right)^{1/2} \right)^a e^{-t(\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle - m\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\xi))} \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \sqrt{n} \right)^a e^{-t(\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle - m\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\xi) - a)} \to 0, \qquad t \to +\infty, \end{split}$$

・ロト・4日ト・4日ト・4日・9000

The convexity of φ_S implies

$$H_{\mathcal{S}}(z_1w_1,\ldots,z_nw_n) \leq H_{\mathcal{S}}(z) + H_{\mathcal{S}}(w),$$

and as a special case when all w_j are equal we get

$$H_{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda z_1,\ldots,\lambda z_n) \leq H_{\mathcal{S}}(z) + H_{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda 1) = H_{\mathcal{S}}(z) + \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(1)\log^+|\lambda|$$

・ロト・4日ト・4日ト・4日・9000

and

$$H_{\mathcal{S}}(z) \leq \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}(1) \log^+ \|z\|_{\infty}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Hence $\mathbb{B}_{\infty} = \overline{\mathbb{D}}^n \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{S}} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; H_{\mathcal{S}}(z) = 0\}.$

Admissible weight function and external fields

Definition: Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ and $w \colon E \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function and set

$$q = -\log w \colon E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}.$$

The function w is said to be an *admissible weight* and q is said to be an *admissible external field with respect to S on E* if

(i) w is upper semi-continuous ($\Leftrightarrow q$ is lower semi-continuous), (ii) the set

$$\{z \in E; w(z) > 0\} = \{z \in E; q(z) < +\infty\}$$

is non-pluripolar, and

(iii) if E is unbounded, then

$$\lim_{\substack{|z|\to+\infty\\z\in E}} e^{H_{\mathcal{S}}(z)}w(z) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \lim_{\substack{|z|\to+\infty\\z\in E}} (q(z) - H_{\mathcal{S}}(z)) = +\infty.$$

Some authors call q admissible weight function rather than $w = e^{-q}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

3. Weighted extremal functions

Siciak functions:

$$\Phi^{\sf S}_{E,q,m}(z) = \sup\{|p(z)|^{1/m}; \ p \in \mathcal{P}^{\sf S}_m(\mathbb{C}^n), \|pe^{-mq}\|_E \le 1\}.$$
for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, and

$$\Phi_{E,q}^{\mathsf{S}}(z) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \Phi_{E,q,m}^{\mathsf{S}}(z)$$

Siciak-Zakharyuta functions:

 $V^S_{E,q}(z)=\sup\{u(z)\,;\,u\in\mathcal{L}^S(\mathbb{C}^n),\,\,u|_E\leq q\}.$ We obviously have $\log\Phi^S_{E,q}\leq V^S_{E,q}$

Density of rational points

Recall that $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $0 \in S$, and $S \neq \{0\}$

The smallest such S it a line segment. If its endpoints have both rational and irrational coordinates, then mS does not have any integer points except 0 and \mathcal{P}_m^S only consists of constants.

Proposition: Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a compact with $\partial \mathbb{B}_{\infty} \subseteq K$. Then

$$V_K^S = H_S$$

Observe that if $S' = \overline{S \cap \mathbb{Q}^n}$, then $\mathcal{P}^{S'}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathcal{P}^S(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\Phi_{K,q}^{S'} = \Phi_{K,q}^S$, so if $S' \neq S$, the proposition tells us that at some point $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\Phi^{S'}_{\mathbb{B}_{\infty}}(z) = \Phi^{S}_{\mathbb{B}_{\infty}}(z) \leq V^{S'}_{\mathbb{B}_{\infty}}(z) < V^{S}_{\mathbb{B}_{\infty}}(z).$$

It is neccessary to assume that $S \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ is dense in S in order to have a Siciak-Zakharyuta type theorem.

4. A Siciak-Zakharyuta type theorem

Theorem (BSM, ÁES, RS and BS, 2023):

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$ be compact and convex with $0 \in S$, let q be an admissible weight on a compact subset K of \mathbb{C}^n and assume that $V^S_{K,q}$ is continuous. Then

$$V_{K,q}^{S} = \log \Phi_{K,q}^{S}$$

if and only if $S \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ is dense in S.

