

## Constant-Coefficient Linear Differential Equations; Lecture II

Equations of the form

$$\frac{d^n y}{dx^n} + p_1 \frac{d^{n-1} y}{dx^{n-1}} + \dots + p_n y = F(x) \quad (**)$$

where  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are constants (numbers).

Later we shall have a procedure for solving for  $y$ , given  $n$  "independent" solutions  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  of the equation, the associated "homogeneous" equation

$$\frac{d^n y}{dx^n} + p_1 \frac{d^{n-1} y}{dx^{n-1}} + \dots + p_n y = 0 \quad (***)$$

(We shall define "independent" in a moment. The procedure from going from the general solution of the homogeneous equation to the solution of the equation when  $F \neq 0$  actually will work when the  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are functions, not necessarily constants. This will be covered later).

Notation: Write  $D = \frac{d}{dx}$  and the homogeneous equation (\*\*\*) as

$$D^n y + p_1 D^{n-1} y + \dots + p_{n-1} D y + p_n y = 0.$$

We associate to this a polynomial  $P(\lambda)$  defined

by

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^n + p_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + p_{n-1} \lambda + p_n$$

The polynomial  $P(\lambda)$  can be factored

$$P(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n)$$

where the  $\lambda_i$ 's are numbers, but they may need to be complex numbers, e.g.

$$D^2 + 1, \quad \lambda^2 + 1 = (\lambda - i)(\lambda + i)$$

Equation 
$$\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + y = 0$$

The factorization of  $P(\lambda)$  corresponds to a factorization of the left hand side of the equation:

$$\frac{d^n y}{dx^n} + p_1 \frac{d^{n-1} y}{dx^{n-1}} + \cdots + p_n y$$

$$= \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \lambda_1 \right) \left[ \left( \frac{d}{dx} - \lambda_2 \right) \left\{ \cdots \right\} \right] y$$

$$= (D - \lambda_1) (D - \lambda_2) \cdots (D - \lambda_n) y \quad \text{written as}$$

$$(D - \lambda_1) (D - \lambda_2) \cdots (D - \lambda_n) y$$

Example 
$$\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} - 5 \frac{dy}{dx} + y$$

$$= \left( \frac{d}{dx} - 3 \right) \left[ \left( \frac{d}{dx} - 2 \right) y \right]$$

$$= (D - 3) (D - 2) y$$

This makes sense because, since all coefficients are constant,

$$\begin{aligned} (D-3) [(D-2)y] &= \left(\frac{d}{dx}-3\right) \left[\frac{dy}{dx}-2y\right] \\ &= \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} - 2\frac{dy}{dx} - 3\left(\frac{dy}{dx}-2y\right) = \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} - 5\frac{dy}{dx} + 6y. \end{aligned}$$

The  $(D-\lambda_i)$  factors commute as "operators" just the way the  $\lambda-\lambda_i$  factors commute in writing the polynomial  $P(\lambda)$  as a product.

Why is this useful? The reason is that we already know how to solve  $(D-\lambda_i)G = 0$  (or  $= F(x)$ ) given a number  $\lambda_i$ , complex or not. So we can solve  $(*)$  (or for that matter  $(*)$ ) by solving first order linear equations successively.

Example: Find the general solution of

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} - 5\frac{dy}{dx} + 6y = 0.$$

Answer:  $(D^2 - 5D + 6)y = (D-3)(D-2)y$ .

Let  $G(x) = (D-2)y$ . Then  $(D-3)G = 0$

So (as in Lecture I)

$$G(x) = Ae^{3x} \quad \text{for some constant } A$$

Then  $(D-2)y = G = Ae^{3x}$ . Solving (using "integrating factor"  $e^{-2x}$ ) gives

$$D(e^{-2x}y) = Ae^x \quad \text{or} \quad e^{-2x}y = Ae^x + B$$

for  $B$  another constant or  $y = Ae^{3x} + Be^{2x}$ .

4

If we did this in the opposite order  $(D-2) [(D-3)y] = 0$ :

$$G = (D-3)y \quad \text{so} \quad G(x) = Ae^{2x}$$

and

$$D(e^{-3x}G) = Ae^{2x}e^{-3x} = Ae^{-x}$$

so

$$e^{-3x}y = B + \int Ae^{-x} = B - Ae^{-x}$$

and

$$y = Be^{3x} - Ae^{2x}$$

The answer looks different. For one thing, there is a minus sign. But since  $A$  and  $B$  are arbitrary constants, the sets of all solutions you get are really the same in both cases.

Clearly, this process works for the general 2nd order case and the  $n > 2$  cases, too. One just peels off the layers of  $(D-\lambda_i)$ 's by solving successive first-order linear equations.

What you end up with in general looks as though it might be complicated. But in fact, for the homogeneous case (\*\*\*) at least, it is relatively simple to describe:

(1) If the roots  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  of  $P(\lambda) = 0$  (i.e.  $P(\lambda) = (\lambda-\lambda_1)\dots(\lambda-\lambda_n)$ ) are all real and different from each other, then the general solution of  $P(D)y = 0$  is

$$C_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} + C_2 e^{\lambda_2 x} + \dots + C_n e^{\lambda_n x}$$

the  $C_i$ 's numbers. We say the general solution is a linear combination of  $e^{\lambda_1 x}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n x}$ .

(2) If some of the  $\lambda_i$ 's in the factorization  
 $P(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1) \dots (\lambda - \lambda_n)$  are the same,  
 then each group of, say,  $k$   $\lambda_i$ 's all equal

(but different from the rest of the  $\lambda_i$ 's) gives  
 "particular solutions"

$$e^{\lambda_i x}, x e^{\lambda_i x}, \dots, x^{k-1} e^{\lambda_i x}$$

And the general solution is the set of all  
 linear combinations of these.

