



Unitary
Matrix
Models

Poplavskiy
M.

Random
matrices
and
orthogonal
polynomials

Overview of
previous
results

Global
regime

Bulk
universality

Edge
universality

Unitary Matrix Models: universality conjecture in the bulk and on the edge of the spectrum.

M. Poplavskiy

Department of Statistical Methods in Mathematical Physics
B.Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering of the NASU

VI School on Mathematical Physics, September 6, 2011



Some types of Random Matrix Ensembles

Unitary
Matrix
Models

Poplavskiy
M.

Random
matrices
and
orthogonal
polynomials

Overview of
previous
results

Global
regime

Bulk
universality

Edge
universality

■ Matrix Ensembles with independent entries

■ Wigner matrices

$$P_n(dM) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} F(n^{1/2} dM_{i,j}) \prod_{i=1}^n F_0(n^{1/2} dM_{i,i})$$

■ Marchenko-Pastur ensemble

$$P_n(dA) = \prod_{1 \leq n, j \leq m} F(n^{1/2} dA_{i,j}), \quad M_n = n^{-1} AA^*$$

■ Hermitian and Unitary Matrix Ensembles

■ Hermitian and Real Symmetric Matrix Models

$$P_{n,\beta}(d_\beta M) = Z_{n,\beta}^{-1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\beta n}{2} \text{Tr} V(M) \right\} d_\beta M.$$

■ Unitary Matrix Models

$$p_n(U) d\mu_n(U) = Z_n^{-1} \exp \left\{ -n \text{Tr} V \left(\frac{U + U^*}{2} \right) \right\} d\mu_n(U).$$



Joint Eigenvalue Distribution

Let $\left\{ e^{i\lambda_j^{(n)}} \right\}_{j=1}^n$ be an eigenvalues of matrix U .

$$p_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{Z_n} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \left| e^{i\lambda_j} - e^{i\lambda_k} \right|^2 e^{-n \sum_{j=1}^n V(\cos \lambda_j)}.$$

$$p_l^{(n)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) = \int p_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l, \lambda_{l+1}, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_{l+1} \dots d\lambda_n.$$

OPUC with a varying weight and determinant formulas

$$\left\{ e^{ik\lambda} \right\}_{k=0}^n \rightarrow P_k^{(n)}(e^{i\lambda}) : \int P_k^{(n)}(e^{i\lambda}) \overline{P_l^{(n)}(e^{i\lambda})} e^{-nV(\cos \lambda)} d\lambda = \delta_{k,l}$$

$$K_n(e^{i\lambda}, e^{i\mu}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} P_j^{(n)}(e^{i\lambda}) \overline{P_j^{(n)}(e^{i\mu})} e^{-nV(\cos \lambda)/2} e^{-nV(\cos \mu)/2}$$

$$p_l^{(n)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) = \frac{(n-l)!}{n!} \det \left\| K_n(e^{i\lambda_j}, e^{i\lambda_k}) \right\|_{j,k=1}^l$$



- **Global regime:** $N_n(\Delta) = n^{-1} \# \left\{ \lambda_l^{(n)} \in \Delta, l = 1, \dots, n \right\}, \Delta \in [-\pi, \pi)$

$$N_n(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \rho_1^{(n)}(\lambda) d\lambda \xrightarrow{?} N(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \rho(\lambda) d\lambda, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- **Local regime:**

$$[c_V \delta_n]^{-l} \rho_j^{(n)} \left(\vec{\Lambda}_0 + \frac{\vec{\xi}}{c_V \delta_n} \right) \xrightarrow{?} \det \{ K(\xi_j, \xi_k) \}_{j,k=1}^l.$$

δ_n is a typical distance between eigenvalues $\Rightarrow \int_{|\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq \delta_n} \rho(\lambda) d\lambda \sim \frac{1}{n}$.

- **Bulk universality:** $\rho(\lambda_0) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \delta_n = n^{-1}$.
- **Edge universality:** $\rho(\lambda) \sim |\lambda - \lambda_0|^{1/2} \Rightarrow \delta_n = n^{-2/3}$.



- **Global regime:** $N_n(\Delta) = n^{-1} \# \left\{ \lambda_l^{(n)} \in \Delta, l = 1, \dots, n \right\}, \Delta \in [-\pi, \pi)$

$$N_n(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \rho_1^{(n)}(\lambda) d\lambda \xrightarrow{?} N(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \rho(\lambda) d\lambda, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- **Local regime:**

$$[c_V \delta_n]^{-l} \rho_l^{(n)} \left(\vec{\Lambda}_0 + \frac{\vec{\xi}}{c_V \delta_n} \right) \xrightarrow{?} \det \{ K(\xi_j, \xi_k) \}_{j,k=1}^l.$$

δ_n is a typical distance between eigenvalues $\Rightarrow \int_{|\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq \delta_n} \rho(\lambda) d\lambda \sim \frac{1}{n}$.