4. A Siciak-Zakharyuta type theorem

Theorem (BSM, ÁES, RS and BS, 2023):

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$ be compact and convex with $0 \in S$, let q be an admissible weight on a compact subset K of \mathbb{C}^n and assume that $V^S_{K,q}$ is continuous. Then

$$V_{K,q}^{S} = \log \Phi_{K,q}^{S}$$

if and only if $S \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ is dense in S.

A convex compact $S\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n_+$ is said to be a *lower set* if for every $s\in S$

$$C_s = [0, s_1] \times \cdots \times [0, s_n] \subseteq S.$$

Bayraktar, Hussung, Levenberg, and Perera, 2020 proved the theorem for convex bodies that are lower sets.

5. L² estimates and polynomial spaces

Theorem (BSM, ÁES, RS, and BS, 2023):

Let S be a compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n_+ , $0 \in S$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $d_m = d(mS, \mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS)$ denote the the euclidean distance between the sets mS and $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS$. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f|^2 (1+|\zeta|^2)^{-\gamma} e^{-2mH_s} d\lambda < +\infty$$

for some $0 \leq \gamma < d_m$, and let γ_0 denote the infinum of such γ . Let

$$\mathsf{\Gamma} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n ; \langle 1, \xi \rangle \ge -(d_m - \gamma_0)|\xi|\},\$$

be the cone consisting of all ξ such that the angle between the vectors 1 = (1, ..., 1) and ξ is $\leq \arccos(-(d_m - \gamma_0)/\sqrt{n})$ and let

$$\widehat{S}_{\Gamma} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+; \langle x, \xi
angle \leq arphi_{\mathcal{S}}(\xi), orall \xi \in \Gamma\}$$

be the hull of S with respect to the cone Γ . Then $f \in \mathcal{P}_m^{\widehat{S}_{\Gamma}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Example showing that the hull is optimal

Fix m. Let 0 < a < b < 1 and define $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2_+$ as the quadrangle

$$S = ch\{(0,0), (a,0), (b,1-b), (0,1)\}.$$

We show that for $f(z) = z^{\alpha}$, $\alpha = (k, 0)$, k = 1, ..., m-3, and $\gamma = 0$, the L^2 estimate in the theorem holds, but $f \notin \mathcal{P}_m^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Weighted distances to the polynomial spaces For every bounded function $f: E \to \mathbb{C}$ we define the distance of f from $\mathcal{P}_m^{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with respect to the weight q by

$$d^{S}_{E,q,m}(f) = \inf\{\|(f-p)e^{-mq}\|_{E}; p \in \mathcal{P}^{S}_{m}(\mathbb{C}^{n})\}, \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and we say that f can be approximated by S-polynomials with respect to q on E if

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}d^{S}_{E,q,m}(f)=0.$$

Recall that the Runge-Oka-Weil theorem says that if $K = \widehat{K}$ and f is holomorphic in some neighborhood of K, then

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}d_{K,m}(f)=0,$$

and that the Bernstein-Walsh-Siciak theorem says that f extends as a holomorphic function to $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; V_K < \log R\}$ if and only if

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} d_{K,m}(f)^{1/m} \leq 1/R.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

Pointwise convergence

Although f can be approximated by S-polynomials with respect to q we can not claim that f is a uniform limit of S-polynomials on K.

Assume first that $f: K \to \mathbb{C}$ is any bounded, K is not necessarily polynomially convex, and $\lim_{m\to\infty} d_{K,q,m}^S(f) = 0$. If q is bounded above we can find $p_m \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with $\|(f-p_m)e^{-mq}\|_K = d_{K,q}^S(f)$, which is equivalent to

$$|f(z)-p_m(z)|\leq d^{\mathsf{S}}_{E,q,m}(f)e^{mq(z)},\qquad z\in \mathcal{K},$$

Pointwise convergence

Although f can be approximated by S-polynomials with respect to q we can not claim that f is a uniform limit of S-polynomials on K.

Assume first that $f: K \to \mathbb{C}$ is any bounded, K is not necessarily polynomially convex, and $\lim_{m\to\infty} d_{K,q,m}^S(f) = 0$. If q is bounded above we can find $p_m \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with $\|(f-p_m)e^{-mq}\|_K = d_{K,q}^S(f)$, which is equivalent to

$$|f(z)-p_m(z)|\leq d_{E,q,m}^{S}(f)e^{mq(z)}, \qquad z\in K,$$

which shows that $p_m \rightarrow f$ pointwise in

$$L = \{z \in K ; \lim_{m \to \infty} d_{E,q,m}^{\mathsf{S}}(f)e^{mq(z)} = 0\}.$$

Pointwise convergence

Although f can be approximated by S-polynomials with respect to q we can not claim that f is a uniform limit of S-polynomials on K.