Example: (a)  $\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} - 4 \frac{dy}{dx} + 4y = 0$   $(D^2 - 4D + 4)y = 0$

$(D-2)^2 y = 0$ . General sol:  $C_1 e^{2x} + C_2 x e^{2x}$

(b)  $(D-i)^2 (D+i)^2 y = 0$  or  $\frac{d^4 y}{dx^4} + 2 \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + y = 0$

General solution

$$C_1 e^{ix} + C_2 x e^{ix} + C_3 e^{-ix} + C_4 x e^{-ix}$$

In real terms, this becomes for the general real solution

$$A_1 \cos x + A_2 \sin x + A_3 x \cos x + A_4 x \sin x$$

There are four (independent) <sup>real</sup> constants, corresponding  
 to the equation  $\frac{d^4 y}{dx^4} + 2 \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + y = 0$  being

of degree 4. This real form comes from  
 writing  $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$ ,  $e^{-ix} = \cos x - i \sin x$   
 and collecting terms and then seeing  
 what is needed for the result to be real-valued.

The occurrence of  $n$  real constants in getting<sup>6</sup> the general solution of the  $n$ th order differential (homogeneous) equation is guaranteed by the following:

The set of all solutions of

$$\frac{d^n y}{dx^n} + p_1 \frac{d^{n-1} y}{dx^{n-1}} + \dots + p_n y = 0$$

is a vector space: solutions can be added and multiplied by constants to give other solutions. The general theorem on existence and uniqueness says that this vector space  $S$  (for solutions) is mapped one-to-one (uniqueness) and onto (existence)  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by the linear transformation

$$y \longrightarrow \left( y(0), \left. \frac{dy}{dx} \right|_0, \dots, \left. \frac{d^{n-1} y}{dx^{n-1}} \right|_0 \right)$$

$y \in S$ . So the vector space  $S$  is dimension exactly  $n$ .

[Note: This reasoning does not depend on the  $p_i$ 's being constant: it works for linear homogeneous equations in general].

It is interesting to watch this general idea in action in concrete cases. Consider, for example, the case where the  $p_i$ 's are constants and all the  $\lambda_i$ 's are distinct and real. In this case, the space  $S$  is supposed to consist of all functions of the form

$$y(x) = C_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} + C_2 e^{\lambda_2 x} + \dots + C_n e^{\lambda_n x}$$

$C_i$ 's numbers. The linear transformation

$$y \rightarrow \left( y(0), \frac{dy}{dx} \Big|_0, \dots, \frac{d^{n-1}y}{dx^{n-1}} \Big|_0 \right)$$

sends  $C_1 e^{\lambda_1 x} + \dots + C_n e^{\lambda_n x}$

to

$$(C_1 + C_2 + \dots + C_n, \lambda_1 C_1 + \dots + \lambda_n C_n, \lambda_1^2 C_1 + \dots + \lambda_n^2 C_n, \dots, \lambda_1^{n-1} C_1 + \dots + \lambda_n^{n-1} C_n)$$

This transformation is supposed to be 1-1, onto. So the system of equations,  $C_i$ 's regarded as unknowns,  $A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}$  arbitrarily given

$$C_1 + C_2 + \dots + C_n = A_0$$

$$\lambda_1 C_1 + \lambda_2 C_2 + \dots + \lambda_n C_n = A_1$$

$$\lambda_1^{n-1} C_1 + \lambda_2^{n-1} C_2 + \dots + \lambda_n^{n-1} C_n = A_{n-1}$$

ought to have one and only one solution for each given set of  $A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}$ . This will be true (by linear algebra) if the determinant of the coefficients

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{n-1} & \lambda_2^{n-1} & \dots & \lambda_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

This determinant is the famous Van der Monde determinant, which is well known to be nonzero exactly when the  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are all distinct.

In fact

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{n-1} & \dots & \lambda_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \text{the product of all } \lambda_j - \lambda_i, j > i.$$

Examples:  $\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 \\ \lambda_1^2 & \lambda_2^2 & \lambda_3^2 \end{pmatrix} &= (\lambda_3 \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_3 \lambda_2^2) \\ &\quad - \lambda_1 (\lambda_3^2 - \lambda_2^2) \\ &\quad + \lambda_1^2 (\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) \\ &= (\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) [\lambda_2 \lambda_3 - \lambda_1 (\lambda_3 + \lambda_2) + \lambda_1^2] \\ &= (\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) [-\lambda_1 (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1) + \lambda_2 (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)] \\ &= (\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1) (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1). \end{aligned}$$

The proof in general of the det = product formula comes from observing that, since  $\det = 0$  if  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ ,  $j > i$ , the det as a polynomial in  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  must be divisible by  $\lambda_j - \lambda_i$ ,  $j > i$ . Hence det must be divisible by prod, and counting degree = constant multiple of prod. Constant is easily checked to be +1.



Namely, the term of the form

$$\lambda_n^{n-1} \lambda_{n-1}^{n-2} \dots \lambda_2$$

occurs only once in the determinant expansion (as the main diagonal) and it occurs clearly with coefficient +1.

In the product, this term also appears only once, by choosing  $\lambda_n$  from all  $\lambda_j - \lambda_i$  terms with  $i < j$ , and  $j = n$ , choosing  $\lambda_{n-1}$  from all  $\lambda_j - \lambda_i$  terms

with  $i < j$  and  $j = n-1$ , and so on.

There the  $\lambda_n^{n-1} \dots \lambda_2$  term also appears with coefficient +1. So

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = \text{product } (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) \text{ for all } i, j \text{ with } j > i$$

[Noting that both det and product are antisymmetric under interchanges of a pair of  $\lambda$ 's one can prove the whole formula this way with appealing to the divisibility business at the end of the previous page, if desired].