- **Bulk universality:** $\rho(\lambda_0) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \delta_n = n^{-1}$.
- **Edge universality:** $\rho(\lambda) \sim |\lambda - \lambda_0|^{1/2} \Rightarrow \delta_n = n^{-2/3}$.



- L. Pastur, M. Shcherbina '97, '07 - proved bulk and edge universality for HMM.
- A. Kolyandr '97 - studied the global regime for UMM.
- K. Johansson '98 - studied the question about length of longest increasing subsequence.
- P. Deift and collaborators '99,'99- proved uniform asymptotics for OPRL with a varying weight.
- M.J. Cantero, L. Moral, L. Velasquez '03 - obtained the five term recurrence relation for OPUC.
- K. T.-R. McLaughlin '06- proved asymptotics for OPUC ($\rho(\lambda) > 0$).



The joint eigenvalue distribution can be rewritten in terms of Hamiltonian

$$p_n(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{Z_n} e^{-nH(\Lambda)} \text{ with}$$

$$H(\Lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^n V(\cos \lambda_j) - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \log |e^{i\lambda_j} - e^{i\lambda_k}|.$$

Consider the linear functional

$$\mathcal{E}[m] = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} V(\cos \lambda) m(d\lambda) - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log |e^{i\lambda} - e^{i\mu}| m(d\lambda) m(d\mu),$$

in the class of unit measures on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$.

Theorem

Let potential $V(\cos \lambda)$ be a $C^2[-\pi, \pi]$, then there exists a unique minimizer of the functional, called an equilibrium measure. This measure has a density $\rho(\lambda)$ and NCM measure of eigenvalues converges in probability to the equilibrium measure.



Theorem

Let potential $V(\cos \lambda)$ be a $C^2[-\pi, \pi]$ function and there exists some subinterval $(a, b) \subset \text{supp } \rho(\lambda)$ such that

$\sup_{\lambda \in (a, b)} V'''(\lambda) \leq C_1$, $\rho(\lambda) \geq C_2$, $\lambda \in (a, b)$. Then the universality conjecture

is true for every $\lambda_0 \in (a, b)$ with kernel $K(x, y) = \frac{\sin \pi(x - y)}{\pi(x - y)}$ and

$c_V = \rho(\lambda_0)$. The limit is uniform for any $\vec{\xi}$ in a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^l .

Basic ideas of the proof

- Prove the uniform convergence of $\rho_n(\lambda)$ to $\rho(\lambda)$.
- Derive the integro-differential equation for the K_n .
- Find the class of functions in which this equation has a unique solution.



Theorem

Let potential $V(\cos \lambda)$ be a $C^2[-\pi, \pi]$ function and there exists some subinterval $(a, b) \subset \text{supp } \rho(\lambda)$ such that

$\sup_{\lambda \in (a, b)} V'''(\lambda) \leq C_1$, $\rho(\lambda) \geq C_2$, $\lambda \in (a, b)$. Then the universality conjecture

is true for every $\lambda_0 \in (a, b)$ with kernel $K(x, y) = \frac{\sin \pi(x - y)}{\pi(x - y)}$ and

$c_V = \rho(\lambda_0)$. The limit is uniform for any $\vec{\xi}$ in a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^l .

Basic ideas of the proof

- Prove the uniform convergence of $\rho_n(\lambda)$ to $\rho(\lambda)$.
- Derive the integro-differential equation for the K_n .
- Find the class of functions in which this equation has a unique solution.



Theorem

Let potential $V(\cos \lambda)$ be a $C^2[-\pi, \pi]$ function and there exists some subinterval $(a, b) \subset \text{supp } \rho(\lambda)$ such that

$\sup_{\lambda \in (a, b)} V'''(\lambda) \leq C_1$, $\rho(\lambda) \geq C_2$, $\lambda \in (a, b)$. Then the universality conjecture

is true for every $\lambda_0 \in (a, b)$ with kernel $K(x, y) = \frac{\sin \pi(x - y)}{\pi(x - y)}$ and

$c_V = \rho(\lambda_0)$. The limit is uniform for any $\vec{\xi}$ in a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^l .