Assume first that $f: K \to \mathbb{C}$ is any bounded, K is not necessarily polynomially convex, and $\lim_{m\to\infty} d_{K,q,m}^S(f) = 0$. If q is bounded above we can find $p_m \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with $\|(f-p_m)e^{-mq}\|_K = d_{K,q}^S(f)$, which is equivalent to

$$|f(z)-p_m(z)|\leq d_{E,q,m}^{S}(f)e^{mq(z)}, \qquad z\in K,$$

which shows that $p_m \rightarrow f$ pointwise in

$$L = \{z \in K ; \lim_{m \to \infty} d_{E,q,m}^{\mathsf{S}}(f)e^{mq(z)} = 0\}.$$

If sup_L q is attained at some point in L, then $p_m \rightarrow f$ uniformly on L, and if $q \leq 0$ on K, then $p_m \rightarrow f$ uniformly on K.

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

Assume now that $V^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K},q}$ is continuous and define for $r > \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Omega_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; V^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K},q}(z) < \log r\}.$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● ● ●

Assume now that $V^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K},q}$ is continuous and define for $r > \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Omega_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; \ V^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K},q}(z) < \log r\}.$$

Let R > 0, $\sup_{K} q < \log R$, and assume that

$$\overline{\lim_{m\to\infty}} \left(d_{K,q,m}^{\mathcal{S}}(f) \right)^{1/m} \leq \frac{1}{R}.$$

◆□ → < @ → < ≥ → < ≥ → ○ < ○ </p>

Assume now that $V^{S}_{\mathcal{K},q}$ is continuous and define for $r > \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Omega_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; V^S_{K,q}(z) < \log r\}.$$

Let R > 0, $\sup_{K} q < \log R$, and assume that

$$\overline{\lim_{m\to\infty}} \left(d_{K,q,m}^{\mathcal{S}}(f) \right)^{1/m} \leq \frac{1}{R}.$$

Then for every $0 < \gamma < R$ there exists a constant $A_{\gamma} > 0$ such that

$$d^{\mathcal{S}}_{K,q,m}(f) \leq \|(f-p_m)e^{-mq}\|_K \leq \frac{A_{\gamma}}{(R-\gamma)^m}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

◆□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Assume now that $V^{S}_{\mathcal{K},q}$ is continuous and define for $r > \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Omega_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; V^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K},q}(z) < \log r\}.$$

Let R > 0, $\sup_{K} q < \log R$, and assume that

$$\overline{\lim_{m\to\infty}}\left(d_{K,q,m}^{\mathcal{S}}(f)\right)^{1/m}\leq\frac{1}{R}.$$

Then for every $0 < \gamma < R$ there exists a constant $A_{\gamma} > 0$ such that

$$d^{\mathcal{S}}_{K,q,m}(f) \leq \|(f-p_m)e^{-mq}\|_K \leq rac{A_{\gamma}}{(R-\gamma)^m}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For every $j=1,2,3,\ldots$ and every $z\in {\mathcal K}$ we have

$$egin{aligned} |p_j(z)-p_{j-1}(z)|&\leq |f(z)-p_j(z)|+|f(z)-p_{j-1}(z)|\ &\leq rac{A_\gamma e^{jq(z)}}{(R-\gamma)^j}igg(1+rac{R-\gamma}{e^{q(z)}}igg). \end{aligned}$$

$$rac{1}{j}\log\left((R-\gamma)^j|p_j(z)-p_{j-1}(z)|/B_\gamma
ight)\leq q(z),\qquad z\in {\cal K},$$

where $B_{\gamma} = A_{\gamma}(1 + (R - \gamma)/e^a)$, and by the definition of $V_{K,q}^{S}$

$$rac{1}{j}\log\left((R-\gamma)^j|p_j(z)-p_{j-1}(z)|/B_\gamma
ight)\leq q(z),\qquad z\in \mathcal{K},$$

where $B_{\gamma} = A_{\gamma}(1 + (R - \gamma)/e^a)$, and by the definition of $V^S_{K,q}$ this implies that

$$\left|p_{j}(z)-p_{j-1}(z)\right|\leq rac{B_{\gamma}e^{jV_{K,q}^{\boldsymbol{s}}(z)}}{(R-\gamma)^{j}},\qquad z\in\mathbb{C}^{n}.$$

$$rac{1}{j}\log\left((R-\gamma)^j|p_j(z)-p_{j-1}(z)|/B_\gamma
ight)\leq q(z),\qquad z\in \mathcal{K},$$

where $B_{\gamma} = A_{\gamma}(1 + (R - \gamma)/e^a)$, and by the definition of $V^S_{K,q}$ this implies that

$$\left|p_{j}(z)-p_{j-1}(z)\right|\leq rac{B_{\gamma}e^{jV_{K,q}^{\boldsymbol{g}}(z)}}{(R-\gamma)^{j}},\qquad z\in\mathbb{C}^{n}.$$

If $0 < \varrho < 1$, then

$$| p_j(z) - p_{j-1}(z) | \leq B_\gamma \varrho^j, \qquad z \in \Omega_{\varrho(R-\gamma)},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$rac{1}{j}\log\left((R-\gamma)^j|p_j(z)-p_{j-1}(z)|/B_\gamma
ight)\leq q(z),\qquad z\in K,$$

where $B_{\gamma} = A_{\gamma}(1 + (R - \gamma)/e^a)$, and by the definition of $V^S_{K,q}$ this implies that

$$\left|p_{j}(z)-p_{j-1}(z)\right|\leq rac{B_{\gamma}e^{jV_{K,q}^{s}(z)}}{(R-\gamma)^{j}},\qquad z\in\mathbb{C}^{n}.$$

If $0 < \varrho < 1$, then

$$|p_j(z) - p_{j-1}(z)| \le B_\gamma \varrho^j, \qquad z \in \Omega_{\varrho(R-\gamma)},$$

and this estimate implies that $p_m = \sum_{j=1}^m (p_j - p_{j-1})$ converges locally uniformly on $\Omega_{R-\gamma}$ to a holomorphic function F_{γ} . If $L \neq \emptyset$, then $F_{\gamma} = f$ on L.

We sum up our observations so far in:

Theorem (BSM, AES, RS, and BS, 2023): Assume that $V_{K,q}^{S}$ is continuous, $f: K \to \mathbb{C}$ is bounded, and

$$\overline{\lim_{m\to\infty}} \left(d_{K,q,m}^{S}(f) \right)^{1/m} \leq \frac{1}{R}$$

holds with R > 0 such that $\sup_{K} q < \log R$, and that

$$L = \{z \in K ; \lim_{m \to \infty} d_{E,q,m}^{S}(f)e^{mq(z)} = 0\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then for every $0 < \gamma < R$ the function $f|_L$ extends to a holomorphic function $F_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_{R-\gamma})$. If X is an open component of Ω_R , $L_X = L \cap X$ is non-pluripolar, and f is holomorphic in some neighborhood of L_X , then $f|_{L_X}$ extends to a unique holomorphic function on X.

The converse

Theorem (BSM, ÅES, RS, and BS, 2023): Assume that $V_{K,q}^{s}$ is continuous, R > 0, sup $q < \log R$ and

$$a = \lim_{m \to \infty} \left(d(mS, \mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS) \right)^{1/m} > 0,$$

If $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_R)$ can be approximated by S-polynomials on K with respect to q, then

$$\overline{\lim_{m\to\infty}} \left(d_{K,q,m}^{\widehat{S}_{\Gamma_m}}(f) \right)^{1/m} \leq \frac{1}{a^{1/2}R}$$

where \widehat{S}_{Γ_m} and Γ is the same as in the previous L^2 -theorem.

Proposition:

If S is a polytope with rational vertices, then a = 1

The converse

Theorem (BSM, ÅES, RS, and BS, 2023): Assume that $V_{K,q}^{s}$ is continuous, R > 0, sup $q < \log R$ and

$$a = \lim_{m \to \infty} \left(d(mS, \mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS) \right)^{1/m} > 0,$$

If $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_R)$ can be approximated by S-polynomials on K with respect to q, then

$$\overline{\lim_{m\to\infty}} \left(d_{K,q,m}^{\widehat{S}_{\Gamma_m}}(f) \right)^{1/m} \leq \frac{1}{a^{1/2}R}$$

where \widehat{S}_{Γ_m} and Γ is the same as in the previous L^2 -theorem.