Basic ideas of the proof

- Prove the uniform convergence of $\rho_n(\lambda)$ to $\rho(\lambda)$.
- Derive the integro-differential equation for the K_n .
- Find the class of functions in which this equation has a unique solution.



Theorem

Let potential $V(\cos \lambda)$ be a $C^2[-\pi, \pi]$ function and there exists some subinterval $(a, b) \subset \text{supp } \rho(\lambda)$ such that

$\sup_{\lambda \in (a, b)} V'''(\lambda) \leq C_1$, $\rho(\lambda) \geq C_2$, $\lambda \in (a, b)$. Then the universality conjecture

is true for every $\lambda_0 \in (a, b)$ with kernel $K(x, y) = \frac{\sin \pi(x - y)}{\pi(x - y)}$ and

$c_V = \rho(\lambda_0)$. The limit is uniform for any $\vec{\xi}$ in a compact subset of \mathbb{R}' .

Basic ideas of the proof

- Prove the uniform convergence of $\rho_n(\lambda)$ to $\rho(\lambda)$.
- Derive the integro-differential equation for the K_n .
- Find the class of functions in which this equation has a unique solution.



Basic assumptions

Unitary
Matrix
Models

Poplavskiy
M.

Random
matrices
and
orthogonal
polynomials

Overview of
previous
results

Global
regime

Bulk
universality

Edge
universality

Condition C1. *The support σ of the equilibrium measure is a single subinterval of the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$, i.e.*

$$\sigma = [-\theta, \theta], \text{ with } \theta < \pi. \quad (1)$$

Condition C2. *The equilibrium density ρ has no zeros in $(-\theta, \theta)$ and*

$$\rho(\lambda) \sim C |\lambda \mp \theta|^{1/2}, \text{ for } \lambda \rightarrow \pm\theta, \quad (2)$$

and the function $u(\lambda) = V(\cos \lambda) - 2 \int_{\sigma} \log |e^{i\lambda} - e^{i\mu}| \rho(\mu) d\mu$ attains its minimum if and only if λ belongs to σ .

Condition C3. *$V(\cos \lambda)$ possesses 4 bounded derivatives on $\sigma_{\varepsilon} = [-\theta - \varepsilon, \theta + \varepsilon]$.*

Proposition

Under conditions C1-C3

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \chi(\lambda) P(\lambda) \mathbf{1}_{\sigma}, \quad \text{with}$$

$$\chi(\lambda) = \sqrt{|\cos \lambda - \cos \theta|}, \quad P(\lambda) = \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} \frac{(V(\cos \mu))' - (V(\cos \lambda))'}{\sin(\mu - \lambda)/2} \frac{d\mu}{\chi(\mu)}.$$





Laurent polynomials and CMV matrices

Unitary
Matrix
Models

Poplavskiy
M.

Random
matrices
and
orthogonal
polynomials

Overview of
previous
results

Global
regime

Bulk
universality

Edge
universality

It follows from Szegő's condition that the system $\left\{ P_k^{(n)}(e^{i\lambda}) \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is not complete. Following Cantero-Moral-Velasquez we define reversed polynomials $Q_k^{(n)}(\lambda) = e^{ik\lambda} P_k^{(n)}(e^{-i\lambda})$ and Laurent polynomials

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{2k}^{(n)}(\lambda) &= e^{-ik\lambda} Q_{2k}^{(n)}(e^{i\lambda}), \\ \chi_{2k+1}^{(n)}(\lambda) &= e^{-ik\lambda} P_{2k+1}^{(n)}(e^{i\lambda}).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}e^{i\lambda} \chi_{2k-1}^{(n)}(\lambda) &= -\alpha_{2k}^{(n)} \rho_{2k-1}^{(n)} \chi_{2k-2}^{(n)}(\lambda) - \alpha_{2k}^{(n)} \alpha_{2k-1}^{(n)} \chi_{2k-1}^{(n)}(\lambda) \\ &\quad - \alpha_{2k+1}^{(n)} \rho_{2k}^{(n)} \chi_{2k}^{(n)}(\lambda) + \rho_{2k}^{(n)} \rho_{2k+1}^{(n)} \chi_{2k+1}^{(n)}(\lambda), \\ e^{i\lambda} \chi_{2k}^{(n)}(\lambda) &= \rho_{2k}^{(n)} \rho_{2k-1}^{(n)} \chi_{2k-2}^{(n)}(\lambda) + \alpha_{2k-1}^{(n)} \rho_{2k}^{(n)} \chi_{2k-1}^{(n)}(\lambda) \\ &\quad - \alpha_{2k+1}^{(n)} \alpha_{2k}^{(n)} \chi_{2k}^{(n)}(\lambda) + \alpha_{2k}^{(n)} \rho_{2k+1}^{(n)} \chi_{2k+1}^{(n)}(\lambda),\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_k^{(n)} = c_{k,0}^{(n)} / c_{k,k}^{(n)}$ and $\rho_k^{(n)} = c_{k-1,k-1}^{(n)} / c_{k,k}^{(n)}$ are called the Verblunsky coefficients and $\left(\rho_k^{(n)}\right)^2 + \left(\alpha_k^{(n)}\right)^2 = 1$.