Proposition:

If S is a polytope with rational vertices, then a = 1

The proof is based on construction of entire functions with the aid of Hörmander's existence theorem for the Cauchy-Riemann system with weighted L^2 -estimates.

Hörmander's L²-estimates

Theorem (Hörmander) Let X be a pseudoconvex domain of \mathbb{C}^n , $\varphi \in \mathcal{PSH}(X)$, and define for $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\varphi_a(z) = \varphi(z) + a \log(1 + |z|^2), \qquad z \in X.$$

Then for every a > 0 and $f \in L^2_{(0,1)}(X, \varphi_{a-2})$ satisfying $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ there exists a solution $u \in L^2(X, \varphi_a)$ of the inhomogeneous Cauchy-Riemann equation $\bar{\partial} u = f$ satisfying the estimate

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{\varphi_{a}}^{2} &= \int_{X} |u|^{2} (1+|z|^{2})^{-a} e^{-\varphi} \, d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \int_{X} |f|^{2} (1+|z|^{2})^{-a+2} e^{-\varphi} \, d\lambda = \frac{1}{a} \|f\|_{\varphi_{\gamma-2}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

A D > 4 目 > 4 目 > 4 目 > 5 4 回 > 3 Q Q

If $f_j \in C^\infty(X)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, then $u \in C^\infty(X)$.

From L² estimates to uniform estimates

Let $\overline{B}(z,\delta) \subseteq X$ with $\overline{B}(z,\delta) \cap \text{supp } f = \emptyset$, then u is holomorphic in $B(z,\delta)$ and the mean value theorem gives

$$u(z) = \mathcal{M}_{\delta} u(z) = \frac{1}{c_n \delta^{2n}} \int_{B(z,\delta)} u \, d\lambda.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{c_n \delta^{2n}} \int_{B(z,\delta)} |u| e^{-\varphi_a/2} \cdot e^{\varphi_a/2} \, d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_n \delta^{2n}} \|u\|_{\varphi_a} \bigg(\int_{B(z,\delta)} e^{\varphi_a} \, d\lambda \bigg)^{1/2} \\ &= c_n^{-1/2} a^{-1/2} \|f\|_{\varphi_{a-2}} \cdot \delta^{-n} \big(\mathcal{M}_{\delta}(e^{\varphi_a})(z)\big)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For every $v \in \mathcal{PSH}(X)$ we have $\mathcal{M}_{\delta}v(z) \searrow v(z)$ as $\delta \searrow 0$, so the art of applying this estimate is the choice of δ as a function of z.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < R$ such that sup $q < \log(R - \gamma)$ and let $0 < \delta < 1$ be so small that $K_{\delta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n ; d(z, K) \le \delta\}$ is contained in $\Omega_{R-\gamma}$ and

 $|V^{S}_{K,q}(w) - V^{S}_{K,q}(z)| < \varepsilon, \qquad |w - z| < \delta, \ z \in K.$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < R$ such that sup $q < \log(R - \gamma)$ and let $0 < \delta < 1$ be so small that $K_{\delta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n ; d(z, K) \le \delta\}$ is contained in $\Omega_{R-\gamma}$ and

$$|V^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K},q}(w) - V^{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{K},q}(z)| < \varepsilon, \qquad |w-z| < \delta, \ z \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Take $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_R)$, with $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, and $\chi = 1$ in some nbh of $\overline{\Omega}_{R-\gamma}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < R$ such that sup $q < \log(R - \gamma)$ and let $0 < \delta < 1$ be so small that $K_{\delta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n ; d(z, K) \le \delta\}$ is contained in $\Omega_{R-\gamma}$ and

$$|V_{K,q}^{S}(w) - V_{K,q}^{S}(z)| < \varepsilon, \qquad |w-z| < \delta, \ z \in K.$$

Take $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_R)$, with $0 \le \chi \le 1$, and $\chi = 1$ in some nbh of $\overline{\Omega}_{R-\gamma}$. Set $\varphi_m = 2mV_{K,q}^S$, $a_m = \frac{1}{2}d(mS, \mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS)$, and define for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

 $\psi_m(z) = \varphi_m(z) + a_m \log(1+|z|^2)$ and $\eta_n(z) = \psi_m(z) - 2\log(1+|z|^2)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < R$ such that sup $q < \log(R - \gamma)$ and let $0 < \delta < 1$ be so small that $K_{\delta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n ; d(z, K) \le \delta\}$ is contained in $\Omega_{R-\gamma}$ and

$$|V_{K,q}^{S}(w) - V_{K,q}^{S}(z)| < \varepsilon, \qquad |w-z| < \delta, \ z \in K.$$

Take $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_R)$, with $0 \le \chi \le 1$, and $\chi = 1$ in some nbh of $\overline{\Omega}_{R-\gamma}$. Set $\varphi_m = 2mV_{K,q}^s$, $a_m = \frac{1}{2}d(mS, \mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS)$, and define for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\psi_m(z) = \varphi_m(z) + a_m \log(1+|z|^2)$$
 and $\eta_n(z) = \psi_m(z) - 2\log(1+|z|^2)$.

We have $\|f \partial \chi\|_{\eta_m} < +\infty$. By Hörmander there exists a solution $u_m \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of $\bar{\partial} u_m = f \bar{\partial} \chi$ satisfying

$$\|u_m\|_{\psi_m}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |u_m|^2 (1+|z|^2)^{-a_m} e^{-2mV_{\kappa,q}^s} d\lambda \le \frac{1}{a_m} \|f\bar{\partial}\chi\|_{\eta_m}^2.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < R$ such that sup $q < \log(R - \gamma)$ and let $0 < \delta < 1$ be so small that $K_{\delta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n ; d(z, K) \le \delta\}$ is contained in $\Omega_{R-\gamma}$ and

$$|V_{K,q}^{S}(w) - V_{K,q}^{S}(z)| < \varepsilon, \qquad |w-z| < \delta, \ z \in K.$$

Take $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_R)$, with $0 \le \chi \le 1$, and $\chi = 1$ in some nbh of $\overline{\Omega}_{R-\gamma}$. Set $\varphi_m = 2mV_{K,q}^S$, $a_m = \frac{1}{2}d(mS, \mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS)$, and define for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\psi_m(z) = \varphi_m(z) + a_m \log(1+|z|^2)$$
 and $\eta_n(z) = \psi_m(z) - 2\log(1+|z|^2)$.

We have $\|f\bar{\partial}\chi\|_{\eta_m} < +\infty$. By Hörmander there exists a solution $u_m \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of $\bar{\partial}u_m = f\bar{\partial}\chi$ satisfying

$$\|u_m\|_{\psi_m}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |u_m|^2 (1+|z|^2)^{-a_m} e^{-2mV_{\kappa,q}^s} \, d\lambda \le \frac{1}{a_m} \|f\bar{\partial}\chi\|_{\eta_m}^2.$$

We define $p_m = f\chi - u_m$. Then the L^2 -estimate and the previous theorm imply that $p_m \in \mathcal{P}_{K,q}^{\widehat{S}_{\Gamma}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and that there exists a constant $C_{\gamma} > 0$ such that

$$\|(f-p_m)e^{-mq}\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \frac{C_{\gamma}e^{m\varepsilon}}{a_m^{1/2}(R-\gamma)^m}, \qquad m=1,2,3,\ldots.$$

Example: of *S* for which

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \left(d(mS, \mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS) \right)^{1/m} = 1.$$

$$\int \\ \int \\ S = D_1(0, 1) \cap D_1(1, 0)$$

$$d(mS, \mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS) = \sqrt{1 + m^2} - m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + m^2} + m}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Example: of *S* for which

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \left(d(mS,\mathbb{N}^n\setminus mS) \right)^{1/m} = 0.$$

Take $f \in C^2[0,1]$, with f(0) = 0, f'(x) > 0, and f''(x) > 0, $x \in]0,1]$.



Take $f(x) = e^{-c/x^2 + c}$, $x \in]0, 1]$, and f(0) = 0, where $c > \frac{3}{2}$.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ = ● のへの

Thank you for your attention!

Congratulations Anders!