Theorem

Consider the system of orthogonal polynomials and the Verblunsky coefficients defined above. Let potential V satisfy conditions C1 - C3 above. Then for any $|m| = \bar{o}(n)$

$$\alpha_{n+m}^{(n)} = (-1)^m s \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2} + x_m^{(n)} \right),$$

where $s = 1$ or $s = -1$ and

$$x_m = \frac{2\pi\sqrt{2}}{P(\theta) \sin \theta} \frac{m}{n} + \underline{O} \left(\log^{11} n \left(n^{-4/3} + \frac{m^2}{n^2} \right) \right),$$

with P defined above.



Basic ideas of the proof

- Derive an equation with a functional parameter ϕ for functions $\psi_k^{(n)} = P_k^{(n)} e^{-nV/2}$ from the determinant formulas. Then, choosing appropriate parameter ϕ , obtain the equation for the Verblunsky coefficients. In this way we obtain a first approximation for Verblunsky coefficients.
- Using "string" equation

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\sin \lambda) V'(\cos \lambda) \chi_k^{(n)}(\lambda) \overline{\chi_{k-1}^{(n)}(\lambda)} e^{-nV(\cos \lambda)} d\lambda = i(-1)^{k-1} \frac{k \alpha_k^{(n)}}{n \rho_k^{(n)}}.$$

and methods of the perturbation theory obtain asymptotics described above.



Basic ideas of the proof

- Derive an equation with a functional parameter ϕ for functions $\psi_k^{(n)} = P_k^{(n)} e^{-nV/2}$ from the determinant formulas. Then, choosing appropriate parameter ϕ , obtain the equation for the Verblunsky coefficients. In this way we obtain a first approximation for Verblunsky coefficients.
- Using "string" equation

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\sin \lambda) V'(\cos \lambda) \chi_k^{(n)}(\lambda) \overline{\chi_{k-1}^{(n)}(\lambda)} e^{-nV(\cos \lambda)} d\lambda = i(-1)^{k-1} \frac{k \alpha_k^{(n)}}{n \rho_k^{(n)}}.$$

and methods of the perturbation theory obtain asymptotics described above.



Basic ideas of the proof

- Derive an equation with a functional parameter ϕ for functions $\psi_k^{(n)} = P_k^{(n)} e^{-nV/2}$ from the determinant formulas. Then, choosing appropriate parameter ϕ , obtain the equation for the Verblunsky coefficients. In this way we obtain a first approximation for Verblunsky coefficients.
- Using "string" equation

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\sin \lambda) V'(\cos \lambda) \chi_k^{(n)}(\lambda) \overline{\chi_{k-1}^{(n)}(\lambda)} e^{-nV(\cos \lambda)} d\lambda = i(-1)^{k-1} \frac{k}{n} \frac{\alpha_k^{(n)}}{\rho_k^{(n)}}.$$

and methods of the perturbation theory obtain asymptotics described above.



CMV matrices

$$\overrightarrow{\chi}^{(n)} = \left\{ \chi_k^{(n)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}, \quad \overrightarrow{\widehat{\chi}}^{(n)} = \left\{ \widehat{\chi}_k^{(n)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}.$$

$$\Theta_j^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_j^{(n)} & \rho_j^{(n)} \\ \rho_j^{(n)} & \alpha_j^{(n)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{(n)} = \text{diag} \left(E_1, \Theta_2^{(n)}, \Theta_4^{(n)} \dots \right), \quad L^{(n)} = \text{diag} \left(\Theta_1^{(n)}, \Theta_3^{(n)}, \Theta_5^{(n)} \dots \right),$$

$$C^{(n)} = M^{(n)} L^{(n)}$$

$$\overrightarrow{\widehat{\chi}}^{(n)} = M^{(n)} \overrightarrow{\chi}^{(n)}, \quad e^{i\lambda} \overrightarrow{\widehat{\chi}}^{(n)} = L^{(n)} \overrightarrow{\chi}^{(n)}, \quad e^{i\lambda} \overrightarrow{\chi}^{(n)} = C^{(n)} \overrightarrow{\chi}^{(n)}.$$

Our main idea is to study the kernel K_n near the edge. For this aim we consider the integral operator

$$F_n^{(n)}(z, w) = \int w_n(\lambda) d\lambda \int w_n(\mu) d\mu G_{\lambda, z} G_{\mu, w} \left| \left(e^{i\lambda} - e^{i\mu} \right) K_n^{(n)}(\lambda, \mu) \right|^2,$$

where

$$G_{\lambda, z} = \frac{1 - e^{i(z-\bar{z})}}{|e^{i\lambda} - e^{iz}|^2} = e^{iz} \frac{1}{e^{i\lambda} - e^{iz}} - e^{i\bar{z}} \frac{1}{e^{i\lambda} - e^{i\bar{z}}}.$$



Theorem

Under assumptions C1-C3 the universality conjecture is true for $\lambda_0 = \pm\theta$ with kernel $K(x, y) = \frac{A_i(x) A_i'(y) - A_i'(x) A_i(y)}{x - y}$. The limit is uniform for any $\vec{\xi}$ in a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^l .

Basic ideas of the proof

- Christoffel-Darboux formula + spectral theory give us a representation of F_n in terms of resolvent of matrix $C^{(n)}$ (five-diagonal).
- Relation between matrices $C^{(n)}$, $M^{(n)}$, and $L^{(n)}$ reduces this representation to the question about resolvent of the three diagonal matrix.
- Asymptotics of Verblunsky coefficients help us to "guess" resolvent for $z = \pm\theta + n^{-2/3}\zeta$. It can be represented in terms of resolvent $(A - \zeta)^{-1}$ of operator $A = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2cx$.



Theorem

Under assumptions C1-C3 the universality conjecture is true for $\lambda_0 = \pm\theta$ with kernel $K(x, y) = \frac{A_i(x) A_i'(y) - A_i'(x) A_i(y)}{x - y}$. The limit is uniform for any $\vec{\xi}$ in a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^l .

Basic ideas of the proof

- Christoffel-Darboux formula + spectral theory give us a representation of F_n in terms of resolvent of matrix $C^{(n)}$ (five-diagonal).
- Relation between matrices $C^{(n)}$, $M^{(n)}$, and $L^{(n)}$ reduces this representation to the question about resolvent of the three diagonal matrix.
- Asymptotics of Verblunsky coefficients help us to "guess" resolvent for $z = \pm\theta + n^{-2/3}\zeta$. It can be represented in terms of resolvent $(A - \zeta)^{-1}$ of operator $A = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2cx$.



Theorem

Under assumptions C1-C3 the universality conjecture is true for $\lambda_0 = \pm\theta$ with kernel $K(x, y) = \frac{A_i(x) A_i'(y) - A_i'(x) A_i(y)}{x - y}$. The limit is uniform for any $\vec{\xi}$ in a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^l .

Basic ideas of the proof

- Christoffel-Darboux formula + spectral theory give us a representation of F_n in terms of resolvent of matrix $C^{(n)}$ (five-diagonal).
- Relation between matrices $C^{(n)}$, $M^{(n)}$, and $L^{(n)}$ reduces this representation to the question about resolvent of the three diagonal matrix.
- Asymptotics of Verblunsky coefficients help us to "guess" resolvent for $z = \pm\theta + n^{-2/3}\zeta$. It can be represented in terms of resolvent $(A - \zeta)^{-1}$ of operator $A = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2cx$.



Theorem

Under assumptions C1-C3 the universality conjecture is true for $\lambda_0 = \pm\theta$ with kernel $K(x, y) = \frac{A_i(x) A_i'(y) - A_i'(x) A_i(y)}{x - y}$. The limit is uniform for any $\vec{\xi}$ in a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^l .

Basic ideas of the proof

- Christoffel-Darboux formula + spectral theory give us a representation of F_n in terms of resolvent of matrix $C^{(n)}$ (five-diagonal).
- Relation between matrices $C^{(n)}$, $M^{(n)}$, and $L^{(n)}$ reduces this representation to the question about resolvent of the three diagonal matrix.
- Asymptotics of Verblunsky coefficients help us to "guess" resolvent for $z = \pm\theta + n^{-2/3}\zeta$. It can be represented in terms of resolvent $(A - \zeta)^{-1}$ of operator $A = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2cx$.